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Abstract

To further the body of knowledge which determines efficacy of academy police training in the area of disabilities, this study examines the content of law enforcement training curricula for information related to mental illness, mental retardation and pervasive developmental disorder. Seventeen states responded, representing all five regions of the United States and were representative of states with large urban industrialized areas, and relatively large populations, as well as states dominated by a rural agrarian economy and smaller populations. Each curriculum was critiqued using a code sheet containing predetermined criteria for each disability.
Acknowledgments

I would like to begin by thanking my family. No words can express how much I love you and appreciate your unwavering support. To my mom and dad: Thank you for always having high expectations and unending support. I am truly blessed to have parents that not only express their love through words but through actions! I know that I would not be the person I am today without you. To my sisters: I want you all to know that I count myself extremely lucky to have three wonderful sisters. You are each beautiful, talented and I am a better person because of each of you! To my Nongi and Paw: Thank you for always being a huge part of my life. Few people have grandparents that are as big a part of their lives as you have been. To the Meade family, I would like to extend my appreciation for your love and support not only to me but to Benjamin when I was consumed with school and other responsibilities.

I would like to thank each member of my committee. It is a huge commitment to oversee a dissertation and your hard work and support are greatly appreciated. A special thank you is extended to Dr. Daniel Clay for leading my committee and helping me complete this goal. I would also like to thank Dr. Margaret Shippen for being a wonderful mentor.

Lastly but certainly not least I would like to thank my husband Benjamin. You have always and continue to “WOW” me in ways you don’t even know. You have always supported and fueled my dreams and desires even when it meant a hardship for our family. While it might be silly, I also want to thank my “pack”, Dozer, Riley, Sam and Alley. I RUFF you all!
Table of Contents

Abstract ......................................................................................................................................... ii

Acknowledgments ....................................................................................................................... iii

List of Tables ................................................................................................................................ v

List of Figures .............................................................................................................................. vi

I. Introduction ............................................................................................................................. 1
   Statement of the Problem .................................................................................................. 1
   The Criminal Justice System ............................................................................................ 3
      Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders .............................................. 4
      Individuals with Disabilities and Police Interaction ............................................. 6
      Law Enforcement Training ............................................................................... 10
      Need for Further Research and Significance ....................................................... 13
      Overview of the Study ............................................................................................ 15

II. Literature Review .................................................................................................................. 17
   Categories of Pervasive Developmental Disorders ....................................................... 20
      Autism Spectrum Disorder ........................................................................... 20
      Autism Disorder .............................................................................................. 21
      Rhett’s Disorder ................................................................................................. 22
      Child Disintegrative Disorder ......................................................................... 23
      Asperger’s Disorder ......................................................................................... 23
Appendix H ............................................................................................................................... 138
Appendix I ............................................................................................................................... 140
List of Tables

Table 1  Deinstitutionalization Court Cases ................................................................. 8
Table 2  ADOS Modules and Target Audience ............................................................ 29
Table 3  Diagnostic Criteria for Mental Retardation (APA, 2000) .............................. 31
Table 4  Degrees of Severity of Mental Retardation .................................................. 32
Table 5  Symptoms of Mania ...................................................................................... 39
Table 6  Symptoms of Depression ............................................................................. 40
Table 7  Topics of Curriculum for Law Enforcement in Alabama ............................. 52
Table 8  McAfee and Musso: Training and Topics Derived from
1995 Study of Curricula ............................................................................................ 56
Table 9  States Providing Written Materials ............................................................... 66
Table 10 Results of Research Questions 1–3 .............................................................. 67
Table 11 Content of Responding States' Curriculum Standards as Set Forth by the POSTC... 70
List of Figures

Figure 1  United States Map Depicting Five Regions......................................................... 63
Figure 2  Percentage of US Population by Region............................................................. 64
Figure 3  Percentage of Regional Population Represented by Responding States ............. 65
I. INTRODUCTION

Statement of the Problem

There are over 300 million people in the United States, of which nearly 274 million are identified as having one or more disabilities of some type. With that in mind, the PEW Report (2008) recently noted that the U.S. prison population nearly tripled between 1987 and 2007, and nearly 1.6 million people are held in state or federal custody with an additional 724 thousand serving time in local jails. These statistics equate to a startling fact: one in 100 individuals are incarcerated and one in 31 are on probation or parole in the United States (PEW Report). Assuming these statistics to be accurate, more than 2 million individuals with disabilities identified or not, are currently incarcerated in U.S. prisons. In 2002, the National GAINS Center, which is part of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, found that nearly three-quarters of jail detainees with severe mental illnesses also had co-occurring disorders and often had complicated histories of unemployment, homelessness, addiction, victimization and inadequate health care.

A 2009 study conducted by Steadman found that 14.5% of males and 31% of females recently admitted to jails have a serious mental illness. In many instances, law enforcement officers serve as gatekeepers, deciding whether an individual will proceed into the criminal justice system, the mental health system or simply be ignored (Wells & Schafer, 2006). Accordingly, it becomes apparent that there should be a strong emphasis in law enforcement training on recognition of disability and appropriate interaction between officers and individuals
who may be exhibiting symptoms of disability, particularly in situations of first contact.

Whether police encounter the individual with disability as the perceived victim or perpetrator, the incident would be handled more efficiently and humanely if the officer on the scene were able to bring enhanced training in disabilities to bear on the situation.

Unfortunately, stories from across the U.S. point to the fact that many police officers can be unprepared to deal effectively with individuals who do not fall into the expected criminal mold. Gaps in police skills become apparent when they are faced with unfamiliar patterns of behavior in a perpetrator or victim. Consider the following accounts of interaction between police officers and persons with disabilities:

- In 1985, a young man with a diagnosis of autism suffered internal injuries, including the eventual loss of one kidney, after police forcibly subdued him because he ran when he saw a police car, thereby arousing suspicion. A ten million dollar damage claim was filed against the police (Debbault, 2002).

- A man with severe mental retardation suffocated when police officers sat on him to subdue him. His mother had called the police for assistance when her son became abusive. According to his sister’s statements, the man had a vocabulary of only a few words and did not have the mental capacity to understand and follow the officers’ orders (McKinley, 1989).

- In 1991, an individual who was deaf died after a struggle with police officers in Washington, D.C. Witnesses claimed that the man threatened police with a metal bar, but was unable to communicate that he could not breathe when officers held him down (Escobar & Castaneda, 1991).
With events such as these occurring in our nation, clearly more awareness and training is needed for frontline law enforcement. Each state has a governing body for law enforcement training identified as the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission or Council. These governing organizations serve a common purpose in all 50 states and will be referred to as the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission or POSTC in this paper. The POSTC designs and mandates basic training requirements for employed officers. Without proper training and awareness, citizens may not receive the most effective service from law enforcement personnel and the potential for mistreatment may increase.

The Criminal Justice System

The Bureau of Justice Statistics (2004) found that in 2001 the federal, state and local governments in the United States spent $167 billion on police protection, judicial and legal services, and corrections. The three components of police, courts and corrections comprise the criminal justice system (Gaines and Miller, 2006). Crime control in a democratic society is complex because it must be achieved without abandoning the notion of justice; the rights of the individual must be balanced against the good of society at large (Hagan, 2008). A democratic society uses the “due process model” in which individuals are presumed innocent until proven guilty and as such, the individual’s rights must be protected from the time of apprehension to conviction (Hagan).

This due process model operates under the assumption that it is better to err on the side of caution; a guilty person should go free before an innocent person is unjustly convicted. The due process model focuses on protecting constitutional rights at every stage of the criminal justice process. This is the reason why police, the first line of defense in criminal apprehension, must be aware of their responsibilities to serve wisely, with knowledge, efficiency and compassion in
every case (Gaines & Miller, 2006). The following section will outline how individuals with disabilities are identified by the medical and clinical professions.

**Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders**

The need for a classification of mental disorders has been clear throughout the history of medicine (DSM-IV-TR, 2000). While the need for a classification system has been apparent, there has been little agreement on which disorders to include. In the United States, the initial motivation for developing a classification system was to collect statistical information. In an attempt to collect these data, the 1840 census questioned citizens about the single category of “idiocy,” further described as “insanity.” In the 1880 census, seven categories were included: mania, melancholia, monomania, paresis, dementia, dipsomania, and epilepsy. In 1917, a “Committee on Statistics” became known as the American Psychiatric Association (APA) and, together with the National Commission on Mental Hygiene, formulated a plan for collecting uniform data in U.S. mental hospitals. The plan was accepted by the Bureau of the Census.

The United States Army adopted a broader version of the plan and the Veterans Administration later modified the plan to better incorporate the outpatient needs of World War II servicemen and veterans. Known as the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), the ICD was revised six times before a new variant became known as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) in 1952. The 1952 DSM contained a glossary of descriptions of diagnostic categories and was the first official manual on mental disorders to focus on clinical unity (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The DSM continued to be revised and is now in its sixth revision. The current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual is known and referred to as the DSM-IV-TR which indicates fourth version with text revisions.
The DSM-IV-TR provides a classification of mental disorders. While no single definition adequately specifies or operationally defines the boundaries of mental disorder, the DSM-IV-TR attempts to provide parameters for each classification included. In the DSM-IV-TR, each of the disorders is “conceptualized as a clinically significant behavioral or psychological syndrome or pattern that occurs in an individual and that is associated with present distress or disability or with significantly increased risk of suffering death, pain, disability or an important loss of freedom” (2000, p. xxxi).

Included in the DSM-IV-TR are 18 major categories of disorders with 320 subheadings. The researcher acknowledges the volume of detail and the number of disorders included in the DSM-IV-TR, but for the purposes of this study only the categories of Schizophrenia, Bi-Polar Disorder, Mental Retardation and Pervasive Developmental Delay will be included. These are fairly broad categories, but still very limited in scope when it comes to the full range of human disability. Each is categorized by symptomology, such as lack of communication skills or inability to follow simple direction that are easily discerned as abnormal behavior by the general populace. Accordingly, law enforcement are not taxed to the point of needing diagnostic skills in order to recognize such disability, but still find value in information that enables them to deal effectively with a victim or perpetrator displaying such symptoms. Other disabilities are also worthy of consideration, but this study purposefully limits the range to disabilities with symptomology that may seem perplexing to law enforcement, particularly in a situation of first response.

In addition, the focus of this study has been narrowed to the aforementioned disabilities to add detail and depth to the studies conducted by Gerald Murphy (1986), and most recently James McAfee and Stephanie Musso (1995). To incorporate the input of McAfee and Musso
and to glean any additional information which might prove pertinent to the conduct of this study, attempts were made to contact the authors. None of the attempts proved successful. Also, Schizophrenia, Bi-Polar Disorder, Mental Retardation and Pervasive Developmental Delay are disabilities that reflect the author’s personal areas of interests. The author’s interest is substantiated by the fact that, within the United States population, schizophrenia alone affects up three million people (Center for Disease Control, 2009). Bi-Polar Disorder, in one form or another, affects 5.7 million Americans or 2.6% of the population and Mental Retardation affects 2-3% of the population. It is estimated that 3.4 of every 1000 children are diagnosed with a Pervasive Developmental Delay (National Institute of Mental Health, 2009). In-depth information about each area of disability will be provided in Chapter 2.

*Individuals with Disabilities and Police Interaction*

By the end of the nineteenth century, the placement of individuals into institutions was a well established societal practice. While institutions were initially grounded in the ideals of protection and training for those individuals unable to care for themselves, conditions quickly deteriorated. Overcrowding and minimal staffing spiraled to even greater levels when financial support waned and a destructive cycle of patient neglect ensued (Gargiulo & Kilgo, 2000). Sanitation was poor and even individuals who entered the institution in good health often became ill while living there. In many institutions, such as the Willowbrook State School in New York, the rate of hepatitis contagion was 100%. As conditions worsened, society turned a blind eye, preferring to keep the unpleasant facts hidden from the public conscience.

In the 1960s, civil rights and social reform became the themes of the era and society began to change. President John F. Kennedy created the President’s Committee on Mental Retardation to investigate and recommend reforms in the institutional system. Finding the
current conditions “deplorable,” Kennedy vowed to move individuals out of their institutionalized surroundings and provide services in the “least restrictive setting possible” (Murphy, 1986). The traditional treatment of long-term hospitalization or asylum care was discarded in favor of treatment within the community (Murphy). In 1961, the Joint Commission on Mental Illness and Health in the United States published a comprehensive review of research across the span of five years. Titled “Action for Mental Health,” the Joint Commission found that individuals suffering from mental illness were not being effectively treated within institutions. The Joint Commission findings and Kennedy’s call for improvements led to the Mental Retardation Facilities and the Mental Health Centers Construction Act of 1963. As a result of increasing public awareness and government concern, affected individuals were now moved closer to their families and became entitled to services in their home communities at local mental health agencies (Fishley, 1992).

Patients’ rights became an area of litigation that would also continue to influence the movement to deinstitutionalize those with disabilities. Three cases contributed significantly to the deinstitutionalization movement: Rouse v. Cameron (1966), Wyatt v. Stickey (1972), and O’Connor v. Donaldson (1975). All three cases held that patients are entitled to release from state hospitals if the hospitals fail to provide treatment. The cases of Wyatt and O’Connor specifically held that non-dangerous patients cannot be kept in an institution if they are not receiving treatment and can also survive safely outside the hospital environment (Murphy, 1986). In addition, Rogers v. Okin (1980) and Rennie v. Klein (1981) confirmed the right of those living with mental illness to live in the community without treatment. Table 1 lists and summarizes these five cases introduced above.
Table 1

*Deinstitutionalization Court Cases*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Court Case</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Results/Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>Rouse v. Cameron</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Right to treatment while institutionalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Wyatt v. Stickney</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Partlow State School Was found to be a warehousing institution capable only of deterioration and debilitation of the residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>O’Connor v. Donaldson</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Non-dangerous persons have a constitutional right to liberty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Rogers v. Okin</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Patient’s right to refuse medical treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Rennie v. Klein</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>Patient’s right to refuse medication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the concept appeared quite reasonable in theory, deinstitutionalizing thousands of long-term patients proved to be difficult at best. In the late 1960s, large numbers of individuals diagnosed with mental illness and mental retardation were released into the community and into the care of families ill equipped to care for them (Earley, 2006). Mental health networks and social services were slow to develop and understaffed for a rapidly expanding caseload. Often, community-based social and mental health services offered inadequate programs and were not specifically designed to deal with individuals who had few social skills, limited financial resources and occasional aggressive tendencies towards themselves or others (Murphy, 1986). Delivery of services was often impeded by bureaucratic “red tape” or other obstacles, and often by the individual’s right to refuse treatment (Murphy). State laws, such as California’s
The Lanterman-Petris-Short Act in 1969, imposed strict guidelines for involuntary commitment along with strict limits on the duration of such commitments (Murphy).

Now faced with a new group of individuals, many of whom were challenged by an inability to make sound judgments and take responsibility for themselves, yet legally required to do so, law enforcement became increasingly pressured by neighborhoods to “do something” with these individuals who often lived outside societal norms. Many individuals with mental health needs were homeless, adrift due to a lack of low-cost housing, recession, and cutbacks in federal subsidies and entitlement programs (Murphy, 1986). In the ranks of the young people with mental disabilities, alcohol and drug addiction often made illnesses such as schizophrenia harder to recognize. Law enforcement officers, few of whom had any knowledge or training in recognition of mental illness, were forced to make immediate decisions on the street to resolve urgent problems. In recognition of the fact that the immediate solution may do little to prevent future problematic reoccurrences, police officers had the option to refer individuals to mental health clinics or hospitals, but the admission paperwork was complicated and time consuming (Murphy). Very often, despite an officer’s attempt to locate assistance, the individual with a mental disability refused treatment or other help. As a last resort, officers were instructed to contain an individual only when he appeared to be disoriented or agitated due to substance abuse, a danger to himself or others, in need of medical treatment, or gravely “disabled.” Operating most frequently under a “contain first and ask questions later” philosophy (Murphy, 1986), such an unwritten policy has led some individuals to question how and with what content law enforcement officers are trained.
Law Enforcement Training

Improving the awareness and education of law enforcement officers has been an enduring feature of reform in the area of criminal justice. While the desire to employ professionally trained officers can be dated back to the 1930s, the notion did not receive full support until the advent of individuals such as August Vollumer and the publication of the Wickersham Commission Report (Sullivan, 2005). After World War II, a high school education became the basic minimum requirement for entry into the police force and a college degree became a desirable goal. In 1967, the work of the President’s Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice turned the goal of a college education into an official recommendation. The Law Education Program began offering incentives for officers who obtained a college degree. Currently, formal education of law enforcement officers occurs in two arenas: institutions of higher learning outside the realm of law enforcement and in police institutions/academies that refine judgment and skills to the specific responsibilities of law enforcement such as maintaining the public safety (Sullivan, 2005). Attendance in academies is deemed law enforcement training.

By 2000, 15% of local police departments required at least some college education, an increase over the 10% which required some higher education in 1990. In 2000, only 1% of departments required completion of a baccalaureate degree, but most departments required a degree for advancement and pay increases (Sullivan, 2005). A national sample survey conducted in 1990 showed that 85% of law enforcement officers had attended college, 28% had obtained a baccalaureate and 6% had at least some graduate or professional education beyond the basic four years. No specific information on training and awareness in disabilities was provided in this report (Sullivan).
Although there is descriptive information about the numbers of officers that attend college-level courses as part of their career preparation, little is known about the nature and quality of the courses being taken (Sullivan, 2005). The public generally supports the notion that police should be well educated, but the available body of research does not necessarily support any connection between higher learning and the ability to make quality judgments in urgent situations. Thought processes and communication skills, expert judgment, enhanced knowledge, tolerance and compassion may not always be the outcome of a generalized education in the college setting. The minimal research presently available measures officers’ attitudes rather than performance. Shernock (1992) found that officers who are college graduates are less cynical, less punitive, less prejudiced and less inclined to be an authoritarian personality. Additional research by Shernock based on officers’ official records found college educated law enforcement received fewer complaints and boasted a quicker response time. A study conducted in 1981 found that education was unrelated to the use of lethal force (Sullivan, 2005).

An area of law enforcement preparation that has been rarely researched and publicly critiqued is the training received by law enforcement officers at police academies or other institutions. Specifically, there is little research focused on the training received by officers in the realm of individuals with special needs. In 1986, Gerald Murphy published *Special Care: The Police Response to the Mentally Disabled*. This publication was the result of a year-long study that focused on the manner in which police and mental health agencies address the needs of individuals with mental illness. Murphy’s data were collected via surveys of law enforcement policies, procedures and training. In addition, data were derived from interviews with police officers, managers and chiefs as well as mental health professionals. Information on training practices were collected from 38 police academies serving 172 law enforcement
agencies. Written information on police practices were obtained from 51 law enforcement agencies and telephone contacts were made to obtain additional information. The 51 agencies surveyed were located in 22 states and served 13% of the U.S. population. Murphy found that officers were in contact with individuals who were mentally ill or mentally distressed as often as they apprehended individuals for murder, all types of manslaughter, rape, robbery, aggravated assault and grand theft together.

A 1979 study by Janus et al. found that 16 hours of abnormal psychology training, with descriptions of psychiatric disorders and syndromes, improved the attitudes of officers toward those with mental illness and the mental health system. Despite this, Murphy found that the average length of time devoted to these topics in 1986 was 4.27 hours. The range was a low of 90 minutes to a high of 22 hours. Two of the departments surveyed by Murphy did not conduct any training on mental illness at all.

Almost ten years later, James McAfee and Stephanie Musso (1995) added to the body of research by again attempting to quantify the amount of training in mental illness and disabilities received by law enforcement officers. McAfee and Musso’s extensive review of the literature yielded the same difficulties as the current author has encountered: little to no sources on the topic of police training and disabilities. Police and criminal justice journals have yielded no material on this topic within the last 15 years. Only one source cited by McAfee and Musso, Problem-Oriented Policing by Goldstein in 1990, discussed police interaction with deinstitutionalized persons with mental illness. Additional information gleaned from the article consisted of a simple list of persons with disabilities, such as mental retardation, who are likely to be victimized or who may inadvertently commit criminal acts.
McAfee and Musso (1995) obtained phone numbers and addresses for law enforcement from the government section of state phone books. They reported that 42 of 50 states were contacted by telephone to discuss state mandated training; however, no mention was made of which state, or which agency within the state, was actually contacted. The caller requested a copy of appropriate written materials, but the study did not define what materials were deemed significant. Phone contact with the remaining eight states, again unnamed by the authors, was unsuccessful and a second attempted contact was made by written correspondence. Ultimately, some form of response was received from 49 of the 50 states. Results revealed that eight states do not require any formal training in the area of disabilities, thirty-six required new police officers to complete “some degree of training” and four remaining states were deemed to have “ambiguous training policies” in the area of individuals with disabilities.

Need for Further Research and Significance

McAfee and Musso (1995) do not define “persons with disabilities” or the manner in which information was clarified. Two attempts to contact the authors for additional information, including operational definitions, went unanswered. In an effort to extend and clarify the body of literature, a preliminary search of publicly available records was conducted on the World Wide Web. Of the 50 states, 14 states had websites which noted a minimum number of training hours set by a Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission. Of these 14 states, only two had mandated training on disabilities that was publicly accessible on their websites. No federal guidelines for training law enforcement in the area of individuals with disabilities could be located on the internet or in print.

In light of the fact that the National Institute on Mental Health (2008) estimates that 26.2 percent of Americans ages 18 and older suffer from a diagnosable mental disorder in any given
year, it is imperative that more information on police training and interventions in the cases of individuals with disabilities be publicly available. Using statistics provided by the United States Census, that percentage translates into almost 60 million people, or one in four adults. Teplin (1984) suggests that the number of individuals with mental illness in the community has increased due to 1) deinstitutionalization, 2) restrictive laws regarding civil commitment, and 3) reduction in funding for community-based mental health programs. A 1997 survey found that many officers were alarmed by the unpredictability of those with mental illness and expressed frustration with the lack of options when it comes to approaching and dealing with those who exhibit signs of mental illness (Green, 1997). When police come into contact with some of these individuals, they must be aware that behaviors symptomatic of mental disorder are often misinterpreted and approaches typically used by law enforcement may actually worsen an already difficult situation, particularly in the heightened atmosphere of a first response.

Although individual police officers may be well meaning, the blame lies squarely on deficits in training. Officers are trained to look for threatening or unreasonable behaviors that may be drug and/or alcohol related and then to react accordingly. Those same interventions can be ineffective or potentially injurious to the person who is simply exhibiting the symptomology of a mental disorder that requires evaluation and treatment rather than incarceration.

In assuring that police officers have the necessary training to deal with individuals with disabilities, the impact of funding allocations is of paramount importance. The cost of basic training for police officers is high, both in terms of actual operating expense and the salaries paid to recruits during their academy education (Sweeny, 2005). Costs escalate when additional materials and instructors are added to the program. Some states have restrictions against passing unfunded mandates, which means that if the state does not have the financial resources to
reimburse counties, cities and towns for additional training time, it cannot require expansion of existing curriculum (Sweeny).

It has been 22 years since Murphy’s study and 13 years since McAfee and Musso’s study, it is evident that there exists a paucity in the literature focusing on the nature and frequency of police training in the area of disabilities. The author believes that additional study is warranted and should be welcomed by police academies who strive to equip their graduates with information that is current and suited to meet the realistic needs of not only law enforcement, but the population they serve.

Overview of the Study

To further the body of knowledge which determines efficacy of academy police training in the area of disabilities, this study examines the content of police training curricula for information specifically related to mental illness, mental retardation and pervasive developmental disorder. Regarding mental illness, the curricula were searched for information such as definition, characteristics, treatment, medications, cognitive and behavior therapies, myths, the mention of any additional disorders and suggested methods of police interaction. Regarding mental retardation, the curricula were searched for definition, characteristics, cognitive levels, myths and suggested methods of police interaction. Finally, the curricula were similarly searched regarding pervasive developmental delay, or PDD, to see if definition, criteria for diagnosis, and suggested methods of police interaction were included. Because PDD may include several more defined disabilities such as Autism and Asperger’s Syndrome, the curricula were examined to see if other developmental disorders were covered. Notations were made when specific state laws regarding the treatment of people with disabilities were included in the curricula. The purpose of this descriptive study is to examine the police academy curricula for
all responding states to determine the level of training being conducted in the area of the designated disabilities.
II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a brief overview of developmental disabilities (Pervasive Developmental Disorders-PDD and Mental Retardation-MR), mental illness (Schizophrenia and Bi-Polar Disorder), law enforcement structure and the current training standards. The author acknowledges that developmental disabilities and mental illness may encompass many diagnoses; however, for the purposes of this chapter the focus will be on the four conditions listed previously (DD/PDD, MR, Schizophrenia and Bi-Polar).

According to Debbaudt (2000) and illustrative of the need for state law enforcement to ensure that academies offer cadets training in human exceptionality, the following events occurred on a typical California afternoon in 1985. Law enforcement officer Shari Lohman was on patrol when she noted a teenaged male riding a bicycle along the road. Nothing about the young man raised suspicion or alerted Officer Lohman until the teenager glanced over his shoulder, jumped from the bike, and started running, guiding the bike as he went. Such action gave Officer Lohman cause to believe that the bike may be stolen, so she called the dispatcher for assistance and proceeded to follow the youth. Sergeant Jim Lowder heard the call and joined Lohman in pursuit. As Lohman caught up with the boy, he dropped the bike and continued to run. The officers could not understand why the boy was acting in such a strange way and came to the conclusion that he may be under the influence of a hallucinogenic drug. The chase finally ended when the boy entered a family garage.
At that point, the two law enforcement officers not only wanted to apprehend the suspect, but also avoid a location that potentially offered access to weapons or accomplices. To that end, they forcibly subdued the boy, Guido Rodriguez Jr., only to learn later that he had entered his own family’s garage and that he had Autism. The incident gradually escalated into tragedy when it was found that Rodriguez suffered bruising, lacerations, and a damaged kidney that eventually required surgical removal. A ten million dollar lawsuit was subsequently filed against the Irvine California Police Department (Debbaudt, 2000), alleging these front line officers were unable to identify an individual with autistic characteristics, rather mistaking his actions for that of a potential criminal. Lack of proper police training caused this teenager serious physical injury and created cause for legal action against the entire department.

Unfortunately, similar incidents may be all too common. For example, in July, 2005, a nineteen-year old male became agitated, threw a microwave oven out of a window, ripped up a sofa, and then barricaded himself inside his residence. In spite of a medical alert card that identified the young man as an individual with Asperger’s Disorder, a form of Autism, the law enforcement officers removed his clothes, placed him in a paper suit, and interrogated him without an appropriate adult present. The young man’s mother responded, “The way he was treated was absolutely appalling. The whole system is flawed” (The Watchdog, 2008 p.1).

Largely because the behavior of individuals with autism dictates a strenuous adherence to rules and routine (Batshaw, Pellegrino, & Roizen, 2007), there is little evidence of any significant association between criminal offending and Autism (Debbaudt, 2002); however, there are cases where individuals with developmental disabilities are guilty of a crime. Often, however, the functional level of an individual with developmental delay (DD) is not taken into consideration at the time of prosecutorial filing and his or her attorney may face challenges in the
legal system. In 2002, a sixteen-year old male with DD in Sacramento, California, left his group home with a knife and walked three miles to an area Starbucks where he attacked a woman. He faced charges of attempted murder and, if convicted, incarceration in a state prison. The boy’s mother, Joan Maggi, said, “To hold David criminally responsible for what he did would not be fair because he doesn’t understand what he did.” She believed that he needed treatment and supervision, not a prison term, but the defense attorney’s motion to move the case to juvenile court was denied. The attorney, Bob Blasier, stated, “He’s developmentally disabled… He’s borderline mentally retarded….All they see is the police report. They don’t get the background on David’s disabilities until later” (Autism Today, 2008, p. 1). Obviously, the lack of recognition of disability at the time of apprehension later presented the defense attorney a challenge in negotiating a legal system unaware of the full ramifications of the case. While this case involved an individual with autism, history has shown that this type of reaction by the justice system has repeated itself. In 2006, Pete Earley wrote “In 1955, some 560,000 Americans were being treated for mental problems in state hospitals. Between 1955 and 2000, our nation’s population increased from 166 million to 276 million. If you took the patient-per-capita ratio that existed in 1955 and extrapolated it on the basis of the new population, you would expect to find 930,000 patients in state run hospitals. But there are fewer than 55,000 in them today. Where are the others? Nearly 300,000 are in jails and prisons. Another half million are on court-ordered probation.”

With the incidence of Autism on the rise (Center for Disease Control, 2008), it seems that cases such as these can only increase. “Persons with Autism and other developmental disabilities are estimated to have up to seven times more contacts with law enforcement agencies during their lifetimes” (Debbault, Personal communication, 2008). It appears that law
enforcement officers may not understand that individuals with developmental disabilities often lack the cognitive and problem-solving skills to make decisions and communicate effectively. Further, these individuals may experience anxiety or agitation which can result in unusual or inappropriate behaviors that can be mistaken for criminal conduct or intent.

Categories of Pervasive Developmental Disorders

*Autism Spectrum Disorders*

In 1943, Leo Kanner, a psychiatrist at Johns Hopkins Medical Center, noted that eleven of his patients displayed remarkably similar symptoms. Their lack of interest in people while exhibiting inordinate interest in inanimate aspects of their environment, as well as favoring absolute sameness in routine and environment, led Dr. Kanner to publish detailed observations that were later described as early infantile Autism. His work gained considerable interest and marked the beginning of serious research into this baffling disorder (Tidmarsh & Volkmar, 2003).

Autism is now called Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and reflects the vast differences seen in each diagnosed individual (American Psychological Association, 2000). With symptoms ranging from mild to severe, people with Autism are unique; they exhibit behaviors and characteristics in different combinations and degrees. According to research compiled by the National Research Council (2001), boys were more consistently identified than girls (three to four boys per girl) and girls were more likely than boys to demonstrate decreased mental capabilities in conjunction with Autism.

Each person with Autism will have a different level of independence as well; some need a caregiver at all times while others live semi- or fully independent lives and are able to contribute to the community in various ways. Autism is recognized as a lifelong neurological
disorder affecting communication, socialization, and behavior (Wilczynski, 2007). Learning difficulties may cause confusion, frustration, and anxiety for these individuals. Many individuals with Autism express these emotions in a variety of ways such as withdrawing, engaging in repetitive behaviors, aggression, or even self-injury. While symptoms may decrease with therapy or intervention, Autism remains a lifelong disability (Debbaudt, 2002; Janzen, 1996).

Used by professionals when diagnosing ASD, The American Psychiatric Association’s (2000) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: Fourth Edition Text-Revision (DSM-IV-TR), categorizes ASD under the broader heading of Pervasive Developmental Disorder (PDD). Due to the pervasive delays in development, five developmental disorders are contained in the PDD category. The categories include Autism Disorder, Rhett’s Disorder, Childhood Disintegrative Disorder, Asperger’s Disorder, and Pervasive Developmental Delay-Not Otherwise Specified (PDD-NOS) and each category is described below.

**Autism Disorder**

For an individual to receive a diagnosis of Autism Disorder, a predetermined number of characteristics must be displayed in each of three categories: social interaction, communication, and behavior (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). In the area of social interaction, individuals must display two or more of the following: limited eye contact, limited facial expression, unusual gestures or body posturing, lack of social interaction, and lack of social or emotional reciprocity. In addition, those individuals with Autism are often described as aloof, preferring to be alone rather than in the company of others. They infrequently use eye contact, facial expression or body language as an interactive tool, and thus find it difficult to participate in the reciprocity required in a social setting.
With regard to communication, an individual must display at least one or more of the following characteristics: lack or delay in development of verbal language with no attempt to gesture or mime, inability to initiate or sustain conversation, echolalia or stereotypical language, and lack of make-believe or imaginative play. Examples of this deficit are the inability to approach someone and initiate a request, repetition of words or phrases in inappropriate contexts, and the inability to play creatively (American Psychological Association, 2000; Batshaw, Pellegrino, & Roizen, 2007).

Behaviorally, an individual must demonstrate one of the following: restricted, repetitive, and stereotypical patterns of behavior, interests, and activities; preoccupation with one or more stereotypical and restricted patterns of interest that is abnormal in focus or intensity; inability to alter routines or schedules; persistent preoccupation; or repetitive motor mannerisms. Individuals with Autism Disorder are often resistant to changes in the environment, such as where they sit or what time an activity occurs. Some have difficulty with clothing textures or display preoccupation with a part of a toy rather than the entire toy or its appropriate use. At least one delay must originate before the age of three in order to identify an individual with the diagnosis of Autism Disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2000; National Research Council, 2001).

*Rhett’s Disorder*

Individuals diagnosed with Rhett’s Disorder present normal prenatal and perinatal development with no apparent cause for concern during the first few months of life (Batshaw, Pellegrino, & Roizen, 2007). Through the first five months, there appears to be normal psychomotor development and brain growth demonstrated by measurement of normal head circumference. Somewhere between the ages of five months and forty-eight months, head
circumference begins to decrease. The child loses acquired hand skills between the ages of five months and thirty months, and repetitive hand movements, such as hand-wringing, become apparent. Individuals with Rhett’s Disorder also display severe psychomotor retardation, e.g. motor tics, loss of ability, or an inability to suck, drink, or turn side to side. These individuals appear to have poorly coordinated gait or trunk movements. Children with Rhett’s also have severely impaired expressive and receptive language development. They also display a loss of social engagement, which may or may not improve as they grow older (American Psychological Association, 2000).

**Childhood Disintegrative Disorder**

For at least the first two years of life, individuals diagnosed with Childhood Disintegrative Disorder (CDD) experience normal development in verbal and nonverbal communication, social relationships, as well as play and adaptive behavior. It is between the ages of two and a half and ten that CDD becomes apparent with a significant loss in at least two of the following areas: expressive or receptive language, social skills or adaptive behavior, bowel or bladder control, and play and/or motor skills (American Psychological Association, 2000; Hendry, 2000).

**Asperger’s Disorder**

Asperger’s Disorder is hallmarked by a qualitative impairment in social interaction and behavior. For diagnosis, an individual must display at least two of the following symptoms: limited eye contact, facial expression, body postures or gestures; lack of peer relationships; lack of spontaneous sharing of emotions, achievements, or objects; and lack of social or emotional reciprocity. Asperger’s Disorder is also characterized by repetitive and stereotypical patterns of behavior that consist of at least one of the following: abnormal preoccupation with a person, item
or topic; strict adherence to patterns, rituals, or routine; repetitive mannerisms such as hand flapping or twisting; and intense preoccupation with parts of objects. Since there is no impairment in language, adaptive behavior or cognitive functioning, individuals with Asperger’s Disorder must show social and behavioral impairments that significantly impact normal functionality in order to be diagnosed (American Psychiatric Association, 2000; Szatmari, 2000).

**Pervasive Developmental Delay–Not Otherwise Specified (PDD–NOS)**

Pervasive Developmental Delay–Not Otherwise Specified is a category under the umbrella of PDD, but symptomology lies outside the parameters for diagnosis set out in the other four categories. Individuals with PDD–NOS have severe and pervasive impairment in the development of reciprocal social interaction and verbal and nonverbal communication, as well as stereotypical behaviors, interests, and activities. “Atypical Autism,” a type of Autism that does not meet the criteria for Autism Disorder due to late onset or atypical symptomatology, is included in this category (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

**Incidence and Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder**

The Center for Disease Control (CDC) defines incidence as the number of new cases of disease in a defined group of people over a specified time period. Prevalence, however, is defined as the number of existing disease cases in a defined group of people over a specified period of time. In the case of ASD, incidence is very difficult to establish because the exact time a person develops an ASD is hard to pinpoint. On the other hand, public health professionals use prevalence measures to track a condition over time and plan responses at local, regional, and national levels. The CDC Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring (ADD) Network released data in 2007 indicating that the ratio of eight-year-old children, in multiple areas of the United States, that were diagnosed with an ASD was about one in one hundred and fifty. Results
from the ADDM network showed the prevalence of ASD, among states participating in the project, to be 6.7 per 1000 children in 2000 (6 sites) and 6.6 per 1000 in 2002 (14 sites), or approximately one in one hundred and fifty children. Most sites identified between 5.2 and 7.6 per 1000 eight-year olds with ASD in 2000 and 2002. Since the ADDM sites do not indicate a nationally representative sample, the prevalence estimates should not be generalized to every community in the United States. Although accurate for the areas studied, rates could be higher or lower elsewhere. For example, in Brick Township, New Jersey, school officials reported a 900% increase in the number of school aged children diagnosed with Autism (Sack-Min, 2008). Nevertheless, such prevalence estimates, for planning and identification purposes, can help communities project how many children may be affected. Estimates can also be useful in the provision of more appropriate interventions for children with an ASD (Center for Disease Control, 2008).

Annually, on the first of December, the U.S. Department of Education mandates that every school system submit a “child count” of children with disabilities as part of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The data collected are stratified by age, state, disability, and category. According to Fighting Autism (2005), the incidence rate from 1992 to 2003 for individuals ages six to twenty-one years has increased 947%. In 1992, there were 126 individuals with Autism, ages 6–21 years, reported by the state of Alabama for child count; by 2003, that number had increased to 1,319. In the fifty states, DC, and Puerto Rico, the overall number of individuals with Autism in 1992 was 15,558. By 2003, that number had increased to 140,920, an 806% increase.

The Autism Society of America (2008) reported the incidence of Autism to be one in 10,000 births in 1992; by 2005, the incidence was reported to be one in 166. It is estimated that
there will be four million individuals with ASD in the next decade (Autism Society of America). In Autism, Advocates, and Law Enforcement Professionals (2002), Debbaudt asserts that without respect to racial or ethnic background, on a global basis, there are already twelve million individuals with Autism and the number is growing.

Diagnosis of Pervasive Developmental Disorders

First Signs, Inc. is a national non-profit organization dedicated to educating parents and pediatric professionals about the early warning signs of Autism and other developmental disorders. Founded in 1988, First Signs, Inc. utilized policy statements issued by the American Academy of Neurology, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and the National Research Council to create a model for disseminating information about early warning signs, the need for routine screening and treatment options. First Signs, Inc. is endorsed by 13 professional medical groups, including multiple chapters of the American Academy of Pediatrics. According to First Signs, Inc. (2008), clinically, there are few “absolute indicators” that dictate childhood evaluation, but there are signs and symptoms that parents and family practitioners should know. As early as six months, infants should begin displaying big smiles or other joyful expressions. By nine months of age, they should begin to exchange sounds, smiles, and other facial expressions. Twelve-month old infants should begin to use reciprocal gestures such as pointing, showing, reaching and waving. As language begins to develop, families and physicians should be concerned if babbling does not appear by twelve months, simple words by 16 months, and two-word phrases by 24 months. An additional concern would be the loss of babbling, speech, or social skills at any age. Regression should be monitored very carefully by the appropriate professional. Once these symptoms appear, the child is often referred for diagnostic testing.
Diagnostic tests and other clinical evaluations help to determine the nature, scope, and intensity of the child’s abilities and deficits.

The National Research Council (2001) recommends that all testing should be viewed through a developmental perspective. The first step in the diagnostic evaluation is a structured developmental interview. This interview is designed to detect a lack of developmental milestones in communication and social interaction while also noting repetitive or restrictive interests and/or behaviors. The second portion of the evaluative process is the ADOS, The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (Lord et al., 2002). This tool is a semi-structured assessment designed to allow an examiner to observe the occurrence or non-occurrence of behaviors identified as important to the diagnosis of Autism, or other pervasive developmental disorders that span developmental levels and chronological ages. Planned social occasions, referred to as “presses” are created to stimulate the appearance of a particular type of behavior and to give the examiner an opportunity to assess communication, social interaction, and play or the imaginative use of materials. Using a diagnostic algorithm, overall ratings are computed to formulate a diagnosis. In short, the goal of the ADOS is to provide standardized contexts in which to observe the social/communicative behaviors of individuals across the life span in order to aid in the diagnosis of Autism and other Pervasive Developmental Disorders.

The ADOS (Lord et al., 2002) consists of four modules, each of which is appropriate for children and adults at different levels of development and language, from young children with no expressive or receptive language to verbally fluent adults. Module one consists of ten activities with 29 accompanying ratings; it is designed for use with children who have “pre-verbal or single word speech.” For most activities in this module the focus is on the playful use of toys or other materials. Module two is designed for use with children who have “phrase speech.”
Phrase speech is defined as regular production of non-echoed phrases made up of three independent units. Module three is designed for use with children who have “fluent” language skills. This module has four specific goals: (1) to observe spontaneous social-communicative behavior given a situation that provides a press to communicate or interact; (2) to assess the ability to behave appropriately, given the demands of particular situations (e.g. interaction with the examiner, pretend play, anticipation); (3) to evaluate creativity and conversation style; and (4) to provide a standard context for the collection of language and repetitive/stereotypic behavior samples. Module four is designed for use with verbally fluent adolescents and adults. The activities combine unstructured conversation with a series of structured situations and interview questions that offer a variety of activities for particular kinds of social and communicative behaviors. This module has four specific goals: (1) to observe spontaneous social-communicative behavior given a situation that provides a press to communicate or interact; (2) to assess the individual’s ability to behave appropriately, given the demands of particular situations (e.g. telling a story, teaching a task); (3) to evaluate the individual’s creativity and conversation style; and (4) to provide a standard context for the collection of language and repetitive/stereotypic behavior samples (Lord et al., 2002).
Table 2

ADOS Modules and Target Audience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Language Level</th>
<th>Number of Activities</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>Pre-Verbal or Single Words</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Phrase Speech</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>Fluent Speech</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Child/Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>Fluent Speech</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Adolescent/Adult</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the ADOS in conjunction with a structured interview, the diagnostician will compare obtained scores and milestones to the criteria of the DSM-IV-TR. A potential first step to educating law enforcement about Autism is to increase awareness about characteristics that are inherent to the disability.

Mental Retardation

Historical references to mental retardation can be found throughout the literature. The plight of those who are believed to be “defective” has been and still is dependent on the customs and beliefs of the culture. For example, in ancient Greece, in the city state of Sparta, neonates were examined by a group of elders. Those infants found to be “defective” were killed. Similarly in the Roman Empire, individuals with disabilities, including children, were frequently sold to be used as entertainment or as amusement (Ainsworth & Baker, 2004). During the Middle Ages, more humane forms of treatment began to appear with the formation of “foundling homes” and a decrease in infanticide. In the early 12th century, Henry II of England supported a law that designated those with mental retardation as wards of the state, thereby extending a level of protection to them (Ainsworth & Baker).
A cornerstone in the history of those with mental retardation is the work of Jean-Marc-Gaspard Itard. In 1800, Itard was hired to work with the “wild boy of Aveyron,” a child who had lived his early life in primitive conditions with a pack of dogs in the woods of south France. Later named Victor, the boy was found to be both deaf and mute. After 5 years of training, Victor continued to have difficulties with language and social interaction, but had acquired more skills and knowledge than any of Itard’s colleagues expected (Ainsworth & Baker, 2004).

In 1876 the Association of Medical Officers of American Institutions for Idiotic and Feeble Minded Person, later known as the American Association of Mental Retardation (AAMR), was formed by Edouard Seguin to support those individuals who at the time were considered to be “idiots, morons, or feeble minded.” Recognizing that “retarded” held a pejorative connotation, the association opted to change their name in 2006 to the American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD, 2008).

The term “mental retardation” is defined by the DSM-IV-TR and has three specific criteria defined as “significantly sub-average general intellectual functioning (criterion A) that is accompanied by significant limitations in adaptive functioning in at least two of the following skill areas: communication, self-care, home living, social/interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health and safety (criterion B) (Batshaw, Shapiro & Farber, 2007) and onset must occur before age 18 years (criterion C)” (American Psychological Association, 2000). Ainsworth and Baker (2004) defined mental retardation as “a syndrome of delay or disordered brain development evident before age 18 that results in difficulty learning information and skills needed to adapt quickly and adequately to environmental changes.”
Diagnostic Criteria

According to the DSM-IV-TR (2000), the diagnostic criteria for the category of Mental Retardation does not include an exclusion criterion; therefore the diagnosis is made whenever the diagnostic criteria are met, regardless of the presence of another disability. Table 3 presents the criteria for diagnosis.

Table 3

Diagnostic Criteria for Mental Retardation (APA, 2000)

A. Significantly sub-average intellectual functioning: an IQ of approximately 70 or below on an individually administered IQ test (for infants, a clinical judgment of significantly sub-average intellectual functioning).

B. Concurrent deficits or impairments in present adaptive functioning (i.e., the person’s effectiveness in meeting the standard expected for his or her age by his or her cultural group) in at least two of the following areas: communication, self-care, home living, social/interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self-direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health, and safety.

C. The onset is before age 18 years.

Note. From the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed.) by the American Psychiatric Association, 2000.

More than one possible cause is suggested in more than 50% of cases (Ainsworth & Baker, 2004). Mental retardation, like many disorders, cuts across racial, ethnic, educational, cultural, social, religious, and economic backgrounds. While this is true, some subsets of mental
retardation are linked to genetic factors, prenatal influence and environmental factors following birth. For 75% of children with mild symptoms and 30–40% of those with severe symptoms, no specific cause is apparent (Ainsworth & Baker).

Sub-average general intellectual functioning is defined by an intelligence quotient (IQ) of 70 or below (APA, 2006). This score is two standard deviations below the mean of 100. The IQ score must be obtained by assessment with one or more of the standardized, individually administered intelligence tests. It is recognized that there is a measurement error of approximately five points in assessing IQ, although this amount may vary from instrument to instrument (APA, 2006). The DSM-IV-TR outlines four degrees of severity that reflect the level of intellectual impairment: Mild, Moderate, Severe and Profound. These degrees of severity and their corresponding IQ levels are provided in Table 4.

Table 4

*Degrees of Severity of Mental Retardation*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>IQ Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild Mental Retardation</td>
<td>IQ level 50–55 to approximately 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Mental Retardation</td>
<td>IQ level 35–40 to 50–55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Mental Retardation</td>
<td>IQ level 20–25 to 35–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound Mental Retardation</td>
<td>IQ level below 20–25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* From the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th ed.) by the American Psychiatric Association, 2000.
Adaptive functioning refers to how effectively individuals cope with common life demands and how well they meet the standards of personal independence expected of someone their age (APA, 2000). In many cases, adaptive functioning can improve with skill specific instruction while IQ tends to remain a more stable attribute. Adaptive functioning is typically determined by gathering information from one or more reliable resources such as a parent, guardian or teacher. Information obtained includes medical history, developmental history, educational performance history and current skills. One of the more commonly published scales to obtain adaptive functioning levels is the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales. This scale provides a clinical cutoff score that is a composite of performance in a number of domains.

Prevalence of Mental Retardation

MR affects at least 1.4% of the United States population, or up to 2.5 million people (Ainsworth & Baker, 2004). Life expectancy for an individual with mental retardation is typically correlated with the severity of retardation. The death rate for children and adolescents under 19 years of age who have moderate MR is twice that of their peers without MR. Death rates for individuals with severe MR are even higher, approaching 7 to 31 times greater than their peers without MR (Ainsworth & Baker, 2004). However, with improved medical care, individuals with all forms of mental retardation who live through the critical first year are living longer lives. Ainsworth and Baker found that in 1998 there were 600,000 children ages 6–21 diagnosed with mental retardation in the nation’s schools. This figure did not include any children that were counted in another category of disability even though they may also have a diagnosis of mental retardation.
Mental Illness

A review of history shows that individuals with disabilities have traditionally been placed into asylums, state hospitals, group homes, jails or other locations in which the public assumed “care” had been provided. The 1960s and 1970s were known for civil rights movements which encompassed expanded rights, increased social acceptance, fuller integration, and increased funds for programs (Martin, 2001). While the public assumed all movements were positive, those individuals forced out of institutions or state hospitals were faced with little to no assistance and “yo-yo effects” as politicians, parents, professionals and the public at large became locked in a bitter debate (Earley, 2006; Martin, 2001). Some questions arising in these debates included: Where do those who are mentally ill or disabled live? Where do they obtain medicines? Who has to pay for service? Historically, all individuals with disabilities were stereotypically viewed as mentally defective, regardless of category or type of disability.

In 1959, Dr. Birnbaum began studying public policy and mental illness as part of a post doctorate program at Harvard University. Eventually published in the American Bar Association Journal, Dr. Birnbaum’s premise was that patients suffering from mental illness in state hospitals had a constitutional “right to treatment.” At the time, most patients had been locked in state hospitals against their wills. Once placed in these facilities, they were told they could not be released until they demonstrated improvement, yet most state run programs had few medical or psychological programs in place (Earley, 2006). Birnbaum’s “right to treatment” was viewed as revolutionary because patients’ constitutional rights, as defined by the Fifth Amendment, were being denied. As media attention grew, patients began to contact Dr. Birnbaum, requesting that he publicize his views by representing them in court. While Birnbaum was not a lawyer, he agreed to represent Kenneth Donaldson, a mental health patient held in a state hospital despite
his desire to be released. While officials initially considered dismissing the case, they reconsidered when the case became a landmark civil issue (Earley). As the movement continued to grow, other suits were filed under Birnbaum’s “right to treatment” theory.

In 1970, Alabama fired more than 100 employees at a state mental hospital in Tuscaloosa to save money. In an effort to save these jobs, Attorney George Dean filed a lawsuit on behalf of the patients, claiming that the loss of employees deprived patients of their right to treatment. While Alabama officials were initially amused by the suit, Judge Bazelon scheduled the case for trial. The Wyatt v. Stickney case grew into the country’s first major civil rights battle for those with mental illness.

*Wyatt v. Stickney*

In 1970, Bryce State Hospital in Tuscaloosa, AL, housed 5,200 patients. Montgomery Advertiser editor and publisher, Hal Martin, equated the living conditions to a “Nazi concentration camp” (ADAP, 2004). After losing 100 licensed professionals such as psychologists, occupational therapists and nurses, conditions worsened. After the lay-offs, there was one physician for every 350 patients, one nurse for every 250 patients and one psychiatrist for every 1,700 patients.

The Department of Mental Health and Mental Retardation asserted that they had the authority to hire and fire personnel as needed. While Judge Johnson agreed, he believed that a federal question existed regarding the minimum standards required for treatment of those who were involuntarily committed. In testimony, then 15-year old Ricky, a juvenile delinquent sentenced to Bryce as a punishment, stated that he “slept on wet floors and was locked in a cell-type room with the only light coming from the slats in the door.”
Building on Morton Birnbaum’s 1960 publication “The Right to Treatment,” Judge Johnson ruled on March 12, 1971, that there can be no legal justification for the State of Alabama’s failing to afford treatment and adequate treatment from a medical standpoint to the several thousand patients who have been civilly committed to Bryce for treatment purposes. To deprive any citizen of his or her liberty upon altruistic theory that the confinement is for humane therapeutic reasons and then fail to provide adequate treatment violates the very fundamentals of due process. (ADAP, 2004)

Providing the state with six months to establish a ‘right to treatment” plan, Judge Johnson stipulated that objective measures, such as number of professionals per patient and the creation of formal treatment plans, must be implemented. Despite the six month window to improve conditions, Bryce and the state failed to meet Johnson’s criteria.

As a result of the Wyatt v. Stickney case, those with mental illness were now entitled to treatment; they were also afforded the right to refuse treatment. The question arose: If a state couldn’t place an individual into medical care, and a family could not make treatment decisions for those of legal age, at what point could someone intervene? Patients who were too unstable to make treatment decisions were allowed to wander the streets. Often delusional, those with mental illness began coming into contact with law enforcement. While there are a number of disorders included under the much larger umbrella of mental illness, again this dissertation will focus on schizophrenia and bi-polar, which Early (2006) found to be the most commonly identified mental disorders of incarcerated individuals.
Schizophrenia

Schizophrenia is a psychiatric diagnosis that describes a mental disorder characterized by abnormalities in the perception or expression of reality (APA, 2000). It most commonly manifests as auditory hallucinations, paranoid or bizarre delusions, or disorganized speech and thinking with significant social or occupational dysfunction. Schizophrenia is one of nine disorders (Schizophrenia, Schizophreniform Disorder, Schizoaffective Disorder, Delusional Disorder, Brief Psychotic Disorder, Shared Psychotic Disorder, Psychotic Disorder due to General Medical Condition, Substance Induced Psychotic Disorder, and Psychotic Disorder Not Otherwise Specified) included under the heading of Schizophrenia and Psychotic Disorders. While these disorders have been included under the same heading and may present with similar psychotic symptoms, the symptoms are not considered to be the fundamental feature of the disorder nor do the disorders in this category necessarily have the same etiology (APA, 2000).

The DSM-IV-TR (2000) outlines the essential features of schizophrenia as a “mixture of characteristic signs and symptoms that dominate for the predominance of a one month period with a significant portion of the signs and symptoms persisting at least 6 months.” The signs and symptoms are associated with clear social and/or occupational dysfunction. The characteristic symptoms of schizophrenia are a range of cognitive and emotional dysfunctions that include perception, language and communication, inferential thinking, behavioral monitoring, affect, fluency and productivity of thought and speech, hedonic capacity, volition and drive and attention.

Bi-Polar Disorder

Bipolar disorder is a psychiatric diagnosis that describes a category of mood disorders defined by the presence of one or more episodes of abnormally elevated mood clinically referred
to as mania or, if milder, hypomania (Robb & Reber, 2007). Individuals who experience manic episodes also commonly experience depressive episodes or symptoms, or mixed episodes in which features of both mania and depression are present at the same time. These episodes are usually separated by periods of “normal” mood, but in some individuals, depression and mania may rapidly alternate, known as rapid cycling. The dictionary defines mood as “predominant feeling” but psychiatrists look at mood as the “state of optimism or pessimism, the feelings of contentedness or dissatisfaction, physical feelings such as how fatigued or robust one feels” (Mondimore, 1999). Extreme manic episodes can sometimes lead to psychotic symptoms such as delusions and hallucinations. The disorder has been subdivided into Bipolar I, Bipolar II, Cyclothymia and other types, based on the nature and severity of mood episodes experienced; the range is often described as the bipolar spectrum (APA, 2000).

Bipolar Disorder is considered a “chameleon” of psychiatric disorders, changing symptoms from one patient to the next and from one episode to the next even within the same patient. While depression and mania have been recorded in history and literature by Greeks and Persian physicians, several of whom believed the two conditions were linked, it was not until the early twentieth century that the German psychiatrist Emil Kraepelin presented that depression and mania could be linked in the same disorder. He coined the disorder “manic-depressive insanity” (Mondimore, 1999).

**Mania**

The manic state is the most extreme and dramatic symptom of the symptom cluster. In the manic state, the individual’s mood switches into “high.” This euphoric feeling usually starts gradually and may take up to two weeks to fully develop. As this euphoric feeling intensifies, the symptoms and behaviors increasingly become more unpleasant and more pathological. This
state is considered the most dangerous and the most abnormal mood associated with mood disorders (Mondimore, 1999).

In this manic state, individuals begin to feel a false sense of heightened intellect and awareness. During this period, individuals can have grandiose delusions and hallucinations. Racing thoughts and pressured speech are common. Table 5 presents a list of moods and bodily symptoms most often associated with the state of mania (Mondimore, 1999). Often these behaviors lead to increased writing, which is not always decipherable after the episode. The manic state is also associated with a feeling of exuberance and overconfidence that manifests itself in the form of spending sprees, sexual promiscuity, overuse of alcohol and other intoxicating substances (Earley, 2006; Mondimore, 1999).

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms of Mania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mood Symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable mood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elated, euphoric mood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandiosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive Symptoms</th>
<th>Symptoms of Psychosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of heightened concentration</td>
<td>Grandiose delusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated thinking</td>
<td>Hallucinations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depression

The depressive state felt by those diagnosed with bipolar disorder is different than the depressed mood typically felt by others. Individuals who suffer some type of loss or sadness feel “sad,” but retain normal reactivity to mood (Mondimore, 1999). This reactivity to mood is what enables individuals to feel sadness at a funeral, yet once they leave, have the ability to dispel feelings of bereavement, isolation, or disappointment. Individuals diagnosed with bipolar and in a depressive state are unable to alter their thoughts. Their thinking can become dominated by a sense of grief, loss, hopelessness or guilt. Feelings of inadequacy and worthlessness are especially common while in the depressive state. Individuals who are depressed are unable to locate or engage in pleasurable activities and this inability to feel pleasure is referred to as anhedonia. Table 6 presents a list of moods and bodily symptoms most often associated with the state of depression (Mondimore, 1999).

Table 6

Symptoms of Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mood Symptoms</th>
<th>Bodily Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressed mood</td>
<td>Sleep disturbances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphoric mood</td>
<td>Appetite disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diurnal variation of mood</td>
<td>Loss of interest in sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilty feelings</td>
<td>Fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhedonia</td>
<td>Constipation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social withdrawal</td>
<td>Headaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal thoughts</td>
<td>Worsening of painful conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Symptoms</td>
<td>Symptoms of Psychosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor concentration</td>
<td>Delusional thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor memory</td>
<td>Hallucinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slowed thinking</td>
<td>Catatonic state</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Prevalence of Bi-Polar Disorder**

Mondimore (1999) estimated that 2% of the general population suffered from some form of bipolar disorder. A survey of patients with bi-polar disorder and other mood disorders completed by the National Depressive and Manic-Depressive Association in the early 1990’s found that 36% of those who responded to the questionnaire did not seek professional treatment until more than ten years after their symptoms began (Mondimore, 1999). The same questionnaire also found that patients had to see an average of 3.3 physicians prior to obtaining an accurate diagnosis and 73% had received at least one inaccurate diagnosis. The DSM-IV-TR (2000) indicates the average age of onset to be 20 years for both men and women. Bipolar I Disorder is a recurrent disorder with more than 90% of individuals who have one manic episode going on to have future episodes. Between 60–70% of manic episodes occur immediately following a previous manic episode.

First-degree biological relatives of individuals with Bipolar I Disorder have higher occurrence rates of the disorder. It has also been found that those with a first-degree relative are more likely to have an earlier age onset (APA, 2000). Like many serious mental illnesses,
bipolar affects not only the individual diagnosed with the disease but family, friends, and colleagues as well. Mondimore’s research showed that about 15% of those with bipolar disorder successfully commit suicide

Disabilities and Law Enforcement

In 1955, at the peak of institutionalization there were 559,000 individuals confined to state mental hospitals. After the deinstitualization movement, this number was down to fewer than 80,000 in 1999 (Kupers, 1999). Individuals with developmental disabilities and mental illness were increasingly more likely to come into contact with law enforcement officers because they are more likely to display behaviors deemed inappropriate or unusual. No longer institutionalized and in view of the public, unusual behavior often drew the attention of other citizens (Wells & Schafer, 2006). Provided with few options, law enforcement officers are often faced with informal responses such as releasing the individual, taking them into custody or attempting to locate mental health assistance. The following sections will review the structure of law enforcement and recruitment of personnel.

History and Structure of Law Enforcement

The concept of policing is barely two centuries old and became formalized with the rise of urbanization and industrialization in the Western world (Hagan, 2008). Predecessors in England were originally citizen groups that policed themselves. Later, a system of elected constables and sheriffs was created to enforce laws. The Metropolitan Police Act of England, passed in 1829, created the first salaried police to patrol London. They were known as “Bobbies”, nicknamed for their creator, Robert Peel (Real Police, 2007). In the United States, Boston began its police department in 1838, followed by New York City in 1845. New York set the foundation for the modern police department by combining day and night watchmen under
the control of a single police chief. Other municipalities such as Baltimore, Chicago, Cincinnati, and New Orleans quickly followed. As a result of the California Gold Rush in 1848, some of the first federal police agencies were created. Some of the first were the Postal Inspectors, Border Patrol, the Secret Service, and what would later become the Federal Bureau of Investigation (Hagan, 2008).

The original police were heavily influenced by politics and the political “machine;” police positions were often obtained through appointment by political bosses (Hagan, 2008). The first anti-corruption measure, the Pendleton Act of 1883, focused on nepotism while increasing job security, but was not enforced until 1900. By 1902, professionalism became the focus and the Internal Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) was formed (Hagan, 2008). Its first president, Richard Sylvester, chief of the Washington DC Police Department, was regarded as the father of police professionalism (Real Police, 2007). Sylvester advocated a citizen-soldier model and was responsible for many paramilitary aspects of policing. August Vollmer, Chief of the Berkley Police Department, would later become known as the patriarch of police professionalism. By 1918, he advocated for a scientific crime fighter model, and was responsible for introducing America to stop lights, police car radios, crime laboratories, lie detectors, fingerprint repositories, and uniform crime reporting (Gaines & Miller, 2006). Vollmer also created the “college cop” movement in which he advocated for the education of every police officer, preferably to the level of Bachelor’s Degree. The movement was cut short by the demand for returning World War II veterans to be given hiring preference over those with better educational qualifications. Overall, Vollmer advocated for intelligent, hardworking individuals. One study, using the intelligence testing available at the time, found that police in the city of Detroit scored an average of 55 on intelligence testing, while Vollmer’s department
scored an average of 147. Vollmer supported the policewoman movement as well, specifically because he believed women to be of higher intelligence. To his credit, Vollmer also hired the first African-American and advocated for equality and promotion within the department (Real Police, 2007).

Vollmer helped to create the first real “criminology” department at the University of California (UC) Berkley, and later served there as Dean of the school. UC Berkley’s curriculum focused on public speaking, sociology, psychology, abnormal psychology, and statistics (Hagan, 2008). As part of his work, Vollmer advocated for standardized training and modernization of law enforcement. Herbert Hoover’s appointment of the National Commission on Law Observance and Enforcement resulted in the Wickersham Report. Named after its chairman, George Wickersham, the report focused on two areas of law enforcement that needed reform: police brutality and the corruptive influence of politics (Gaines & Miller, 2006). Vollmer found that the report echoed many of his opinions and he subsequently presented the first set of baseline standards for police accreditation as follows:

- Personnel standards — removal of employees, even the chief, “for cause”
- Communication and records — modern systems based on the Berkley model
- Salary and benefits schedule — fair schedule of pay and promotion by grade
- Separate units — for crimes involving juveniles and vice
- State information bureaus — crime data collection and analysis centers
- Training academies — creation of regional academies (Real Police, 2007).

Under the professional model of policing, chiefs who had little power over their departments began to take more control. They reorganized departments and created midlevel positions, known as assistant chiefs or majors, who were able to assist in the closer supervision
of individual officers. Police chiefs also asserted greater power by bringing large areas of the city under their control, thus limiting the power of the local politicians. With the reorganization of police departments, the creation of special units such as criminal investigation and traffic squads took jurisdiction-wide power. This cross-precinct power served to also decrease the political power of corrupt politicians (Hagan, 2008).

There are approximately 17,000 law enforcement agencies in the United States, employing about 750,000 officers (Hagan, 2008). Most policing is done by state and local agencies rather than federal agencies. Police agencies include local police, sheriffs’ departments, and state police, as well as 50 federal law enforcement agencies. Department size varies according to the size of the municipality; the vast majority of police work occurs in small town departments with fewer than 30 officers, but cities such as New York boast over 38,000 in uniform (Hagan, 2008).

Local police departments run by municipalities are the most common law enforcement agencies; yet, local policing may also be done by sheriffs’ departments and specialty units such as airport or campus police. State law and local ordinances are enforced by local police while sheriffs’ units operate on a county level and enforce laws in areas not covered by the municipal police departments. Sheriffs more commonly operate county jails, serve court papers, and maintain order in courtrooms (Hagan, 2008). State police enforce state laws exclusively. There are approximately 80,000 fulltime state police employees, of which 70% are sworn officers (Albanese, 2005).

All state police are involved in highway traffic enforcement; yet, half are also involved in investigative work. There are 50 federal law enforcement agencies that employ approximately
88,000 federal agents, most of whom perform investigative functions. The two largest federal agencies are Homeland Security and the Department of Justice (Albanese, 2005).

Currently, there are three styles of policing or approaches to management. Identified in 1968 by James Q. Wilson, they are the watchman style, the legalistic style, and the service style (Hagan, 2008). The watchman style is a preventative approach and emphasizes the maintenance of order. In an effort to maintain public order, police use discretion to prevent disorder. To dissipate the causative factors vastly reduces the risk of public disturbance and the necessity for further intervention. For example, police may disperse noisy youth that have gathered on a street corner, negotiate neighborhood disputes, or detain and question suspicious persons. Minor law infractions might be ignored and disputes settled informally in the interest of preventing major disruptions. Watchman style departments tend to be common in large, older cities with large populations of poor or minorities. This style tends also to be more common in localities where politics still often control policing.

The legalistic style of management focuses on violations of the law and becomes more reactive than proactive; crime-fighting is their hallmark. Police have the power and can arrest, detain, issue citations, search, and collect evidence of a crime. In this style, officers exercise discretion; believing that they cannot enforce every law to the letter, their decisions are influenced by factors such as the seriousness of the crime, victim preferences for arrest, demeanor, race, ethnicity, and social class. This type of policing is found in newer and more affluent communities. The focus is on “professional policing,” enforcing the vice laws, and emphasizes arrest (Hagan, 2008).

The third management style is service. Departments using this approach emphasize officers as problem-solvers who address social difficulties and neighborhood concerns. Much of
the officer’s time is spent involved in service projects such as providing directions, finding lost children, referring individuals to social service agencies, and transporting persons to the hospital. This style is most often found in small communities where the crime rate is so low that the officers have the luxury of pursuing local concerns in the attempt to generally improve the quality of life for everyone. This type of department believes that community relations are critical to good policing and solicits citizen involvement (Hagan, 2008).

While these three styles of policing are the most common, a new paradigm of community policing is beginning to emerge. Community policing reflects a philosophy that is foundationally good common sense; the police and the community should work in tandem for the good of the group. Many believe that community policing will:

- Strengthen the capacity of communities to resist and prevent crime and social disorder
- Create a more harmonious relationship between the police and the public, including some power sharing with respect to police policies and tactical priorities
- Restructure police service delivery by linking it with other municipal services
- Reform the police organizational model by creating larger and more complex roles for individual officers (Skinner, 2006, p. 12)

This new style of policing is geared toward producing more committed and empowered police officers who will support the community as peacekeepers with an emphasis on prevention (Ellison, 2006). Officers involved in community policing are instructed to spend designated quantities of time patrolling their areas on bicycles or on foot, interacting with the public and reinforcing their visibility. Many officers and departments have difficulty shifting from the traditional views of policing that focus on solving crimes, apprehending, and processing
offenders with little citizen involvement. Tradition views police officers as neutral, authoritative, detached professionals who respond to crime and “catch the bad guys” (FBI Law Bulletin, 2006, p. 12).

While many departments are now moving toward the community policing philosophy, some critics are quick to point out that this philosophy tends to be more successful in areas that are made up of homogeneous, low-crime, middle-class neighborhoods (Ellison, 2006). Communities that are more likely to be high-crime areas lack the open discussion between citizens and police, as well as the invitation for interaction that is essential for successful community policing. Without community support, policing necessarily reverts to a more authoritative style (Ellison, 2006).

*Responsibilities of Law Enforcement*

The goal of public law enforcement is to stop crime; but, stopping crime is not the only duty of a police officer. According to Gaines and Miller (2006), four basic responsibilities inherent in law enforcement are enumerated as follows: enforce the law, provide services, prevent crime, and preserve the peace. Commitment to these responsibilities serves as a basis for the police motto, “serve and protect” and is also inherent in the first line of the Law Enforcement Code of Ethics, “serve the community.” Besides catching criminals, many officers spend a great deal of time responding to noise complaints, confiscating firecrackers, directing traffic, locating lost children, and completing paperwork (Gaines & Miller). Police officers, along with firefighters, are often the first emergency responders to arrive at the scene of a disaster. For example, when the World Trade Centers were attacked, New York City (NYC) police officers were immediately dispatched, and seventy-one subsequently lost their lives in the search for victims. The general public has the luxury of attending to their own business in public, but a
police officer’s business is to remain ever alert to the out-of-ordinary, the suspicious, or the overtly threatening persons or events surrounding him and the people who depend on him or her for their protection. Whenever an officer can, he or she must thwart crime before it happens and save lives before they are even threatened. Those that choose to become law enforcement officers understand the life-long commitment to continued training and an occupation that can be complicated and demanding.

*Law Enforcement Recruitment*

While policies and procedures for recruiting law enforcement officers change from one department to another, basic requirements are: (a) one must be a United States citizen, (b) free from felony conviction, (c) in possession of, or be eligible for, a driver’s license in the state where the department is located, and (d) be age twenty-one or older (Hagan, 2008). Beyond these basics, most departments also require an extensive background check; drug testing; review of educational, military, and driving records; credit checks; interviews with spouses, acquaintances, previous employers; Federal Bureau of Investigation search; and polygraph test. Each year, as many as 20% of the approximately 70,000 applicants are rejected because the applicant lied during the rigorous screening process. Many departments also maintain guidelines for physical attributes that must be achieved and maintained, such as the ability to pass a physical fitness or agility test. The U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics (2008), reported that there are 1,076,897 full-time personnel in law enforcement as of September 2004. This number is 5.6% higher than the 1,019,496 employed in 2000. As of June 2003, local police departments had 580,749 full-time employees including 451,737 sworn personnel (US Department of Labor, 2007).
These recruitment procedures and guidelines have not always been in place, and some are newer than one might imagine. Police recruitment policies began in 1829 when the Metropolitan Police of London began hiring officers; only in the last forty years have these procedures begun to change. In an effort to diversify police rolls and departments, most police forces have implemented new tests, screens, and procedures to attract and choose candidates. When August Vollmer began promoting the need for higher education requirements in the 1920s, few officers had attended college. By the 1990s, 65% of officers had some college credits and 25% were college graduates. Today, 83% of all departments require a high school diploma and 8% require at least a two-year college degree. College or university degrees are typically seen as an advantage when considered for hiring or promotions (Gaines & Miller, 2006). Aside from the changes in educational requirements, societal expectations and demands have afforded minorities and women equal access to jobs in law enforcement.

In 1964, the typical officer was a Caucasian male, with minority races and females vastly under-represented. In 1964, the Civil Rights Act, along with the accompanying 1972 amendments, started to open some doors. The Equal Employment Opportunity Act held departments liable for the recruitment and hiring of minorities and women, though long-standing politics slowed the progress. Finally, in 1968, the city of Indianapolis put two female patrol officers on the force. During the 1990s, the number of women in law enforcement grew only by 5.3%. But 2003 and 2004 actually saw four of the nation’s largest cities — Boston, Detroit, Milwaukee, and San Francisco — name women to the post of Chief of Police. From 1987 to 2003, minority representation among local police officers increased from 14.6% to 23.6%. Minorities accounted for 18.8% of sheriffs’ offices in 2003 compared to 13.4% in 1987 (Hagan,
As shifts in personnel demographics change across the nation, each state is responsible for creating adequate training that seeks to include a diverse body of officers.

Law Enforcement Training

Law enforcement officers are the “front line” in controlling crime. Officers are responsible for keeping the peace, apprehending violators, combating crime, preventing crime and providing social services. As discussed in the previous section, individuals desiring a career in law enforcement must first apply and be accepted into a state training program. While each state in our nation has distinctive and separate training, a review of publicly available information on the World Wide Web revealed common themes among each training curriculum.

Those participating in law enforcement academies are often taught curricula containing the following topics: academics, driving, firearms, human relations, law and physical training. While these themes remain common among the states, the content of each topic varies greatly. This dissertation study will review the content of each state’s curriculum standard as set forth by the POSTC.

Alabama Law Enforcement Training

Every state requires that police recruits complete two components of training, one in the police academy and one in the field. Alabama’s commitment to training began in 1935 when the original 75 members of the highway patrol were employed. Over a ten-day period of time, at the Gay Teague Hotel in Montgomery, these men received training in criminal law, first aid, motorcycle operation, and basic firearms. After this initial group was trained, there was a brief period of time in which training was offered on the Maxwell Air Force Base at no expense to the trainees. It was in this location that the first formal session of the Alabama Police Academy was conducted in 1954 (APOSTC, 2008).
Today, police officers are trained at one of seven academies located around the state: the Huntsville Police Academy, the Birmingham Police Academy, the Mobile Police Academy, the Montgomery Police Academy, the Northeast Police Academy, the Southwest Police Academy, and the APOSTC Law Enforcement Academy in Tuscaloosa. Sheriffs are trained at the Jefferson County Law Enforcement Academy and state troopers access their final training at the Alabama Criminal Justice Training Center (APOSTC, 2008). In communication with the director of Alabama’s POSTC, he was made aware of this study and the use of state materials in the dissertation.

Every officer in the state of Alabama must be educated according to the guidelines set forth by the APOSTC procedures, rules, and regulations. Effective June, 2007, the APOSTC outlined a course of study that consisted of thirteen categories and 480 hours of course instruction. These thirteen categories are presented in Table 7. A complete listing of all topics covered can be found in Appendix A.

Table 7

*Topics of Curriculum for Law Enforcement in Alabama*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curriculum Topic</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to Law Enforcement</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Topics</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Investigations</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Procedures and Laws of Evidence</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(table continues)
In order to successfully complete the training, a trainee must achieve an overall score of 70% or greater on all written exams, pass the first aid exam with a minimum score of 70%, pass a written legal issue exam with a minimum of 70%, pass the physical agility exam and achieve a minimum score of 70 percent on two of three attempts on the firearms course. If any trainee fails to meet these standards, they are barred from employment as a law enforcement officer for a period of two years after which he or she may reapply (APOSTC, 2008).

Each police academy curriculum must meet the APOSTC minimum standards. Nevertheless, each academy may choose to require its trainees to complete additional hours in order to receive certification. For example, The Mobile Alabama Police Academy requires completion of 900 hours of course and field training prior to certification (Mobile Police Department, 2008). Although the APOSTC mandates the topics to be covered, each academy is responsible for compiling and implementing its own content. Such latitude on the part of the
academies could lead to inconsistencies in the recognition of citizens who fall outside the officers’ realm of expectations. For example, if the characteristics of Autism present themselves in such a way that the police officer interprets them as a sign of guilt, it is not inconceivable that the person with disabilities runs an increased risk of being mistakenly detained.

While conducting research on Autism and police training, the researcher discovered that each police academy and department creates its own training materials. In many instances, individuals from community-based agencies are invited by the police to create and present material about a given topic. In one example, a police academy reported that the training materials were provided by the presenter from a local mental health agency (personal communication, December 15, 2007). In an effort to locate the minimum training standards for Alabama, the Alabama Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (APOSTC) was contacted. The contact person reported that the APOSTC allots four hours to the general topics category “Handling the Emotionally Disturbed” (Appendix B). The lesson plan, originally dated September 12, 1992 and revised on January 1, 2007 set out the three lesson objectives (Appendix C). The first was to equip law enforcement trainees with information needed to recognize mentally disturbed persons encountered in police work. The second was to acquaint the law enforcement trainee with behavioral factors that parallel mental illness, but are not. The last goal was to educate law enforcement trainees on crisis intervention procedures. Based on the available training materials, the commission focus during training is to prepare law enforcement trainees to handle an encounter with individuals with mental illness. As defined by the training materials, a mental illness is a “variety of mind altering disorders which cause abnormal thoughts, feelings, perception, judgment and behaviors” (Handling the Emotionally Disturbed, 2004).
The training material begins with basic mental health statistics, stating facts such as “five in 100 adults have a personality disorder and six in every 100 adults have serious depression.” The presentation contains 80 slides and there are 28 different disorders represented in those slides. Twenty-nine of the slides contain information about social perceptions, suspected causes and treatments. Eight of the slides address the recognition of mental illness and give tips on how to “deal with an angry person” and de-escalate a situation. A vast majority of the disorders are presented in a single slide with up to six bullet points each; however, the majority of the listings have only one to three bullet points of generalized information. For example, a slide entitled Mental Retardation (MR) contains two bullets: (1) “People with mental retardation have a below normal mental development.” (2) “Often due to a brain condition that was present at birth.” Three of the slides contain no information other than titles of disorders such as “Physical Illness”, “Amnesia and Memory Loss”, and “Mental Disorders in Old Age” (Handling the Emotionally Disturbed, 2004). While the CDC cites Autism as one of the fastest growing developmental disabilities, it is not mentioned in the training material (Appendix B).

Only two studies examining law enforcement training and disabilities are found in the literature (Mcafee & Musso, 1995; Murphy, 1986). Moreover, no federal training standards are in place for states (personal communication, 2008). Therefore, the purpose of this dissertation will be to expand and update the investigation of law enforcement training in the areas of awareness and interaction between law enforcement officers and citizens with disabilities.
METHOD

As stated in the previous chapter, the purpose of this dissertation is to expand and update the current body of knowledge by examining law enforcement training as it relates to individuals with disabilities. In the formulation of this study, the author reviewed the POSTC curriculum for the state of Alabama, as well as relevant literature. McAfee and Musso (1995) reviewed the training curricula from 48 states and concluded that 12 common themes emerged from the combined data. These 12 topics, along with the number and percentage of states providing training in each category, are presented in Table 8.

Table 8

McAfee and Musso: Training Topics Derived from 1995 Study of Curricula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentally Ill/ Mentally Disturbed/ Emotionally Disturbed</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis Intervention</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Relations/Interpersonal Communication</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf/Hearing Impaired/Hard of Hearing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Retardation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disabilities</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referral Agencies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physically Impaired/Wheelchair Users</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly/Alzheimer victims</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind/Visually Impaired</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Disabled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The first column denotes the total number of responding states that included information about the category of disability. The second column denotes the same information based on a percentage calculation.

This current study has chosen to build upon the 1995 findings by examining the manner in which Mental Illness, Mental Retardation, and Pervasive Developmental Disorders are presented in police academy training materials across all responding states. Additionally, the narrowed scope of this study reflects the personal interests of the author as well as recognition of the prevalence of these three disabilities in the population of the United States.

Sample

Within the United States, each state has a governing law enforcement board known as the Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission (POSTC). It is this body that is responsible for establishing the minimum standards of training for law enforcement academies within their
borders, but individual academies can choose to require additional hours and can select the method by which content is delivered. Since there is limited information available in the body of literature on individual police academies, and for uniformity among the states, the governing commissions were selected to provide training curricula for the purposes of this study.

In each of the 50 states, the POSTC has contact information made public on the World Wide Web (See appendix D for the list of contacts). Additional contact information was located on http://www.iadlest.org/, the web page for the International Association of Directors of Law Enforcement Standards and Training (Appendix H).

Procedure

The study began with an attempt to garner information concerning police academy training materials from all fifty states. Because individual academies have latitude in the use and presentation of materials, the author chose to contact each state POSTC to request materials which are mandated in cadet training. Using the publicly available Internet contact list, each POSTC was contacted via email with a letter, submitted on Auburn University letterhead, requesting a copy of all training materials (outlines, Power Points, handouts, lesson plans) pertinent to disabilities (Appendix G). Each contact was asked to provide an outline or listing of all course topics, total number of training hours required, and a breakdown of topics by hours allotted for instruction. Each contact was also provided assurance that privacy would be respected and no dissemination of state materials would be allowed. This letter was formulated by the author and presented to Chief Kenny Cullpepper, Cullman County Chief of Police in the state of Alabama, who reviewed the request for training materials to ensure face validity and clarity. Chief Cullpepper’s signature added relevance to the letter and served as assurance that materials received from the states would be used only for the purposes intended.
After two weeks, there was no response; many of the emails were returned as undeliverable. The same letter, again on University letterhead, was then sent via the United States Postal Service to each contact on the available Internet list. An additional two weeks yielded responses from 5 states. At the suggestion of one respondent, the author accessed the website for the International Association of Directors of Law Enforcement Standards and Training (IDLEST), and then contacted the directors listed via telephone (Appendix H) using the available phone numbers. In some cases, these numbers proved to be a direct line, but in most cases the published number was not to the director, but to the agency. After introduction and a request to speak to the individual responsible for the formulation and/or oversight of police academy curriculum, the author was either transferred to the director or given additional contact numbers. In cases where there was no answer in the director’s office, a phone message was left with the author’s name and number, and short description of the nature of the call. For those who still did not respond within 7 business days, a second phone call was made. In the instances where the author made contact, but was referred to someone else, the same procedures were followed.

When the author made contact with an agency representative able to fulfill the request for academy training curriculum, introduction included the name of the affiliated University and a short description of the nature and purpose of the study. The author requested training materials relevant to disabilities and an academy overview to include course topics and hour requirements. Three of the states requested the email containing the letter as documentation of the study prior to release of the curricula. This email was sent, as requested, within 24 hours. A total of four state curricula were purchased by the author. Academy curricula for two states were purchased from on-line bookstores recommended by the states; one state curriculum was purchased directly
from the POSTC office while another was purchased from the state’s law enforcement website, printed at a selected Kinkos and picked up by the author. The remaining 13 curricula were sent to the author by the POSTC contact.

Data Analysis

In this study, the author analyzed the POSTC curricula for three of the training topics that McAfee and Musso listed among their common themes: (a) Mentally Ill/Mentally Disturbed/Emotionally Disturbed, (b) Mental Retardation, and (c) Developmental Disabilities. While the McAfee and Musso study in 1995 distilled these common topics from materials provided by academies in 48 states, their publication did not provide any definition or detail as to what was contained in each category. Attempts to contact the authors by phone or email for clarification, insights, or additional information were unsuccessful.

In the effort to provide a standard as curricula was being reviewed, the author designed a code list (Appendix E) to serve as point of reference for specific items being examined within the curricula of responding states. The code list, found in Appendix E, contained the DSM-IV-TR (2000) definitions for Mental Illness, Mental Retardation and Developmental Delay, as well as the DSM-IV-TR definitions for the specific disabilities contained in each category and included in this study: Bi-Polar Disorder, Schizophrenia, Mental Retardation, Autism, Asperger’s Syndrome, Rhett’s Disorder, Childhood Disintegrative Disorder and Pervasive Developmental Delay-Not Otherwise Specified.

The curricula from the responding states was read thoroughly by both the author and two reviewers, trained by the author to use the guidelines provided by the code list in examination of training materials. The first reviewer, a college graduate with a Bachelor of Science degree in Nursing and the second reviewer, a college graduate with a Master of Business Administration
degree, were also provided with a brief history of law enforcement, disability, and the nuances of the relationship between the two. Additionally, the author provided training that discussed each disability in terms of characteristics, treatment, and strategies for productive interaction and intervention. The reviewers were asked to review the training materials for 24 hours and were subsequently provided an opportunity to have any questions answered. The author and the reviewers then engaged in consensus building discussion as they coded one curriculum together using the created code list. At this point, it was determined that the author and reviewers needed a more concise way to meaningfully store a multiplicity of information and, using the code list as a guide, the code sheet found in Appendix F was created. The code sheet, created in Microsoft Excel as a table, also had the added value of more efficiently standardizing, between author and the reviewers, the data being retrieved from voluminous training materials. After the creation of the code sheet, the author and the reviewers again coded one curriculum together to cement the ability to find consensus.

Subsequently, both author and reviewers read and critiqued individually each submitted curriculum and filled in a code sheet accordingly. The three code sheets, in the form of Microsoft Office Excel files, were then merged to make one table. In order to protect privacy, each responding state was then randomly assigned a number and the name of the state was removed from the table. Any references to the training materials of a particular state are made with the use of this assigned number. Original curricula were stored in a secured location while author and the reviewers worked with copied material that did not contain identifying information.

Research Questions

Each of the obtained curriculums was analyzed using the following seven research questions:
1) What is the total number of academy hours required by Peace Officer Standards and Training Commissions for cadet training in each state?

2) What is the average number of academy hours required by Peace Officer Standards and Training Commissions across the country?

3) What is the average number of academy hours required by Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission across the country in the area of disabilities?

4) Does each state present a definition, based on referenced sources for mental illness, mental retardation and developmental disabilities?

5) Does each state present characteristics, treatment options, myths, or suggested methods of interaction for mental illness, specifically Bi-Polar Disorder and Schizophrenia?

6) Does each state present characteristics, cognitive levels, myths and suggested methods of interaction for those with mental retardation?

7) Does each state include descriptions, criteria for diagnosis or suggested methods of interaction for any of the Autism Spectrum Disorders?
RESULTS

Analysis of Results

Seventeen of fifty states (Table 9) responded to written and/or telephone requests for
POSTC mandated training materials used in their police academies. While only 34% of states
responded, sampling size was counterbalanced by the fact that respondents were located in all 5
regions of the United States (Figure 1) and were representative of states with large urban
industrialized areas, and relatively large populations, as well as states dominated by a rural
agrarian economy and smaller populations.

Figure 1. United States map depicting five regions.

Figure 2 displays the portion of the United States population that resides in each region.
The southeast is the most populous, containing 25% of the country’s population. The midwest,
west and northeast each comprise about 20% of the nation’s population. The southwest,
comprised of only four states, accounts for 12% of the population.
Respondents located in the Southeast were Alabama, Virginia, Tennessee, Florida, West Virginia and North Carolina. Also responding were two states in the Northeast: Massachusetts and Vermont. Five states from the Midwest responded: Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Ohio and Wisconsin. California, Alaska and Colorado responded from the Western portion of the United States while Texas responded from the Southwest. There are well acknowledged differences in culture from region to region, as characterized by the liberal “blue states” as opposed to the more conservative “red states” politically. The sampling contained states with these cultural differences, as well as states that are geographically widespread with enormous differences in population density.

The combined populations of responding states account for 20–25% of their regional population in the west, midwest, southwest and southeast. The combined populations of the responding states in the northeast represent only 4% of their regional population (Figure 3) and therefore pose a risk of bias.
While 100% participation is desirable in any study, training curricula does represent a cross section of American states from the five geographical regions with all their implied differences. The 17 states that have been reported as “responding” sent actual materials to be used in this study, while the two states that reported materials to be unavailable were not counted as respondents. In addition to the submitted training materials, the World Wide Web was used as a source of publicly available information in the case of 37 states. Research questions posed at the end of Chapter 3 guided the examination of training materials and enabled the researcher to organize data for relevant discussion.

Figure 3. Percentage of Regional Population Represented by Responding States
Utilizing publicly available information found on the World Wide Web for 37 states, it was determined that Peace Officers must participate in an average of 628 hours or 15.7 forty-hour weeks. Appendix I provides a list containing the publicly available total number of training hours required by each state. Of the 37 states for which training hours were available, Louisiana required the least amount of training with 400 hours, or 10 weeks, and Colorado required the most training with 1040 hours, or 26 weeks. The median training time was 600 hours with a range of 720 hours. Ten of the 50 state’s websites also contained a breakdown of training hours and of these states, an average of 10 hours was dedicated to individuals with disabilities. Despite requests in writing and by telephone, only 5 of the 17 responding states provided a breakdown of training content by hours, and in all five cases, this was the same information available on their
Internet websites. A summary of these findings, which correspond to the first three research questions can be found in Table 10.

Table 10

*Results of Research Questions One–Three*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Question</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) What is the total number of academy hours required by the POSTC for cadet training in each state?</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Median = 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum = 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum = 1040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) What is the average number of academy hours required by the POSTC across the country?</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Mean = 628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) What is the average number of academy hours required by the POSTC across the country for training in disabilities?</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mean = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum = 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. n represents the number of states included in results.

Analysis of Respondents by Region

All five geographical regions of the United States have states that provided a definition of mental illness; however, four of the five regions also contained states that provided no definition. The only southwestern state that responded provided a general definition of mental illness for law enforcement while recognizing DSM-IV-TR as a professional definition. All regions
contained at least one state that defined Bi-Polar Disorder, but other than the southwestern region, less than half of the represented states within each region provided a definition.

Definitions of Schizophrenia were distributed across regions and within regions similarly to definitions of Bi-Polar Disorder. Some states defined both but some states defined only one or the other. Two thirds of responding states suggested methods of police interaction with persons having mental illness. All regions were represented, with a range of 50% to 100% of states within a region providing guidance to law enforcement trainees.

Those states that provided definitions of mental retardation represent all five regions and also provided trainees with suggested methods of police interaction. However, only slightly more than 50% of responding states addressed this issue. Less than 20% of responding states provided law enforcement trainees with a definition of developmental disabilities. These three states represented three of the geographical regions. Each state also provided the trainees with suggested methods of interaction. One state in the northeast gave trainees suggestions for dealing with persons with developmental disabilities, but failed to provide a definition or criteria.

Analysis of Respondents by Disability

Utilizing the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual: Fourth Edition Text Revised (DSM-IV-TR; APA, 2000) as the standard for defining mental illness, the author determined that 9 of the 17 states provided a generalized definition of mental illness. Eight of these states included characteristics of Bi-Polar Disorder and 9 included characteristics of Schizophrenia. Five states included information about medication, one about cognitive therapy, four about hospitalization, and two about behavioral therapies for individuals with mental illness. Counseling, referral to community agencies, and the use of restraints were additional treatment topics discovered in at least one curriculum. Four states included content about myths surrounding mental illness and...
eleven states provided suggested methods of interaction between police officers and those displaying symptoms of mental illness.

The widely accepted definition of mental retardation is an individual with an IQ of 70 or below who displays deficits in self help skills such as personal care, community orientation, or daily functioning (APA, 2000; Ainsworth & Baker, 2004; Batshaw, Shapiro & Farber, 2007). It was this accepted definition which was used as the standard for reviewing each submitted curriculum. It was found that five of the 17 responding states provided a definition of mental retardation which cited decreased intellectual functioning and deficits in self-help skills. One state curriculum included information about cognitive levels and one state used the words “mild/moderate” and “severe/profound,” but did not provide any detail or discussion that highlighted implied differences in cognitive level or functioning. Five states provided characteristics of mental retardation and/or behavioral examples. No states provided information regarding myths of mental retardation, but seven provided suggested methods for officer interactions with those individuals suspected of having mental retardation.

Only three of the 17 responding states contained information about developmental disabilities as they are defined by the DSM-IV-TR (APA, 2000). However six states included information specifically defining Autism. One of these also included information defining Asperger’s Syndrome, but none of the other Autism Spectrum Disorders were included in any submitted curricula. Each of the six states which offered a definition of Autism also included suggested interactions for police officers when dealing with individuals displaying characteristics associated with Autism. A summary of these findings, which correlate to research questions four through seven, can be found in Table 11.
Table 11

*Content of Responding States' Curriculum Standards as Set Forth by the POSTC*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental Illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined consistent with DSM-IV-TR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defined in some other manner</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No definition provided.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar Disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics properly identified</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics properly identified</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment information Recognized Medication</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Therapy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior Therapy Others</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myths presented</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Retardation adequately defined</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive levels explained</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics explained</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myths presented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested methods of police interaction presented</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 11 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developmental Disabilities</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequately defined</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabilities under Pervasive Developmental Delay presented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asperger's Disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhett's Disorder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood Disintegrative Disorder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for diagnosis of each disorder presented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggested methods of police interaction presented</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While this study focused on the topics of mental illness, specifically Bi-Polar Disorder, Schizophrenia, Mental Retardation, and Developmental Disabilities, several other disorders appeared in four or more of the submitted police training curriculums. As a matter of interest, these disabilities included: Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Psychosis, Anxiety Disorders, Dementia/Alzheimer’s and Epilepsy.
V. DISCUSSION

In 2008, the PEW Report found that the U.S. prison population nearly tripled between 1987 and 2007 with every one person out of 100 incarcerated. Additionally, one person out of every 31 is on probation or parole. Judge Charlotte Cooksey, who founded the mental health court in Baltimore, Maryland, stated “Our jails have become de facto psychiatric hospitals.” Surveys conducted by Wells and Schafer (2006) found that officers report monthly encounters with individuals who have mental illness. Sixty percent of participants reported at least three encounters monthly, each lasting a minimum of two hours. Additionally, Engel and Silver (2001) found that 3.7% to 7.9% of all police encounters involve persons who are believed to be “mentally disordered.”

Given the number of disabilities in the general population and the growing number of inmates held in U.S. prisons, law enforcement officers, by necessity must receive quality training on the recognition of disability in individuals displaying symptoms, and receive information which leads to appropriate interactions and positive outcomes. However, repeated incidents dating as far back as 25 years and continuing into the present would lead one to question whether this rationale receives practical support in terms of police training. In June 2009, an 18 year old with an IQ of 47, who lacked the ability to read, write or speak intelligibly was arrested, tried, and sentenced to 100 years in prison for child sex abuse. Texas Tech University law professor Daniel Benson called his sentence “absurd” because “repeat child molesters and rapists routinely receive lighter sentences” (Fox News, 2009). In another incident, as recently as July 2009, a
man with multiple disabilities locked himself into a store restroom because he felt ill. After he failed to open the door to pounding by police, he was tasered. When asked later, through signed interpretation, why he had failed to open the door, he replied that he thought it was “the devil” (Fox News, 2009).

In spite of the paradigm shift in American policing that occurred about a decade ago when law enforcement became less response-oriented and more community-based in their policing policies, increased community service activities have not always prepared officers to deal effectively with individuals with mental illness. The new emphasis on community-based policing should entail closer ties to community leaders and agencies, as well as a better working knowledge of community support systems such as shelters and mental health programs. However in some cases, the efforts of community resources and police officers to work in tandem toward a positive outcome are disjointed at best. Ninety-seven percent of one study’s police respondents indicated that it “needs to be easier to get someone into the treatment facility” (Wells & Schafer, 2006, p. 580). Many of these same officers also anecdotally reported that “mental health providers say they cannot take them (person with mental illness)…. When asked what to do, they say it’s not their problem” (Wells & Schafer, p. 580).

In 2003, President George Bush formed the President’s New Freedom Commission on Mental Health and directed its members to “study the problems and gaps in the mental health system and make concrete recommendations for immediate improvements…. ” Subsequently, “The Commission found widespread fragmentation in the mental health delivery system and concluded that the system is not oriented toward the goal of recovery for the people it serves” (Massaro, 2004, p. 1). In recognition that inadequacies exist across the board, it is even more important that knowledgeable police officers be the first line of defense against injustice. They
must be perceptive enough to recognize behavior that may stem from disability rather than criminality. Law enforcement officers are often forced to make rapid decisions that impact lives, and their jobs can only be made easier when gaps in knowledge are closed with solid foundational information in the area of disabilities.

It follows that training in disabilities should become an integral part of academy curricula and the public should be assured that every facet of policing, including the involvement of law enforcement with individuals having special needs, is based on sound judgment seeded in current knowledge. When provided with training, Wells and Schafer (2006) found that officers were better able to identify an individual in crisis as having a mental illness. Prior to training, only 10% of the study participants felt that they could identify an individual with mental illness compared to the more than 50% who felt confident post training.

In 1986, Gerald Murphy published the results of a year-long study that focused on how the needs of those with mental illness were addressed by police and mental health agencies. Information for the study was obtained from academies and agencies located in 22 states. Murphy’s research showed that agencies and academies offered from 90 minutes to 22 hours of training on mental illness, but the study selected academies and agencies at random rather than one homogeneous group that shared any commonalities. Almost ten years later, McAfee and Musso (1995) added to the research by attempting to quantify the amount of training in mental illness and disability received by law enforcement officers. While McAfee and Musso were fortunate to obtain information by telephone from 42 states, they did not define “person with disabilities,” nor did they identify the types of agencies or academies contacted. Neither did they divulge in their study the specific questions used to elicit responses.
Summary

Individuals with mental illness, mental retardation and developmental disabilities are more likely to come into contact with law enforcement officers because they are more prone to display behaviors deemed inappropriate or suspicious by the general public. Although not empirically validated, Ruiz and Miller (2004) and Janik (1992) found law enforcement representatives reported seven to ten percent of citizen calls were due to requests for help regarding those who are potentially mentally ill. A later study in 2006 found that 40% of participating officers had at least two contacts with individuals suspected of having a mental illness and 60% of these officers reported three or more contacts. Behavior outside accepted social norms draws attention that often results in a call to police. It then falls to the responding police officer to gauge the behaviors of the offender and determine what kind of help is appropriate in terms of best serving the needs of offender and public alike. In many cases, individuals with disabilities are not readily identifiable by any type of obvious physical characteristics; there is nothing that would immediately alert an officer to the fact that an individual is disabled rather than intoxicated or drugged. Participants in Wells and Schafer’s 2006 study responded to open ended questions with statements such as “I have problems being able to take the time to deal with these subjects appropriately during an overworked and understaffed shift” and “My biggest problem is identifying a subject with mental illness.” Participants also reported, “I lack the knowledge of options we have when responding to persons with mental illness.”

Mental Illness

A review of history shows that individuals with mental illness have traditionally been placed into asylums, state hospitals, group homes, jails or other locations where the public
assumed that they would receive adequate care unavailable elsewhere. The 1960s and 1970s were a time of public awakening in terms of civil rights. Individuals with mental illness, many of whom were long-time residents of various institutions, suddenly found themselves without custodial support. The Wyatt v. Stickney (1970) case gave individuals with mental illness the right to treatment, but also gave them the right to refuse treatment. Often unable to make such decisions on their own, many ended up living on the streets or depending heavily on community outreach for basic necessities. By virtue of delusional behavior deemed threatening by the public and because they lacked the ability to communicate their needs effectively, displaced individuals were often taken into custody by officers who did not otherwise know how to handle such situations.

Though Early (2006) found that Schizophrenia and Bi-Polar Disorders were the most commonly identified mental disorders within the incarcerated population, none of the 17 states that responded to the request for training curricula cited specific mental illnesses within the prison population. However, 14 of the 17 did include statements about mental illness; nine of those presented a definition which included the same content as the professionally accepted definition in the DSM-IV-TR. The remaining five did not use DSM-IV-TR guidelines and simply included global statements such as “mental or emotional illness that affects the way a person thinks, acts, feels and behaves” (State 5). For purposes of confidentiality, states were randomly assigned numbers and will be referred to in such a manner. While the latter type of definition is not grossly inaccurate, it does not clearly distinguish between a true mental illness and the effects seen with drug or alcohol use, or even a temporary change in mood or behavior. With this type of global definition, potentially ten minutes of road rage could be deemed symptomatic of mental illness. All nine of the states whose definition of mental illness included
the DSM-IV-TR criteria also included a definition of Schizophrenia and eight included a
definition of Bi-Polar Disorder.

Interestingly, 13 states included information pertaining to at least one additional area of
disability with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Psychosis being the most common.
The author speculates that the inclusion of PTSD may largely be due to the length of the current
war and the number of tours of duty that soldiers have been required to complete with perhaps
minimal time between assignments. In one state, the category of psychosis included generalized
characteristics such as anxiety, inappropriate behavior, extreme rigidity, excitability,
disorganized speech and depression, all of which could be symptomatic of a multitude of
disorders.

Eleven of the states defining mental illness also included suggestions for police action
which may enhance the chances for effective interaction with individuals exhibiting
characteristics of mental illness. These included “assessing the situation” prior to approaching or
interacting, “empathizing” and using “active listening” during which officers are taught to
respond by paraphrasing and checking on the accuracy of an individual’s concerns or fears.
Unfortunately, one state curriculum included a recommendation for the use of “confinement”
and “restraint” with handcuffs utilized as the primary treatment of choice (State 6). Another
state recommended that officers “Go learn everything you can about mental illness” and
elaborated by saying, “It’s scary stuff to be around someone who is so disturbed” (State 5).
Statements such as these parlay the responsibility for learning how to make appropriate
judgments in challenging circumstances clearly upon the shoulders of police cadets. If the topic
is of sufficient importance to be included in academy curriculum, then it should be of sufficient
importance to be taught by trained instructors who present current information grounded in
knowledge rather than myth. Additionally, it is critical that law enforcement agents see individuals with disabilities in a compassionate light, as people deserving of care and treatment even while the dictates of the law are being upheld. Inciting statements in academy training literature can only serve to heighten anxiety for all concerned when police officers must respond to a potentially dangerous and stressful situation involving an individual with mental illness.

Mental Retardation

The plight of those who are deemed “defective” has been, and still is, dependent on the customs and beliefs of the culture. In ancient Greece, neonates found “defective” were killed (Ainsworth & Baker, 2004). By the middle ages, “foundling homes” began to appear and Henry II of England supported law to make those with mental retardation wards of the state, thereby granting protection. While the term mental retardation was originally synonymous with derogatory terminology such as “idiot, feeble minded or moron” (AAIDD, 2008), the DSM-IV-TR defines the term as

… significantly sub-average general intellectual functioning that is accompanied by significant limitations in adaptive functioning in at least two of the following areas: communication, self-care, home living, social/interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health and safety with an onset prior to age 18. (APA, 2000; Batshaw, Shapiro & Farber, 2007)

Only five of the responding states met the DSM-IV-TR criteria when defining mental retardation in their academy curricula. While these five states included sub-average intellectual functioning, only one state elaborated to include information about the cognitive levels commonly regarded as mild, moderate, severe and profound. These five also included descriptors which elaborated the definition by providing common characteristics for those
individuals with mental retardation. For example, one of the five described those with mental retardation as “having poor communication skills, a shortened attention span, a poor sense of time, immature, overly compliant and a poor understanding of consequences” (State 5). While these five states presented accurate definitions and characteristics, several of the responding states also included statements such as, “The mentally retarded individual requires special handling. An individual may look like a grown-up but have the intelligence of a child” (State 6). State 6 offered a recommendation for interaction that directed the officer to be “patient, kind and understanding.” While all officers should display such qualities, generalized information should be relegated to the lay public while law enforcement officers should be given the benefit of expert training grounded in professional knowledge.

Developmental Disabilities

While the term developmental disabilities includes a broad range of disorders that present hallmark systemic delays in growth and functioning, pervasive developmental disorders last into adulthood and specifically refer to the five disabilities known as Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD). These disorders are Autism, Asperger’s Syndrome, Rhett’s Disorder, Childhood Disintegrative Disorder and Pervasive Developmental Delay, Not Otherwise Specified (PDD-NOS). In 2007, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) conducted the first U.S. multi-site collaborative study to monitor the prevalence of ASD. Estimates, the first to come from multiple sites utilizing the same methodology for the same points in time, placed the incidence of ASD in the population at a ratio of one to 150. According to the CDC, these data represent the most comprehensive effort to obtain accurate figures for the prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorders to date (CDC, 2008). Additionally, the CDC found the incidence of ASD to be increasing at an alarming rate (2008). Revisiting the total population figures for the United States (Census
Bureau, 2007) in terms of the CDC incidence rates for Autism Spectrum Disorders, there are almost 2 million people with this type of disability currently in the United States.

Because a prominent characteristic of Autism is an individual’s aversion to loss of routine and strict adherence to rules and rituals (Batshaw, Pelligrino, & Roizen, 2007), there is little evidence of any significant association between Autism and criminal offending (Debbaudt, 2002). However, individuals with Autism continue to come into contact with law enforcement in much the same way that individuals with mental illness and mental retardation do. Behaviors that seem odd to the general public often attract attention and serve to summon calls for police intervention. Exacerbating an already perplexing situation for police officers, individuals with Autism often display an aversion to eye contact, a reluctance to be touched, repetitive and seemingly incomprehensible bodily movements, and a tendency to engage in self-soothing behaviors in an increasingly stressful situation. They often lack the ability to communicate or react in a socially acceptable manner, a situation which could be interpreted by authorities as obstinace or a willful refusal to cooperate. To safeguard the public and the law enforcement officers who serve them, and most of all those individuals with ASD who may be caught in a difficult situation not of their own making, it becomes imperative that law enforcement academies present students with quality information regarding the recognition and disposition of individuals with developmental disabilities.

Of the 17 responding states, three states had a curriculum that included the DSM-IV-TR definition for developmental disabilities; however, seven states included the DSM-IV-TR definition for Autism. Only one state included definitions for Asperger’s Syndrome and PDD-NOS. While the differences in Autism Spectrum Disorders may seem minimal to the lay person, a basic understanding of the characteristics and differences can impact the expectations with
which a law enforcement officer approaches a victim or perpetrator and how he chooses to
interact with the individual displaying symptomology. Six of the seven states presenting
information about Autism also included their recommendations for interaction. Many of the
recommendations included “approach from the front and slowly, offer your hands as a greeting,
respect personal space and use a supportive stance, make positive statements, use a calm, slow
and low voice and keep directions short and simple” (State 10, 15, 21 and 35).

Limitations

Each state has a governing body for law enforcement training identified as the Peace
Officer Standards and Training Commission (POSTC). The POSTC designs and mandates basic
training requirements for employed officers throughout the state. While this study focused on
the POSTC guidelines and curricula across all responding states, the author acknowledges that
the POSTC is a state level agency and individual academies are free to interpret those guidelines
and curriculum mandates with some flexibility. Therefore, this study is limited by the autonomy
with which academies address training within the POSTC guidelines. Some may devote
additional hours to a topic of disability, choosing to elaborate on particular points of interest,
while others adhere to minimum training requirements and choose to treat the same topic in a
more cursory fashion. Individual academies may provide additional specialized training on some
aspect of disability by way of hiring presenters with acknowledged expertise, and if such ad hoc
additions to the curriculum should exist in order to meet community need, there would be no
way to consider it for purposes of this study. Some academies may simply adjust training
according to feedback and the current challenges of their locale. For instance, if police officers
are most challenged by the gang activity in a city, great emphasis may be placed on suggested
methods of dealing with gang violence to the detriment of topics such as disability. It is not
possible in the present study to account for a fluid curriculum that may adjust within the confines of limited time and resources.

Additionally, presenters and instructors in some academies may be more, or less, qualified on the topic of disabilities than instructors in other academies. Academies located in cities with colleges and universities may be able to access a broader pool of current knowledge in the form of expert presenters. Examination of qualifications and experience of instructors was outside the scope of this study, as were preconceived ideas or biases that might affect the degree to which a cadet is willing or able to internalize instructional materials. The current descriptive study cannot effectively measure the outcome of instruction in terms of how much content was actually learned, or how the behavior or attitudes of a cadet were changed based on exposure to training materials.

There were only three areas of focus in the examination of state training curricula: Mental Illness, Mental Retardation and Developmental Disability. These are fairly broad categories, but still very limited in scope when it comes to the full range of human exceptionality. Accordingly, adherence to only three focus areas narrowed the scope of the study and could not account for other training materials that may prove valuable in cases where law enforcement encounters individuals with symptomology commonly associated with disability.

While the entire training curricula for six states were obtained, 11 states responded with only those materials used in training related to disabilities. In the cases of these eleven states, useful information on disabilities may have also been embedded in other topics and gone undetected. Conversely, within the scope of this study, it was not possible to determine whether something included in the written curriculum was actually presented in the classroom environment with appropriate care taken to actually insure the transfer of knowledge.
This study yielded a response rate of 17 out of 50 states; training materials from a higher number of respondents would lend more credibility to the study. However, the low sample size was counterbalanced by the fact that respondents were located across all 5 regions of the United States. Even so, the heaviest response came from the southeast (six states) and the midwest (five states), areas that are commonly considered more socially conservative, less populace and more rural. While it was beyond the scope of this study to search for any cultural bias which might affect the willingness of an academy to devote time and resources to training related to disabilities, no obvious patterns emerged that might indicate one region more willing than any other to include such instructional materials.

Finally, this study is descriptive in nature and necessarily subject to the interpretations of the author. In an effort to minimize variances in the examination of training materials across the 17 responding states, a code sheet was used to standardize the information in table format. In an effort to further control misinterpretation or bias on the part of the author or secondary reviewers, standardized definitions contained in the DSM-IV-TR were used to operationally define each disability included in the study.

Future Directions

To gain a more comprehensive overview of the disability related content being taught to law enforcement cadets during academy training, additional focus should be placed on expanding the number of responding states. Although every state was contacted, many states failed to return calls or letters. There were also states who indicated that their training materials were in the process of revision and were not currently available. These states were not included for the purposes of this study. Future research efforts may have a better success rate with the return of requested materials if contacts are made first at the academy level, and then moved up
the chain of command one step at a time as deemed necessary. Of course, in such a scenario the homogenous nature of dealing with only state POSTC guidelines would be lost, and a study might be better focused on how POSTC standards are met and adhered to across the various academies responsible for implementation.

Another option would include a review of state legislation to determine which states support legislative mandates for disability training as part of law enforcement academy training. This type of research in conjunction with exploration of funding available in each state for training of law enforcement might shed light on how, or why, some states include the topic of disability in training curricula.

This study focused on three areas of disability and could easily be expanded to include others. It might prove interesting to note the quality and quantity of instruction presented on a particular topic in relationship to the prevalence of that disability in the population. A survey of lay people in the community might also highlight disparity or synchronicity with the priorities of law enforcement when it comes to allotting instructional time and resources to particular topics. For instance, perhaps the general public believes that officers should be better trained to interact with individuals with Mental Illness while the academy that prepares officers for work in that locale gives more priority to training in the area of Mental Retardation.

One must bear in mind, however, that presentation of training materials is only one half of the equation and that this study could be hugely expanded to measure adequacy of academy training in areas related to disability by actually testing cadets for their grasp of factual data before and after instruction. Surveys done before and after training could also assess cadet attitudes, perceptions, and personal confidence in the ability to recognize and affect a positive outcome for individuals with disability. A quantitative study that compares the two surveys
could shed light upon why or why not certain topics are of more importance than others and enable the academy to weight instructional time accordingly.

A future study might undertake a distillation of symptomology that is common to multiple disabilities and approach instruction in terms of how symptoms alone might dictate the course of action taken by law enforcement in first response situations. For instance, if an individual is unable to communicate, he may be affected by deafness, autism, mental retardation or a myriad of other conditions. Rather than trying to define the problem, the police officer could simply rely on his training for suggested methods of interaction with an individual lacking communication skills. An individual may be highly excitable, but instead of trying to decide whether the individual is high on drugs or simply experiencing the manic stage of Bi-Polar Disorder, the officer relies on training which has taught him how to interact with an individual who cannot be calmed. The conclusions of such a study could impact the approach that academies use when presenting future instruction on disability.

SEEDS Model

This study has shown that great variance exists in the overall training that law enforcement cadets receive in the area of disabilities. Not only do POSTC requirements differ from state to state (there are no federal guidelines or standards), but each academy is permitted untethered latitude in both the quantity and quality of topical instruction. Varying hours of classroom instruction, inconsistent levels of instructor expertise, course content developed from disparate definitions and lack of situational role-modeling result in wide variability in the amount of practical knowledge that officers take into the field after graduation. Even those with the best knowledge base may find themselves unprepared to apply that knowledge with an adequate level of expertise. In an attempt to consolidate knowledge about interaction with persons with
disabilities into an easily remembered and practical format, the author has formulated the SEEDS model for use by officers in the field. For officers facing a difficult situation, SEEDS provides an easy to remember, easy to follow, thought progression. The SEEDS model encompasses the five priority assessments that an officer must make swiftly and accurately:

1) SAFETY: Are there individuals, including police officers in this situation who are in immediate danger?

2) EVALUATE: Are there individuals who are exhibiting unexpected or extraordinary behaviors, and could these behavior be attributed to disability?

3) ENTRY: Has this individual been approached using a non-threatening stance, and with the intention to resolve any escalating behaviors?

4) DETERMINE: Is the individual able to communicate or follow concise directives, and does this situation require additional or specialized personnel?

5) STRATEGY: Has a plan of action been determined that will insure safety and resolution?

Law enforcement officers do not normally have the luxury of sifting through numerous alternatives to action: their responses must often be both immediate and correct. Foundational classroom training in various disabilities gives law enforcement officers valuable information, but emphasis must be placed on the ability of officers to recognize disability in a first response situation and to accordingly make procedural adjustments.

Just as officers improve firearm proficiency with range practice, proficiency in assessing and resolving stressful interactions can be improved by providing multi-linear pre-recorded scenarios that provide opportunities for the trainee to select the course of action. Making correct choices reinforces the cadet’s confidence in his learning while incorrect choices provide
coaching opportunities and content review. Answering the SEEDS questions in order from one through five may give officers confidence that their assessments are accurate and that they have not missed important facets of the situation. It gives them a tool to take from the classroom to the street.

Conclusion

In conducting this study, the author examined the curricula provided by 17 Peace Officer Standards and Training Commissions from across the United States. In addition, publicly available material on law enforcement training from all 50 states was reviewed on the World Wide Web. By reviewing both sources of information, the author concisely answered the previously formulated research questions as follows:

1. What is the total number of academy hours required by the POSTC for cadet training in each state?
   
   Nationally, these commissions require an average of 628 hours or 15.7 forty-hour weeks.

2. What is the average number of academy hours required by Peace Officer Standards and Training Commissions from across the country?
   
   Appendix I contains a list of states and their required number of training hours.

3. What is the average number of academy hours required by Peace Officer Standards and Training Commissions across the country in the area of disabilities?
   
   Across the ten states for which disability training hours were available, an average of ten hours was dedicated to the topic of disabilities. Washington spent the least amount of time with only two hours and New York dedicated the most training time with 14 hours.

4. Does each state present a definition, based on referenced sources, for mental illness, mental retardation, and developmental disabilities?
No. Only nine of the participating states provided a definition based on the DSM-IV-TR standard for mental illness. Five states provided a definition that included accepted criteria for mental retardation and only three included a definition for developmental disabilities.

5. Does each state present characteristics, treatment options, myths or suggested methods of interaction for individuals with mental illness, specifically Bi-Polar Disorder and Schizophrenia?

No. Eight states provided a definition of Bi-Polar Disorder and nine provided a criteria-based definition of Schizophrenia. Of these states, five included information about medication, four about hospitalization, two about behavioral therapy and one about cognitive therapy. Four of the responding states presented myths associated with mental illness and 11 provided suggestions for interactions.

6. Does each state present cognitive levels, characteristics, myths and suggested methods of interaction for those with mental retardation?

No. Two states presented information about cognitive levels while five described characteristics. None presented myths and seven included recommendations for interaction.

7. Does each state include criteria for diagnosis or suggested methods of interaction for any of the Autism Spectrum Disorders?

No. Only one state presented information about diagnostic criteria and six state curricula contained recommendations for interaction.

Law enforcement officers find themselves in acutely stressful situations on a daily basis and must be vigilant to safeguard themselves, as well as the public, in the performance of their
duties. It is a job that requires the ability to make rapid decisions that seriously impact the lives of those they have sworn to serve. Without federal standards, states are left to determine their own curricula and individual academies are responsible for the implementation of those standards. Law enforcement officers need and deserve training that enables them to do their jobs in the most efficient and productive manner possible. Providing law enforcement with ample training and practical tools such as the SEEDS Model will allow them to confidently recognize and interact productively with individuals who are disabled and at the same time, ensure that those individuals with special needs are treated in ways which will affect a positive outcome for everyone involved. With incidence of disabilities such as Autism on the rise, any U.S. family could be touched by preventable tragedy unless well prepared law enforcement officers are able to make informed decisions.
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## Appendix A

### Alabama Peace Officers Standards and Training Commission

**480 hour Minimum Standards Curriculum**  
**Effective January 1, 2006**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction To Law Enforcement</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total 8 Hours</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening Remarks and Orientation</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Law Enforcement</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Ethics and Professionalism</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title 36-21-40</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **General Topics**                           | **Total 95 Hours** |
| Notetaking & Notebook Construction           | 2 hours           |
| Federal Law Enforcement Agencies            | 3 hours           |
| State law Enforcement Agencies              | 2 hours           |
| Introduction to Terrorism                   | 4 hours           |
| Police Communications (Radio)               | 2 hours           |
| Records and Reports                         | 4 hours           |
| Effective Report Writing                    | 8 hours           |
| Explosives Act #1971/Recognizing Bombs and Explosives | 3 hours     |
| Handling the Emotionally Disturbed          | 4 hours           |
| First Aid Includes C.P.R certification in accordance with American Heart Association or Red Cross Standards | 8 hours |
| Stress                                      | 4 hours           |
| Hazardous Materials                         | 4 hours           |
| Weapons of Mass Destruction                 | 4 hours           |
| Domestic Violence                           | 4 hours           |
| Public Utilities Enforcement Agencies       | 2 hours           |
| Gangs, Sects, Cults and Deviant Movements   | 6 hours           |
| Victims of Crime and Leniency               | 2 hours           |
| Interpersonal Communications                | 4 hours           |
| N.H.T.S.A. Standardized Field Sobriety Testing Course (Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus training) | 24 hours |
| Project ICE                                 | 1 hour           |

| **Equipment**                                | **Total 21 Hours** |
| Care and Use of Police Equipment             | 2 hours           |
| Operation of Emergency Vehicles              | 3 hours           |
| Police Defensive Driving                     | 16 hours          |
### Criminal Investigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receiving and Handling Complaints</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserving the Crime Scene, Collecting and Preserving Evidence</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Searches</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death Investigations</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingerprints</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary Investigations</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery Investigations</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Theft</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Enforcement and Vice Investigations (Drug Enforcement – 4 hours, Methamphetamine Lab – 2 hours, Prostitution – 1 hour, Gambling – 1 hour)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Crimes</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search and Seizure/4th Amendment law</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Criminal Procedures & Laws of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laws of Arrest, State &amp; Federal Criminal Procedure</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching &amp; Transporting Prisoners</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laws of Corrections &amp; Custody</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Process</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrogations &amp; Confessions (5th &amp; 6th Amendments)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Rules of Evidence</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal/Civil Liability &amp; Civil Rights</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Constitution, Bill of Rights &amp; Constitution Principles</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Juvenile Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Laws, Detainment &amp; Detention Procedures</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploitation of Children</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Courts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Criminal Law, Title 13A</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Alabama Motor Vehicle Laws, Title 32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title 15, Criminal Procedure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Procedures</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title VII: ADA/Sexual Harassment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrol Techniques</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer/Violator Contact</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Direction and Control</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Operations</td>
<td>Total 34 Hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction to Accident Investigation</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questioning Drivers &amp; Witnesses</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hit and Run Accidents</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident Reports and Safety Responsibility Laws</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurements &amp; Diagrams (no scale diagramming)</td>
<td>10 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marks on the Roadway</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Registration and CDL</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Exam</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Exam Review</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draeger</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offensive &amp; Defensive Tactics</th>
<th>Total 109 Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defensive Tactics - PPCT</td>
<td>32 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensive Tactics - SSGT or Arcon</td>
<td>16 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Training</td>
<td>33 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Survival</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Agility Exam</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community/News Media Relations</th>
<th>Total 3 Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community/News Media Relations</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firearms Training</th>
<th>Total 43 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firearms Qualification</td>
<td>27 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Combat/Night Firing</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shotgun Familiarization</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examinations and Directors Time</th>
<th>Total 30 Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total 480 Hours**
APPENDIX B

APOSTC Disability Training

HANDLING THE EMOTIONALLY DISTURBED

OBJECTIVES
- Be able to define mental illness.
- Identify the types and classifications of mental illness.
- Recognize signs of mental illness.
- Discuss intervention strategies for handling the mentally ill and emotionally disturbed.
- Identify how to deal with aggressive behavior.
- Be familiar with the commitment law and procedure, and where to refer the mentally ill for assistance.

MENTAL ILLNESS
- Mental illness is defined as a variety of mind altering disorders, which cause abnormal thoughts, feelings, perception, judgment, and behaviors.

ATTITUDE AND PERCEPTION
- Mental illness has long carried a stigma.
- What we don't know or understand frightens or frustrates us.
- Some believe the individual:
  - Caused their own illness.
  - Can turn the illness off and on at will or when convenient.
  - Is weak and their mind is underdeveloped.
  - Pretends to be mentally ill to get attention or favor.

Mental Illness Facts
- 44 million Americans 18 or older experience a mental or substance related illness in a given year.
- 4.8 million Americans suffer from a severe and persistent mental illness in a given year.
- 5.2 million other Americans experience a mental illness that seriously impairs their ability to function successfully at work, home, and in their community.

Mental Illness Facts
- 9 to 13 percent of American children between the ages of 9 and 17 suffer from a severe emotional disturbance.
- An estimated 15 to 25 percent of elderly Americans suffer from serious symptoms of mental illness.
MENTAL ILLNESS FACTS

- Every 5-100 adults have Personality Disorders
- Every 5-100 adults have serious depression
- Every 5-100 adults have Schizophrenia
- Every 5-100 adults have Alzheimer Disease
- Every 10-100 abuse drugs or alcohol
- 600,000 adult cases committed each year
- 500,000 reported cases of rape
- 1 in 4 cases of reported child abuse

COMMON TYPES OF MENTAL ILLNESS

- Some of the more common types of disorders which officers may encounter are:
  - Psychotic Disorders
  - Mood/Affective Disorders
  - Personality Disorders
  - Dissociative Disorders
  - Cognitive Disorders
  - Somatoform Disorders

PSYCHOTIC DISORDERS

- Individual loses contact with reality.
  - Schizophrenia
  - Schizoaffective Disorder

MOOD/AFFECTIVE DISORDER

- Individual experiences disturbed or unstable emotions
  - Depression
  - Bipolar

PERSONALITY DISORDERS

- Individual experiences personal distress with significant impairment in work or social ability to function.
  - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
  - Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

DISSOCIATIVE DISORDER

- Individual experiences disturbances in consciousness, memory, perception of the environment, or identity.
  - Amnesia (minus physical cause)
  - Dissociative Identity Disorder (multiple, distinct personalities)
COGNITIVE DISORDERS
- Individual experiences a significant loss of mental capacity to function.
  - Delirium
  - Dementia

SOMATOFORM DISORDERs
- Characterized by the presence of physical symptoms that cannot be explained by a medical or psychiatric illness.
  - Hypochondria
  - Pain Disorder

IMPULSE CONTROL DISORDER
- Inability to control harmful or erratic behaviors.
  - Kleptomania
  - Pyromania

CAUSES OF MENTAL ILLNESS
- Four causation viewpoints:
  - Biological
  - Psychological
  - Cognitive
  - Sociocultural

Biological Perspective
- This viewpoint holds that some mental illnesses are related to bodily processes and levels of neurotransmitters (chemical substances that enable brain cells to communicate with each other and the body). Imbalances in chemical levels of neurotransmitters have been linked with some mental illnesses such as Schizophrenia, Depression and Anxiety.

Psychological Perspective
- This viewpoint emphasizes the effects that environment and upbringing has on one's mental health.
Cognitive Perspective

- The belief is that mental illness results from cognitive problems, related to how one reasons, perceives circumstances and resolves opposition. Depression, Anxiety, and Personality disorders are thought to result from the cognitive perspective.

Sociocultural Perspective

- Social, economic and cultural ills impact the condition of one's mental health. Research has proven that poor people and those who are misplaced experience mental illnesses at a higher rate than some other populations. Additionally, victims of disaster and certain crimes are at greater risk of experiencing a mental illness, especially depression, anxiety and post traumatic stress disorder.

Treatment

- Persons with a mental illness can receive treatment through some local hospitals, mental health centers, private physicians or private therapist.
- The two most common treatment methods for mental illness are:
  - Drug therapy and,
  - Psychotherapy.

Drug Therapy

- Drug therapy involves treating the person with regular doses of one or more of the following:
  - Anti-psychotic drugs (major tranquilizers) – used to treat symptoms of schizophrenia and other related disorders.
  - Anti-depressant drugs – used to treat symptoms of depression and other related disorders.

Drug Therapy

- Anti-anxiety drugs (minor tranquilizers) – used to treat anxiety and panic disorders.
- Anti-manic drugs – used to treat the mania that corresponds with bi-polar.

Psychotherapy

- Incorporates a range of techniques and practices to help one identify and understand factors that contributed to their illness and teaches behavior modification.
- Another method referred to as behavioral therapy is particularly effective in treating some personality disorders such as phobias or obsessive-compulsive disorders.
Support Groups
- National Alliance for the Mentally Ill (NAMI)

Symptoms
- Psychosis
- Hallucinations
- Delusions
- Affect
- Mania
- Paranoia
- Thought disorder
- Bizarre behavior
- Withdrawn
- Motor abnormalities
- Lack of insight
- Violence
- Changes in emotional tone
- Strange memory loss

SCHIZOPHRENIA
- Schizophrenia is a severe disorder characterized by delusions, inappropriate emotional responses, disorganized thinking, hallucinations, and deteriorated social functioning.

SCHIZOPHRENIA
- The age of onset is typically between the ages of 16-25.
- It is uncommon after 30 years of age.
- Rare after 40 years of age.
- Occurs in about 1% of individuals.
- 10% of individuals with disease commit suicide.
- 10% of homeless have schizophrenia.

SCHIZOPHRENIA
- Can affect anyone at any point in their lives, but is more common in those persons who are genetically predisposed to the disease.
  - Chances of inheriting the disease:
    * 1% chance if neither parent had it
    * 13% if one parent has it
    * 33% if both parents have it

SCHIZOPHRENIA
- Schizophrenia occurs equally in men and women, however, it is a more serious disease in men than in women, primarily because men do not respond well to psychotropic medications. They have a higher relapse, and their long-term adjustment is not nearly as good as women.
TYPES OF SCHIZOPHRENIA

- Hebephrenic
  - Disorganized thinking, shallow and inappropriate affect. Ex: Laughs and talks to themselves.

- Catatonic
  - Stupor, inhibition of movement, mute, withdrawal, statue immobility.

- Paranoid
  - Delusions of persecution or grandeur, can be very suspicious.

- Chronic Undifferentiated
  - Symptoms are associated with one or more of the above.

Signs and Symptoms

- Moodiness
- Withdrawal
- Apathy
- Loss of Interest in personal appearance
- Belief that people are watching them

- Preoccupied with their body
- Vagueness in thought
- Delusions
- Hallucinations
- Affect
  - Flat, blunted affect, zombie

SCHIZOPHRENIA

- What Schizophrenia is not:
  - A split personality
  - Mental Retardation
  - Manic-depressive Psychosis
  - Borderline Personality Disorder
  - Street or Prescription Drug Psychosis

Treatment

- There is no cure for schizophrenia. However, by following a treatment routine, symptoms can be prevented from reoccurring. This can be achieved through:
  - Medication
  - Outpatient Clinical Services (e.g., mental health center)
  - Hospitalization
  - Community Case Management Services

BIPOLAR DISORDER

- It is also called manic-depressive illness.

- It is a mood disorder.

- Individuals can experience extreme shifts in mood, energy and behavior.

- Bipolar disorder is a brain disease. It can be attributed to abnormalities in the structure and/or activity of specific brain circuits.
Bipolar Disorder

- The disease appears before age 20 in about 90% of the cases.
- It can also appear in childhood and as late as 50 years of age.
- 2.5 million people are affected each year.
- Individuals with bipolar disorder often die 9.2 years earlier than those individuals who don’t have bipolar disorder.

Bipolar Disorder

- About 1 in 5 or 20% of the individuals with the disease commit suicide.
- The chance of inheriting the disease are:
  - 15-30% if one parent has the disease.
  - 50-75% if both parents have the disease.

Symptoms

- Symptoms of the disease include different episodes.
- An individual can have an episode and experience the symptoms of depression
- An individual can have an episode and experience the symptoms of mania
- An individual can experience a mixed episode that includes symptoms of depression and mania.

Symptoms

- During severe episodes, psychotic symptoms can be present with the mania of depression.
- An individual has rapid cycling bipolar disorder when 4 or more episodes occur in a 12 month period.
- Suicidal thoughts can be present
- Symptoms can worsen with the use of alcohol and/or drugs.

Treatment

- There is no cure for bipolar disorder. However, by following a treatment routine, symptoms can be prevented from reoccurring. This can be achieved through:
  - Medication
  - Outpatient Clinical Services (e.g., mental health center)
  - Hospitalization
  - Community Case Management Services

Special Conditions
Mental Retardation
- People with mental retardation have a below normal mental development.
- Often due to a brain condition that was present at birth.

Physical Illness

Amnesia and Memory Loss

Mental Disorders in Old Age

ANXIETY DISORDERS
- Affects more than 19 million people each year.
- 5 categories of anxiety disorders
  - Panic Disorder
  - Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder
  - Post-Traumatic Stress disorder
  - Social Phobia
  - Generalized Anxiety Disorder

PANIC DISORDER
- Panic Disorder – Characterized by panic attacks, sudden feelings of terror that strike repeatedly and without warning.
- Physical symptoms include chest pain, heart palpitations, shortness of breath, dizziness, abdominal discomfort, feelings of unreality, and fear of dying.
OBSSESSIVE-COMPULSIVE DISORDER
- Affects 3.3 million Americans each year.
- People with obsessive-compulsive disorder suffer intensely from recurrent unwanted thoughts (obsessions) or rituals.
- Symptoms, which they feel they cannot control, include:
  - Washing
  - Counting
  - Cleaning

POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER
- Persistent symptoms that occur after experiencing a traumatic event such as war, rape, child abuse, natural disasters, or being taken hostage.
- Symptoms may include nightmares, flashbacks, numbing of emotions, depression, and feeling angry, irritable, distracted and being easily startled are common.

SOCIAL PHOBIA
- Extreme, disabling and irrational fear of something that really poses little or no actual danger; the fear leads to avoidance of objects or situations and can cause people to limit their lives.

GENERALIZED-ANXIETY DISORDER
- Chronic, exaggerated worry about everyday routine life events and activities, lasting at least six months; almost always anticipating the worst even though there is little reason to expect it. Accompanied by physical symptoms, such as fatigue, trembling, muscle tension, headache, or nausea.

PSYCHOTIC ILLNESS
- Hallucinations
- Delusions
- Thought disorder
- Bizarre behavior
- Withdrawal
- Motor abnormalities

PSYCHOSIS
- Thoughts and behavior are not reality based.
HALLUCINATION
- Imagined sights, sounds, tastes, smells, touches.
  - Auditory – hears things that are not there.
  - Visual – sees things that are not there.

DELIRIUM
- Persons consciousness becomes clouded. They have problems concentrating, paying attention, and staying on the subject.

DELUSION
- False belief, irrational ideas, fixed beliefs. These beliefs are firmly implanted in the person's ideas and beliefs. There is no point in trying to tell them otherwise. If you argue or try to rationalize with them, you will get NOWHERE!
- 3 TYPES
  - Delusions of persecution
  - Delusions of Grandeur
  - Delusions of Reference

WITHDRAWAL
- Retreat from society and relationships with others.

DEPRESSION
- Affects 19 million Americans each year
- Depression causes people to lose pleasure from daily life, can complicate other medical conditions, and can even be serious enough to lead to suicide.

CAUSES OF DEPRESSION
- Biological
- Cognitive
- Genetic
- Situational
- Medications
- Co-occurrence
SYMPTOMS OF DEPRESSION
- Persistent sad, anxious or "empty" mood
- Sleeping too much or too little, middle of the night or early morning waking
- Reduced appetite and weight loss, or increased appetite and weight gain
- Loss of pleasure and interest in activities once enjoyed, including sex
- Restlessness, irritability

PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY
- A manifestation of acute mental symptoms of sufficient severity that the absences of immediate attention could place the mental or physical health of the individual or others in serious jeopardy.
- Practical definition: Any situation, which an individual or those around him/her, deem to be a psychiatric emergency.

DEMENTIA
- Decline of intellectual and other mental facilities. Total loss of reality, loses short-term memory, remembers the past but not the present. May be agitated, possibly violent.
  - Alzheimers

RECOGNIZING MENTAL ILLNESS
- Appearance: may be normal or "out of place"
- Behavior: may be normal, odd, or "out of place"
- Speech: may be normal, non-sequential, very rapid or very slow
- Emotion: may be normal, excessive, inappropriate, or apparently absent
- Thoughts: may be normal, irrational, and hard to follow, or distorted

CRIMINALITY VS MALINGERING
- Aggressive behavior is not a diagnosis of mental illness, but some mentally ill people can be aggressive.
- The motive for specific behaviors in the mentally ill often rests in a distortion of reality rather than criminal intent.
CRIMINALITY VS MALINGERING

- Faking psychotic behavior is rare; most people would rather be thought criminal than insane. (one exception: personality disorders, especially anti-social personality.)
- Why bother to distinguish mental illness from criminality? To avoid filling jails with the mentally ill and hospitals with criminals.

WHO ARE THE POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS PERSONS?

- Suicidal
- Psychotic (especially paranoid)
- Manic
- Intoxicated (particularly with cocaine, PCP, alcohol)
- Organically impaired (especially seizure disorder)

PREDICTING DANGEROUS BEHAVIOR

- There is no accurate method to predict violent or dangerous behavior.
- Previous history of violence is the best predictor available.
- Learn to trust your gut; if you feel unsafe, listen to that feeling.

SAFETY ISSUES

- You must feel safe before you can effectively deal with a psychiatric emergency
- Personal space
- Environmental barriers
- Weapons
- Show of force rather than show of aggression

INTERVENING WITH THE POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS MENTALLY ILL PERSON

- Safety first. Protect yourself and others
- Assemble adequate personnel
- Gather available information
- Enlist the help of friends or relatives if possible
- Maintain calm but firm tone of voice and body language
- Explain who you are and why you’re there

INTERVENING WITH THE POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS MENTALLY ILL PERSON

- Provide truthful reassurance
- Resist provocation to anger (be aware of your own emotions)
- Set limits on dangerous behavior in a non-threatening manner
- Don’t argue with delusions!
- Time is your ally in most circumstances
INTERVENING WITH THE POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS MENTALLY ILL PERSON

- Carefully explain what you are going to be doing.
- Make calm, deliberate motions only!
- Restrain as necessary to prevent injury to self or others.

SPECIFIC CAUTIONS

- The suicidal person: watch for attempts by the individual to provoke an attack, thus aiding the suicide.
- The paranoid person: avoid an attitude of undue familiarity and overt friendliness, which the individual may interpret in a hostile fashion.

SPECIFIC CAUTIONS

- The brain-injured person: inability to understand instructions or delay impulses due to cognitive deficits may appear to be deliberate opposition. Often the individual has lost the capacity to interpret body language and tone of voice.

DEALING WITH AN ANGRY PERSON

- Do not punish someone for being angry.
- Listen actively to what the person is saying and feeling.
- Help the individual to accept feeling angry and identify the real causes of the anger.
- You do not need to offer solutions or solve problems immediately.

DEALING WITH AN ANGRY PERSON

- Enlist the individual's help in selecting an appropriate way to direct the anger.
- Do not ignore anger or calm someone down just to make you feel more comfortable.
- Do not take anger personally and become defensive.

DEALING WITH AN ANGRY PERSON

- Sometimes it is best to insist that an angry person regain control before you talk with them.
- Be aware of the early warning signs which indicate that an individual is having difficulty coping with unpleasant feelings.
- Intervene as soon as you feel something wrong.
DEALING WITH AN ANGRY PERSON

- Attempt to make an angry situation a learning experience which generates constructive alternatives for handling unpleasant feelings.
- If you want to influence someone’s behavior, change your reaction to it.

DEALING WITH AN ANGRY PERSON

- Avoid win-lose, right-wrong situations
- Do not corner someone physically or psychologically.
- **DO NOT MAKE PROMISES YOU CAN’T KEEP.**

Safety and De-escalation Principles

- Know the environment
  - Exits
  - Offensive and defensive weapons
  - Alarm systems
  - Position of furniture
  - Isolated areas
  - Emergency procedures

Safety and De-escalation Principles

- Know what you control
  - Movement/pace/breathing
  - Hand position
  - Physical distance from subject
  - Touching
  - Body language
  - Effect of your manner (hostile, authoritarian, overly helpful, professional concern).
  - Protect your back
  - Tone of voice
  - Eye contact
  - Dress

Safety and De-escalation Principles

- **Think** before you intervene
  - Remove cheerleaders
  - Get angry person alone, on neutral territory if possible
  - Sit down, lower voice, same height level
  - Do you need HELP?
  - Don’t bring in outside force prematurely
  - Don’t underestimate any angry person
LESSON TITLE: HANDLING THE EMOTIONALLY DISTURBED

TIME ALLOTTED: 2 HRS OF A 6 HR. BLOCK

METHOD: LECTURE/DISCUSSION

REFERENCES: POLICE RESPONSE TO SPECIAL POPULATIONS, U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE; 1987-

DE CUIR, WALTER "HANDLING THE MENTALLY ILL", F.B.I. LAW ENFORCEMENT BULLETIN, 1988


HANDOUTS/AIDS:

1. BASIC PSYCHOLOGY
2. MENTALLY ILL PERSONS (SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS)
3. DISTURBED OR VIOLENT PERSONS
4. WARNING SIGNS OF POTENTIAL SUICIDES
5. SPECIAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED BY POLICE
6. THE MENTALLY RETARDED
7. PHYSICAL ILLNESS AND AMNESIA
8. DEPRESSED PERSONS
LESSON OBJECTIVES:

1. TO EQUIP THE STUDENTS WITH THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO RECOGNIZE MENTALLY DISTURBED PERSONS THAT WILL BE ENCOUNTERED IN POLICE WORK.

2. TO AQUAINT THE STUDENT WITH BEHAVIORAL FACTORS AND ILLNESSES THAT PARALLEL MENTAL ILLNESS, BUT IS NOT.

3. TO EDUCATE THE STUDENTS ON CRISIS INTERVENTION PROCEDURES.

DEMONSTRATED LEARNING OBJECTIVES:

1. THE STUDENT WILL BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE FOLLOWING TYPE OF E.D.PIS.
   A. RETARDED PERSONS
   B. DISTURBED OR VIOLENT
   C. MENTAL ILLNESS
   D. PERSONS SUFFERING FROM HALLUCINATIONS
   E. SUICIDAL PERSONS
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Law Enforcement Training and Standards (POST) Agencies

ALABAMA
R. Alan Benefield, Chief
Alabama Peace Officers Standards and Training
PO Box 300075
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0075
(334) 242-4045 Fax: (334) 242-4633
alan.benefield@apost.alabama.gov

ALASKA
Terry Vrabec, Director
Alaska Police Standards
P.O. Box 111200
Juneau, Alaska 99811
(907) 465-4378 Fax: terry_vrabec@dps.state.ak.us

ARIZONA
Thomas Hammarstrom, Executive Director
Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training
2543 East University Drive
Phoenix, Arizona 85034
(602) 223-2314 Fax: (602) 244-0477
thrumarstrom@azpost.state.az.us

ARKANSAS
Terry Bolton, Executive Director
Law Enforcement Training Academy
P.O. Box 3106
East Camden, Arkansas 71701
574-1810 fax: (870) 574-2706

CALIFORNIA
Hal Snow, Interim Executive Director
Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training
1601 Alahambra Blvd.
Sacramento, California 95816-7053
(916) 227-2803 Fax: (916) 227-2801
Hal.snow@postca.gov

COLORADO
John Kammerzell, Executive Director
Colorado Police Officers Standards and Training
1525 Shennan Ave., 5th Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203
Fax: (303) 866-4139
j-kzll@state.co.us

CONNECTICUT
Thomas Flaherty, Director
Police Standards and Training
285 Preston Avenue
Meriden, Connecticut 06450-4891
(203) 238-6505 Fax: (203) 238-6643
ThomasFlaherty@po.state.ct.us

DELWARE
Capt Ralph Davis, Director of Training
Delaware State Police
1453 North DaPont
Dover, Delaware
(302) 739-5903 Fax (302) 739-5945

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Joan Weiss, Executive Director
District of Columbia Police Training and Standards Board
300 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 5031
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 727-1516 Fax (202) 727-5101
Joan.Weiss@dc.gov

FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING ACCREDITATION
Gary Mitchell, Director, OLEA
Federal Law Enforcement Training Center
1131 Chapel Crossing Road
Glynnco, Georgia 31524
(912) 267-2497 Fax: (912) 267-2189
Gary.mitchell1@dhs.gov

FLORIDA
Michael Crews, Program Director
Florida Department of Law Enforcement
P.O. Box 1489
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1489
(850) 410-8600 Fax: (850) 410-8606
Mikecrews@fdle.state.fl.us

GEORGIA
Bryan Powell, Director
Georgia Peace Officers Standards and Training Council
5000 Austell-Power Springs Rd., Suite 261
Austell, Georgia 30106
(770) 414-2627 Fax (770) 414-3332
ryanpowell@gapost.org

HAWAII
Susan Ballard, Major
Honolulu Police Department
93-093 Waipahu Depot Road
Waipahu, Hawaii 96797
(808) 677-1474 Fax: (808) 677-7394
sballard@honolulu.gov

IDAHO
Jeffry Black, Executive Director
Peace Officer Standards and Training
P.O. Box 700
Meridian, Idaho 83680-0700
(208) 884-7250 Fax: (208) 884-7295
Jeffry.black@POST,idaaho.gov

ILLINOIS
Thomas J, Jurkanin, Ph.D., Executive Director
Illinois Law Enforcement Training and Standards Board
600 S. Second Street, Suite 300
Springfield, Illinois 62704-2542
Fax: (217) 524-5350
tomj@ptb.state.il.us

INDIANA
Rusty K. Goodpaster, Executive Director
Law Training Board
P.O. Box 313
Plainfield, Indiana 46168-0313
(317) 839-5191 Fax (317) 839-9741
rgoodpaster@ilea.state.in.us
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IOWA
E. A. Westfall, Director
Iowa Law Enforcement Academy
P.O. Box 130
Johnston, Iowa 50131-0130
(515) 242-5557 Fax: (515) 242-5471
ileadir@ix.netcom.com

KANSAS
Steven Culp, Executive Director
Kansas Law Enforcement Training Center
P.O. Box 647
Hutchinson, Kansas 67504-0647
(620) 694-1400 Fax (620) 694-1420
kletc@kletc.org

KENTUCKY
John Bizzack, Commissioner
Kentucky Department of Criminal Justice Training
521 Lancaster Rd., Funderburk Bldg.
Richmond, Kentucky 40475-3137
(859) 622-2217 Fax (859) 622-3162
johnb@mis.net

LOUISIANA
Michael Ranatza, Executive Director
Louisiana Police Officers Standards and Training Council
1885 Wooddale Blvd., Room 208
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806
(225) 925-1997 Fax: (225) 925-1998

MAINE
John B. Rogers, Director
Criminal Justice Academy
15 Oak Grove Road
Vassalboro, Maine 04989
(207) 877-8008 Fax: (207) 877-8027
john.rogers@maine.gov

MARYLAND
Patrick Bradley, Director
Maryland Police & Correctional Training
6852 4th Street
Sykesville, Maryland 21784
(410) 875-3400 Fax: (410) 875-3500
pbradley@mdps.state.md.us

MASSACHUSETTS
Dennis Pinkham, Executive Director
Massachusetts Criminal Justice Training Council
1380 Day Street, Cottage B
Taunton, Massachusetts 02780
(617) 727-7827 Fax: (781) 531-5187
Dennis.Pinkham@cjt.state.ma.us

MICHIGAN
Raymond W. Beach, Jr., Executive Director
Commission on Law Enforcement Standards
7426 North Canal Road
Lansing, Michigan 48913
(517) 322-1417 Fax: (517) 322-6439
beachr@michigan.gov

MINNESOTA
Neil Melton, Executive Director
Board of Peace Officer Standards and Training
1600 University Ave., Suite 200
St. Paul, Minnesota 55104-3825
(651) 643-3063 Fax (651) 643-3072
neil.melton@state.mn.us

MISSISSIPPI
Robert D. Davis, Executive Director
Board of Law Enforcement Standards and Training
3750 I-55 Road, North
Jackson, 39211
(601) 987-3050 (601) 987-3086
rdavis@mdps.state.ms.us

MISSOURI
Jeremy Spratt, Program Manager
Missouri Peace Officer Standards and Training
P.O. Box 749
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0749
(573) 526-2765 Fax: (573) 751-5399
jeremy.spratt@dps.mo.gov

MONTANA
Mark Thatcher, Executive Director
Montana Police Officers Standards and Training
PO. Box 20408
Helena, Montana 59620-1408
(406) 444-3605 Fax: (406) 444-4722
mthatcher@state.mt.us

NEVADA
Richard Clark, Executive Director
Commission on Peace Officers Standards and Training
5587 Wa Pai Shone Ave.
Carson City, Nevada 89701
(775) 647-7667 Ext. 223 Fax (775) 687-4911
rclark@post.state.nv.us

NEW JERSEY
Robert Melson, Chief
New Jersey Division of Criminal Justice Police Services Section, PTC
P.O. Box 085
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0085
(609) 984-0035 Fax (609) 984-4473

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Donald L Vittum, Director
Police Standards and Training
17 Institute Drive
Concord, New Hampshire 03301-7413
(603) 271-2133 Fax (603) 271-1785

NEW MEXICO
Arthur DC. Ortiz, Deputy Director
Department of Public Safety Training and Recruiting Division
4491 Cerrillos Road
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507-9721
(505) 827-9265 Fax: (505) 827-3449
Arthur.ortiz@ago.state.nm.us

NEW YORK
Cedric L. Alexander, Deputy Director
New York Division of Criminal Justice Services
4 Tower Place
Albany, New York 12203-3764
(518) 457-6101 Fax (518) 457-3089
cedric.alexander@dcjs.state.ny.us
Appendix E

Law Enforcement and Disabilities Code Sheet

A. Mental Illness: defined as individuals who can appear delusional, paranoid, displaying significant deficits in social interaction or unusually disorganized speech (APA, 2000; Earley, 2006; Davis, 2005)

1. Characteristics of Bi-polar Disorder
   a. DSM-IV-TR describes a category of mood disorders defined by the presence of one or more episodes of abnormal moods.
   b. Moods can be abnormally elevated, clinically referred to as mania and/or depressive episodes or symptoms of depression.
   c. Individuals may display mania, depression or a mixed episodes with periods of “normal” moods between episodes

2. Characteristics of Schizophrenia
   a. DSM-IV-TR characterizes by abnormalities in perception or expression of reality
   b. Manifests as auditory hallucinations, paranoid or bizarre delusions, disorganized speech and thinking with significant social or occupational dysfunction

3. Curriculum presents information additional disorders included under category of mental illness

4. Curriculum presents information about medical treatments such as medication, cognitive therapy, hospitalization, and/or behavioral therapy

5. Myths

6. Police curricula suggested methods of interaction

B. Mental Retardation: defined as individual with an IQ of 70 and below who displays deficits in self-help skills such as personal care, community orientation, or daily functioning (APA, 2000; Ainsworth & Baker, 2004; Batshaw, Shapiro & Farber, 2007)

1. Cognitive levels (IQ) associated with mental retardation
   a. 50/55-70 Mild MR
   b. 35/40-50/55 Moderate MR
   c. 20/25-35/40 Severe MR
   d. below 20/25 Profound MR

2. Characteristics of mental retardation
   a. sub-average intellectual functioning (IQ of 70 and below) and
   b. impairments in at least two areas of adaptive functioning (communication, self-care, home living, interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self-direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health or safety)

3. Myths

4. Police curricula suggested methods of interaction
C. Developmental Disabilities: defined as an individual who has developmental delays across multiple areas of functioning, specifically those with deficits in communication, social interaction and behavior (APA, 2000; Janzen, 1996; Lord et al., 2002)

1. Disabilities included under Pervasive Developmental Delay
   a. Autism
   b. Asperger’s Disorder
   c. Rhett’s Disorder
   d. Pervasive Developmental Delay- Not Otherwise Specified (PDDNOS)
   e. Childhood Disintegrative Disorder (CDD)

2. Criteria for diagnosis for each disorder
   a. Autism: DSM-IV-TR designated number of deficits in each of three categories: social interaction, communication and behavior
   b. Asperger’s Disorder: DSM-IV-TR designated number of deficits in social interactions and behavior
   c. Rhett’s Disorder: Normal development prenatal and perinatal with a marked decrease in head circumference between the fifth and forty-eighth months. Characterized by the repetitive hand movements that resemble hand-wringing.
   d. PDD-NOS: Often referred to as Atypical Autism due to late onset or atypical symptomology. Still meets majority of DSM-IV-TR criteria for Autism.
   e. CDD: Characterized by a significant loss of expressive/receptive language, social skills, adaptive behavior, bowel or bladder control and play or motor skills between the ages of two and ten years.

3. Police curricula suggested methods of interaction
### Code Sheet -- Mental Illness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>How is mental illness defined?</th>
<th>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</th>
<th>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</th>
<th>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</th>
<th>Is treatment information included?</th>
<th>Are myths presented?</th>
<th>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A variety of mind altering disorders, which cause abnormal thoughts, feelings, perception, judgment and behaviors.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Anxiety disorders; panic disorders; OCD; PTSD; Social phobia; Generalized-Anxiety disorder; psychotic illness, including hallucinations, delusions, thought disorder, bizarre behavior, withdrawal, and motor abnormalities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A term used to describe a broad range of mental or emotional problems that seriously interfere with the way a person is able to live his or her life.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dementia; depression; anxiety disorders such as OCD; substance abuse</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Cognitive therapy</th>
<th>Hospitalization</th>
<th>Behavior therapy</th>
<th>Other - List.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Community case management services</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental illness defined?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</td>
<td>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</td>
<td>Is treatment information included?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A term used for a group of disorders causing severe disturbances in a person's thinking, feeling, and ability to relate to others. A person affected by a mental illness usually has a substantially diminished capacity for coping with the ordinary demands of life. Thought disorder is a condition where the person's thought process is disrupted causing the person to experience delusions or irrational fears, see visions or a number of other irrational behaviors. A mood disorder also referred to as an affective disorder, is a condition where the person experiences periodic disturbances in mood, concentration, sleep, activity, appetite or social behavior.</td>
<td>One specific statement made: &quot;People who are affected by bipolar disorder may experience periods of excessive energy, feel no pain or feel they require little or no sleep.</td>
<td>General characteristics: fearfulness, inappropriate behavior, extreme rigidity, excitability, impaired self-care, hallucinations, delusions, disorganized speech, and depression are mentioned with definitions but not specific to disorders identified.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental illness defined?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</td>
<td>Are additional disorders included in this category?</td>
<td>Is treatment information included?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Can be marked by periods of extreme sadness (depression) or excitement (mania). Course outline only. Mentally ill part of &quot;special populations.&quot;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ability to describe and explain behavior of mentally ill is a specific performance outcome.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 8 9</td>
<td>Mental or emotional illness affects the way a person thinks, acts, feels and behaves.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Psychosis, Psychotics, Psychopaths/Sociopaths- (words are included and bolded with little to no clarification)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental illness defined?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</td>
<td>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</td>
<td>Is treatment information included?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Psychotic disorders are disorders of psychogenetic origin with or without clearly defined anatomical brain irregularities. These include the types of mental disorders commonly referred to under the legal term &quot;insanity.&quot; Hospitalization is frequently necessary... may be episodic, with periods of relative normalcy between.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Psychosomatic Disorders, Psychoneurotic Disorders, Personality disorders, Transient Situational Personality Disorders, Psychopathic Personality Disorders (Alcoholic, Drug and Sex Offenders)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bi-polar is not identified but category called &quot;affective reactions&quot; is listed and defined as &quot;reactions associated with disturbed emotions... the most common type of affective reaction is cyclical manic-depressive reaction... characterized by alternating and relatively prolonged periods of excitement (mania) and depression.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental illness defined?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</td>
<td>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</td>
<td>Is treatment information included?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 19 20 21</td>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Major depressive episodes; Manic episodes; Dissociative disorders including fugue, amnesia and depersonalization; Personality disorders including passive-aggressive, schizoid, paranoid, inadequacy and antisocial</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 23</td>
<td>Has definitions for &quot;categorical vulnerable adult&quot; and &quot;functional vulnerable adult.&quot;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental illness defined?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</td>
<td>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</td>
<td>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</td>
<td>Is treatment information included?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>“…term that refers collectively to all mental disorders. Mental disorders are health conditions that are characterized by alterations in thinking, mood or behavior (or some combination thereof) associated with distress and/or impaired functioning.”</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- PTSD
- Alzheimer's Disease
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>How is mental illness defined?</th>
<th>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</th>
<th>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</th>
<th>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</th>
<th>Is treatment information included?</th>
<th>Are myths presented?</th>
<th>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Brain disorders that impair thinking, feeling and behavior; and disrupt ability to function in activities of daily living such as social interaction, employment, education and self-care.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Panic attack; PTSD; Depression; OCD; Personality disorder; conduct disorder; Generalized Anxiety Disorder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Only in co-occurrence with substance abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>General definition: Illness, disease, or condition that either substantially impacts a person’s thought, perception of reality, emotional process, or judgment, or grossly impairs a person’s behavior, as manifested by recent disturbance behavior. Professional definition: DSM-IV</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Personality disorders (paranoia, antisocial, borderline); mood disorders (depression and bipolar); anxiety disorders (including OCD and PTSD); psychosis; developmental disorders.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>No specific definition of mental illness that distinguishes it from developmental disabilities</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General definition:**
Illness, disease, or condition that either substantially impacts a person’s thought, perception of reality, emotional process, or judgment, or grossly impairs a person’s behavior, as manifested by recent disturbance behavior. **Professional definition:** DSM-IV.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>How is mental illness defined?</th>
<th>Are characteristics of Bipolar disorder identified?</th>
<th>Are characteristics of Schizophrenia identified?</th>
<th>Are additional disorders included in this category? List.</th>
<th>Is treatment information included?</th>
<th>Are myths presented?</th>
<th>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Curricula module for Alzheimer's and Dementia training was provided.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>DSM-IV definition quoted. Also included state statute definition &quot;Mental illness for purposes of involuntary commitment, means substantial disorder of thought, mood, perception, orientation or memory which grossly impairs judgment, behavior, capacity to recognize reality, or ability to meet the ordinary demands of life but does not include alcoholism.</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Depression, Anxiety Disorders (panic, OCD, phobias PTSD), Personality Disorders (Sociopaths), and People Exhibiting Medically Significant Behavior</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>referral to community agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>No detail about what is contained in the 4-hr block.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental retardation defined?</td>
<td>Are cognitive levels explained?</td>
<td>Are characteristics explained?</td>
<td>Are myths presented?</td>
<td>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not defined</td>
<td>No, only defined as &quot;below normal mental development.&quot;</td>
<td>minimally</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>co-occurring with mental illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>An individual with below average intellectual functioning or deficits in adaptive behavior. Individuals affected by MR have a limited capacity to learn which may be caused by a birth defect, deprivation in early childhood, disease, consumption of toxins or poisons, or numerous other reasons. MR is not the same as Mental Illness.</td>
<td>no &quot;behavioral indicators&quot; are listed with examples. Areas included are poor communication skills, shortened attention span, poor sense of time, immature social relationships, overly compliant, difficulty with simple tasks, poor understanding of consequences.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes- broken into 7 categories with guideline examples: 1) initial contact, 2) instructions and commands, 3) evaluation, 4) assessment, 5) questioning, 6) information gathering, 7) resolution options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>People who are mentally handicapped have below normal mental development due to a brain condition.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>&quot;Control your frustration, think of how the impaired person feels. Be patient, kind and understanding.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>The mentally retarded individual requires special handling. An individual may look like a grownup but have an intelligence no greater than that of a child.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>&quot;...officer should be patient, kind and understanding.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Persons who have intellectual functioning which is below average. A decreased ability to learn.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Code Sheet -- Mental Retardation**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>How is mental retardation defined?</th>
<th>Are cognitive levels explained?</th>
<th>Are characteristics explained?</th>
<th>Are myths presented?</th>
<th>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>A genetic disorder in which a person has significantly sub-average general intellectual ability and is significantly limited in at least two of the following skill areas: communication, self-care, home living, interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health, or safety</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental retardation defined?</td>
<td>Are cognitive levels explained?</td>
<td>Are characteristics explained?</td>
<td>Are myths presented?</td>
<td>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>MR is characterized both by a significantly below average score on a test of mental ability or intelligence and by limitations in the ability to function in areas of daily life, such as communication, self-care, and getting along in social situations and school activities. Mental retardation is sometimes referred to as a cognitive or intellectual disability. There are different degrees of mental retardation, ranging from mild to profound. A person's level of mental retardation can be defined by their intelligence quotient or by the types and amount of support they need. Mental retardation is an impairment affecting the brain and its ability to process information.</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>A life-long disability consisting of impaired intellectual functioning.</td>
<td>Single level identified with IQ &lt;70-75</td>
<td>Only in how they co-occur with mental illness</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>MR refers to a range of substantial limitations in mental functioning manifested in persons before age of 18. Characteristics of MR are a below-average intellectual capacity plus limitations in two or more adaptive skill areas such as communication, self-care, home living, social skills, health, safety, academic functioning, and work.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Down Syndrome presented.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Down Syndrome</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How is mental retardation defined?</td>
<td>Are cognitive levels explained?</td>
<td>Are characteristics explained?</td>
<td>Are myths presented?</td>
<td>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Developmental disability means a disability attributable to brain injury, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, autism, Prader-Willi syndrome, mental retardation, or another neurological condition closely related to mental retardation or requiring treatment similar to that required for mental retardation, which has continued or can be expected to continue indefinitely and constitutes a substantial handicap to the affected individual. Developmental disability does not include senility which is primarily caused by the process of aging or the infirmities of aging. (defined in state statute)</td>
<td>mild/ moderate and severe/profound-examples given but no #s</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>No detail about what is contained in the 4-hr block.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Code Sheet – Pervasive Developmental Disabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>How are developmental disabilities defined?</th>
<th>Are disabilities under Pervasive Developmental Delay presented?</th>
<th>Is the criteria for diagnosis for each disorder presented?</th>
<th>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>No specific definition</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A DD is a disability which manifests before the age of 18, continues, or can be expected to continue indefinitely and constitutes a substantial disability for that individual</td>
<td>Yes presented as a &quot;severe disability in which all areas of functioning and interacting with others are affected&quot;</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How are developmental disabilities defined?</td>
<td>Are disabilities under Pervasive Developmental Delay presented?</td>
<td>Is the criteria for diagnosis for each disorder presented?</td>
<td>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four components: mental or physical impairment, onset before age 22, continues indefinitely, and substantial functional limitations in three or more of seven dimensions (self-care, receptive and expressive language, learning, mobility, self-direction, capacity for independent living and capacity for self-sufficiency)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>No specific definition</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Not addressed</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How are developmental disabilities defined?</td>
<td>Are disabilities under Pervasive Developmental Delay presented?</td>
<td>Is the criteria for diagnosis for each disorder presented?</td>
<td>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autism</td>
<td>Asperger's Disorder</td>
<td>Rhett's Disorder</td>
<td>PDD-NOS</td>
<td>Childhood Disintegrative Disorder (CDD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>No specific definition</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>No specific definition</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>&quot;...a severe, chronic disability of a person five years of age or older.&quot;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>How are developmental disabilities defined?</td>
<td>Are disabilities under Pervasive Developmental Delay presented?</td>
<td>Is the criteria for diagnosis for each disorder presented?</td>
<td>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</td>
<td>Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>No specific definition</td>
<td>Defined as a complex developmental disability that typically appears during the first 3 years of life, the result of a neurological disorder that affects the normal functioning of the brain impacting development in the areas of social interaction and communication skills.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Autism**

**Asperger’s Disorder**

**Rhett’s Disorder**

**PDD-NOS**

**Childhood Disintegrative Disorder (CDD)**

**Other — List.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>How are developmental disabilities defined?</th>
<th>Are disabilities under Pervasive Developmental Delay presented?</th>
<th>Is the criteria for diagnosis for each disorder presented?</th>
<th>Are suggested methods of police interaction presented?</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Developmental disability means a disability attributable to brain injury, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, autism, Prader-Willi syndrome, mental retardation, or another neurological condition closely related to mental retardation or requiring treatment similar to that required for mental retardation, which has continued or can be expected to continue indefinitely and constitutes a substantial handicap to the affected individual. Developmental disability does not include senility which is primarily caused by the process of aging or the infirmities of aging. (defined in state statute)</td>
<td>yes - detailed descriptors</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>48</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
<th>n.a.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CP = Cerebral Palsy, TBI = Traumatic Brain Injury, PDD-NOS = Pervasive Developmental Disorder - Not Otherwise Specified, CDD = Childhood Disintegrative Disorder.
March 3, 2009

To Whom It May Concern:

Each year the number of individuals with significant disabilities increases. We hope to review each state's current standards and gain valuable insight into current training practices. In order to do so, we are contacting Police Officer Training and Standards Commissions across the country requesting their current training standards and requirements.

We know that the demands on your time are pressing, but if you are able to provide us with information about your academy training programs, we would very much appreciate your help. No individual state will be identified in the study and all received materials will be kept confidential. Examples of requested materials are as follows:

1. Overall course listings with titles and hours required for graduation
2. Content of any courses relating to all disabilities
3. Training materials such as:
   - PowerPoint presentations
   - Handouts
   - Lesson plans
   - Curriculum guides

We wish to thank you for any assistance that you can provide. Upon completion of the study, we would be happy to provide your state with a summary of the findings and information you may find helpful for future training. Thank you for your assistance.

Please mail all correspondence and questions to:
Whitney Meade
14907 Clovercrest Dr.
Huntsville, AL 35803
meadeww@auburn.edu

Sincerely,

Whitney Meade, M.A.

Kenny Culpepper
Cullman City Chief of Police
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama POST</td>
<td>Alan Benefield</td>
<td>334-242-4045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Police Stds Cncl</td>
<td>Terry Vrabee</td>
<td>907-465-4378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona POST Board</td>
<td>Lyle Mann</td>
<td>602-223-2514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas Cmsn on LEST</td>
<td>Terry Bolton</td>
<td>870-574-1810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California POST</td>
<td>Paul Cappitelli</td>
<td>916-227-2802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado POST</td>
<td>John Kammerzell</td>
<td>303-866-5692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut POST Cncl</td>
<td>Thomas Flaherty</td>
<td>203-238-6505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware State Police</td>
<td>Ralph Davis</td>
<td>302-739-5903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>Maureen O'Connell</td>
<td>202-727-1516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Dept of LE</td>
<td>Michael Crews</td>
<td>850-410-8600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia POST</td>
<td>Ken Vance</td>
<td>770-732-5802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu Police Dept</td>
<td>Susan Ballard</td>
<td>808-529-3175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho POST Academy</td>
<td>Jeffrey Black</td>
<td>208-884-7250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois LE Trng &amp; Stds Bd</td>
<td>Thomas Jurkanin</td>
<td>217-782-4540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana LE Trng Board</td>
<td>Rusty K. Goodpaster</td>
<td>317-839-5191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa LE Academy</td>
<td>E. A. &quot;Penny&quot; Westfall</td>
<td>515-242-5357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas LE Trng Ctr</td>
<td>Steven R. Culp</td>
<td>620-694-1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Dept of CJ Trng</td>
<td>John W. Bizzack</td>
<td>859-622-6165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana POST</td>
<td>Judy Dubuy</td>
<td>225-925-4942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine CJ Academy</td>
<td>John B. Rogers</td>
<td>207-877-8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Police &amp; Corr Trng Cmsns</td>
<td>Raymond A. Franklin</td>
<td>410-875-3606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(acting)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Mun Police Trng Cmte</td>
<td>Dolores Ford</td>
<td>781-437-0300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Cmsn on LE Stds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota Bd of POST</td>
<td>Neil Melton</td>
<td>651-643-3060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Bd on LEST</td>
<td>Robert D. Davis</td>
<td>601-987-3065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Dept of Pub Safety</td>
<td>Jeremy S. Spratt</td>
<td>573-751-4819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana POST</td>
<td>Wayne C. Ternes</td>
<td>406-444-9976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska LE Trng Ctr</td>
<td>William J. Muldoon</td>
<td>308-385-6030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada POST</td>
<td>Richard P. Clark</td>
<td>775-687-3318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire POST</td>
<td>Donald L. Vittum</td>
<td>603-271-2133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Police Trng Cmsn</td>
<td>Robert Melson</td>
<td>609-984-0960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Dept of Pub Safety</td>
<td>Arthur D. Ortiz</td>
<td>505-827-9290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina CJ Trng &amp; Stds Div</td>
<td>Wayne Woodard</td>
<td>919-716-6470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina Sheriffs' Ed &amp; Trng Stds Cmsn</td>
<td>Julia Lohman</td>
<td>919-716-6450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina Justice Academy</td>
<td>Peggy Schaefer</td>
<td>910-525-4151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota POST Bd</td>
<td>Mark Gilbertson</td>
<td>701-328-5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Contact Name</td>
<td>Phone Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio Police Officer Trng Cmsn</td>
<td>Ron Ferrell</td>
<td>740-845-2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Cncl on LE Ed &amp; Trng</td>
<td>Larry Birney</td>
<td>405-239-5100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Dept of PSST</td>
<td>John Minnis</td>
<td>503-378-2043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Mun PO Trng Cmsn</td>
<td>John Gallaher</td>
<td>717-346-4086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island Mun Police Trng Academy</td>
<td>Anthony J. Silva</td>
<td>401-722-3360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina CJ Academy</td>
<td>Hubert F. Harrell</td>
<td>803-896-7779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota CJ Trng Ctr</td>
<td>Jon Bierne</td>
<td>605-773-3584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee LE Trng Academy</td>
<td>Brian Grisham</td>
<td>615-741-4448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Cmsn on LEO Stds &amp; Ed</td>
<td>Timothy A. Braaten</td>
<td>512-936-7700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah POST</td>
<td>Scott Stephenson</td>
<td>801-957-3211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont CJ Trng Cncl</td>
<td>R. J. Elrick</td>
<td>802-483-6228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Dept of CJ Sves</td>
<td>Ron Bessent</td>
<td>804-786-8001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington CJ Trng Cmsn</td>
<td>Michael Parsons</td>
<td>206-835-7347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia Div of CJ Sves</td>
<td>Chuck Sadler</td>
<td>304-558-8814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Trng &amp; Stds Bureau</td>
<td>Kenneth Hammond</td>
<td>608-266-9606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming POST</td>
<td>Betty Haukap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX I

### TOTAL TRAINING HOURS BY STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total Training Hours</th>
<th>Hours Dedicated to Disabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>585</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>416</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>562</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>849.25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>