Experimental Study of Renewable Energy Implementation in Cement Kilns through Industrial-Scale Downdraft Gasification of Poultry Litter

by

Bryant Thomas Hains

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Auburn University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

> Auburn, Alabama December 12, 2011

Keywords: gasification, waste fuels, poultry litter, cement kiln

Copyright 2011 by Bryant Thomas Hains

Approved by

Sushil Bhavnani, Co-Chair, Professor of Mechanical Engineering Thomas Burch, Co-Chair, Visiting Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering Sushil Adhikari, Assistant Professor of Biosystems Engineering

Abstract

Waste biomass, most notably poultry litter, is a potential source of energy that is widely available and cheap, especially in the southeast. Using poultry litter as an energy source provides a year-round outlet for this waste product. One major energy user that currently utilizes alternative solid fuels is the cement industry. Poultry litter in its raw state, however, is limited in use because of its high chlorine content and relatively large concentration of other unwanted constituents. Downdraft biomass gasification of pelletized poultry litter is a proposed solution to this problem as a means to produce a clean, consistent product gas for injection into the kiln. In this study, this process has been analyzed through experimentation on a pilot-scale 65 Nm³/hr (Normal m³/hr at 0°C, 101.3 kPa) syngas production downdraft gasifier to determine its effectiveness and consistency. The low ash fusion temperature and high alkali content of poultry litter prove to be difficult obstacles to overcome as ash clinker formation is an issue. Experiments with temperature depression via flue gas recirculation as well as experiments employing an additive (limestone) to prevent fusion and aid in chlorine retention in the ash have been carried out. Flue gas recirculation allowed the reduction of the gasifier secondary air oxygen concentration by 40-45%, yielding an approximately 100°C depression in average temperature. Results have shown that the clinkering is temperature independent, at least within the controllable temperature range. Limestone also has only a slight effect on the fusion when used to coat the pellets. However,

ii

limestone addition did display some promise in regards to chlorine capture, as ash analyses showed chlorine concentrations of more than four times greater in the limestone infused ash as compared to raw poultry litter. Experiments were also conducted to explore the effectiveness of mixing limestone with raw poultry litter, the object being to coat more surface area and have a more even mixture. These resulted in the most consistent experiments with no ash clinkering.

Once consistent gasification of raw poultry litter was achieved through a combination of woodchip dilution (60% woodchips:40% poultry litter) and addition of limestone at 5% of the wet poultry litter mass, experiments were performed to determine the capture rates of the contaminants pertinent to cement kilns. Limestone percentage was varied to explore its effect on chlorine retention at three different set points. It was found that limestone percentage, at least within the tested range, had little effect on neither the retention of contaminants nor distribution of contaminants through the gasifier system. On average, 89% of the chlorine was retained in the gasifier char, as was 94% of both the potassium and sodium, and 100% of the phosphorus. A contaminant concentration profile, developed from analyses of char samples from different sections of the gasifier system, showed that 69.1% of the chlorine retained was captured past the gasifier grate and 52.6% was captured in the significantly cooler filter box and heat exchanger sections of the gasifier system. Additionally, peaks in concentration of sulfur and potassium were observed in these same sections. From these results, it was concluded that cooling and filtering the syngas in the range of 60-110°C obtains the maximum removal of contaminants from the syngas stream.

iii

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisory committee of Dr. Burch, Dr. Bhavnani, and Dr. Adhikari for all their help and guidance. I would especially like to thank Dr. Burch and Dr. Bhavnani whom I have worked closely with the last two years and have provided much needed support and direction. I also would like to thank Christian Brodbeck and Jonathan Griffith who were extremely helpful and instrumental in setting up and performing the experiments in this study. Finally, I would like to thank my family whose love and support have been irreplaceable during this time.

Table of Contents

Abstract ii
Acknowledgmentsiv
List of Tables viii
List of Figures xi
List of Abbreviationsxv
1 Introduction1
1.1 Poultry Litter1
1.2 Cement Kiln2
1.3 Gasification
2 Background
2.1 Poultry Litter Composition
2.2 Undesirable Elements in Cement Kilns10
2.3 Chlorine and Alkali Release from Biomass under Gasification and
Combustion Conditions14
2.4 Gasification of Poultry Litter15
2.5 Reasons for Clinker Formation and Possible Solutions16
2.6 Limiting the Release of Chlorine and Alkalis17
3 Experimental Setup and Procedure
3.1 Experimental Setup19

3.1.1 Gasifier	19
3.1.2 Gas Analysis	27
3.1.3 Feedstock/Ash Analysis	29
3.2 Procedure	30
3.2.1 Exploration of Poultry Litter Gasification Procedure	
3.2.2 Quantification of Contaminant Retention Procedure	33
3.2.3 Data Analysis	37
4 Results and Discussion	41
4.1 Exploration of Poultry Litter Gasification	41
4.1.1 Initial Tests and Woodchip Dilution	42
4.1.2 Flue Gas Recirculation	46
4.1.3 Limestone Additive	50
4.1.4 Raw Poultry Litter and Limestone Addition	53
4.1.5 Summary of Results	55
4.2 Quantification of Contaminant Retention Study	56
4.2.1 Contaminant Mass Balances	63
4.2.2 Contaminant Distribution	72
4.2.3 Conclusions, Recommendations, and Sources of Error	77
5 Conclusions	81
References	83
Appendices	87
A Contaminant Mass Balance Spreadsheets	88
B Sample Calculations	95
	3.1.1 Gasifier

C Uncertainty Analysis	
D Additional Figures	

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Proximate analyses (% mass, dry basis) of five litter samples from the
literature
Table 2.2: Ultimate analyses (% mass, dry basis), chlorine content, and heating value of
six litter samples from the literature7
Table 2.3: Ash analyses (% mass, ignited basis) of five litter samples from the literature 7
Table 2.4: Origins of poultry litter components relevant to cement kiln implementation.
Element % are elemental, not oxide9
Table 2.5: List of elements relevant to cement manufacturing that are present in poultry
litter and their effects, adapted from Bhatty, 2004 11
Table 2.6: Comparison of poultry litter composition (% mass, dry) to coal and coke
samples used at the Lafarge Roberta plant
Table 2.7: Comparison of poultry litter composition (g/MJ, dry) to coal and coke samples
used at the Lafarge Roberta plant
Table 4.1: Poultry litter pellet proximate analysis 41
Table 4.2: Poultry litter pellet ultimate analysis 42
Table 4.3: Poultry litter pellet ash analysis 42
Table 4.4: Powdered limestone analysis 51
Table 4.5: Dense, gray, easily crumbled, amorphous ash analysis 52

Table 4.6: Summary of primary experiments and results, avg. syngas HHV≈5.4 MJ/m³

Table 4.7: Proximate analysis of charcoal, woodchips, and poultry litter (% dry basis).. 56

Table 4.8: Ultimate analysis of charcoal, woodchips, and poultry litter (% dry basis) 57

Table 4.9: Ash analysis of charcoal, woodchips, and poultry litter (% ignited basis)..... 57

- Table 4.10: Retention percentage of notable elements, not accounting for conveyor losses
- Table 4.11: Average retention percentage of notable elements at the three limestone set

 points, not accounting for conveyor losses

 65
- Table 4.13: Retention percentage of notable elements, conveyor losses factored in 66
- Table 4.14:
 Average retention percentage of notable elements, conveyor losses factored
- Table 4.16: Average retention percentage of notable elements, normalized to Ca
 70
- Table A.1: Ash contents of the input feedstocks
 88
- Table A.2: Analyses of feedstocks used in mass balances and oxide to element % mass conversion factor. Limestone total ≠100% b/c CaO and MgO are calculated
- Table A.4: Spreadsheet for 6.43% limestone/dry litter from 5/17/2010......90

Table A.5: Spreadsheet for 8.95% limestone/dry litter from 5/19/2010	91
Table A.6: Spreadsheet for 4.31% limestone/dry litter from 6/3/2010	92
Table A.7: Spreadsheet for 6.69% limestone/dry litter from 5/26/2010	93
Table A.8: Spreadsheet for 8.92% limestone/dry litter from 6/1/2010	94
Table C.1: Uncertainty of instruments used	97
Table C.2: Uncertainty of biomass and char analyses, units are % mass. Oxide an	d Cl are
on an Ash basis	98

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: A typical cement production facility, rotating cylindrical kiln circled
Figure 2.1: % Cl released by switchgrass and coal at varying pyrolysis temperatures 14
Figure 2.2: Alkali concentration in fluidized bed product gas before and after the gas
cooler for various fuels
Figure 3.1: CPC mobile gasifier unit parked outside of the Alabama Capitol Building 20
Figure 3.2: Labview schematic of Biomax 25 Gasifier
Figure 3.3: Gasifier with secondary air injection levels and grate level labeled
Figure 3.4: Average temperature profile inside the gasifier during a standard woodchip
run
Figure 3.5: Average temperature of the syngas at different locations in the system starting
at gasifier exit, proceeding through the heat exchanger (HEX), and finally
after the filter
Figure 3.6: Gasifier opened at seam 10cm above the grate
Figure 3.7: Chamber below grate, slanted entrance to tube leading to heat exchanger at
right. Larger, heavier ash particles settle here
Figure 3.8: Access port at heat exchanger entrance, Shop-Vac in use
Figure 3.9: Heat exchanger exit, tubes visible inside at left. Pipe bend and flexible hose
leading to filter box at right

Figure 3.10: Filter box with doors open. Bags are inverted inside barrels by syngas flow
Figure 3.11: Gas analysis flow diagram
Figure 3.12: Gas analyzer train used during experiments. HiTech K1550 top right,
California Analytical ZRE bottom, California Analytical Pump Pack II
middle
Figure 3.13: DewPro MMR101 used in contaminant quantification experiments,
measures % volume moisture
Figure 3.14: a) Poultry litter pellet detail b) Raw poultry litter
Figure 3.15: Propane water heater used for flue gas generation
Figure 4.1: Poultry litter clinker from initial trial, ~18 cm wide
Figure 4.2: Poultry litter pellet clinkers from 40% pellet run
Figure 4.3: View from top of gasifier of poultry litter pellet clinkers hung up between
levels 3 and 4 after loose biomass char had been removed. Level 5 is
obscured by clinkers
Figure 4.4: Average gasifier temperature profile during a typical run with pine chips 47
Figure 4.5: Impression of nozzle in poultry pellet clinker
Figure 4.6: Average gasifier temperature profile during flue gas recirculation poultry
litter
Figure 4.7: Easily crumbled, grey amorphous ash from raw poultry litter with limestone
Figure 4.8: 'Woodchip Char' sample, collected from the top of the gasifier 59

- Figure 4.10: Crumbled poultry litter ash as sent for analysis in one experiment for each limestone set point (3.71, 5.71, and 7.71 % Lime/Wet PL), labeled 'PL Ash'

Figure 4.11: 'Rest of Gasifier' sample, comprised mostly of charcoal and wood char.... 61

Figure 4.12: Char collected from chamber below gasifier grate, labeled 'Below Grate'. 61

- Figure 4.13: Char from inside and just after the heat exchanger, labeled 'HEX'...... 62
- Figure 4.14: Char collected from filter box, labeled 'Filter' 62

- Figure 4.18: Chlorine Retention vs. Limestone Percentage, Normalized to Calcium...... 70
- Figure 4.19: Potassium, Sodium, and Phosphorus Retention vs. Limestone Percentage . 71
- Figure 4.20: Mass percent of oxides in char ash throughout the gasifier, at 3.71%

limestone/wet litter	 3

Figure 4.24:	Percentage	of total mas	ss collected	of each	element at	different l	ocations in	
1	the gasifier,	at 5.71% li	mestone/we	et litter				15

Figure 4.26:	Ash mound	found when	gasifier is	s opened a	at seam	above the	grate, white	e
Ċ	leposits circ	led						79

Figure D.1: Dry syngas composition vs. Time for a standard woodchip run, fluctuations in composition are typical, with an average HHV ~5400 kJ/m³...... 103

List of Abbreviations

Acronyms

- CPC Community Power Corporation
- HEX Heat Exchanger
- HHV Higher Heating Value
- LHV Lower Heating Value
- LOI Loss on Ignition, % mass
- MW Molecular Weight, g/mole
- PL Poultry Litter
- WAL Wyoming Analytical Labs

Symbols

Α	Atomic Weight, g/mole
U _i	Uncertainty of <i>i</i> , same units as <i>i</i>
ΔH	Change in Enthalpy, kJ/mole
n	Number of moles
Nm³/hr	Normal cubic meters per hour, at 0°C and 101.3 kPa (1 atm)
Р	Pressure, Pa
R _U	Universal Gas Constant, $\frac{J}{\text{mole}\cdot K}$
Т	Temperature, K
V	Volume, m ³

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Poultry Litter

Poultry litter is a waste product of the poultry industry that comes from floorraised birds (primarily broilers) and consists of their manure, bedding material (usually wood shavings), waste feed, and feathers. According to the USDA, over 8 billion broilers (47.7 billion pounds) were produced in the US in 2009, and approximately half of all broiler production was from Alabama, Georgia, Arkansas, and Mississippi alone (1). Using an estimate of .52 lb litter/lb bird recommended by Alabama Cooperative Extension System, that translates to 12.4 million tons of litter produced annually (2). The most common use for this litter is as a soil amendment in farming (3). However, due to high transportation costs most of the litter is applied only to neighboring farmlands leading to nutrient buildup and runoff, most notably of phosphorus, causing excess algae growth, disruption of local ecosystems, and drinking water pollution (3-5). Because of these harmful effects garnered over time, there is much interest in finding other outlets for this waste product.

1.2 Cement Kiln

One proposed alternative use for poultry litter is as a fuel. It has an average higher heating value (HHV) of ~14 MJ/dry kg which is comparable to ~20 MJ/dry kg for wood and about half that of coal (3). A current major waste and alternative solid fuel consumer and potential venue for poultry litter utilization is the cement production industry. Portland cement is manufactured by heating limestone, primarily calcium carbonate (CaCO₃), to temperatures up to 1450°C to form calcium oxide (CaO) as well as calcium silicates and aluminates in a process called calcination (6). These temperatures are achieved by burning large amounts of primarily non-renewable fossil fuels such coal, petroleum coke, gas, or oil in a massive rotating kiln (Figure 1.1).



Figure 1.1: A typical cement production facility, rotating cylindrical kiln circled (7) It is in the cement producer's interest both economically and environmentally to supplement some energy needs with alternative, renewable fuels. Types of alternative fuels vary depending on availability relative to plant location, but some examples include: waste oils, landfill gas, bark, paper, tires, and plastics (8). A good alternative fuel

will have sustained availability and be economically beneficial to the cement producer, and in areas such as the southeast and where plants are in close proximity to poultry farms, poultry litter fulfills those requirements well. While these fuel selection requirements are not very discriminating, some further process-specific limitations do exist. The alternative fuel introduced must not adversely affect the kiln functionality or the quality of the cement product, and while the robust nature of the process makes this a generally undemanding requirement, negative effects can be garnered from excess heavy metal, alkali, sulfur, or chlorine content. Chlorides, sulfides, and alkalis become circulating elements in the kiln, volatilizing in hotter portions while condensing in cooler portions, leading to the formation of kiln ring build-ups and subsequent, costly shutdowns (8) (7). Every cement manufacturing facility has its own unique standards to determine the quantity of these deleterious components that is permissible, but the high level of alkalis and chlorine found in poultry litter either prevents or severely limits its substitution rate almost universally. Therefore, in order to gain the distinct economic and environmental advantages presented by poultry litter use, an intermediate step of biomass gasification is presented as a means to extract the chemical energy for use in the cement kiln while limiting the throughput of undesirable elements.

1.3 Gasification

Thermochemical gasification via partial oxidation is a relatively old technology; it has been in existence for over 150 years (9). The overall goal is to convert the solid biomass energy into a gaseous form with minimal loss, usually 10-30%, in order to gain the many advantages which gas possesses over solid fuel (10). A possible secondary goal

is to remove unwanted components from the fuel source, such as sulfur, as in coal gasification (9). This is the feature of interest relevant to the implementation of poultry litter energy in the cement kiln. There are many types of gasifiers, each typified by the oxidizer employed; the orientation and flow of products relative to inputs; or the use of fluidized beds versus static, packed fuel beds (9). A commercially available, relatively simple, low-tar production option is a downdraft gasifier. This vertically-oriented setup draws both the oxidizer (air in this case) and the feedstock in through the open top. The consumption of the biomass then occurs along the throat of the gasifier, proceeding to the grate at the bottom where the hot synthesis gas (syngas) exits, ready for use. The gasification process can be broken down into several stages as it progresses from the entry to the exit of the gasifier: thermal decomposition, or pyrolysis, in which the volatiles are driven off producing pyrolysis vapors and tars; char oxidation, in which char remnants from the pyrolysis zone react with remaining oxygen and other gases and are gasified in both endothermic and exothermic reactions; and char reduction, in which remaining carbon content is consumed through primarily endothermic reactions (11) (12). While the exact chemistry of this process is highly complex, there are several principal reactions vital to the formation of the syngas products that are well agreed upon and used commonly when mathematically modeling biomass gasification (11) (13-15):

Heterogeneous Reactions (Solid and gas reactants)

С	+	½O2	→ CO	$\Delta H = -110.6 \text{ kJ/mol}$
С	+	O2	\rightarrow CO ₂	$\Delta H = -393.8 \text{ kJ/mol}$
С	+	CO2	→ 2CO	$\Delta H = +172.6 \text{ kJ/mol}$
С	+	H₂O	\rightarrow CO + H ₂	$\Delta H = +131.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$
С	+	2H₂	\rightarrow CH ₄	$\Delta H = -74.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$
<u>Ho</u>	mog	geneous	Reactions (Gas re	eactants)
CC) +	H₂O	\rightarrow CO ₂ + H ₂	$\Delta H = -41.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$

$CH_4 + H_2O$	\rightarrow CO + 3H ₂	$\Delta H = +201.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$

The gas produced with the downdraft, air-oxidation gasifier is 'low joule' or 'low energy' gas, relative to other methods of gasification or pure pyrolysis, and is best employed in a 'closely coupled' arrangement for immediate use to preserve efficiency (9) (10). This arrangement would be ideally suited in preparing potentially harmful fuels, specifically poultry litter, for use in a cement kiln and, therefore, is the focus of the current study.

Chapter 2

Background

2.1 **Poultry Litter Composition**

Poultry litter is a non-homogeneous mixture of bedding, feathers, excrement, and feed. Because of this, no two samples are exactly alike and, thus, a model or standard definition doesn't exist. Proximate and ultimate analyses, on a dry basis, from different farms are usually relatively similar, but can have as much as a 20-30 average percent difference between parameters (16-18). A comparison of several proximate analyses and ultimate analyses of different litter samples from the literature is presented in Tables 2.1 and 2.2.

	Li et al. (17)	Schaffer (19)	Reardon et al. (raw litter sample) (16)	Reardon et al. (pelletized sample) (16)	Primenergy (18)	Average
Ash	27.96	32.65	21.9	26.5	20.61	25.9
Volatile Matter	65.16	53.96	62.7	59.8	45.64	57.5
Fixed Carbon	6.88	13.39	15.4	13.7	33.75	16.6
Total	100.0 0	100.00	100.0	100.0	100.00	100.0

Table 2.1: Proximate analyses (% mass, dry basis) of five litter samples from the literature

	Li et al. (17)	Schaffer (19)	Reardon et al. (raw litter sample) (16)	Reardon et al. (pelletized sample) (16)	Primenergy (18)	Bock (5)	Average
Carbon	28.2	35.03	36.6	33.7	40.89	37.8	35.4
Hydrogen	5.0	4.50	4.9	4.5	4.86	5.1	4.8
Oxygen	35.0	21.51	32.0	29.3	28.66	31.1	29.6
Nitrogen	3.4	4.06	3.9	5.0	4.30	3.8	4.1
Sulfur	0.9	1.14	0.78	0.95	0.68	0.4	0.8
Ash	27.7	32.65	21.9	26.5	20.61	21.8	25.2
Total	100.2	98.89	100.08	99.95	100	100	99.9
Chlorine	1.16	1.11	Not reported	Not reported	0.051	1.0	0.8
MJ/kg	13.31 (LHV)	Not reported	14.82 (LHV)	13.62 (LHV)	15.99 (HHV)	14.87 (HHV)	15.43 (HHV)

Table 2.2: Ultimate analyses (% mass, dry basis), chlorine content, and heating value of six litter samples from the literature

It can be seen from the tables that while the samples do compare relatively well to the calculated average, the differences between individual samples may be significant. Differences become even more apparent when comparing the ash analyses of poultry litter samples. There can exist as much as a 40-50 average percent difference between the oxide concentrations of two separate samples. Table 2.3 shows the comparison between five ash component analyses found in the literature and the average of these values.

Oxide	Li et al. (17)	Schaffer (19)	Primenergy (18)	Bock (5)	Codling (20)	Average
Al ₂ O ₃	4.9	3.45	0.84	1.9	1.73	2.6
CaO	13.5	15.00	23.60	17.3	17.63	17.4
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.1	1.14	0.85	1.2	1.03	1.3
K ₂ O	12.2	8.68	20.51	16.3	6.06	12.7
MgO	4.6	3.62	7.76	5.0	3.96	5.0
Na ₂ O	5.8	4.58	7.04	9.2	N/A	6.7
P ₂ O ₅	15.3	10.90	24.62	24.4	17.39	18.5
SO ₃	5.8	7.11	6.60	6.7	N/A	6.6
SiO ₂	35.6	38.40	7.46	8.1	N/A	22.4
TiO ₂	0.2	N/A	0.07	0.2	N/A	0.2
Total	100.0	92.88	99.35	90.3	47.79	93.4

 Table 2.3: Ash analyses (% mass, ignited basis) of five litter samples from the literature

While the exact concentration of each element (presented here as their oxide) can be very different for each sample, the species with the highest concentrations tend to be CaO, K_2O , P_2O_5 , and SiO₂. The relatively high concentrations of K and P, along with nitrogen, are what make poultry litter an attractive soil amendment. However, the high ratio of P to N and the high water-solubility of the phosphorus-containing compounds is what causes the phosphorus concentrations to build up in the soil over time and run off into watersheds and water supplies (5) (20).

The high variance in poultry litter composition, specifically of the ash components, is due to many variables involved in how the birds are raised and the individual farm's practices. Commercial poultry houses have dirt floors, so some components of litter come from varying amounts of dirt mixed in by humans when cleaning the pens or by the birds themselves (21). This inconsistency between farms most likely accounts for the high variability in silica (SiO₂) content found in the literature. Wood shavings are typically used as bedding in the pens and make up a substantial portion of the litter, and therefore the type of wood used has an effect on the poultry litter composition. The diet of the birds, in the form of unconsumed feed or through their excrement, contributes to the composition as well. A listing of ash components, other elements of interest, and their origins is displayed in Table 2.4. The table was compiled after consulting Carla Price, a nutritionist for Sanderson Farms, but can also apply to other production facilities as well. The broilers' primary diet consists of corn, soy and water and is supplemented with meat meal (ground up chicken parts).

Element	Possible Origin		
	• In soil		
Δ1	• In alum (Aluminum Sulfate), used to		
Al	keep ammonia levels of litter down		
	• Generally ~0.1-0.7% of wood ash		
	• In feed for bone strength		
	• 40g per bird over lifetime, large		
Ca	amount retained		
	• Generally the largest ash component in wood (~20-35%)		
V	• High concentration (2-3%) in soy fed to birds		
ĸ	Generally second largest ash		
	component in wood (~5-15%)		
	• Fed as a trace mineral		
Μσ	• Also in meat meal		
	• Generally the third largest ash		
	component in wood (~3-9%)		
	• In feed		
Na	• 10g per bird over lifetime, some		
	retained		
	Irace element in wood		
Р	• In feed for bone strength		
	• Generally ~1% of wood ash		
S	• Not in the diet		
	• Generally ~1% of wood ash		
Si	• In dirt, sand and water		
	• In wood ash		
Cl	• Fed as trace mineral		
CI	• In water, extra added to poultry farm		
	supply if experiencing nearth issues		

Table 2.4: Origins of poultry litter components relevant to cement kiln implementation.Element % are elemental, not oxide (21) (22)

2.2 Undesirable Elements in Cement Kilns

The exact alternative fuel standards and composition limits for a given kiln vary depending on the cement plant and are usually trade secrets. However, a few examples have been published and general guidelines are known about which elements in excess are harmful to cement product or the kiln itself. For example, the alternative solid fuels used by the Lafarge Cement Polska group in Poland must meet the following requirements (7):

- Heating value > 14.0 MJ/kg (weekly average)
- Chlorine content < 0.2%
- Sulfur content < 2.5%

Using these values as a general guideline, it can be seen that poultry litter barely satisfies the energy requirement, easily satisfies the sulfur requirement, and fails the requirement for chlorine with an average from the literature of about 1%. Although not included in this list, much attention is also paid to the alkalis in the fuel which become circulating elements in the kiln, alongside sulfur and chlorine. A list of elements found in poultry litter and their effects on the cement manufacturing process can be seen in Table 2.5. It is evident that the elements whose throughput it is most important to reduce are Cl, K, Na, and S.

Table 2.5: List of element	s relevant to cement r	nanufacturing that a	re present in	poultry
litter and their effects, ada	pted from Bhatty, 200)4 (23)		

Element	Effects on Cement Production			
	Circulating element			
	Forms alkali chlorides			
Chlorine, Cl	• Causes ring formation in kiln and			
	blockages			
	 Causes pre-heater buildup 			
	Improves burnability of clinker			
Magnesium, Mg	• Goes into aluminate and ferrite phases			
	• In excess may affect concrete behavior			
	• Reduces negative effects of alkalis on			
Phoenhorus P	cement strength			
i nosphorus, i	• In excess can decrease concrete			
	strength			
	Circulating element			
Potassium, K	• Forms chloride and sulfate compounds			
	in kiln			
	Circulating Element			
Sodium, Na	• Forms chloride and sulfate compounds			
	in kiln			
	• Can be a circulating element			
	• Forms alkali sulfates, necessary in			
Sulfur, S	removal of alkalis from the kiln			
	• Excess leads to ring formation and			
	blockages			

It can be seen that any alternative fuel with concentrations of harmful elements exceeding that of the primary fuel will be restricted in its substitution rate, the elements in question becoming the limiting factor. Conversely, any deleterious components with a lower concentration than in the primary fuel become less important. Comparing samples of coal and petroleum coke used in the Lafarge Roberta cement plant to an average of poultry litter samples from the literature on a mass basis (Table 2.6) and energy basis (Table 2.7) it becomes apparent that sulfur is not a limiting factor and is insignificant next to the concentrations in coal and coke. Making a comparison on an energy basis is pertinent because substitution rates are based on energy content, not mass (7). It was reported by Folta (7) that over a series of five burns, the energy supplied by coal was between 35-60% of the total, coke was 20-37%, and a combination of tires, plastics and alternative fuel was 18-30% of the total kiln energy consumption.

	Average Litter Composition from Tables	Coal	Coke
	1,2 & 3 Proximate Analysis	s. % mass. drv	
Ash	25.9	24.9	8.81
volatile matter	57.5	25.4	12.9
Fixed Carbon	16.6	49.7	78.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Ultima	te and Elemental A	analysis, % mass	s, dry
Carbon	35.4	64.50	78.7
Hydrogen	4.8	3.24	2.7
Oxygen	29.6	2.84	1.81
Nitrogen	4.1	1.02	1.23
Sulfur	0.8	3.47	6.74
Chlorine	0.8	0.059	0.013
Al	0.36	2.98	0.53
Ca	3.22	1.98	1.67
Fe	0.24	1.74	0.42
K	2.73	0.60	0.13
Mg	0.78	0.18	0.07
Na	1.29	0.05	0.02
Р	2.09	0.02	0.00
(SO ₃ in ash) S	0.68	0.03	0.74
Si	2.71	5.89	1.13
Ti	0.03	0.16	0.03
MJ/kg	15.43 (HHV)	26.45 (HHV)	32.25 (HHV)

Table 2.6: Comparison of poultry litter composition (% mass, dry) to coal and coke samples used at the Lafarge Roberta plant (7)

	Average Litter Composition from Tables 1,2 & 3	Coal	Coke
	Proximate Analy	sis, g/MJ dry	
Ash	16.79	9.41	2.73
volatile matter	37.27	9.60	4.00
Fixed Carbon	10.76	18.79	24.28
Total	64.81	37.81	31.01
Ultim	ate and Elemental	Analysis, g/MJ	dry
Carbon	22.94	24.39	24.40
Hydrogen	3.11	1.22	0.84
Oxygen	19.18	1.07	0.56
Nitrogen	2.66	0.39	0.38
Sulfur	0.52	1.31	2.09
Chlorine	0.52	0.022	0.004
Al	0.23	1.13	0.16
Ca	2.09	0.75	0.52
Fe	0.15	0.66	0.13
K	1.77	0.23	0.04
Mg	0.51	0.07	0.02
Na	0.83	0.02	0.01
Р	1.36	0.01	0.00
(SO₃ in ash) S	0.44	0.01	0.23
Si	1.76	2.23	0.35
Ti	0.02	0.06	0.01

Table 2.7: Comparison of poultry litter composition (g/MJ, dry) to coal and coke samples used at the Lafarge Roberta plant (7)

Having compared the composition of poultry litter to the standard fuels, observed an example of limits, and examined the effects of certain elements on cement kilns, it becomes evident that the most important components to limit introduction into the kiln are Chlorine, Sodium, and Potassium. This study will focus on these elements, but attention will be paid to other components previously mentioned as potentially harmful.

2.3 Chlorine and Alkali Release from Biomass under Gasification and Combustion Conditions

There is little documentation as to the study and quantification of the capture rates of the unwanted constituents of interest to the cement manufacturing process by means of downdraft gasification, especially with regards to poultry litter. However, some work has been done in examining chlorine and alkali release from other types of biomass under similar pyrolytic and gasification conditions. It has been found that in gasification of biomass HCl and KCl are the dominating chlorine-containing species released in the gas and are formed during the pyrolysis and char oxidation phases. Chlorine exists in several forms in biomass but it is believed that a significant portion is present as the salt KCl which is assumed to begin volatilization above its melting point of approximately 750°C (24). It was found in experiments performed by Bjorkman, et al. that under pyrolysis conditions using N₂, switchgrass retained its chlorine at all temperatures above 400°C far better than the two types of coal tested (Figure 2.1), an positive initial sign for the retention of this harmful component of poultry litter in the ash.



Figure 2.1: % Cl released by switchgrass and coal at varying pyrolysis temperatures (24)

It was also found that using CO_2 in place of N₂, the same experiments yielded higher chlorine release at temperatures above 800°C (24). Additionally, chlorine presence in biomass is known to facilitate the volatility and mobility of many inorganic elements, specifically alkali metals. Chlorine, in fact, has as much effect on the amount of alkali vaporized during combustion as does the alkali concentration (25). It has been found that in combustion of switchgrass, the dominant alkali containing gas species is KCl, followed by either KOH or NaCl at 1100°C or 800°C, respectively (26).

In experiments employing an ashing furnace, it was found by Misra, et al. (22) that varying temperatures from 600-1300°C when combusting wood sees a loss of K and S with increase in temperature but a retention of Na, Mg, P, Mn, Al, Fe, and Ca. K concentration drops off significantly above 800-900°C, while S decreases, although less severely, above 1000-1100°C. All results were normalized with respect to Ca which was assumed to be constant. A similar experiment was performed by Adams (4) with poultry litter, the temperature varying from 450-1000°C. Sulfur was not analyzed and different results were found. K, Na, Mg, Al, Fe, and Mn all decreased with increasing temperature, K the most severe. However, P and Ca were still retained.

2.4 Gasification of Poultry Litter

In the literature, gasification trials using poultry litter have been run in both bench-scale and pilot-scale arrangements with varying degrees of success. In all cases slagging or clinkering (fusion) of the poultry litter during gasification was an issue that had to be addressed (16) (19) (13). It was found by Community Power Corporation (CPC) that using pelletized litter with reduced moisture and limiting the superficial

velocity (volume flow rate of gas produced/gasifier cross-sectional area, measured in m/s) of the gasifier provided the best results in a bench-scale downdraft gasifier. This is said to control the temperature at the pyrolysis front, and without these measures, clinker formation was an issue. A pilot scale trial using 12.5% moisture pellets was run for 5 hours, producing 45 Nm³/hr (Normal m³/hr at 0°C, 101.3 kPa) of syngas with a lower heating value of 4.79 MJ/Nm³. However, no mention was made of the state of the gasifier char remaining upon completion of the run, as to whether fusion occurred or not (16). In another study, using a fixed-bed, counter-current updraft gasifier, in which the fuel entry and syngas exit is at the top and oxidizer entry is at the bottom, it was found that gasifying pure poultry litter resulted in a large agglomeration forming inside the gasifier. However, mixing the poultry litter with a fuel less prone to slagging, cow manure, in a 50:50 weight ratio char fusion was negligible (13).

2.5 Reasons for Clinker Formation and Possible Solutions

The clinkering problems encountered when gasifying poultry litter are attributed the interaction between the alkali metals, chlorine, and silica present in the fuel (24) (25). The root of the problem is thought to be caused by either one or both of two reactions. The first is the formation of alkali silicates from a reaction of alkali metals and silica. Alkali silicates can soften or melt at temperatures as low as 700°C depending on the composition, and most poultry litter is high in both alkalis (K and Na) and silica (SiO₂). The second is the formation of alkali sulfates from alkali and sulfur (25). As mentioned previously, chlorine aids immensely in the volatilization and transport of alkalis, particularly potassium, conveying them to surfaces where ash deposition occurs (25).

Potential solutions to this problem are co-gasification with other fuels, temperature reduction, and, as suggested by several sources, addition of up to 5% limestone or dolomite (5) (16) (27).

2.6 Limiting the Release of Chlorine and Alkalis

The addition of limestone in a combustion or gasifier environment can promote the capture and sequestration of volatilized chlorine. This aids in both the minimization of ash clinkering as well as potentially limiting the throughput of chlorine in the product gas with consideration to cement kiln usage. It has been proposed that gaseous chlorine is removed through the following path during combustion:

$$CaO(s) + 2HCl(g) \rightarrow CaCl_2(s) + H_2O(g)$$

It has been suggested through thermodynamic modeling that this equation is favored more at lower temperatures (550-700°C) and when high HCl and low H_2O are present in the flue gas (27). The use of limestone also would be convenient if needed at a cement production facility, as this is one of the primary raw materials employed in cement manufacture.

In the endeavor to mitigate alkali release, it has been shown that simply cooling and filtering the product gas at 400-500°C (cooler than the melting point of the alkalicontaining salts) can have a dramatic effect on the gas alkali concentration (28). This effect can be seen in Figure 2.2 where the alkali concentration (Na + K) in the product gas from a fluidized bed gasifier is plotted relative to temperature for many different solid fuels. The points on the right side of the graph are samples taken before the gas cooler and those on the left from after the gas cooler.



Figure 2.2: Alkali concentration in fluidized bed product gas before and after the gas cooler for various fuels (28)

In this study, various experiments were performed initially utilizing methods drawn from the literature as well as methods devised based on known fuel characteristics in an attempt to inhibit the fusion of the poultry litter during gasification in order to consistently gasify it (Sections 3.2.1 and 4.1). Specifically, trials were run experimenting with dilution of the fuel using non-sintering material, attempting to lower the average gasifier temperature using flue gas recirculation, and utilizing limestone as an anti-fusion and potential chlorine-capturing additive. This was done with the end goal of quantifying the alkali, chlorine and other potentially interesting elements released in the gasification of poultry litter, and as such, attention was paid to these properties along the way.

The next set of experiments in this study were performed to actually quantify the contaminant retention and sequestration garnered by the use of a gasifier while extracting the chemical energy from poultry litter.

Chapter 3

Experimental Setup and Procedure

3.1 Experimental Setup

3.1.1 Gasifier

This study was conducted using a Community Power Corporation (CPC) Biomax 25 downdraft, co-current gasifier (Figure 3.1). This unit is fully automated utilizing a Labview interface and designed to gasify 22kg/hr (~50lbs/hr) of dry biomass and produce 65 Nm³/hr (~2300 std ft³/hr) of syngas with a nominal energy content of 4.8 MJ/Nm³ (~130 Btu/Std ft³). The produced gas is pulled through a shell and tube, air-cooled heat exchanger and dry bag filter and can either be flared or used to run an internal combustion engine to generate up to 25kW of electricity. The heat removed from the syngas by the heat exchanger is used either to dry the feedstock in the storage bins or to heat the control room. A screenshot of the Labview gasifier schematic can be seen in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.1: CPC mobile gasifier unit parked outside of the Alabama Capitol Building



Figure 3.2: Labview schematic of Biomax 25 Gasifier

The gasifier is an open top design, which is where both the primary air and biomass enter. The inside dimensions of the gasifier throat are 130 cm height and 35 cm diameter. The biomass level inside the gasifier is constantly maintained using distance sensors which activate the onboard feed system. Secondary air is injected through five levels of nozzles spaced equally along the height of the gasifier and controlled by proportional valves in order to control the gasifier temperature and syngas composition. The gasifier temperature is monitored using k-type thermocouples ($\pm 2.2^{\circ}$ C) at each of the 5 levels, at the grate, and at two locations above the nozzles (Figure 3.3).



Figure 3.3: Gasifier with secondary air injection levels and grate level labeled

Pressure drop is also measured across the gasifier and across the grate using onboard digital manometers and the oscillation of the grate is varied according to the degree of pressure drop. Syngas flow rate is measured via the onboard venturimeter and corrected to 0°C, 101.3 kPa (1 atm). All pressures, temperatures, and gas flow rates are recorded by the onboard computer every 10 seconds.

Because the gasifier is fully automated, operating parameters such as temperature are controlled to stay within a desired range. Variations in secondary air amount, grate shaker speed/interval, heat exchanger blower speed, and other controls are made by the onboard computer to keep the gasifier as close to steady-state as possible during
operation. An average temperature profile in the gasifier from a standard woodchip-fed run can be seen in Figure 3.4. The temperature profile through the rest of the gasifier during the same run can be seen in Figure 3.5.



Figure 3.4: Average temperature profile inside the gasifier during a standard woodchip run



Figure 3.5: Average temperature of the syngas at different locations in the system starting at gasifier exit, proceeding through the heat exchanger (HEX), and finally after the filter

Additionally, syngas composition, and consequently the syngas higher heating value (HHV), are intended to stay within a desired range during steady-state operation. A typical run employing pine chips sees an average dry syngas composition (by volume) and volumetric HHV of approximately:

O ₂	СО	CO2	CH ₄	H₂	HHV
[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[MJ/m³]
0.5	20	12	1.5	18	5.4

*Syngas over duration of a typical run can be seen in Appendix D, Figure D.1

The O_2 concentration in the syngas is a result of tiny air leaks in the system and is higher if the gasifier differential pressure is elevated. The moisture percentage in the syngas varies with the feedstock moisture and is typically in the range of 5-10% (by volume). Ash sampled at the end of experiments was collected at several locations in an attempt to capture all of it. Before all experiments, ash was purged from every location using the same methods. Charred woodchips were removed from the top of the gasifier using a Shop-Vac down to around level 4 (see Figure 3.3). Ash remaining in the gasifier was collected by removing bolts and opening the gasifier at a sealed seam 10 cm (4 inches) above the grate (Figure 3.6).



Figure 3.6: Gasifier opened at seam 10cm above the grate

Below the gasifier grate is a round chamber (Figure 3.7) where the heavier ash tends to settle as opposed to becoming entrained and continuing through the pipe (slanted inlet, right side of Figure 3.7) towards the heat exchanger.



Figure 3.7: Chamber below grate, slanted entrance to tube leading to heat exchanger at right. Larger, heavier ash particles settle here

Some ash settles in the bend just before the heat exchanger. This is accessed through a port at the heat exchanger entrance (Figure 3.8).



Figure 3.8: Access port at heat exchanger entrance, Shop-Vac in use

More ash settles in the heat exchanger itself and in the pipe leading from heat exchanger to filter box. This is accessed by removing the pipe bend from the end of the heat exchanger (Figure 3.9, bottom right) and using a Shop-Vac to retrieve ash from the individual tubes in the shell and tube heat exchanger (Figure 3.9, just visible at left inside the heat exchanger exit). The Shop-Vac is also used to remove ash from the pipe leading to the filter box.



Figure 3.9: Heat exchanger exit, tubes visible inside at left. Pipe bend and flexible hose leading to filter box at right.

The rest of the ash is captured in the filter box by the self-cleaning, dry bags. Ash is collected from the filter box using the onboard auger that runs the length of the filter box bottom. The sides of the filter box are v-shaped, funneling ash to the half-pipe containing the auger at bottom.



Figure 3.10: Filter box with doors open. Bags are inverted inside barrels by syngas flow

3.1.2 Gas Analysis

During all experiments the syngas was continuously side-stream sampled and analyzed through a valve located after the heat exchanger and filter box, so the gas was cooled to approximately 60°C and relatively clean. The sample flow is as shown in Figure 3.11.



Figure 3.11: Gas analysis flow diagram

The two gas analyzers used were a California Analytical Instruments model ZRE and a HiTech Instruments K1550. The ZRE measured CO ($\pm 0.6\%$), CO₂ ($\pm 0.6\%$), and CH₄ ($\pm 0.3\%$) using Non-Dispersive Infrared (NDIR) method and O₂ ($\pm 0.5\%$) by means of a fuel cell (Figure 3.12, bottom). The K1550 measured H_2 (±2%) using thermal conductivity (Figure 3.12, top right). Gas composition data was recorded every 15 seconds using an Omega OMB-DAQ-56 connected to the analog 4-20mA outputs of the instruments.



Figure 3.12: Gas analyzer train used during experiments. HiTech K1550 top right, California Analytical ZRE bottom, California Analytical Pump Pack II middle

A dew point meter was used in the quantification of contaminant retention experiments (Sections 3.2.2 and 4.2). The meter was a GE DewPro MMR101 (Figure 3.12) that measured percent volume H_2O and was linked to the Omega-DAQ-56 through a 4-20mA loop. The probe was mounted directly in the syngas stream, just before the gas analyzer sampling port.



Figure 3.13: DewPro MMR101 used in contaminant quantification experiments, measures % volume moisture

The ZRE and K1550 analyzers were calibrated less than 1 week before each experiment using both zero and span gases. The DewPro analyzer was received factory-calibrated and requires re-calibration every two years, and thus was not re-calibrated during the study.

3.1.2 Feedstock/Ash Analysis

All biomass and ash analyses were performed by Wyoming Analytical Laboratories (WAL) in accordance with the corresponding ASTM standard except moisture analyses and woodchip char ash analyses performed during the quantification of contaminant retention experiments (Sections 3.2.2 and 4.2). For the analyses that were performed in-house during these experiments, moisture was analyzed according to ASTM Method E 871. Ash was analyzed according to ASTM Method D 1102, with the maximum temperature being 750°C, as used and suggested by WAL.

3.2 Procedure

3.2.1 Exploration of Poultry Litter Gasification Procedure

The first set of experiments was performed with the goal of consistently gasifying poultry litter. The literature showed this to be either difficult or was ambiguous as to whether poultry litter gasification could actually be sustained. In this study, various experiments were performed utilizing methods drawn from the literature as well as methods devised based on known fuel characteristics in an attempt to inhibit the fusion of the poultry litter during gasification. Specifically, trials were run experimenting with dilution of the fuel using non-sintering material, attempting to lower the average gasifier temperature using flue gas recirculation, and utilizing limestone as an anti-fusion and potential chlorine-capturing additive.

Poultry litter pellets were used for all tests initially (Figure 3.14a). The size and shape of the pellets, coupled with their ease of handling and relative homogeneity, made them a better candidate than raw poultry litter for the type of gasifier and feed system being used. Pellets used were manufactured by Organic Growing Systems, Monticello, MS.



Figure 3.14: a) Poultry litter pellet detail

b) Raw poultry litter

Later experiments employed the use of raw poultry litter, acquired from the Auburn University Poultry Science Department Research Farm (Figure 3.14b). In cases where poultry litter was diluted with pine woodchips or mixed with limestone, relatively consistent homogeneity in the mixed feedstock was achieved by employing the use of a portable, clean cement mixer.

For all experiments, the gasifier was started using a combination of natural charcoal and pine chips and allowed to come to steady-state operation, taking approximately one half hour, before the feedstock of interest was fed. All feedstocks tested were run for at least three hours so the effects of the fuel being tested would be amplified relative to the woodchips and charcoal used for startup.

In an attempt to lower and control the average gasifier temperature, trials were run using flue gas as a diluent, supplementing the secondary air. Early gasification trials with poultry litter pellets displayed a tendency to hang up on, and adhere to, the secondary air injection nozzles (can be seen in Figure 4.3). In the oxygen deficient environment of the gasifier, the highest reaction rates, and thus the highest temperatures, would be where oxygen is most available. Limiting the local "hot-spots" generated at the secondary air injection sites was seen as a potential solution. The experimental setup consisted of generating and cooling flue gas using an Eccotemp L10 propane water heater and feeding it into the secondary air blower inlet (Figure 3.15). The amount of flue gas generated was controllable by the propane valve and the O₂ and CO₂ content of the secondary air mixture could be measured with a second gas analyzer sampling after the blower. This analyzer was manufactured by NOVA Analytical Systems.



Figure 3.15: Propane water heater used for flue gas generation

3.2.2 Quantification of Contaminant Retention Procedure

These later experiments were executed after consistent gasification of poultry litter was achieved. They were performed with the goal of quantifying the retention of the deleterious components pertinent to the cement kiln in the ash. It was found in the first set of experiments (Section 3.2.1 and 4.1), that in order to gasify the litter consistently, raw poultry litter had to be mixed with approximately 5% powdered limestone (by mass, relative to wet poultry litter, or ~6.5% relative to dry litter) and diluted with pine chips to about 40%. In the Quantification of Contaminant Retention experiments, the limestone ratio functioned as the independent variable and two runs each were performed using 3.71, 5.71, and 7.71 percent lime relative to wet poultry litter mass. These slightly higher percentages were selected to account for the lower moisture content of the poultry litter used in these runs versus that used in the Exploration of Poultry Litter Gasification experiments (sections 3.2.1 and 4.1.4), as well as to allow for rounded-off, easy to work with weights of feedstocks (can be seen in Tables A.3-8). Also, to account for the lower moisture content, all runs were performed with the raw litter diluted by pine chips to a 35:65 ratio (litter:woodchips) instead of the 40:60 ratio used previously. As in section 4.1.4, 200 lbs (90.72 kg) of woodchips were fed into the gasifier following the poultry litter/limestone/woodchip mixture to ensure complete gasification of the fuel of interest. Despite this excess biomass, poultry litter would still be dominant source of the chlorine and alkali components in the resulting gasifier char due to the low ash content of the woodchips. All char produced was collected, sampled, and sent for analysis after every experiment. These analyses were then compared in a mass balance to the composition of the incoming feedstocks to determine the retention rate of contaminants pertinent to

33

cement kilns. Additionally, char from three of the experiments was sampled and analyzed by location from the heat exchanger, filter box, and below the gasifier grate in order to examine the effects of temperature variation on char composition.

Preliminary preparation consisted of acquiring a large amount of raw poultry litter from the Auburn Poultry Science Research Farm from the same pile and mixing well for batch homogeneity. This batch would be used for all runs. A consistent, homogeneous batch of pine woodchips was also delivered and used for all runs. Powdered limestone and natural charcoal were purchased by the bag and are assumed to be consistent in composition from bag to bag. Poultry litter, woodchip, and charcoal samples were taken and sent to Wyoming Analytical to have proximate, ultimate, chlorine, Btu, and ash analyses performed. A sample of limestone was sent to WAL to have an ash analysis performed.

The following setup was consistent between experiments, the only variable being limestone percentage:

- Prior to each experiment, the gasifier system was purged using a Shop-Vac and the onboard auger, as shown in Section 3.1.1.
- The feedstock mixture was prepared using a cement mixer, weights measured with an electronic scale. First all the litter and lime were mixed separately, then added to the woodchips in the following amounts:
 - o 130 lbs (58.97 kg) pine woodchips
 - o 70 lbs (31.75 kg) raw poultry litter
 - o 2.6/4/5.4 lbs (1.17/1.81/2.45 kg) powdered limestone

- 200 lbs (90.72 kg) of woodchips were weighed, to be fed after the poultry litter mixture in order for the litter to fully gasify
- The gasifier was filled to level 1 with charcoal from a bag, the weight taken before and after, and the difference recorded as the charcoal weight
- The gasifier was filled the rest of the way with woodchips, the weight recorded
 - Woodchips were kept at ready to be fed as the gasifier warmed up until the poultry litter mixture was fed
- Representative samples were collected and analyzed for moisture content, according to ASTM E 871:
 - Charcoal
 - Warm-up Woodchips
 - Poultry Litter
 - Woodchips mixed with poultry litter/Woodchips fed after poultry litter mix (same batch used for both)

The following procedure was consistent between experiments:

- The gasifier was started, buckets of woodchips weighed, recorded, and added to maintain proper level in the gasifier until temperatures reached steady state
- When the gasifier operation reached steady state (about one half hour after starting), the poultry litter/limestone/woodchip mixture was started feeding (automatically, by the feed system)
 - The time was recorded when the first bit of the mixture dropped into the gasifier

- The time was recorded when the last bit of the mixture dropped into the gasifier
- After the last of the mixture was fed, the 200 lbs of woodchips were started feeding using the automatic feed system
 - The woodchips and poultry litter chunks that (together with associated limestone percentage) that were sorted by the sorting screen were separated and weighed to be subtracted from the input amount
- After the last of the 200 lbs of woodchips were fed, the flame front was allowed to advance to the top, charring all the raw chips and consuming any remaining moisture. As soon as this occurred, the gasifier was turned off, sealed and allowed to cool overnight.
 - Woodchips that were sorted by the sorting screen were weighed and recorded to be subtracted from the 200 lb total

The following data collection methods were consistent between experiments:

- Syngas was side stream sampled, dried, analyzed, and recorded continuously from the first steady-state before litter/limestone/woodchip addition until end of run for: O₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄, and H₂
- Moisture of syngas was probe monitored and recorded continuously
- Gasifier performance data was recorded by the onboard computer continuously
- At least 24 hours after every experiment (to allow cool-down), ash was collected from the various collection points (Section 3.1.1), weighed, and sampled.
 - The char from the 200 lbs of woodchips was collected from the top of the gasifier, down to level 5, and labeled 'Woodchip Char'

- The rest of the gasifier char was collected (Figure 3.6), sample labeled
 'Rest of Gasifier'. The gray, amorphous poultry litter/limestone ash was separated by hand for the first three runs, sample labeled 'PL Ash'
- The char below the gasifier grate was collected (Figure 3.7) and combined with the char collected from just before the heat exchanger entrance (Figure 3.8), sample labeled 'Below Grate'
- The char was collected from inside and at the exit of the heat exchanger using a Shop-Vac (Figure 3.9), labeled 'HEX'
- The char was collected from the filter box using the onboard auger, labeled 'Filter'

Two experiments were run for each limestone percentage (3.71, 5.71, and 7.71 % limestone). In one experiment for each set point, representative ash and char samples were taken from each of the above locations for comparison of variation in ash composition through the gasifier. In the other three experiments, 'Rest of Gasifier' and 'PL Ash' were combined and analyzed as one sample labeled 'Gasifier'. Also, 'Filter', 'HEX' and 'Below Grate' were combined and analyzed as one sample labeled 'After Grate'. For all experiments, a sample from the 'Woodchip Char' was analyzed for Loss on Ignition (LOI), as dictated in Section 3.1.2.

3.2.3 Data Analysis

The data collected for each experiment was analyzed using various methods in order to understand and interpret the results. Syngas volume percentage was used to calculate syngas HHV and biomass and ash analyses were used to perform mass balances. In performing these analyses, several assumptions were made:

- Only species present in syngas are O₂, CO, CO₂, CH₄, H₂, N₂, and H₂O
- All gases behave as ideal
- All biomass and limestone is homogeneous in composition, on a dry basis
- CO₂ in limestone is fully consumed during gasification
- Limestone and poultry litter, after being mixed, travel together in the same wet weight ratio as they were combined for each experiment (This assumption is used to factor in sorted litter and residual litter in the storage bins)
- The ash content of the 'Woodchip Char' has the same composition as the ash content of the raw woodchips

When calculating composite syngas HHV, the HHV per unit mass (kJ/kg) of each of the combustible components (CO, CH₄, and H₂) were referenced from Turns, 2000 and Glassman, 2008 (29) (30). To get the volumetric heating value of each gas, the HHV's of each gas were converted to a molar basis and then multiplied by the molar density of an ideal gas at 0°C, 1 atm (the standard to which the gasifier flow is corrected to).

ideal gas molar density_{0°C,1 atm} =
$$\frac{n}{V} = \frac{P}{R_U \cdot T} = 44.615 \left[\frac{mole}{m^3}\right]$$
 (3.1)
 $P = 101,325 \text{ Pa}$
 $R_U = 8.3145 \frac{J}{mole \cdot K}$
 $T = 273.15 \text{ K}$

$$Species HHV \left[\frac{KJ}{m^{3}}\right] = \frac{\left(Species HHV \left[\frac{KJ}{kg}\right]\right) \cdot \left(Species MW \left[\frac{kg}{kmole}\right]\right) \cdot \left(44.615 \left[\frac{mole}{m^{3}}\right]\right)}{1000 \left[\frac{mole}{kmole}\right]}$$
(3.2)

The composite HHV at 0°C, 1 atm is then just the molar fraction (or volume fraction as dictated by the gas analyzers) of each gas multiplied by its corresponding volumetric HHV and summed up.

$$Syngas HHV \left[\frac{KJ}{m^{3}}\right] = (Mole \ fraction \ CO) \cdot \left(CO \ HHV \ \left[\frac{KJ}{m^{3}}\right]\right) + (Mole \ fraction \ CH_{4}) \cdot \left(CH_{4} \ HHV \ \left[\frac{KJ}{m^{3}}\right]\right) + (Mole \ fraction \ H_{2}) \cdot \left(H_{2} \ HHV \ \left[\frac{KJ}{m^{3}}\right]\right)$$
(3.3)

To find the element mass percentage (% E) from the corresponding oxide mass percentage (% $E_x O_y$), the oxide mass percent was multiplied by the ratio of element's weight in one molecule of the oxide to the molecular weight of the oxide.

$$\% E = (\% E_x O_y) \cdot \frac{x \cdot A_E}{(x \cdot A_E) + (y \cdot A_O)}$$
(3.4)

 A_E =Atomic Weight of Element

 A_0 =Atomic Weight of Oxygen (15.999)

In the Exploration of Poultry Litter Gasification Section (Section 4.1), experiments were performed examining methods to achieve consistent gasification of poultry litter. Replications of experiments were performed only if results from initial experiments were deemed promising. With this in mind, early experiments only were performed once or twice, but later experiments saw more iterations as results were approaching the performance and consistency desired. In the Quantification of Contaminant Retention Study (Section 4.2) 6 total experiments were performed, two at each limestone set point. This allowed averages to be calculated and trends observed both overall and at each variable each set point.

Chapter 4

Results and Discussion

4.1 Exploration of Poultry Litter Gasification

The proximate, ultimate, and ash analyses of the poultry litter pellets used in the experiments are shown in Tables 4.1-4.3. This composition is typical for poultry litter. The silica percentage in the ash is relatively high, but this varies from farm to farm depending on the amount of dirt that gets mixed with the litter in the pens. Na₂O is slightly lower than the average expected quantity as well, usually in 5-9% range. Additionally, ash fusion analyses showed initial deformation occurring at 1120°C in a reducing atmosphere and 1149°C in an oxidizing environment.

Doromator	% as	% dry
Farameter	received	basis
Moisture	11.32	-
Ash	25.12	28.33
Volatile matter	52.54	59.25
Fixed carbon, by dif.	11.02	12.42
HHV [MJ/kg]	12.40	13.98

Table 4.1: Poultry litter pellet proximate analysis

Table 4.2: Poultry litter pellet ultimate analysis

Parameter	% dry basis
Hydrogen	3.44
Carbon	36.72
Nitrogen	1.44
Oxygen, by diff.	28.45
Sulfur	0.77
Chlorine	0.847
Ash	28.33

 Table 4.3: Poultry litter pellet ash analysis

Oxide	% ignited basis
SiO ₂	45.30
Al ₂ O ₃	2.48
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.08
CaO	11.93
MgO	4.75
Na ₂ O	4.37
K₂O	13.00
TiO2	0.28
MnO ₂	0.34
P2O5	10.56
SrO	0.03
BaO	0.05
SO_3	5.82

4.1.1 Initial Tests and Woodchip Dilution

An initial feasibility test was performed employing the poultry litter pellets in the standard gasifier setup. The gasifier was started using woodchips and after steady-state operation was reached, the pellets were fed for several hours. However, upon inspection after the run, the entire gasifier was clogged with solid poultry litter clinkers that had to be removed with an air chisel (Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1: Poultry litter clinker from initial trial, ~18 cm wide This result was in agreement with most of the literature and showed the need for additional measures to be taken when attempting to gasify poultry litter consistently. Accordingly, the next runs were performed using a mixture of poultry litter pellets diluted with woodchips (the typical feedstock used in this gasifier), and with an eye to reducing the temperature of the gasifier through limited superficial velocity and reduced secondary air flow.

The first of these runs was a test to explore exactly what level of control over the gasifier temperature existed. The fusion of the pellets was most likely due to their low ash fusion temperature, a contribution of the alkali metals, chlorine, and silica present in poultry litter. Lowering the temperatures in the gasifier, especially the peak temperatures, was thought to alleviate at least some of the clinkering. Several trials were conducted running only woodchips and attempting to stabilize reduced temperatures.

The initial attempt consisted of simply reducing the set point temperatures in each stage of air injection for the gasifier. Although the peak temperature was reduced with this technique, the operation was not stable and the flame front rose to the top of the downdraft gasifier. This occurred because as air injection is reduced at each level (to reduce the temperature in that level), additional air was added at the uncontrolled gasifier

43

inlet (top) to provide the total flow rate of air required for the syngas production rate specified $(65m^3/hr)$.

In subsequent trials, to reduce the gasifier superficial velocity, the lower set point temperatures were used in conjunction with lower syngas flow rates until the syngas flow rate was reduced to 40m^3 /hr (the minimum allowed by the gasifier). The net reduction in average reaction temperature was less than 50°C using this technique.

The next runs were conducted to determine if the clinkering tendency of poultry litter could be mitigated by diluting the poultry litter pellets with wood chips. Trials with 20 percent and 40 percent poultry litter (by weight) in wood chips were conducted. Initially the trial with 20 percent poultry litter appeared to be successful and produced no external operating anomalies such as increased pressure drop across the gasifier. Consequently, without purging the existing material, a trial using 40 percent poultry litter was conducted. During each of these trials a suitable quality syngas was produced for the duration. However, as the 40% trial progressed, the operating temperature profile and the gasifier pressure drop became unstable and the trial was aborted. The gasifier was then cleaned and the ash was found to contain significant quantities of clinkered poultry litter pellets (Figure 4.2).



Figure 4.2: Poultry litter pellet clinkers from 40% pellet run

Subsequent trials and analyses showed that clinkering was actually occurring with the 20 percent trial but the low concentration of poultry litter pellets and the long residence time in the gasifier caused the problem to not be evident during the roughly 4 hour initial trial.

Additional tests were performed attempting to limit the gasifier temperature while feeding poultry litter by adjusting set-points, depressing overall gas flow rate, using wet woodchips to depress the flame front, and combinations of each. None of these options yielded either stable operation or a reduction of the pellet clinkering.

However, a pattern was observed in the clinker formation and location throughout the runs. Clinkers tend to be on the order of 6-8 cm in diameter, or roughly the size of a baseball. The pellet agglomerations tended to be found hung-up between levels 3-4 or 4-5 (Figure 4.3). This trend appeared to be independent of trial length or dilution percentage.



Figure 4.3: View from top of gasifier of poultry litter pellet clinkers hung up between levels 3 and 4 after loose biomass char had been removed. Level 5 is obscured by clinkers

4.1.2 Flue Gas Recirculation

According to the ash fusion analysis conducted by Wyoming Analytical Laboratories, initial deformation was found to be occurring at1120°C in a reducing environment and 1149°C in an oxidizing environment. Both of these temperatures, however, are greater than any observed in the gasifier under normal operating conditions. A plot of the average temperature profile inside the gasifier over a typical 4 hour run can be seen in Figure 4.4. Levels 1-5 correspond to thermocouples located at the 5 levels of secondary air injection.



Figure 4.4: Average gasifier temperature profile during a typical run with pine chips While this represents the average over time, it should be noted that peak temperatures observed during standard operation seldom eclipse 950°C and never exceed 1100°C unless the flame front escapes the gasifier top and is recorded by the top-most

thermocouple.

Given these observations, it was perplexing as to why pellet adhesion was occurring with such severity at these low temperatures despite the efforts put forth to abate them. However, when examining the clinkers formed during some of the experiments, it was discovered that several had distinct impressions corresponding to the shape of the secondary air injection nozzles (Figure 4.5).



Figure 4.5: Impression of nozzle in poultry pellet clinker

This gave rise to the theory that local 'hot spots' exceeding the ash fusion temperature were to blame for the clinker formation. In the reducing environment of the gasifier, the highest temperatures would be where oxygen is most available and actual combustion could occur locally. Limiting this oxygen supply through the use of a diluent, the most practical option being flue gas, was a prospective solution. In addition to diluting the throughput of oxygen to the gasifier char, the primary species present in flue gas (CO₂ and H₂O) could potentially participate in two of the dominant endothermic reactions to actually lower the temperature locally (11) (13):

 $C + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 14.42 \text{ MJ/ kg of carbon gasified}$

 $C + H_2O \rightarrow CO + H_2 + 10.92 \text{ MJ /kg of carbon gasified}$

To easily produce, control, and cool a flue gas stream, a propane water heater was used, as detailed in the Experimental Setup section. The lowest average gasifier operating temperature profile obtained is shown in Figure 4.6, for comparison to the standard profile from Figure 4.4.



Figure 4.6: Average gasifier temperature profile during flue gas recirculation poultry litter

The overall average temperature of the gasifier achieved using this method was 662°C, 102° cooler than the 764°C average of the typical run. This was obtained by reducing the syngas production rate to 55Nm³/hr (from the standard 65) to limit the superficial velocity through the gasifier and by diluting the secondary air by an average of 42.5%. That is, the average O₂ reading of the secondary air was 12%, 42.5% less than the 20.9% concentration of the measured ambient air. This test was conducted using a 40% mixture of poultry litter pellets to pine chips by weight for a duration of 3 hours and 20 minutes.

A negative effect manifested in the use of flue gas injection was a reduction in syngas quality. During a standard run with the gasifier using pine chips, the average dry syngas composition (by volume) that can be expected and corresponding higher heating value is approximately:

O2	СО	CO2	CH4	H₂	HHV
[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[MJ/m ³]
0.5	20	12	1.5	18	5.4

*Syngas over duration of a typical run can be seen in Appendix D, Figure D.1

A composition along these lines was observed when gasifying the 40% poultry litter pellet mixture under normal operation in a previous experiment that resulted in clinker formation. However, use of the flue gas recirculation technique resulted in an inferior average syngas composition and consequently an approximately 25% reduction in higher heating value:

 O2	СО	CO2	CH4	H₂	HHV
[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[MJ/m ³]
1.3	13.1	14.3	1.1	15.2	4.0

*Syngas performance over duration of run can be seen in Appendix D, Figure D.2 Despite the successful overall reduction in temperature, examination after the experiment showed that clinkering of the poultry litter pellets was neither eliminated nor significantly reduced. Clinkers were still recovered that had impressions of the nozzles, similar to Figure 4.5.

From the experiments run and observations made, it can be seen that the fusion experienced by the poultry litter pellets in the gasifier is temperature independent, at least within the controllable range.

4.1.3 Limestone Additive

The next option, as described in the literature, was the use of limestone additive to prevent fusion of gasified litter (5) (16) (27). A further benefit of the addition of limestone to the gasifier environment was the potential for the capture and retention in the ash of volatilized chlorine.

Powdered limestone was used for the experiments and the analysis is shown in Table 4.4.

Oxide	% dry basis
SiO ₂	1.60
Al ₂ O ₃	0.51
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.59
CaCO₃	81.86
MgCO₃	15.01
Na ₂ O	0.01
K2O	0.17
TiO₂	0.05
MnO ₂	0.06
P ₂ O ₅	0.05
SrO	0.07
BaO	0.01
SO ₃	0.16

 Table 4.4: Powdered limestone analysis

The analysis shows that the limestone used was of good quality, being high in calcium carbonate and low in silica and other constituents. As recommended in the literature, 5% by weight (limestone/litter) powdered limestone was used to evenly coat the poultry litter pellets in a cement mixer. This mixture was then diluted to 40% by weight with pine chips to prevent extreme blockage if the experiment was unsuccessful. Ninety kg of this mixture was fed for four hours with very little departure in gasifier performance or syngas composition from a standard woodchip feedstock run. Upon examination of the char, it was discovered that although clinkers had been formed, most were smaller in size than usual (4-5 cm diameter) and two larger ones (12-14 cm) had proceeded beyond the lowest level of nozzles, where few usually advance.

A second, identical experiment was run and after 90 kg of the mixture was gasified, pine chips were fed for an additional four hours in an attempt to flush the

poultry litter mixture through the gasifier. A significant amount of poultry litter pellets did feed past the last air injection nozzles, but they were found in the form of a large agglomeration containing both woodchip and pellet remains. However, the furthest advancing, pellet-containing part of this agglomeration appeared to blend seamlessly into a layer of easily crumbled, dense, gray, amorphous ash approximately 4 cm above the grate. This suggested that the pellets, having been fully depleted of their carbon content, no longer possessed the extreme traits of fusion displayed when found higher in the gasifier. A sample of this ash was analyzed and was found to contain oxides associated with both the limestone and poultry litter ash as well as a chlorine concentration of 3.57% (Table 4.5).

Oxide	% dry basis
SiO ₂	24.50
Al ₂ O ₃	1.30
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.25
CaO	37.78
MgO	2.49
Na₂O	0.89
K ₂ O	15.18
TiO₂	0.16
MnO ₂	1.34
P ₂ O ₅	1.99
SrO	0.17
BaO	0.30
SO_3	4.09
Loss on	8 55
ignition	0.33
Chlorine	3.57

Table 4.5: Dense, gray, easily crumbled, amorphous ash analysis

The chlorine concentration found (3.57%) is more than four times that of the raw poultry litter pellets (0.847 %) and indicates the successful capture of a measurably

significant portion. This was a promising result with regards to the end goal of limiting chlorine volatilization and entrainment in the product gas. The clinkering issue, however, demanded further attention if poultry litter was to be gasified consistently, leading to the set of experiments described next.

4.1.4 Raw Poultry Litter and Limestone Addition

A trial of raw, un-pelletized, woodchip-diluted poultry litter was seen as an alternative to the dense, seemingly non-porous litter pellets. This mixture would offer a more even distribution of the litter as well as allow easier carbon conversion. Results from previous runs using poultry litter pellets indicated that long residence times were necessary if total carbon conversion was to be achieved. This is due most likely to the low porosity of the highly compacted pellets limiting the gas diffusion and isolating reactions to the surface of the pellets. Additionally, trials could be run using the limestone additive mixed more evenly with the problem feedstock, as opposed to only coating the surface of pellets. Dilution of the raw litter with woodchips would be necessary for implementation in the downdraft gasifier and feed system due to the high percentage of fines.

Ninety kg of the 20% raw litter mixture fed for three hours for a total mass flow rate of 30 kg/hr. Over 90 kg of woodchips were fed for four hours following the poultry litter mixture to assure advancement of the mixture to the grate and allow for accurate assessment of performance following the trial.

The trial performed well and a syngas similar to pure woodchips was produced for the duration of the run. Only two small clinkers resulted from this experiment, and

53

both were found past the last level of nozzles inside the gasifier, indicating clean passage through the area where pelletized litter had been hung up.

A second experiment of 40% litter was run to determine the upper limit of raw poultry litter substitution. This was found to be too much for the gasifier, producing a large agglomeration between levels four and five.

To test the effect of limestone addition, 5% lime (to raw litter weight) was evenly mixed with the raw litter before being diluted to 40% with woodchips. Since 40% unamended litter appeared to exceed the upper limit, this concentration would be a good benchmark for comparison. The mixture was found to gasify much better than either the litter without lime or the pelletized litter mixed with lime. No clinker formation was found to occur, only the existence of an amorphous, grey, crumbly ash (Figure 4.7). This ash was easily broken up by hand and was likely to continue through the gasifier without much issue.

During the experiment a large pressure drop across the gasifier built up, but was alleviated by the continuing oscillation of the grate shaker. Even if this pressure drop proves to be persistent, operation was reliable and steady enough to conduct further tests in quantifying deleterious species capture rates.

An identical experiment was performed to test how consistent this method was and very similar results were found. The syngas composition and resulting HHV were slightly compromised by air leaks resulting from the elevated gasifier differential pressure (seen in Appendix D, Figure D.3), but gasifier performance was satisfactory for beginning the Quantification of Contaminant Retention Study.

54



Figure 4.7: Easily crumbled, grey amorphous ash from raw poultry litter with limestone

4.1.5 Summary of Results

A summary of the primary techniques explored in the study is presented in Table 6.

Technique used	Gasifier char state	Syngas Quality	Notes
20% pellets, 80% woodchips	6-8cm clinkers, nozzle impressions	Average	
40% pellets, 60% woodchips	6-8cm clinkers, nozzle impressions	Average	
Flue gas recirculation, 40% pellets	6-8cm clinkers, nozzle impressions	Below average, 4.0 MJ/m ³	~100°C reduction in avg. temp.
Limestone addition, 40% pellets	4-5cm clinkers, agglomeration past nozzles	Average	
20% raw litter, 80% woodchips	r, 80% ps Minimal clinker formation and only past the nozzles		
40% raw litter, 60% woodchips	Large agglomeration	Average	
Limestone addition, 40% raw litter	No clinkers: amorphous, grey, crumbly ash	Below average, ~4.0 MJ/m ³	Reduction in syngas quality due to increased gasifier pressure and resulting air leak

Table 4.6: Summary of primary experiments and results, avg. syngas HHV≈5.4 MJ/m³

4.2 Quantification of Contaminant Retention Study

The goal in these experiments was to quantify the percentage of contaminants retained in the ash when gasifying poultry litter. The proximate, ultimate, and ash analyses of the charcoal, woodchips, and raw poultry litter used in these tests are presented in Tables 4.7-4.9. The limestone used was the same as that in Sections 4.1.3-4.1.4 and can be seen in Table 4.4. The raw poultry litter has a lower ash content and a higher HHV than the pelletized litter used previously, making it a better fuel candidate from the beginning. When compared to the average from the literature (Section 2.1), the ash is still low, but HHV is average. The sulfur and chlorine content are lower than average as well. The silica content is lower than the poultry litter pellets, potentially aiding in its resistance to clinkering (as discussed in Section 2.5).

Parameter	Charcoal	Woodchips	Poultry Litter
Ash	5.64	0.28	19.63
Volatile matter	16.81	81.71	63.99
Fixed carbon, by dif.	77.55	18.01	16.38
HHV [MJ/kg]	30.17	19.40	15.48

Table 4.7: Proximate analysis of charcoal, woodchips, and poultry litter (% dry basis)

Parameter	Charcoal	Woodchips	Poultry Litter
Hydrogen	1.88	5.03	3.87
Carbon	80.44	49.33	36.69
Nitrogen	0.52	0.53	0.77
Oxygen, by dif.	11.49	44.70	38.44
Sulfur	0.01	0.13	0.10
Chlorine	0.0221	0.0030	0.502
Ash	5.64	0.28	19.63

Table 4.8: Ultimate analysis of charcoal, woodchips, and poultry litter (% dry basis)

Table 4.9: Ash analysis of charcoal, woodchips, and poultry litter (% Ignited Basis)

Oxide	Charcoal	Woodchips	Poultry Litter
SiO ₂	6.51	13.99	25.96
Al ₂ O ₃	0.43	2.99	2.87
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.97	6.55	1.47
CaO	78.06	35.50	28.29
MgO	2.15	11.95	4.65
Na ₂ O	0.90	1.78	3.33
K₂O	5.16	12.50	11.31
TiO2	0.00	0.00	0.07
MnO ₂	2.04	3.73	0.23
P ₂ O ₅	1.07	5.81	15.83
SrO	0.29	0.16	0.03
BaO	0.43	0.47	0.00
SO_3	1.91	4.39	3.52
Chlorine	0.07	0.22	3.13
Total	99.98	99.99	100.00

The low Ash and Chlorine content of the charcoal and woodchips relative to the litter, paired with the low alkali and phosphorus content of the charcoal ensured that the poultry litter was by far the dominant source of Na, K, P, and Cl in the experiments. For all experiments, poultry litter accounted for 90.6 \pm 0.6% Na, 83.6 \pm 0.9% K, 95.5 \pm 0.2% P, and 93.6 \pm 0.4% Cl fed into the gasifier (Appendix A, Tables A.3-8).
Results between experiments were very similar from a gasifier performance, qualitative analysis, and syngas production standpoint. During all runs, gasifier differential pressure started climbing after the poultry litter mixture had been feeding for about one hour, due to the large amount of fines present in poultry litter. By one and a half hours it had reached 25" H₂O (6.2 kPa), the maximum in the measured range for the onboard manometer, where it remained for the duration of the test. Consequently, air was drawn into the system anywhere there were small leaks and syngas quality suffered. It was discovered after sampling syngas from different parts of the system that the primary source of the leak was somewhere in the filter box. The dry average syngas composition and HHV during the feeding of the litter/limestone/woodchip mixture for one of the experiments (8.95% limestone/dry litter) is as follows:

O ₂	СО	CO2	CH ₄	H₂	HHV
[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[MJ/m ³]
5.6	14.9	8.5	0.8	12.1	3.8

*Syngas variation during this typical run can be seen in Appendix D, Figure D.4 This result was very typical for all experiments. Since it was found that all O_2 was entering through leaks in the filter box, and assuming that 3.76 moles of N_2 entered for every mole of O_2 in air, a corrected syngas composition and corresponding HHV could be calculated:

O2	CO	CO2	CH4	H₂	HHV
[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[MJ/m ³]
0.0	20.4	11.6	1.1	16.6	5.1

This corrected composition and HHV is what would be achieved if leaks were eliminated from the system and is very comparable to the standard woodchip syngas composition as described in Section 3.1.1. However, the root of the problem is the high pressure across the gasifier which is an issue that needs to be addressed if gasifying poultry litter is to be further pursued.

Ash recovered from each section of the gasifier was visibly identical between experiments, the only minor difference being 'PL Ash' crumbling slightly less easily at the 3.71% limestone set point, perhaps indicating an approach towards the threshold of clinkering for a minimum amount of limestone. A sample of the 'Woodchip Char' collected from the top of the gasifier using a Shop-Vac can be seen in Figure 4.8. A dime is pictured as a size reference.



Figure 4.8: 'Woodchip Char' sample, collected from the top of the gasifier

When the gasifier was opened at the seam above the grate, the distinction between what appears to be the poultry litter and limestone ash and the charred wood and charcoal was evident. The poultry litter ash, as previously described in Section 4.1.4, is gray, crumbly and amorphous in nature, and can be seen as the light gray sections of ash in Figure 4.9.



Figure 4.9: Ash mound as seen when gasifier is opened at crack above grate. Poultry litter ash is the light gray section in the middle of the mound After being separated from the charcoal and wood char by hand and crumbled, the poultry litter and limestone ash can be seen in Figure 4.10. This was separated, weighed, and sent for analysis as 'PL Ash' in the first experiment for each of the three limestone set points (3.71, 5.71, and 7.71 % Limestone/Wet Litter), but mixed with the rest of the gasifier char for the second. The charcoal and wood char not included in the 'PL Ash' sample was labeled 'Rest of Gasifier' and can be seen in Figure 4.11.



Figure 4.10: Crumbled poultry litter ash as sent for analysis in one experiment for each limestone set point (3.71, 5.71, and 7.71 % Lime/Wet PL), labeled 'PL Ash'



Figure 4.11: 'Rest of Gasifier' sample, comprised mostly of charcoal and wood char The char that settled in the chamber below the gasifier grate and just before the heat exchanger, a section where syngas is between 600-750°C, was labeled 'Below Grate' and can be seen in Figure 4.12.



Figure 4.12: Char collected from chamber below gasifier grate, labeled 'Below Grate' The char that settled inside and just after the exit of the heat exchanger was labeled 'HEX' and can be seen in Figure 4.13. It is much finer than that below the grate. Syngas in this section is cooled from 600-630°C down to 100-110°C.



Figure 4.13: Char from inside and just after the heat exchanger, labeled 'HEX' The final place char is found is the filter box, samples labeled 'Filter'. This char is very similar to the 'HEX' sample, only slightly darker and more powdery (Figure 4.14). Syngas in this section is filtered through bags and cools from 100-110°C to 50-60°C.



Figure 4.14: Char collected from filter box, labeled 'Filter' In the second experiment for each of the three limestone percentages (3.71, 5.71, and 7.71 %), the char comprising the 'Below Grate', 'HEX', and 'Filter' samples was combined, mixed, and then sampled as 'Past Grate'. In these same three experiments, all char labeled 'PL Ash' and 'Rest of Gasifier' was combined, mixed, and sampled as 'Gasifier'.

4.2.1 Contaminant Mass Balances

The procedure followed was that dictated in Section 3.2.2, however after the first four experiments it was discovered that a small amount of the biomass fines were falling below the conveyer in the onboard feed system and never making it into the gasifier. Therefore, during the final two experiments, the small portion of poultry litter mixture that fell through was collected and weighed as was the small portion of the 200 lbs (90.72) kg) of woodchips fed after the poultry litter mixture using the onboard conveyer. The two runs yielded similar results with 4.64 lbs of woodchips and 10.05 lbs of poultry litter mix being collected for one and 5.10 lbs of woodchips and 12.75 lbs of poultry litter mix collected for the other. The average of these two (4.86 lbs woodchips and 11.40 lbs of PL mix) was then assumed as the loss for each of the previous four experiments. To find the composition of the PL mix that was recovered, it was assumed that the same ratio of woodchips fell through from both the 200 lbs woodchip batch and from the woodchips in the poultry litter mixture (130 lbs). This amount (i.e., for the average: $\frac{4.86}{200} \cdot 130$) was then subtracted from the PL mix recovered and what remained was assumed to be poultry litter and lime present in the same ratio as they were mixed for each experiment (i.e. 3.71, 5.71, or 7.71% Limestone/Wet PL).

The analyses for each of the raw feedstocks (charcoal, woodchips, poultry litter, and limestone), coupled with the experimentally found moisture content, predetermined weight, and experimental losses were used to determine the total amount of each contaminant of interest (K, Na, P, Cl) and a tracer element (Ca) fed into the gasifier. In the same manner, using the mass of ash collected, lab analyses, and experimentally determined LOI's the amount of each contaminant and tracer mineral recovered in the ash was determined. Ratios of mass recovered in char to mass fed into the gasifier were then calculated for each element in every experiment, giving a retention percentage of the elements when gasified. Additionally, total ash content fed into the gasifier was calculated from the feedstock weight and ash analysis, as was total ash content recovered from the char. These values were then compared and used to calculate a percent difference of total ash content collected to total ash content expected. These mass balances and analyses can be seen in Appendix A.

Not factoring in the assumed and measured losses from the onboard conveyor, the retention percentage of each element for every experiment can be seen in Table 4.10, arranged in order of increasing Limestone/Dry poultry litter percentage. The average retention percentage at each Limestone/Wet Poultry Litter set point can be seen in Table 4.11.

%	%					
Limestone/Wet	Limestone/Dry	Р	К	Cl	Na	Ca
PL	PL					
3.71	4.24	83.2%	80.4%	63.3%	73.5%	74.5%
3.71	4.31	112.4%	91.9%	92.7%	101.4%	104.8%
5.71	6.49	91.7%	90.4%	89.3%	89.2%	89.6%
5.71	6.69	100.3%	80.8%	73.1%	96.1%	90.6%
7.71	8.92	71.9%	81.0%	76.4%	60.9%	65.5%
7.71	8.95	88.3%	86.4%	82.0%	84.8%	83.9%
	Average:	91.3%	85.2%	79.5%	84.3%	84.8%

Table 4.10: Retention percentage of notable elements, not accounting for conveyor losses

%					
Limestone/Wet	Р	K	Cl	Na	Ca
PL					
3.71	97.8%	86.1%	78.0%	87.4%	89.7%
5.71	96.0%	85.6%	81.2%	92.6%	90.1%
7.71	80.1%	83.7%	79.2%	72.8%	74.7%

Table 4.11: Average retention percentage of notable elements at the three limestone set points, not accounting for conveyor losses

To compare the effect of factoring in the conveyor losses, Table 4.12 shows the absolute

percent difference between total ash content put into the gasifier and total ash content

recovered in the char, with and without the conveyor losses factored in. It also shows the

percentage of the total input ash content that the conveyer losses account for in each run.

Table 4.12: % of total input ash content accounted for by conveyer losses and absolute % difference between total input ash and output ash with and without conveyor losses factored in. *designates runs where conveyer losses were collected and weighed, losses for all others are the average of the two

% Limestone/Wet	% Limestone/Dry	% of	Abs. % Diff. bet in and tot	weent total ash al ash out
PL	PL	ash	With losses factored in	Without losses factored in
3.71	4.24	9.4%	12.6%	20.8%
3.71*	4.31*	8.1%	7.7%	1.0%
5.71	6.49	9.2%	0.2%	9.4%
5.71	6.69	9.5%	0.4%	9.1%
7.71*	8.92*	12.2%	25.4%	33.4%
7.71	8.95	9.2%	7.8%	16.3%
	Average:	9.6%	9.0%	15.0%

It can be seen that including the conveyer losses gains an average 6.0% improvement in ash percent difference for all runs. Also, the losses account for an average 9.6% of the input ash. Because of this, all results presented henceforth will have the conveyor losses factored in.

The retention percentage of notable elements, losses factored in, is presented in Table 4.13. Compared to Table 4.10, phosphorus is 6.3% closer and calcium is 7.4% closer on average to 100% retention. In the literature (Section 2.3) it was found that P and Ca did not volatilize at gasification or combustion temperatures and thus are expected to be accounted for fully in the gasifier char. Ca particularly is expected to be fully retained in the ash and was used in the literature as a foundation for normalizing data. The average retention percentage at each limestone set point can be seen in Table 4.14.

%	%					
Limestone/Wet	Limestone/Dry	Р	K	Cl	Na	Ca
PL	PL					
3.71	4.24	93.7%	89.4%	71.0%	82.2%	81.0%
3.71	4.31	124.4%	100.5%	102.5%	111.7%	112.4%
5.71	6.49	102.9%	100.1%	100.0%	99.5%	97.3%
5.71	6.69	112.5%	89.7%	81.9%	107.3%	98.8%
7.71	8.92	82.0%	91.2%	87.0%	69.0%	72.6%
7.71	8.95	98.9%	95.7%	91.7%	94.5%	91.3%
	Average:	102.4%	94.4%	89.0%	94.0%	92.2%

 Table 4.13: Retention percentage of notable elements, conveyor losses factored in

Table 4.14: Average retention percentage of notable elements, conveyor losses factored in

% Limestone/Wet PL	Ρ	К	CI	Na	Са
3.71	109.0%	95.0%	86.8%	97.0%	96.7%
5.71	107.7%	94.9%	91.0%	103.4%	98.1%
7.71	90.5%	93.4%	89.3%	81.7%	81.9%

The data from Tables 4.13-14 is presented graphically in Figures 4.15-17, plotted as percent retention vs. limestone percent (on a wet litter basis). The data points for the two runs at each limestone set point are displayed as diamonds, and the average for those points is shown as an asterisk with a curve fit.



Figure 4.15: Chlorine Retention vs. Limestone Percentage



Figure 4.16: Alkali Retention vs. Limestone Percentage



Figure 4.17: Phosphorus and Calcium Retention vs. Limestone Percentage

Chlorine is retained quite well, the average being 89.0%. The average at each set point doesn't vary much from the overall average, indicating little effect of limestone percentage on chlorine retention, at least within the range tested. The average potassium retention appears steady between limestone percentages, straying little from the overall average of 94.4%. The trend lines for Na, P, and Ca, however, all decline quite substantially with increasing limestone percentage. It may be possible for Ca that as more limestone is mixed with the raw poultry litter, there is also more that is lost as dust while mixing or that falls through the conveyor while feeding. However, phosphorus shows a similar decrease and the extremely low P content in limestone (0.05% dry basis, Table

4.4.) prevents that theory for accounting for the losses of both of these elements that were expected to be fully retained. Analyzing the numbers from Tables 4.13 and 4.12 it can be seen that the experiment contributing most to the low average of Na, P, and Ca at 7.71% limestone also has the largest percent difference between total ash in and out: 25.4% even after including the conveyor losses. Additionally, it can be observed from Table 4.13 that the calcium retention percentage for each experiment is very indicative of the percent retention of the other elements for that run. For example, in an experiment when Ca is lower than the average, all other parameters are lower than average as well. The same trend can be observed for the experiment with a Ca balance of greater than 100%. With these observations in mind, and borrowing the technique discussed from the literature, each experiment was normalized to the expected value of 100% Ca (22). Table 4.15 shows the retention percentage for all experiments when the results for each experiment were normalized to Ca. The average retention percentage at each % Limestone/Wet Litter set point can be seen in Table 4.16.

%	%					
Limestone/Wet	Limestone/Dry	Р	К	Cl	Na	Ca
PL	PL					
3.71	4.24	115.7%	110.4%	87.7%	101.5%	100.0%
3.71	4.31	110.7%	89.5%	91.2%	99.4%	100.0%
5.71	6.49	105.7%	102.9%	102.7%	102.3%	100.0%
5.71	6.69	113.8%	90.7%	82.9%	108.6%	100.0%
7.71	8.92	113.0%	125.7%	119.9%	95.2%	100.0%
7.71	8.95	108.3%	104.7%	100.4%	103.4%	100.0%
	Average:	111.2%	104.0%	97.5%	101.7%	100.0%

 Table 4.15: Retention percentage of notable elements, normalized to Ca

% Limestone/Wet PL	Р	К	Cl	Na	Са
3.71	113.2%	100.0%	89.5%	100.5%	100.0%
5.71	109.8%	96.8%	92.8%	105.4%	100.0%
7.71	110.7%	115.2%	110.1%	99.3%	100.0%

Table 4.16: Average retention percentage of notable elements, normalized to Ca

The data from Tables 4.15-16 is presented graphically in Figures 4.18-19, plotted as percent retention vs. limestone percent (on a wet litter basis). The data points for the two runs at each limestone set point are displayed as diamonds, and the average for those points is shown as an asterisk with a curve fit.



Figure 4.18: Chlorine Retention vs. Limestone Percentage, Normalized to Calcium



Figure 4.19: Potassium, Sodium, and Phosphorus Retention vs. Limestone Percentage

Normalizing to calcium tightened up most of the data points. The span between the two data points at each limestone percentage improved on average from 16.8% to 11.0% for every element excluding Ca. The phosphorus trend line is now flattened out and the average retention at the 7.71% limestone set point is in line with the averages at 3.71% and 5.71%, which both changed very little. However, now all data points for P as well as several for Na, K, and Cl are above 100%. The trend lines for both Cl and K appear to indicate an increase in retention with an increase in limestone addition, which was the hypothesized result (an increase in Cl capture by the limestone limiting K reaction and volatilization with Cl), however the peak for both is at least 110%.

Overall, it is difficult to say whether the trend lines actually represent a trend, due to limited number of data points and the substantial scatter of the points. However, on average, nearly all of the contaminates appear to be captured. The lowest average retention percentage over all runs, regardless of losses included or normalization, was Cl and at worst the average retention percentage was 78.0% (for 3.71% limestone, not including conveyor losses). Including the known conveyor losses, an average 89.0% Cl was captured over all runs and at least 94.4% on average was captured of P, K, and Na. When normalized to calcium, an average 97.5% Cl was captured and at least 100% on average was captured of P, K, and Na.

4.2.2 Contaminant Distribution

In one of the experiments at each of the three limestone percentages, the char collected from each section of the gasifier was weighed and analyzed separately, instead of combining and mixing it. Doing this allowed insight into the distribution of elements

72

throughout the gasifier. Figure 3.5 shows the average temperature of the syngas as it proceeds from the grate through the heat exchanger and finally out of the filter box. This temperature profile is significant when compared with the contaminant profiles. Figures 4.20-22 show the mass percent of K_2O , Na_2O , P_2O_5 , SO_3 , and Cl in the ash of the char samples at the three limestone/wet poultry litter percentages.



Figure 4.20: Mass percent of oxides in char ash throughout the gasifier, at 3.71% limestone/wet litter



Figure 4.21: Mass percent of oxides in char ash throughout the gasifier, at 5.71% limestone/wet litter



Figure 4.22: Mass percent of oxides in char ash throughout the gasifier, at 7.71% limestone/wet litter

While the limestone percentage doesn't appear to have an effect on the contaminant profile, it is obvious from the three figures that there is a trend in element concentrations. Na₂O and P₂O₅ decrease slightly and seem to mirror each other as you progress through the gasifier. K₂O concentration decreases slightly and then spikes at the Filter location. SO₃ and Cl both increase significantly at the heat exchanger (HEX) and Filter. While sulfur is not one of the main contaminants of interest, the concentration trend was noteworthy and validated presentation. The trend observed for both Cl and S, and to a lesser extent K, is an increase in concentration in the cooler parts of the gasifier. The syngas in the heat exchanger cools from ~610°C down to ~110°C and in the Filter down to ~60°C. At these temperatures some of the volatilized elements appear to be recondensing and getting sequestered in the char. A second, even more telling graph can be seen in Figures 4.23-25, which shows the percentage of total mass collected of each major element at four locations in the gasifier system: Inside the Gasifier, Below the Grate, in the Heat Exchanger, and in the Filter Box.



Figure 4.23: Percentage of total mass collected of each element at different locations in the gasifier, at 3.71% limestone/wet litter



Figure 4.24: Percentage of total mass collected of each element at different locations in the gasifier, at 5.71% limestone/wet litter



Figure 4.25: Percentage of total mass collected of each element at different locations in the gasifier, at 7.71% limestone/wet litter

Once again, limestone percentage doesn't appear to have an effect on the distribution, however the distribution is very consistent for each element between the three experiments. Almost all the elements follow a similar pattern: about 70% or more is recovered Inside the Gasifier, between 18% and 23% is recovered Below the Grate, and between 1% and 8% is recovered in the Heat Exchanger and Filter Box. The outliers are chlorine and sulfur, who both appear to volatilize and migrate more readily than the other elements, leaving the gasifier but re-condensing and getting captured in the cooler Heat exchanger and Filter Box sections of the gasifier system. On average, 69.1% of the chlorine was captured past the gasifier grate, and 52.6% was captured in the Heat Exchanger and Filter Box. The average retention of 30.9% of the Cl inside the gasifier agrees well with results of Bjorkman, et al. in Figure 2.1, showing the retention of ~37% when pyrolyzing switchgrass at 900 °C. From Figures 4.20-25, the conclusion can be

drawn that cooling and filtering the syngas is necessary for the maximum capture and retention of chlorine and sulfur in the char. While potassium follows the same trend as the other elements in Figures 4.23-25, the consistent increase in concentration at the Filter Box location in Figures 4.20-22 suggest that cooling the syngas to between 60-100°C aids in the retention of this element as well, which according to the literature is most likely in the form of KCl. The ratios of Cl to K in the Filter location and HEX location allow this as a possibility, but can neither explicitly confirm nor deny it.

4.2.3 Conclusions, Recommendations, and Sources of Error

Through experimentation, it was shown that downdraft gasification is effective as a means of sequestering contaminants harmful to the cement manufacturing process while extracting the usable chemical energy from poultry litter in the form of syngas. Conservatively, 89% of the chlorine can be retained in the char, as well as 94% of the potassium and sodium, along with 100% of the phosphorus. It does, however, appear to be necessary to cool and filter the syngas in the range of 60-110°C to obtain maximum removal of the contaminants, particularly Cl and K, from the syngas stream. Although sulfur is not a contaminant of high interest when compared to the coal and coke used in the cement kiln, results show that cooling and filtering the syngas limits its throughput as well. Variation of limestone percentage did not appear to have a large effect on the capture rates, at least within the range tested, although the low set point of 3.71% limestone to wet poultry litter (4.24-4.31% dry) seemed to approach the minimum limestone percentage necessary to avoid clinkering. As a result, a limestone percentage in the range of the middle set point (5.71% limestone to wet poultry litter, 6.5-6.7% dry)

77

emerged as the preferred amount, limiting the use of an extra additive while providing a factor of safety against clinkering issues.

It is yet to be determined, however, the effectiveness of the techniques used in the experiments towards gasifying poultry litter of higher ash or chlorine contents. The raw litter used successfully in the experiments had an ash content of about 20% on a dry basis. As seen in the literature as well as the litter pellets used for earlier tests, ash content can be as high as 33% on a dry basis, with an average in the literature of 25.2%. With this in mind, the recommended ratio of 6.5-6.7% limestone to dry litter found in the experiments would be about 33-34% limestone to poultry litter ash. Additional considerations to explore are: methods of eliminating the high pressure drop across the gasifier due the poultry litter fines; further experimentation with poultry litter pellets, perhaps with limestone pre-mixed in; use of different gasifier types; and measurement of the concentration of contaminants in the syngas itself to round out the mass balance and verify retention in the char.

There were many potential sources of error encountered in the experiments. Due to the large scale of experiments and small size of the biomass and char samples analyzed, much of the accuracy depended on the mixing and sampling involved. While mixing or sampling fine char such as that collected from the heat exchanger or filter box (seen in Figures 4.13-14) probably resulted in an accurate representative sample, char such as that marked 'Rest of Gasifier' was very non-homogeneous (as seen in Figure 4.11) and a given sample could vary highly in its accuracy of representation. While the ash analyses didn't vary greatly between experiments, the LOI varied by as much as 20% in one case between similar samples. An example of a problem encountered when mixing

78

is the presence of white, 1.5" (3.8 cm) deposits found inside the gasifier, circled in Figure 4.26).



Figure 4.26: Ash mound found when gasifier is opened at seam above the grate, white deposits circled

Three to four of these deposits were found in every experiment and an attempt was made to crush them before mixing and retrieving a sample. One deposit was collected and sent for analysis, showing it had only a 2.22% LOI and was comprised of 57.91% CaO and 40.34% MgO on an ash basis. If these deposits weren't crumbled and fully mixed in on every run, Ca recovery in the char would be lower than it should be. Another source of error was losses in the form of dust and fines. As reported, fines from the feedstock fell below the conveyer in the onboard feed system, yet they were only collected and quantified for two of the experiments. Dust was observed to be created and carried away with the wind during the mixing of limestone, poultry litter, and woodchips before each experiment as well as during the experiments when being fed into the gasifier. The feedstock with the finest particles was the limestone, and if this accounted for most of the dust loss there would also be a preferential loss of Ca before entry into the gasifier. This may account for the lower than expected Ca retention in some of the runs, as well as the

retention rates greater than 100% when normalized to Ca. Overall, these factors most likely account for the scatter observed in the data points when calculating mass balances.

Chapter 5

Conclusions

Downdraft gasification has been explored as a means to extract chemical energy from poultry litter while limiting the throughput of potentially deleterious components with regards to use in firing a cement kiln. Experiments with temperature depression via flue gas recirculation, feedstock dilution using woodchips, and experiments employing limestone as an additive to prevent fusion and aid in chlorine retention in the ash have been carried out. Flue gas recirculation allowed the reduction of the gasifier secondary air oxygen concentration by 40-45%, yielding an approximately 100°C depression in average temperature. Results have shown that the clinkering is temperature independent, at least within the controllable temperature range. Limestone also had only a slight effect on the fusion when used to coat the pellets. However, limestone addition did display some promise in regards to chlorine capture, as ash analyses showed chlorine concentrations of more than four times greater in the limestone infused ash as compared to raw poultry litter. Experiments were conducted to explore the effectiveness of mixing limestone with raw poultry litter, the object being to coat more surface area and have a more even mixture. These resulted in the most consistent experiments with no ash clinkering.

Once consistent gasification of raw poultry litter was achieved through a combination of woodchip dilution (60% woodchips:40% poultry litter) and addition of limestone at 5% of the wet poultry litter mass ($\sim 6.5\%$ Limestone/Dry Poultry Litter), experiments were performed to determine the capture rates of the contaminants pertinent to cement kilns. Limestone percentage was varied to explore its effect on chlorine retention at set points of 3.71, 5.71, and 7.71% Limestone to Wet Poultry Litter, the middle quantity slightly inflated from the previously used 5% to account for lower moisture of the litter used in these experiments. It was found that limestone percentage, at least within the tested range, had little effect on neither the retention of contaminants nor distribution of contaminants through the gasifier system. On average, 89% of the chlorine was retained in the gasifier char, as was 94% of both the potassium and sodium, and 100% of the phosphorus. When data was normalized to 100% calcium retention (expected from the literature), an average 100% of the Na, K, and P were shown to be retained, as well as 97.5% of the Cl. The contaminant profile, developed from analyses of char samples from different sections of the gasifier system, showed that 69.1% of the chlorine retained was captured past the gasifier grate and 52.6% was captured in the significantly cooler Filter Box and Heat Exchanger sections of the gasifier system. Additionally, peaks in concentration of sulfur and potassium were observed in these same sections. From these results, it appears necessary to cool and filter the syngas in the range of 60-110°C to obtain maximum removal of Cl, as well as K and S, from the syngas stream.

References

 Poultry - Production and Value 2009 Summary. USDA. [Online] April 2010. [Cited: January 3, 2011.] http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/current/PoulProdVa/PoulProdVa-04-29-2010.pdf.

2. Broiler Litter Storage. *aces.edu*. [Online] January 1996. [Cited: January 3, 2011.] http://www.aces.edu/pubs/docs/A/ANR-0839/.

Kelleher, B., et al. Advances in Poultry Litter Disposal Technology - A Review.
 Bioresource Technology. Vol. 83, pp. 27-36. 2002.

4. Adams, Z. Comparison of Broiler Litter, Broiler Litter Ash with Reagent Grade Materials as Sources of Plant Nutrients. *Auburn University Master's Thesis*. 2005.

5. Bock, B. R. Poultry Litter to Energy: Technical and Economic Feasability.

brbock.com. [Online] 2004. [Cited: August 5, 2010.]

http://www.brbock.com/RefFiles/PoultryLitter_Energy.doc.

6. Kaantee, U., et al. Cement manufacturing using alternative fuels and the advantages of process modelling. *Fuel Processing Technology*. Vol. 85, pp. 293-301. 2004.

7. Folta, A. Alternative Fuels and Their Effects on Portland Cement. *Auburn University Master's Thesis.* 2010.

8. Mokrzycki, E. and Uliasz-Bochenczyk, A. Alternative fuels for the cement industry. *Applied Energy*. Vol. 74, pp. 95-100. 2003.

 Beenackers, A. and van Swaaij, W. Gasification of Biomass, A State of the Art Review. *Thermochemical Processing of Biomass*. A. Bridgwater. Butterworths, 1984.
 Reed, T. B. Executive Summary. *Biomass Gasification Principles and Technology*. New Jersey : Noves Data Corporation, 1981.

11. Buekens, A. and Schoeters, J. Mathematical Modelling in Gasification.

Thermochemical Processing of Biomass. A. Bridgwater. Butterworths, 1984.

12. Gasification. *Community Power Corporation*. [Online] [Cited: January 6, 2011.] http://www.gocpc.com/gasification.html.

13. Priyadarsan, S., et al. Fixed-bed Gasification of Feedlot Manure and Poultry Litter Biomass. *Transactions of the ASAE*. Vol. 47, pp. 1689-1696. 2004.

14. Giltrap, D., McKibbin, R. and Barnes, G. A Steady State Model of Gas-Char

Reactions in a Downdraft Biomass Gasifier. Solar Energy. Vol. 74, pp. 85-91. 2003.

Wang, Y. and Kinoshita, C. Kinetic Model of Biomass Gasification. *Solar Energy*.
 Vol. 51, pp.19-25. 1993.

16. Reardon, J., Browne, K. and Beard, K. *Demonstration of a Small Modular BioPower System Using Poultry Litter*. Littleton, CO : Community Power Corporation, 2001.

17. Li, S., et al. Effect of Co-Combustion of Chicken Litter and Coal on Emissions in a
Laboratory-Scale Fluidized Bed Combustor. *Fuel Processing Technology*. Vol. 89, pp. 712. 2008.

Gasification Feasibility Study for the Roberta Cement Plant. Tulsa : Primenergy,
 L.L.C., 2008.

19. Schaffer, M. Final Report: Advanced Slagging Gasifier for Biomass Wastes. *Epa.gov*.
[Online] 2006. [Cited: November, 12 2009.] http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncer_abstracts/
index.cfm/fuseaction/display.abstractDetail/abstract/7968/report/F

20. Codling, E. Laboratory Characterization of Phosphorus in Poultry Litter and Poulrty

Litter Ash. Salt Lake City, UT : ASA-CSSA-SSSA International Annual Meetings, 2005.

21. Price, C. Nutritionist, Sanderson Farms. Phone Interview. December 17, 2010.

22. Misra, M., Ragland, K. and Baker, A. Wood Ash Composition as a Function of

Furnace Temperature. Biomass and Bioenergy. Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 103-116. 1993.

23. Bhatty, J. and Gajda, J. Use of Alternative Materials in Cement Manufacturing.

Skokie, Illinois : Portland Cement Association, 2004.

24. Bjorkman, E. and Stromberg, B. Release of Chlorine from Biomass at Pyrolysis and Gasification Conditions. *Energy & Fuels*. Vol. 11, pp. 1026-1032. 1997.

25. Jenkins, B.M., et al. Combustion Properties of Biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology*. Vol. 54, pp. 17-46. 1998.

26. Dayton, D., French, R. and Milne, T. Direct Observation of Alkali Vapor Release during Biomass Gasification. *Energy & Fuels*. Vol. 10, pp. 284-292. 1996.

27. Coda, B., et al. Behavior of Chlorine and Enrichment of Risky Elements in Bubbling Fluidized Bed Combustion of Biomass and Waste Assisted by Additives. *Energy & Fuels*. Vol. 15, pp. 680-690. 2001.

28. Salo, K. and Mojtahedi, W. Fate of Alkali and Trace Metals in Biomass Gasification. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 263-267. 1998.

29. Turns, S. An Introduction to Combustion 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, 2000.

30. Glassman, I. Combustion. Academic Press, 2008.

31. Beckwith, T. and Marangoni, R. *Mechanical Measurements 4th ed.* Addison-Wesley
Publishing Company, Inc, 1990.

Appendices

Appendix A

Contaminant Mass Balance Spreadsheets

Table A.1: Ash contents of the input feedstocks

	Ash Content (% wt	z), dry basis	
Charcoal	Poultry Litter	Woodchips	Lime
5.64	19.63	0.28	56.31

Table A.2: Analyses of feedstocks used in mass balances and oxide to element % mass conversion factor. Limestone total ≠100% b/c CaO and MgO are calculated from carbonate percentages (seen in Table 4.4)

]				
Oxide to	Input A	Ash analyses [%	mass, ash bas	is]	Limestone
Conversion	Oxide	Poultry Litter	Woodchips	Charcoal	[% mass, dry basis]
0.467	SiO2	25.96	13.99	6.51	1.60
0.529	A12O3	2.87	2.99	0.43	0.51
0.699	Fe2O3	1.47	6.55	0.97	0.59
0.715	CaO	28.29	35.50	78.06	43.41
0.603	MgO	4.65	11.95	2.15	7.18
0.742	Na2O	3.33	1.78	0.90	0.01
0.830	K2O	11.31	12.50	5.16	0.17
0.599	TiO2	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.05
0.436	P2O5	15.83	5.81	1.07	0.05
	BaO	0.00	0.47	0.43	0.01
	MnO2	0.23	3.73	2.04	0.06
0.401	SO3	3.52	4.39	1.91	0.16
	SrO	0.03	0.16	0.29	0.07
	Cl	3.13	0.22	0.07	0.00
	TOTAL	100.71	100.04	100.00	53.87
	Cl (Dry Basis)	0.502	0.003	0.022	0.000

_		Moisture C	Content (wet basis)														
		Charcoal	Warm up chips	Poultry Litter	Mix/After PL Chip:												
	tin	13.5	13.7	13.7	13.5												
	wet	120.8	145.1	134.8	131.2												
	dry	114.7	128.7	119.9	115.2												
	moisture %	5.68	12.48	12.30	13.59												
																	_
								ĩ	1.1.1		ſ						
T		Input [lbs]	, 2.6 lbs Limestone	-				Element	s IN [Ibs]								
		wet	wet - (sorted + Fall through)	۸p	The oretical Ash		d	¥	0	Na	Ca						
	Charcoal	43.10	43.10	40.65	2.29		0.011	0.098 0	000	0153 1.	2791 Charcoal						
	Warm up Chips	30.30	30.30	26.52	0.07		0.002	0.008	.001	0010	0188 Warm up (Chips					
x	Ы	70	66.09	53.49	10.50		0.725	0.986	269 0.	2594 2.	1232 PL						
IM	Chips	130	123.64	106.83	0:30		0.008	0.031 0	003	0039 0	0759 Chips						
14	lime	2.60	2.27	2.27	1.28		0.000	0.003	000	0002	7029 lime						
Γ	After PL Chips	200	188.09	162.52	0.46		0.012	0.047 0	.005 O.	0060 0.	1154 After PL CI	hips					5-
	total	476.00	448.39	392.28	14.90		0.758	1.173	0.286	0.286	4.315 total						
	Lime/Litter percentage	3.71%	3.71%	4.24%			0.032	0.187 0	018 0	.026 2	.192 total (non	PL)					
							95.75%	84.02% 93	.76%	0.76% 4	0.20% ratio from	PL/total					
	Sorted/Fall-through	[lbs]		Sorted	Fall-through												
	Sorted PL+Lime	1.10	Mix Chips	3.20	3.16												
	Sorted Mix Chips	3.20	Ъ	1.06	7.95												
*	Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime	11.40	Lime	0.04	0.30												
	Sorted 200 lbs	7.05	total	4.30	11.40												
*	Fall-through 200 lbs	4.86	After PL Chips	7.05	4.86												
		Output [lbs	[Elements	OUT [lbs								
		Recovered	Dry	Actual Ash			٩	¥	Ū	Na	Ca Si		s				
ıer	Woodchips char	5.90	5.90	0.15			0.0037	0.0153 0	.0003	0.0019 0	0374	0.0066	0.0026	Woodchips cha			
tise	PL Ash	1.10	1.10	1.08			0.0694	0.0803	6000	0.0211 0	3007	0.1087	0.0131	PLash			
٩	Rest of Gasifier	18.50	18.46	8.46			0.4696	0.6753 0	.0582	0.1558 2	.1335	1.0418	0.0664	Rest of Gasifier			-
	Below Grate	4.65	4.64	2.18			0.1131	0.1734 0	.0294	0.0405	.6402	0.2296	0.0158	3elow Grate			
T	HEX	0.95	0.94	0.54			0.0255	0.0420	.0530	0.0065	.1922	0.0121	0.0119	ΤĔΧ			
_	Filter	1.40	1.37	0.61			0.0282	0.0627 0	.0616	0.0091	.1900	0.0109	0.0239	-ilter			
	total	32.50	32.40	13.03			0.7096	1.0490 0	.2034	0.2349	.4940	1.4128	0.1337	total			
							93.7%	89.4% 7	1.0%	82.2%	31.0% Ratio OUT	/IN					
			Ash Percent diff:	12.55%						19	0.3% % Differer	JCe					8
							115.7%	110.4% 8	7.7%	.01.5%	100% Norm. to (Ca					
	Outpu	ıt Ash Analyses															
_		Oxide to E	Element Multiplier	0.467	0.529	0.699	0.715	0.603 0	.742 0	.830 0	.599 0.43	36			0.401		
_		Moist (% As Rec)	LOI (% Dry Basis)	SiO2 (%)	AI2O3 (%)	Fe2O3 (%)	CaO (%) N	IgO (%) Na	20 (9 K2C	0 (%) Ti	02 (% P205 (%)	B	aO (%) Oe	VInO2 (%)	SO3 (%)	SrO (%)	CI (%)
	PL 5/24	0.00	1.6	21.49	2.61	1.35	38.88	5.58	2.63	8.94	0.12	14.68	0.05	0.5	1 3.02	0.06	0.08
	Rest of Gasifier 5/24	0.20	54.17	26.34	3.26	1.44	35.28	5.59	2.48	9.61	0.08	12.72	0.06	0.5	8 1.96	0.06	0.69
_	Below Grate 5/24	0.32	53.05	22.57	2.56	1.42	41.16	4.54	2.51	9.60	0.07	11.91	0.09	0.6	2 1.81	0.08	1.35
	HEX 5/24	1.39	41.88	4.75	1.62	1.35	49.39	6.78	1.62	9.29	0.00	10.72	0.20	1.1	2 5.47	0.13	9.74
	Filter 5/24	2.47	55.01	3.80	1.29	1.76	43.28	5.97	1.99	12.30	0.00	10.53	0.20	1.2	5 9.72	0.14	10.02
	Woodchin Char		97.50														

Table A.3: Spreadsheet for 4.24% limestone/dry litter from 5/24/2010** Denotes average value used for conveyor fall-through losses

		Moisture	Content (wet basis)	Doutless 1 144 or	Aliv /After Di Chico												
17	2 1	Lnarcoal	warm up cnips	Poultry Litter	MIX/ATTER PL CNIPS												
	tin	13.7	13.7	13.5	13.5												
	wet	107.0	127.5	140.4	135.6												
	dry	100.6	111.2	125.3	118.7												
_	moisture %	6.86	14.32	11.90	13.84												
_																	
		Input [lb:	s], 4 lbs Limestone					Elem	ents IN [lb	s]							
		wet	wet - (Sorted + Fall through)	٩٧	The oretical Ash		Р	¥	σ	Na	Ca						
_	Charcoal	47.55	47.55	44.29	2.50		0.012	0.107	0.010	0.0167	1.3936	Charcoal	-				
-	Warm up Chips	46.85	46.85	40.14	0.11		0.003	0.012	0.001	0.0015	0.0285	Warm up Chips					
4	PL	70	61.26	53.97	10.59		0.732	0.995	0.271	0.2617	2.1422	PL					
	Chips	130	123.84	106.70	0:30		0.008	0.031	0.003	0.0039	0.0758	Chips					
	lime	4.00	3.50	3.50	1.97		0.001	0.005	0.000	0.0003	1.0860	lime					
_	After PL Chips	200	187.59	161.63	0.45		0.011	0.047	0.005	0.0060	0.1148	After PL Chips					
	total	498.40	470.59	410.22	15.93		0.766	1.196	0.290	0.290	4.841	total	-				
	Lime/Litter percentage	5.71%	5.71%	6.49%			0.034	0.202	0.019	0.028	2.699	total (non PL)					
							95.52%	83.15%	93.43%	90.23%	44.25%	ratio from PL/to	5	1	1		
	Sorted/Fall-through	[sql]		Sorted	Fall-through												
	Sorted PL+Lime	1.00	Mix Chips	3.00	3.16												
	Sorted Mix Chips	3.00	PL	0.95	7.80												
Fa	ll-through Chips/PL/Lime	11.40	Lime	0.05	0.45												
	Sorted 2001bs	7.55	total total	4.00	11.40												
	Fall-through 200 lbs	4.86	5 After PL Chips	7.55	4.86												
		Output [Ib	s]					lements (UT[lbs]	Ī							ſ
		Recovered	Dry	Actual Ash			Р	×	Ð	Na	Са	Si		S	S	S	S
	Woodchips char	3.45	3.45	0.09			0.0022	0.0090	0.0002	0.0011	0.0221	0.0057		0.0015	0.0015 Woodchips cha	0.0015 Woodchips char	0.0015 Woodchips char
	PLAsh	1.00	1.00	1.00			0.0511	0.0719	0.0017	0.0178	0.2494	0.1340		0.0099	0.0099 PL ash	0.0099 PL ash	0.0099 PL ash
	Rest of Gasifier	19.50	19.45	10.35			0.5247	0.7827	0.0889	0.1908	2.9060	1.1173		0.0703	0.0703 Rest of Gasifier	0.0703 Rest of Gasifier	0.0703 Rest of Gasifier
	Below Grate	6.10	6.10	2.93			0.1480	0.2117	0.0520	0.0584	0.9829	0.2335		0.0251	0.0251 Below Grate	0.0251 Below Grate	0.0251 Below Grate
	HEX	1.40	1.38	0.87			0.0363	0.0594	0.0817	0.0110	0.3265	0.0171		0.0191	0.0191 HEX	0.0191 HEX	0.0191 HEX
	Filter	1.60	1.54	0.66			0.0260	0.0632	0.0654	0.0095	0.2236	0.0121		0.0205	0.0205 Filter	0.0205 Filter	0.0205 Filter
	total	33.05	32.92	15.90			0.7882	1.1978	0.2899	0.2887	4.7106	1.5198		0.1465	0.1465 total	0.1465 total	0.1465 total
							102.9%	100.1%	100.0%	99.5%	97.3%	Ratio OUT/IN					
			Ash Percent diff:	0.15%							2.69%	% Difference					
							105.7%	102.9%	102.7%	102.3%	100%	Norm. to Ca	_				
_	Output	t Ash Analyses															
		Oxide to	Element Multiplier	0.467	0.529	669.0	0.715	0.603	0.742	0.830	0.599	0.436				0.401	0.401
_	_	Moist (% As Rec)	LOI (% Dry Basis)	SiO2 (%)	AI2O3 (%)	Fe 2O3 (%)	CaO (%) I	AgO (%) N	a2O (%) k	(%) T	102 (%)	P205 (%)	ä	(%) OE	aO (%) MnO2 (%)	aO (%) MnO2 (%) SO3 (%)	aO (%) MnO2 (%) SO3 (%) SrO (%)
-	PL Ash 5/17	0.00	0.06	28.69	3.87	1.48	34.92	4.96	2.40	8.67	0.10	11.71		0.07	0.07 0.4	0.07 0.45 2.48	0.07 0.45 2.48 0.06
H	test of Gasifier 5/17	0.25	46.77	23.09	6E'E	1.74	39.27	6.02	2.48	9.11	0.07	11.61		0.09	0.09	0.09 0.70 1.70	0.09 0.70 1.70 0.07
ш	telow Gasifier 5/17	0.07	51.98	17.07	2.14	1.57	46.98	4.80	2.69	8.71	0.05	11.58		0.13	0.13 0.6	0.13 0.66 2.14	0.13 0.66 2.14 0.10
Τ.	IEX 5/17	1.24	t 36.92	4.20	1.46	1.61	52.38	6.63	1.70	8.20	0.00	9.52		0.23	0.23 1.2	0.23 1.20 5.47	0.23 1.20 5.47 0.15
Ξ	lter 5/17	3.93	56.83	3.91	1.19	2.86	47.15	5.23	1.93	11.47	0.00	8.99		0.29	0.29 1.4	0.29 1.43 7.72	0.29 1.43 7.72 0.17
_	Moodchin Char		07 70														

Table A.4: Spreadsheet for 6.49% limestone/dry litter from 5/17/2010** Denotes average value used for conveyor fall-through losses

		Moisture Co	ontent (wet basis)													
		Charcoal	Warm up chips	Poultry Litter	/After PL Chip	s										
	tin	13.5	13.7	13.7	13.5											
	wet	130.1	151.0	98.9	126.7											
]	dry	123.2	130.6	87.1	111.1											
	moisture %	5.92	14.86	13.85	13.78											
		[ad]] trans	F 4 ho I imposed						IN [[ba]							
		Input [ios],	wet - (Sorted +					clements								
_		wet	Fall-through)	dry	Theoretical Ash		Ч	×	ci Na	Ca						101
	Charcoal	44.00	44.00	41.40	2.33		0.011	0.100 0.0	0.01 0.01	56 1.302	6 Charcoal					
	Warm up Chips	41.75	41.75	35.55	0.10		0.003	0.010 0.0	00.00	13 0.025	2 Warm up Chips					5
х	PL	70	60.96	52.51	10.31		0.712	0.968 0.2	264 0.25	46 2.084	5 PL					
IM	Chips	130	123.54	106.52	0:30		0.008	0.031 0.0	00.00	39 0.075	7 Chips					
٦d	lime	5.40	4.70	4.70	2.65		0.001	0.007 0.0	00.00	03 1.458	39 lime					la
1	After PL Chips	200	189.59	163.46	0.46		0.012	0.047 0.0	305 0.00	60 0.116	31 After PL Chips					5
	total	491.15	464.54	404.14	16.15		0.746	1.163 0	282 0.	282 5.0	63 total					
	Lime/Litter percentage	7.71%	7.71%	8.95%			0.034	0.195 0.0	318 0.02	7 2.97	8 total (non PL)					v a
							95.50%	83.20% 93.	50% 90.3	34% 41.17	% ratio from PL/total					
	Sorted/Fall-through	[lbs]		Sorted	Fall-through											
	Sorted PL+Lime	1.50	Mix Chips	3.30	3.16											u
	Sorted Mix Chips	3.30	PL	1.39	7.65											
*	Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime	11.40	Lime	0.11	0.59											Ju
	Sorted 200 lbs	5.55	total	4.80	11.40											1
*	Fall-through 200 lbs	4.86	After PL Chips	5.55	4.86											51
]		Output [lbs	1					Elements (DUT [lbs]							511
		Recovered	Dry	Actual Ash			Р	K C	CI Na	Ca	Si	S				vc
ier	Woodchips char	9.20	9.20	0.11			0.0027	0.0111 0.0	2002 0.0	0014 0.02	71 0.0070	0.0019	Woodchips char			, y
itise	PL Ash	0.65	0.65	0.63			0.0364	0.0448 0.0	0.0	0.19	05 0.0543	0.0087	PL ash			
פי	Rest of Gasifier	18.00	17.90	9.53			0.4611	0.7247 0.0	0.1 D	673 2.87	80 0.9146	0.0707	Rest of Gasifier			1
Ī	Below Grate	5.90	5.87	2.93			0.1584	0.2014 0.0	3378 0.0)630 0.93	46 0.2574	0.0218	Below Grate			u
	HEX	1.30	1.29	0.83			0.0391	0.0482 0.0	0559 0.C	0.31	45 0.0193	0.0172	HEX			1
	Filter	1.75	1.70	0.87			0.0400	0.0825 0.0	0818 0.0	1135 0.27.	98 0.0154	0.0314	Filter			
	total	36.80	36.60	14.90			0.7377	1.1128 0.2	2584 0.2	9.662 4.62	44 1.2679	0.1517	total			I
							98.9%	95.7% 91	1.7% 94	1.5% 91.3	% Ratio OUT/IN					Ju
			Ash Percent diff:	7.75%						8.66	% % Difference					51
							108.3%	104.7% 100	0.4% 103	1.4% 100	2% Norm. to Ca					.1
	Output	it Ash Analyses														
		Oxide to E	Element Multiplier	0.467	0.529	0.699	0.715	0.603 0.7	742 0.83	30 0.59	9 0.436			0.401		55
		Moist (% As Rec)	LOI (% Dry Basis)	Si O2 (%)	AI2O3 (%)	Fe 203 (%)	CaO (%) M	gO (%) Na2	:0 (9 K20 (9	%) Ti 02 (% P2O5 (%)	BaO (%)	MnO2(%)	SO3(%)	SrO (%)	ci (%)
	PL 5/19	0.00	3.08	18.43	2.77	1.46	42.31	6.53	2.39	8.57 0.4	06 13.23	0.06	0.55	3.45	0.06	0.12
	Rest of Gasifier 5/19	0.57	46.73	20.52	2.84	1.28	42.24	7.16	2.37	9.16 0.4	06 11.08	0.08	0.65	1.85	0.07	0.86
	Below Gasifier 5/19	0.51	50.16	18.82	2.39	1.28	44.70	5.46	2.90	8.29 0.4	03 12.41	0.11	0.65	1.86	0.08	1.29
Ī	HEX 5/19	86:0	35.63	4.99	1.66	1.27	53.10	7.96	1.60	7.01 0.	00 10.80	0.14	0.96	5.17	0.12	6.75
	Filter 5/19	2.87	48.80	3.78	1.27	2.05	44.99	5.90	2.09 1	1.43 0.	00 10.54	0.23	1.30	9.01	0.14	9.40
	Woodchip Char		98.84													

Table A.5: Spreadsheet for 8.95% limestone/dry litter from 5/19/2010** Denotes average value used for conveyor fall-through losses

		Moisture	Content (wet basis)														
		Charcoal	Warm up chips	Poultry Litter	/ix/After PL Chip	S											
	ţi	in 13.5	13.7	13.5	13.7												
	We	et 182.8	145.3	163.2	165.9												
	dr	ry 174.1	133.1	142.4	144.3												
	moisture 5	% 5.14	9.27	13.89	14.19												
		Input [lbs	 2.6 lbs Limestone wet - / Sorted + 					Elen	nents IN [Ib.	<u>6</u>							
		wet	Fall through)	۸	Theoretical Ash		٩	¥	0	Na	Ca						
	Charcoal	45.55	45.55	43.21	2.44		0.011	0.104	0.010	0.0163	1.3596	Charcoal					
	Warm up Chips	61.90	61.90	56.16	0.16		0.004	0.016	0.002	0.0021	0.0399	Varm up Chips					
х	Ы	70	60.62	52.20	10.25		0.708	0.962	0.262	0.2531	2.0719						
IM -	Mix Chips	130	123.73	106.17	0.30		0.008	0.031	0.003	0.0039	0.0754	Chips					
ld	Lime	2.60	2.25	2.25	1.27		0.000	0.003	0.000	0.0002	0.6986	ime					
	After PL Chips	200	186.00	159.60	0.45		0.011	0.046	0.005	0.0059	0.1134	After PL Chips					
	tota	1 510.0	15 480.05	419.59	14.85		0.743	1.163	0.281	0.281	4.359	otal					
	Lime/Litter percentag	le 3.71%	3.71%	4.31%			0.035	0.201	0.019	0.028	2.287	otal (non PL)					
							95.33%	82.70%	93.17%	89.94%	47.53%	atio from PL/total					
	Sorted/Fall-through	[lbs]		Sorted	Fall-through												
	Sorted PL+Lim.	le 2.7	70 Mix Chips	3.25	3.02												
	Sorted Mix Chip	3.2 3.2	15 PL	2.60	6.78												
	Fall-through Chips/PL/Lim	e 10.0	J5 Lime	0.10	0.25												
	Sorted 2001b	5.9 2.5	35 total	5.95	10.05												
	Fall-through 200 lb.	s 4.6	55 After PL Chips	9.35	4.65												
		Output [lł		_				Eleme	ants OUT []]	bs]							
		Recovered	Dry	Actual Ash			۲	¥	U	Na	Ca						
itis	Woodchips char	7.35	7.35	0.74			0.0186	0.0763	0.0016	0.0097	0.1865	Voodchips char					
e٩	Gasifier	18.55	17.88	11.81			0.7243	0.9185	0.0706	0.2454	3.5036	3 asifier					
	After Grate	6.80	6.68	3.45			0.1809	0.1745	0.2160	0.0594	1.2071	After Grate					
	toto	32.7	02	16.00			0.9238	1.1693	0.2882	0.3144	4.8972	otal					
							124.41%	100.52%	102.47%	111.72%	112.35%	tatio OUT/IN					
			Ash Percent diff:	-7.74%							-12.35%	6 Difference					
							110.7%	89.5%	91.2%	99.4%	100%	Vorm. to Ca					
	Outr	out Ash Analyses															
		Oxide tc	o Element Multiplier	0.467	0.529	0.699	0.715	0.603	0.742	0.830	0.599	0.436			0.401		
		Moist (% As Reu	c) LOI (% Dry Basis)	SiO2 (%)	AI2O3 (%)	Fe2O3 (%)	CaO (%)	MgO (%)	Na2O (%) k	(%) (%)	rio2 (%)	205 (%)	BaO (%)	MnO2 (%)	SO3 (%)	SrO (%) (CI (%)
_	Gasifier 6/3	3.5	59 33.94	19.40	2.44	1.21	41.49	5.79	2.80	9.36	0.04	14.05	0.03	0.44	2.39	0.11	0.60
-	After Grate 6/3	1.7	74 48.33	12.71	1.65	3 1.28	48.92	5.27	2.32	6.09	0.02	12.00	0.06	0.55	4.09	0.16	6.26

 Table A.6: Spreadsheet for 4.31% limestone/dry litter from 6/3/2010

tin 13.7 13.7 wet 115.1 115.1 wet 115.1 115.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 file 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 4.46 file 4.46 wet file 4.46 wet file 36.25 39.00 file 36.25 36.25 wet 36.00 124.66 file 130 124.66 Mixthips 130 36.25 Mixthips 39.00 39.00 file 70 61.35 Mixthips 130.00 39.00 file 4.00 37.13 Lime/Litter percentage 5.713 5.713 Sorted Velue 0.00 114.40 Sorted Velue 0.00 114.40 Sorted Velue 2.00 8.15 fent-rough Corbis 2.03 4.46 Sorted Velue 0.30 14.4	tin 13.7 13.7 13.7 wet 115.1 111.5 111.5 wet 115.1 116.5 116.5 moisture % 4.33 4.46 Input [lbs], 415.1 116.5 416 moisture % 4.33 4.46 Charcoal 36.25 35.00 35.00 Wart up Chips 36.25 35.00 35.00 Wix Chips 36.05 36.00 35.13 Mix Chips 130 124.6 4.00 35.13 Mix Chips 36.05 36.00 124.6 4.00 35.13 Mix Chips 130 124.6 130 124.6 4.00 35.13 Mix Chips 130 124.6 130 124.6 4.00 35.13 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 2.00 134.6 4.00 5.71% Sorted Vel/Fall-through 10.1 4.00 3.12 4.00 5.71% Sorted Vel/Fall-through 5.71% 5.71% <th>tin13.713.7wet115.112.13wet115.1116.5moisture %4.934.46fall4.464.46moisture %4.334.46moisture %4.334.46moisture %8.253.6.25wet36.253.6.25wet36.253.6.25wet7.06.1.35mut36.253.6.25wet36.253.6.25wet7.012.4.66mut7.012.4.66mut7.012.4.56mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut11.402.2.0mut5.01452.00mut5.01452.00mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.6mut5.014513.1.6mut5.01452.00mut14.1.4mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5</th> <th>tin13.713.7wet115.1121.3wet115.1116.5moisture %4.934.46frontwet4.46hput [lbs]wet5.51charcoal36.2535.03wet36.2535.03mut Chips36.2535.03mut Chips36.2535.03mut Chips39.0035.03mut Chips39.0035.03Mix Chips130124.65hime4.0035.13Mix Chips130124.65lime4.0035.13Lime/Litter percentage5.7135.713Sorted Pt-Lime0.90Mixed Csorted Mix Chips11.402.20clif-through Chips2.20Mixed Csorted Mix Chips3.141.40sorted Sorted Nume1.442.20clif-through Chips3.141.44foll-through Chips3.154.65foll-through Chips Char5.7135.43foll-through Sortes3.142.20foll-through Sortes3.151.92foll-through Sortes5.555.43foll-through Sortes5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555</th> <th>tin tin tin tin tin tin tin tin tin tin</th> <th>tin 13.7 13.7 wet 115.1 115.1 115.1 wet 115.1 116.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 36.25 35.25 Wat 5.71% 3.51 Mix Chips 39.00 30.00 Mix Chips 130 124.65 Sorted Pt-Hune 0.99 Mixed C Sorted Pt-Hune 0.90 Mixed C Sorted Vall-through 200 186.95 Mithrough Colls 5.13% 19.21 Sorted Sorted Pt-Hune 0.90 Mixed C Sorted Mix Chips 2.00 130.25 Min thro</th>	tin13.713.7wet115.112.13wet115.1116.5moisture %4.934.46fall4.464.46moisture %4.334.46moisture %4.334.46moisture %8.253.6.25wet36.253.6.25wet36.253.6.25wet7.06.1.35mut36.253.6.25wet36.253.6.25wet7.012.4.66mut7.012.4.66mut7.012.4.56mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut13.012.4.66mut11.402.2.0mut5.01452.00mut5.01452.00mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.6mut5.014513.1.6mut5.01452.00mut14.1.4mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5mut5.014513.1.5	tin13.713.7wet115.1121.3wet115.1116.5moisture %4.934.46frontwet4.46hput [lbs]wet5.51charcoal36.2535.03wet36.2535.03mut Chips36.2535.03mut Chips36.2535.03mut Chips39.0035.03mut Chips39.0035.03Mix Chips130124.65hime4.0035.13Mix Chips130124.65lime4.0035.13Lime/Litter percentage5.7135.713Sorted Pt-Lime0.90Mixed Csorted Mix Chips11.402.20clif-through Chips2.20Mixed Csorted Mix Chips3.141.40sorted Sorted Nume1.442.20clif-through Chips3.141.44foll-through Chips3.154.65foll-through Chips Char5.7135.43foll-through Sortes3.142.20foll-through Sortes3.151.92foll-through Sortes5.555.43foll-through Sortes5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555.43fordet5.555	tin	tin 13.7 13.7 wet 115.1 115.1 115.1 wet 115.1 116.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 4.46 moisture % 4.93 36.25 35.25 Wat 5.71% 3.51 Mix Chips 39.00 30.00 Mix Chips 130 124.65 Sorted Pt-Hune 0.99 Mixed C Sorted Pt-Hune 0.90 Mixed C Sorted Vall-through 200 186.95 Mithrough Colls 5.13% 19.21 Sorted Sorted Pt-Hune 0.90 Mixed C Sorted Mix Chips 2.00 130.25 Min thro
wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 moisture % wet Fall through dry wet 36.25 34.65 34.46 wet 36.25 34.46 37.26 wet 36.25 34.46 107.18 my Chips 36.25 35.21 37.26 Mix Chips 36.00 134.64 107.18 Jime/Litter percentage 2.00 136.53 35.03 Jime/Litter percentage 2.71% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted/Fall-through 11.40 107.18 35.1 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted/Fall-through 11.40 107.18 35.1 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 35.24 Sorted/Fall-throu	wet 115.1 110.1 116.5 146.5 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 14.50 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 14.50 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 14.50 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 14.50 molsture % 4.93 6.135 34.46 14.50 Varm up Chips 36.25 39.00 39.00 37.26 37.26 Varm up Chips 36.25 35.00 37.26 37.26 37.26 Varm up Chips 36.25 35.00 37.26 37.26 37.26 Marchips 130 124.64 107.12 35.1 35.1 35.1 After PL Chips 200 133.61 37.26 37.26 37.26 37.26 Imme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 5.69% 35.16 Sorted Velle 1140 1140 1140 3.51 3.51 3.51 <tr< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 moisture % wet Fall through dry wet 36.25 36.25 34.65 Ware local 36.25 35.1 37.80 Ware local 36.25 34.66 37.81 Ware local 36.25 35.73 37.85 Ware local 36.25 35.74 37.81 Mixed local 36.00 39.00 39.00 37.66 Mixed local 36.25 35.71% 37.81 37.81 Ilme / Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.00 35.00 Ilme / Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.20 5.06 Sorted // Fall-Hrhough 114.00 114.00<td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 113.27.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry Varm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.66 Wart PL 70 61.35 37.46 Mix PL 33.00 33.00 35.71% Mix PL 114.00 107.12 37.15 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted PL+Imough 7.20 7.16 0.05 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry wet 5.71% 5.74 37.50 Witchips 39.00 39.00 37.60 Mitchips 36.25 36.25 37.46 Witchips 130 124.64 107.18 Mitchips 130 124.64 107.18 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.06% Sorted/Fall-through <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.39 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % wet Fall through) dry charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 wet 57.18 37.26 37.36 Mix Chips 33.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 38.25 35.14.64 107.18 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.67% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.6% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.05 S</td></td<></td></td<></td></td></tr<>	wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 moisture % wet Fall through dry wet 36.25 36.25 34.65 Ware local 36.25 35.1 37.80 Ware local 36.25 34.66 37.81 Ware local 36.25 35.73 37.85 Ware local 36.25 35.74 37.81 Mixed local 36.00 39.00 39.00 37.66 Mixed local 36.25 35.71% 37.81 37.81 Ilme / Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.00 35.00 Ilme / Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.20 5.06 Sorted // Fall-Hrhough 114.00 114.00 <td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 113.27.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry Varm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.66 Wart PL 70 61.35 37.46 Mix PL 33.00 33.00 35.71% Mix PL 114.00 107.12 37.15 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted PL+Imough 7.20 7.16 0.05 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry wet 5.71% 5.74 37.50 Witchips 39.00 39.00 37.60 Mitchips 36.25 36.25 37.46 Witchips 130 124.64 107.18 Mitchips 130 124.64 107.18 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.06% Sorted/Fall-through <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.39 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % wet Fall through) dry charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 wet 57.18 37.26 37.36 Mix Chips 33.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 38.25 35.14.64 107.18 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.67% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.6% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.05 S</td></td<></td></td<></td>	wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 113.27.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry Varm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.66 Wart PL 70 61.35 37.46 Mix PL 33.00 33.00 35.71% Mix PL 114.00 107.12 37.15 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted PL+Imough 7.20 7.16 0.05 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry wet 5.71% 5.74 37.50 Witchips 39.00 39.00 37.60 Mitchips 36.25 36.25 37.46 Witchips 130 124.64 107.18 Mitchips 130 124.64 107.18 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.06% Sorted/Fall-through <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.39 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % wet Fall through) dry charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 wet 57.18 37.26 37.36 Mix Chips 33.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 38.25 35.14.64 107.18 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.67% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.6% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.05 S</td></td<></td></td<>	wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.50 molsture % wet Fall through dry wet 5.71% 5.74 37.50 Witchips 39.00 39.00 37.60 Mitchips 36.25 36.25 37.46 Witchips 130 124.64 107.18 Mitchips 130 124.64 107.18 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through 70 61.66% 31.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.06% Sorted/Fall-through <td< td=""><td>wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.39 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % wet Fall through) dry charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 wet 57.18 37.26 37.36 Mix Chips 33.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 38.25 35.14.64 107.18 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.67% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.6% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.05 S</td></td<>	wet 115.1 121.3 146.5 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.39 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.53 moisture % wet Fall through) dry charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 wet 57.18 37.26 37.36 Mix Chips 33.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 38.25 35.14.64 107.18 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.66% Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.67% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Ilme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.6% Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.01 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.05 S
dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %4.934.4614.50houttle 1.33 4.4614.50houttlewetFaturoughdryCharcoal 36.25 36.135 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 36.25 34.66 Narm up Chips 36.25 36.23 34.66 Narm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.66 Narm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.66 PL 700 1.30 35.26 Mater PL Chips 200 36.25 34.66 Mater PL Chips 200 36.25 36.26 Mater PL Chips 200 36.25 36.66 Mater PL Chips 200 36.16 35.16 Mater PL Chips 200 36.16 35.16 Mater PL Chips 200 36.16 36.16 Mater PL Chips 200 36.16 36.16 Line/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% Sorted VFall-Hinough 200 36.16 36.16 Cotted VFall-Hinough 200 36.16 36.16 Line/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% Line/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 2.00 Sorted 200 lbs 31.46 200 31.56 36.75 Linnough Chips/PL/Ime 11.40 1.040 2.20 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 46.75 6.75 5.74 Linnough Chips Chips 5.75 5.48 <td< td=""><td>dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %$4.93$$4.46$$13.59$noisture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.59$rent [lbs]wetFall turneritydrywetFall turnerity$dry$$37.26$Warm up Chips$39.00$$37.26$$34.6$Narm up Chips$39.00$$37.26$$37.00$Narm up Chips$39.00$$35.25$$34.46$Narm up Chips$39.00$$35.26$$39.00$Narm up Chips$39.00$$35.26$$39.00$Narchips$130$$124.64$$107.18$Mix Chips$130$$124.64$$107.18$Mix Chips$130$$124.64$$35.1$Mix Chips$200$$35.00$$35.69$Sored /Fall-through$000$$35.14$$36.90$Sored /Fall-through$130$$124.64$$00.58$Sored /Fall-through$11.20$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$3.15$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$3.15$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$3.15$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$3.15$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$3.15$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$3.15$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$0.05$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$0.05$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$0.05$$0.05$$0.05$Sored 200 lbs$0.05$$0.05$</td><td>dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %4.934.4614.59hput [h]s4.934.4614.59hput [h]swetstreeted4.46wetwetstreeted4.46wetwetstreeted4.46wetwetstreeted4.46wetstreetedwetstreetedwet39.0039.0037.26Warm up Chips39.0039.0037.26Warm up Chips39.00124.64107.18huk chips130124.64107.18huk chips39.0035.1535.40Mix chips39.0037.2635.00huk chips39.0037.2635.00huk chips39.0037.2635.31huk chips39.0037.2635.31huk chips39.0037.2635.30huk chips20018.6916.80huk chips20018.6935.14huk chips20018.6936.69streed at but litter13.0514.60streed at but litter11.6011.00streed at but litter11.600.05streed at but litter13.2637.18full-through 200 lits13.2554.80full-through 200 lits19.2554.80full-through 200 lits5.4014.04full-through 200 lits5.43full-through 200 lits37.25full-through 200 lits37.35<td>dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %4.934.4614.59moisture %4.934.4614.59returne fillwetset of set o</td><td>dry$110.1$$116.5$$127.1$molsture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.5$noisture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.5$noisture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.5$returnerwetFilt nough)$drywet8.25$$36.25$$34.6wet30.00$$39.00$$37.26$varuu p Chips$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$varuu p Chips$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$varuu p Chips$39.00$$30.00$$37.26$noist$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$varuu p Chips$39.00$$36.25$$34.6$noist$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$noist$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$noist$39.00$$36.25$$37.46$noist$479.25$$36.73$$35.1$noist$479.25$$571.6$$56.96$noist$479.25$$45.174$$39.00$nime$479.25$$571.6$$571.6$sorted mix Chips$200$$114.00$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$30.00$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$571.6$$571.6$$571.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$<td>dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 Input [lts], etimestone input [lts], etimestone 14.50 14.50 Charcoal 36.25 36.00 37.00 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Matchips 36.25 35.00 37.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 35.40 37.26 Matchips 36.00 35.00 35.00 37.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 35.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.60% 35.00 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 700 14.60% 35.1 35.1 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 35.00 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-through 114.00 Intervelopics 2.20 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-t</td></td></td></td<>	dry110.1116.5127.1moisture % 4.93 4.46 13.59 noisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 rent [lbs]wet Fall turneritydry wetFall turnerity dry 37.26 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.6 Narm up Chips 39.00 37.26 37.00 Narm up Chips 39.00 35.25 34.46 Narm up Chips 39.00 35.26 39.00 Narm up Chips 39.00 35.26 39.00 Narchips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 35.1 Mix Chips 200 35.00 35.69 Sored /Fall-through 000 35.14 36.90 Sored /Fall-through 130 124.64 00.58 Sored /Fall-through 11.20 0.05 0.05 Sored 200 lbs 3.15 0.05 0.05 Sored 200 lbs 0.05 0.05	dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %4.934.4614.59hput [h]s4.934.4614.59hput [h]swetstreeted4.46wetwetstreeted4.46wetwetstreeted4.46wetwetstreeted4.46wetstreetedwetstreetedwet39.0039.0037.26Warm up Chips39.0039.0037.26Warm up Chips39.00124.64107.18huk chips130124.64107.18huk chips39.0035.1535.40Mix chips39.0037.2635.00huk chips39.0037.2635.00huk chips39.0037.2635.31huk chips39.0037.2635.31huk chips39.0037.2635.30huk chips20018.6916.80huk chips20018.6935.14huk chips20018.6936.69streed at but litter13.0514.60streed at but litter11.6011.00streed at but litter11.600.05streed at but litter13.2637.18full-through 200 lits13.2554.80full-through 200 lits19.2554.80full-through 200 lits5.4014.04full-through 200 lits5.43full-through 200 lits37.25full-through 200 lits37.35 <td>dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %4.934.4614.59moisture %4.934.4614.59returne fillwetset of set o</td> <td>dry$110.1$$116.5$$127.1$molsture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.5$noisture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.5$noisture %$4.93$$4.46$$14.5$returnerwetFilt nough)$drywet8.25$$36.25$$34.6wet30.00$$39.00$$37.26$varuu p Chips$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$varuu p Chips$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$varuu p Chips$39.00$$30.00$$37.26$noist$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$varuu p Chips$39.00$$36.25$$34.6$noist$36.25$$36.25$$34.6$noist$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$noist$39.00$$36.25$$37.46$noist$479.25$$36.73$$35.1$noist$479.25$$571.6$$56.96$noist$479.25$$45.174$$39.00$nime$479.25$$571.6$$571.6$sorted mix Chips$200$$114.00$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$30.00$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$571.6$$571.6$$571.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$sorted mix Chips$114.00$$100.6$$100.6$<td>dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 Input [lts], etimestone input [lts], etimestone 14.50 14.50 Charcoal 36.25 36.00 37.00 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Matchips 36.25 35.00 37.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 35.40 37.26 Matchips 36.00 35.00 35.00 37.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 35.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.60% 35.00 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 700 14.60% 35.1 35.1 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 35.00 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-through 114.00 Intervelopics 2.20 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-t</td></td>	dry110.1116.5127.1moisture %4.934.4614.59moisture %4.934.4614.59returne fillwetset of set o	dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 molsture % 4.93 4.46 14.5 noisture % 4.93 4.46 14.5 noisture % 4.93 4.46 14.5 returnerwetFilt nough) dry wet 8.25 36.25 34.6 wet 30.00 39.00 37.26 varuu p Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 varuu p Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 varuu p Chips 39.00 30.00 37.26 noist 36.25 36.25 34.6 varuu p Chips 39.00 36.25 34.6 noist 36.25 36.25 34.6 noist 39.00 39.00 37.26 noist 39.00 36.25 37.46 noist 479.25 36.73 35.1 noist 479.25 571.6 56.96 noist 479.25 45.174 39.00 nime 479.25 571.6 571.6 sorted mix Chips 200 114.00 100.6 sorted mix Chips 114.00 100.6 30.00 sorted mix Chips 114.00 100.6 100.6 sorted mix Chips 571.6 571.6 571.6 sorted mix Chips 114.00 100.6 100.6 <td>dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 Input [lts], etimestone input [lts], etimestone 14.50 14.50 Charcoal 36.25 36.00 37.00 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Matchips 36.25 35.00 37.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 35.40 37.26 Matchips 36.00 35.00 35.00 37.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 35.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.60% 35.00 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 700 14.60% 35.1 35.1 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 35.00 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-through 114.00 Intervelopics 2.20 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-t</td>	dry 110.1 116.5 127.1 moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 Input [lts], etimestone input [lts], etimestone 14.50 14.50 Charcoal 36.25 36.00 37.00 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.6 37.26 Matchips 36.25 35.00 37.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 35.40 37.26 Matchips 36.00 35.00 35.00 37.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 35.01 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.60% 35.00 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 700 14.60% 35.1 35.1 ImmeLltter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 35.00 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-through 114.00 Intervelopics 2.20 35.1 35.1 Sorted/Fall-t
moisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lls], lls LimestoneInput [lls], lls Limestonewet - (Sorted, Rall through)14.59Charcoal 36.25 $yet - (Sorted,Rall through)aryCharcoal36.2539.0037.26PL7061.3534.46Tarrow33.0037.26PL7061.3532.40Marchips39.0035.1239.00PL7061.3552.40Marchips20035.1239.56Iime20037.2639.00After PL Chips20035.71\%57.40Iime/Litter percentage5.71\%5.71\%6.69\%Sorted/Fall-through0.90Mixed Chips2.00Sorted/Fall-through11.401003.14Fall-through Chips/Init11.20Mixed Chips2.20Sorted/Fall-through Chips/Init11.401000.55Sorted 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips8.15Fall-through 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips8.15Sorted 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips3.10Sorted 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips2.50Sorted 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips2.50Sorted 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips2.50Sorted 200 lbs8.1519.2110.20Sorted 200 lbs5.57$	moisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]4.934.9614.59Input [lbs]wetEnil throughdryWet8.2539.0037.26Warm up Chips39.0037.2637.66Warm up Chips39.0037.2637.66Warm up Chips39.0037.2637.66Mix Chips39.0037.2637.66Mix Chips39.0037.2639.00Mix Chips39.0037.2639.66Mix Chips39.0037.2639.66Mix Chips39.0037.2639.66Mix Chips39.0037.1539.66Mix Chips39.0037.1557.136Mix Chips39.60166.9639.66Mix Chips37.1557.1366.69%Sorted Jell-through0.00Mixed Chips2.00Sorted Jell-through10.140.000.05Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.05Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.05Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.05Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.05Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.05Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.03Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.03Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.03Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.03Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.010.03Sorted ZoUlbs8.1510.01 <td>molisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]$+46$$14.59$Input [lbs]wet<math>evet<math>evetInput [lbs]wet<math>evet<math>evetNarm up Chips$36.25$$34.46$$37.26$Varm up Chips$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$Narm up Chips$39.00$$37.26$$37.26$Narm up Chips$39.00$$37.26$$37.26$Mart PChips$39.00$$37.26$$37.26$Mart PL$70$$61.35$$34.46$Mart Plus$70$$61.35$$34.46$Mart Plus$70$$61.35$$34.46$Mart Plus$39.00$$37.26$$37.26$Mart Plus$70$$61.35$$34.46$Mart Plus$70$$61.35$$34.46$Mart Plus$70$$61.35$$34.46$Mart Plus$100$$124.64$$107.18$Mart Plus$100$$124.64$$107.18$Mart Plus$1140$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Plus$1140$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Nature$1140$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Nature$1140$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Nature$1003$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Nature$1140$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Nature$1140$$Mred Chips$$2.20$Sorted Nature$1003$$12.10$$0.3$Sorted Natur Nature$12.10$$0.3$Sort</math></math></math></math></td> <td>molisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]419.1419.1input [lbs]wet$evet$$evet$Anturoushwet$evet$$evet$$evet$Anturoush$evet$$evet$$evet$$evet$Anturoush$36.25$$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$Varm up Chips$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$Narm up Chips$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$Marm up Chips$39.00$$39.00$$37.26$Mix Chips$130$$124.64$$107.18$Ilme$700$$61.35$$34.46$Mix Chips$130$$124.64$$107.18$Ilme$700$$61.35$$34.66$Mix Chips$130$$124.64$$107.18$Ilme/litter percentage$5.713$$5.713$$5.740$Sorted Pt-lime$2.00$$Mixed Chips$$2.00$Sorted Pt-lime$0.3$$124.64$$107.18$Ilme/litter percentage$5.713$$5.713$$5.713$Sorted Mix Chips$11.40$<math>Mixed Chips$2.20$Sorted Mix Chips$11.40$$100.80$$0.31$Sorted Mix Chips$10.31$$0.31$$0.31$Sorted Mix Chips$10.31$$0.31$$0.31$Sorted Mix Chips$1.40$$0.31$$0.31$Sorted Mix Chips$1.140$$0.03$$0.31$Sorted Mix Chips$0.31$$0.31$$0.31$Sorted Mix Chips$0.31$$0.31$$0.31$</math></td> <td>molisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]wet$ett$$ettNetett$$ett$$ett$$ett$Charcoal3.5.2534.6635.2534.66Warm up Chips39.0039.0037.26Varm up Chips39.0037.2635.55Varm up Chips39.0037.2635.57Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme4.003.5139.50Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme4.003.5139.50Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme4.003.5139.50Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme/Litter percentage5.71%5.71%5.73%Sorted PL-Lime0.00Meed Chips2.00Sorted PL-Lime0.00Meed Chips2.00Sorted PL-Lime0.0311.400.85Sorted PL-Lime11.40Need Chips2.00Sorted Sorted PL-Lime11.4010.670.35Sorted Sorted PL-Lime11.40Need Chips2.00Sorted Sorted PL-Lime10.710.85Sorted Sorted PL-Lime10.710.85Sorted Sorted Sorted PL-Lime10.710.35Sorted Sorted S</td> <td>moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 Input [lbs] Input [lbs] 415 44.6 weet weet (Sorted Hough) dry Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 34.46 Marm up Chips 39.00 35.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 Marchips 30.00 35.1 35.1 Marchips 30.00 35.1 35.1 Marchips 200 124.64 107.18 Mirce 200 35.71% 6.69% Marchips 200 36.57 5.71% Marchips 200 36.69 30.60 Marchips 200 36.71% 5.71% Marchips 200 36.69% 3.0 Marchips 200 36.69% 3.0 Marchips 200 14.04 0.05 Marchips 2.14 3.0 3.0 <</td>	molisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs] $+46$ 14.59 Input [lbs]wet $evetevetInput [lbs]wetevetevetNarm up Chips36.2534.4637.26Varm up Chips39.0039.0037.26Narm up Chips39.0037.2637.26Narm up Chips39.0037.2637.26Mart PChips39.0037.2637.26Mart PL7061.3534.46Mart Plus7061.3534.46Mart Plus7061.3534.46Mart Plus39.0037.2637.26Mart Plus7061.3534.46Mart Plus7061.3534.46Mart Plus7061.3534.46Mart Plus100124.64107.18Mart Plus100124.64107.18Mart Plus1140Mred Chips2.20Sorted Plus1140Mred Chips2.20Sorted Nature1140Mred Chips2.20Sorted Nature1140Mred Chips2.20Sorted Nature1003Mred Chips2.20Sorted Nature1140Mred Chips2.20Sorted Nature1140Mred Chips2.20Sorted Nature100312.100.3Sorted Natur Nature12.100.3Sort$	molisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]419.1419.1input [lbs]wet $evet$ $evet$ Anturoushwet $evet$ $evet$ $evet$ Anturoush $evet$ $evet$ $evet$ $evet$ Anturoush 36.25 39.00 39.00 37.26 Varm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Narm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Marm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Ilme 700 61.35 34.46 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Ilme 700 61.35 34.66 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Ilme/litter percentage 5.713 5.713 5.740 Sorted Pt-lime 2.00 $Mixed Chips$ 2.00 Sorted Pt-lime 0.3 124.64 107.18 Ilme/litter percentage 5.713 5.713 5.713 Sorted Mix Chips 11.40 $Mixed Chips2.20Sorted Mix Chips11.40100.800.31Sorted Mix Chips10.310.310.31Sorted Mix Chips10.310.310.31Sorted Mix Chips1.400.310.31Sorted Mix Chips1.1400.030.31Sorted Mix Chips0.310.310.31Sorted Mix Chips0.310.310.31$	molisture %4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]4.934.4614.59Input [lbs]wet ett ett Net ett ett ett ett Charcoal3.5.2534.6635.2534.66Warm up Chips39.0039.0037.26Varm up Chips39.0037.2635.55Varm up Chips39.0037.2635.57Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme4.003.5139.50Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme4.003.5139.50Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme4.003.5139.50Mix Chips130124.64107.18Ilme/Litter percentage5.71%5.71%5.73%Sorted PL-Lime0.00Meed Chips2.00Sorted PL-Lime0.00Meed Chips2.00Sorted PL-Lime0.0311.400.85Sorted PL-Lime11.40Need Chips2.00Sorted Sorted PL-Lime11.4010.670.35Sorted Sorted PL-Lime11.40Need Chips2.00Sorted Sorted PL-Lime10.710.85Sorted Sorted PL-Lime10.710.85Sorted Sorted Sorted PL-Lime10.710.35Sorted Sorted S	moisture % 4.93 4.46 14.59 Input [lbs] Input [lbs] 415 44.6 weet weet (Sorted Hough) dry Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 34.46 Marm up Chips 39.00 35.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 Marchips 30.00 35.1 35.1 Marchips 30.00 35.1 35.1 Marchips 200 124.64 107.18 Mirce 200 35.71% 6.69% Marchips 200 36.57 5.71% Marchips 200 36.69 30.60 Marchips 200 36.71% 5.71% Marchips 200 36.69% 3.0 Marchips 200 36.69% 3.0 Marchips 200 14.04 0.05 Marchips 2.14 3.0 3.0 <
Input [Ibs], 41bs Limestonenput [Ibs], wetFall throughdry $wetFall throughdrywetFall throughdryP_L7061.3533.46P_L7061.3552.40P_L7061.3552.40P_L7061.3552.40Mix Chips39.0037.26After PL Chips39.0037.26After PL Chips30.0018.99107.18Iime479.25451.74305.61Lime/Litter percentage5.71%5.71%5.65%Sorted /Fall through[1bs]0.00Mixed Chips2.20Sorted Pt-tlime0.00Mixed Chips2.10Sorted 200 lbs8.15totol0.36Sorted 200 lbs8.15totol3.10Falt through 200 lbs8.15totol3.10Falt through 200 lbs4.555.480.36Moudchips char6.755.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.$	Input [Ibs], 4lbs limestone Narm up Chips wet Kert (Sorted + wet (Sorted + model) $Warm up Chips$ 36.25 36.25 34.46 $Warm up Chips$ 39.00 37.26 34.46 $Warm up Chips$ 39.00 37.25 34.46 $Warm up Chips$ 39.00 37.26 37.26 $Mix Chips$ 130 124.64 107.18 $Mix Chips$ 130 124.64 107.18 $Mix Chips$ 200 185.61 107.18 $Mix Chips$ 200 18.51.74 355.61 $Mix Chips$ 201 14.00 107.18 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.09 Mixed Chips 2.20 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.140 10.66 6.69% Sorted Mrauk Chips 2.21% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted Mrauchos 114.00 10.00 0.05 Sorted Mrauchos 0.180 0.160 0.05 Sorted Mrauk Chips 2.21% 5.71% 5.70 Sorted Mrauk Chips	Input [lbs], 4lbs LimestoneInput [lbs], 4lbs LimestonewetKert (Sorted + wetwetwetCharcoal 36.52 36.52 39.00 36.52 39.00 39.00 39.00 37.26 Narm up Chips 39.00 39.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 130 124.64 107.18 130 124.64 107.18 110 130 120 35.1 35.1 39.00 39.00 35.1 39.00 35.1 39.00 35.1 39.00 35.1 1107.18 130.61 1107.18 37.26 1107.18 37.26 1107.18 37.26 1107.18 37.26 1107.18 37.26 1107.18 107.18 1107.18 107.18 11100 100.1100 11100 111.40 11100 111.40 11100 111.40 11100 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 111000 111.40 1110000 111.40 11100000 111.40 111000000 </td <td>Input [lbs], 4lbs timestone imput [lbs], 4lbs timestone wet wet (sorted + wet wet (sorted + Warm up Chips 36.53 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 30.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 34.46 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 200 3.51 35.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.00 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 $0.$</td> <td>Input [lbs], 4lbs limestone Input [lbs], 4lbs limestone wet wet (sorted + wet wet (sorted + Warm up Chips 36.52 30.00 Marm up Chips 39.00 39.00 Marm up Chips 39.00 39.00 Marm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Marm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 3.51 30.01 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 3.51 30.61 Imme/litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.6% Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 220 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 220 Sorted Volus/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.67 0.35 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.66 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 11.40 Lime 0.05 Method Chips 5.71% 5.73% 5.03 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.67 0.36 Fall-through 200 l</td> <td>Input [Ibs], 41bs Limestone wet Fail through dry Warm up Chips wet Fail through dry Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 2.00 186.59 107.18 Mix Chips 2.00 186.59 160.80 Job After PL Chips 2.00 186.59 107.18 Sorted VFall-through (1bs) 6.73 5.71% 5.71% Sorted VFall-through 11.40 Lime 0.35 <i>alt-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.35 <i>alt-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.36 Sorted After PL Lime 0.30 8.15 0.36 <i>alt-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.36 <i>alt-through</i> 2.13% 5.71% 5.69% <i>alt-through</i> 2.140 3.10 3.10 <i>fall-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.36 <</td>	Input [lbs], 4lbs timestone imput [lbs], 4lbs timestone wet wet (sorted + wet wet (sorted + Warm up Chips 36.53 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 30.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 34.46 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 200 3.51 35.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.00 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 $0.$	Input [lbs], 4lbs limestone Input [lbs], 4lbs limestone wet wet (sorted + wet wet (sorted + Warm up Chips 36.52 30.00 Marm up Chips 39.00 39.00 Marm up Chips 39.00 39.00 Marm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Marm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 3.51 30.01 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 3.51 30.61 Imme/litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.6% Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 220 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 220 Sorted Volus/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.67 0.35 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.66 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 11.40 Lime 0.05 Method Chips 5.71% 5.73% 5.03 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.67 0.36 Fall-through 200 l	Input [Ibs], 41bs Limestone wet Fail through dry Warm up Chips wet Fail through dry Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 2.00 186.59 107.18 Mix Chips 2.00 186.59 160.80 Job After PL Chips 2.00 186.59 107.18 Sorted VFall-through (1bs) 6.73 5.71% 5.71% Sorted VFall-through 11.40 Lime 0.35 <i>alt-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.35 <i>alt-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.36 Sorted After PL Lime 0.30 8.15 0.36 <i>alt-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.36 <i>alt-through</i> 2.13% 5.71% 5.69% <i>alt-through</i> 2.140 3.10 3.10 <i>fall-through</i> 11.40 Lime 0.36 <
Input (IJOS), 4105mput (IJOS), 4105wethFall throughdryVarm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.46 Narm up Chips 36.25 36.26 37.26 Narm up Chips 39.00 37.26 37.26 After PL Chips 30.00 37.26 37.26 Inme 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted Y=all-through 110.00 114.60 0.05 Sorted PL-time 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 Sorted PL-time 2.200 8.15 4.16 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.718 3.10 Fair-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.016 8.15 Moodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gastifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 <	Input (Itab, 41tos timestorite wet Totarcoal %et Fall through) dry Varm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.46 Varm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.46 Mix Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 36.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 200 186.99 160.80 Sorted PL-Uchips 200 186.99 160.80 Sorted Vall-through [hs] 479.25 45.174 35.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted Vall-through 114.00 Nixed Chips 2.20 Sorted Vall-through 114.00 Lime 0.05 Sorted Vall-through 2.01 Nixed Chips 2.20 Sorted Soulds 8.15 total 3.10 Foll-through 200 8.15 total 3.10 Foll-through 201 10.25 6.75 0.35 Sorted Soulds 3.16 Lime 0.05 3.10 Foll-through 200 8.15 10.20 3.10<	Input lush, HIDS unresume mput lush, HIDS unresume wet Input lush, HIDS unresume all through) Charcoal 36.25 34.46 Varm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 PL 70 61.35 32.40 Mix Chips 30.00 35.1 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 70 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 70 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 130 3.51 37.26 Imme 70 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 130 134.64 107.18 Sorred Pt-Line 0.0 3.51 3.51 Sorred Vall-through 11.40 100.18 5005 Sorred Nulls 11.40 11.40 005 Sorred Nulls 3.10 5005 2.20 Sorred Nulls 3.10 5005 5.713 5005 Sorred Nulls 2.20 11.40 100.65 Sorred Nulls 2.140 2005 5.713 Sorred Nulls 2.140 2.005 2.005	Input (tras), et tos unrescurres Input (tras), et tos unrescurres wet (sorted + lef) dry Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Nix Chips 30.00 61.35 32.40 Mix Chips 30.00 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 200 35.51 35.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted/Fall-through (lbs) 0.90 Mixed Chips 220 Sorted/Fall-through 114.00 Mixed Chips 220 Sorted/Fall-through 114.40 Lime 0.05 Sorted/Fall-through 200 $9.160.80$ $9.160.80$ Sorted/Fall-through 200 $9.120.80$ $9.160.80$ Fall-through 200 $9.120.80$ $9.160.80$ Fall-through 200.80 $9.120.80$ $9.160.80$ Fall-through 200.80 $9.120.80$ $9.160.80$ Fall-through $20.120.80$ $9.120.80$ $9.20.70$ <	Input [IIIs], 4105 unresume wet Relation dry Charcoal 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Narm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Nix Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 134.64 107.18 Sorted Ize PL Chips 200 35.51 107.18 Sorted Alzell-through (Ibs) 134.64 107.18 Sorted Alzell-through 113.0 124.64 107.18 Sorted Alzell-through 010 114.64 107.18 Sorted Alzell-through 113.40 106.80 200 Sorted Alzell-through 114.40 100.65 220 Sorted Alzell-through $200 bs$ 4.86 After PL Chips 2.00 Sorted Alzell-through $200 bs$ 2.05 3.10 Mutupolo $200 bs$ 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 Alfer Chips 2.02 2.02 2.00 3.10 Alter Chal Ash 2.55	Input (Iub), 410 mput (Iub), 410 weth Fall through dry Varm up Chips 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 39.00 37.21 37.26 Mix Chips 39.00 37.26 37.26 Mix Chips 120 61.35 52.40 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 200 186.99 100.18 Job After PL Chips 200 186.99 100.80 Sorted H-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 Sorted Mix Chips 2.00 186.99 16.69% Job H-through 11.40 Lime 0.35 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.36 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.35 Job H-through Chips/PL/Lime 0.30 Mixed Chips 2.20 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.35 Job H-through Chips/PL/Lime 0.36 0.36 Job H-through Colls 8.15 0.36 Modothips char 6.75 5.32 0.36 After Crerted
wet Fail through) dry T Charcoal 36.25 56.25 34.46 37.26 Warm up Chips 36.53 36.35 34.46 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 35.61 After PL Chips 24.00 38.51 35.61 33.66 Imme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 5.60% Sorted/Fall-through 113.40 114.40 116.60 0.5 8.15 Sorted/Fall-through Chips/PL/Ime 0.30 $Mxed Chips$ 5.71% 6.69% Fahrough Chips/PL/Ime 11.400 116.60 0.310 0.5 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 5.71% 5.71% 5.60% 0.30 Fahrough Chips/PL/Ime 11.400 $11m$ 0.05 0.310 0.310 Fahrough Chips/PL/Ime 11.400 $11m$ 0.05 0.310 0.310	wet Fail through dry T Charcoal 36.25 34.46 37.26 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 37.26 Mix Chips 120 124.64 107.18 395.61 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 35.61 After PL Chips 20.0 186.95 52.40 85.71 After PL Chips 20.0 186.35 35.61 107.18 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71 % 5.71 % 6.69 % 5.71 % Sorted Vall-through 1081 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.00 Sorted Vis/PLume 1.20 1.20 0.8 0.95 0.95 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 fourd 0.310 0.30 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.90 0.90 0.90 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.95	wet twet twet <th< td=""><td>wet twet <th< td=""><td>wet twet <th< td=""><td>wet Fail through) dry T Charcoal 36.25 34.46 37.26 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.6 Marcoal 35.23 34.6 37.26 Marcoal 35.00 35.11 3.51 3.51 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 35.71% 6.69% After PL Chips 200 Mix ed Chips 3.50 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mix ed Chips 2.20 Sorted Vall-through 140 100 3.10 Sorted One 2.20 3.10 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.61% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 6.69%<!--</td--></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	wet twet twet <th< td=""><td>wet twet <th< td=""><td>wet Fail through) dry T Charcoal 36.25 34.46 37.26 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.6 Marcoal 35.23 34.6 37.26 Marcoal 35.00 35.11 3.51 3.51 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 35.71% 6.69% After PL Chips 200 Mix ed Chips 3.50 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mix ed Chips 2.20 Sorted Vall-through 140 100 3.10 Sorted One 2.20 3.10 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.61% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 6.69%<!--</td--></td></th<></td></th<>	wet twet twet <th< td=""><td>wet Fail through) dry T Charcoal 36.25 34.46 37.26 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.6 Marcoal 35.23 34.6 37.26 Marcoal 35.00 35.11 3.51 3.51 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 35.71% 6.69% After PL Chips 200 Mix ed Chips 3.50 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mix ed Chips 2.20 Sorted Vall-through 140 100 3.10 Sorted One 2.20 3.10 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.61% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 6.69%<!--</td--></td></th<>	wet Fail through) dry T Charcoal 36.25 34.46 37.26 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 34.6 Marcoal 35.23 34.6 37.26 Marcoal 35.00 35.11 3.51 3.51 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Imme 4.00 35.71% 6.69% After PL Chips 200 Mix ed Chips 3.50 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mix ed Chips 2.20 Sorted Vall-through 140 100 3.10 Sorted One 2.20 3.10 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.61% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 5.71% 5.66% 3.10 Sorted Marconics 8.15 6.69% </td
Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 37.26 Nix Chips 130 37.21 37.26 Mix Chips 130 37.26 37.26 Mix Chips 130 35.1 35.1 After PL Chips 4.00 3.51 35.1 After PL Chips 200 386.99 160.80 Imme(itter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.69% Sorted/Fall-through 1185 5.71% 5.69% 7 Sorted mix Chips 200 Mixed Chips 2.20 8.16 Fuhrough Chips/Pu/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 3.10 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15 Forter 0.05 3.10 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15 Forter 0.35 3.10 Moodchips char 6.55 5.48 2.00 3.10 After Chips 11.40 Lime 0.36 3.10 After Chips 3.15 1.2.09 3.10<	Charcoal 36.25 36.46 34.46 Narm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 37.26 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 57.3 Mixe Chips 200 35.1 35.1 35.1 After PL Chips 200 186.99 107.18 395.61 Imme 4.00 35.71% 5.71% 5.6% 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 5.6% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through (lbs) 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.05 Charthrough Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.05 Charthrough Chips/PL/Lime 0.136 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 Charthrough Chips/PL/Lime $0.11.40$ 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 Charthrough Chips/PL/Lime $0.11.05$ 0.05	Charcoal 36.25 36.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 37.26 Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 37.26 Mix Pl. 70 124.13 57.34 107.18 Mix Pl. 3.00 35.0 35.00 35.12 57.34 Mix Pl. 100 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.51 After PL Chips 200 35.13 3.51 3.51 3.51 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% F 5.71% 5.70% 5.71%	Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 39.00 37.26 37.26 PL 70 61.35 52.40 37.26 37.26 Marm up Chips 130 124.64 107.18 357.13 357.13 After PL Chips 200 186.99 107.18 355.61 357.61 After PL Chips 200 186.99 107.18 355.61 357.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted Nat/Litter 200 Mixed Chips 2.20 395.61 5.71% Sorted Nat/Litter 11.40 Uns 2.20 3.10 3.10 Culttrough Chips/PL/Line 11.40 Uns 2.20 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.16 0.05 8.15 Culttrough 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.10 0.05 Coll-through 200 lbs 8.15	Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 37.26 Nam up Chips 130 124.44 107.18 57.40 Nix Chips 130 126.44 107.18 3.51 After PL Chips 200 35.51 3.51 3.51 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 $8.56.61$ Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.69% 5.70% Sorted Fl-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.69% 5.70% Sorted PL-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.71% 5.70% Sorted PL-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 5.70% 5.70% Sorted PL-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.70% 5.70% 5.70% Sorted PL-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 5.70% 5.70% Sorted PL-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.70% <	Charcoal 36.25 36.25 34.46 Warm up Chips 39.00 35.00 37.26 Nam up Chips 39.00 35.00 37.26 Mit Chips 130 124.64 107.18 Ime 4.00 3.51 35.54 After PL Chips 2.00 186.99 160.80 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% F Sorted PL+Hime 0.90 Mxed Chips 35.01 F Sorted PL+Hime 0.90 Mxed Chips 2.00 F 5.71% 5.69% F Sorted PL+Hime 0.90 Mxed Chips 2.00 F 5.71% 5.69% F Sorted PL+Hime 0.90 Mxed Chips 2.00 F 5.71%
Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 N PL 70 61.35 52.40 N Rine 4.00 35.1 3.71 3.71 After PL Chips 200 128.99 160.80 3.51 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 3.51 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% Fall Sorted/Fall-through [1bs] Nred Chips 2.20 Fall Sorted/Fall-through [1bs] Nred Chips 2.20 Sorted Fall Sorted PL-time 0.05 Nred Chips 2.20 3.10 Fall Sorted Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 1.0 3.10 Fall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10	Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 N PL 70 61.35 52.40 N PL 70 61.35 52.40 N Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 35.1 Ime After PL Chips 20.00 35.61 35.61 35.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 50 50 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 71% Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 71% 5.71% 5.71% 5.65% 71% Sorted Vallet PL Chips 11.40 11me 0.05 9.66% 7.0 7.0 Sorted Dolls 8.15 totol 11.40 11me 0.05 7.0 7.0 7.0 Sorted Matchips 3.10 11.40 11me 0.05 8.15 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0	Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Name PL 70 61.35 52.40 Name PL 70 61.35 52.40 Name Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 3.51 After PL Chips 200 35.61 3.51 3.51 After PL Chips 200 134.64 3.51 3.51 Control 479.25 145.174 335.61 10.13 Lime/Lutter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Mixed Chips 2.20 7 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 Lime 0.05 1 Sorted VFall-through 1140 Lime 0.05 1 Sorted VIII 114.0 Lime 0.05 1 1 Sorted Noibs PL/Lime 114.0 Lime 0.05 1 1 Fall-through 200 bs 8.15 Fotol 3.10 1 1 1 1 <	Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Nature PL 70 61.35 52.40 Nature Rink Chips 130 124.64 107.18 3.51 After PL Chips 200 35.61 1.07.18 3.51 After PL Chips 200 136.99 160.80 3.51 Context 479.25 451.74 335.61 1.07.18 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7.0 Sorted/Fall-through [1bs] 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 7.0 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 1.01 0.05 1.0.18 1.0 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 1.01 0.05 1.0 1.0 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 1.01 0.05 1.0 1.0 1.0 Sorted/Fall-through 1.140 1.01 0.05 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Warm up Chips 39.00 39.00 37.26 Nature PL 70 61.35 52.40 Nature Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 351 Mix Chips 200 35.61 107.18 35.61 After PL Chips 200 35.61 107.18 35.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted VFall-through [Ibs] 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted VFall-through [Ibs] 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted Mix Chips 11.40 Lime 0.05 2.20 Sorted Mix Chips 3.10 1.140 0.05 2.20 Sorted Mix Chips 3.13 1.140 0.05 2.20 Sorted Mix Chips 3.15 1.140 0.05 2.20 Sorted Mix Chips 3.15 1.140 0.05 2.20 Fall-through 200 lbs	Warm up Chips 39.00 37.26 Numue Chips 70 61.35 52.40 N. Ime 1.30 1.35 5.2.40 N. Ime 1.30 1.35 5.2.40 N. Ime 1.30 1.85.99 160.80 35.1 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 A Ime/Little percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% Fall Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 0.90 Mixed Chips 30.10 Sorted Nux Chips 11.40 Uime 0.05 3.10 Imethrough Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 3.10 Sorted ZoUbs 8.15 total 3.10 3.10 Fall-through Colps 8.15 total 3.10 3.10 Modothips char 6.75 0.35 0.36 0.36 After PL Chips 8.15 total 3.10 3.10 Modothips char 5.54 0.35 3.10 3.10
PL 70 61.35 52.40 10 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 10 After PL Chips 200 3.51 1 3.51 1 0 After PL Chips 200 136.99 160.80 0 0 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 6 107.18 0 0 Sorted/Fall-through [libs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 6 107.18 0 Sorted/Fall-through [libs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 11 Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Mixed Chips 2.20 395.61 11 Sorted Vall-through [libs] 0.0 Mixed Chips 2.20 3 3 Fall-through Chips/PL/Ime 11.40 Lime 0.35 2 3 10 Fall-through Chips/PL/Ime 11.40 Lime 0.35 3 10 3 10 Fall-through Chips Chips <th< td=""><td>PL 70 61.35 52.40 10 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 35.1 1 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 3 0 0 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.51 1 After PL Chips 200 130 3.51 6.69% 3.51 1 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Mixed Chips 2.10 3.10 2 Sorted Drap/Hitte 1.1.20 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 0.05 0 0.05 0 0.05 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 fotad 0.05 0.05 0 0.05 0 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 6.75 0.75 0.05 0 0 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.05</td><td>PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 11 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 1 3.51 1 After PL Chips 20.0 18.699 160.80 0 0 After PL Chips 20.0 18.699 160.80 0 0 Sorted/Fall-through (lbs) 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 Sorted Vell 1101 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 3 3 Sorted Vell 112.20 Nixed Chips 2.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7 Sorted Vell 11.00 Unixe 0.00 Mixed Chips 33.10 3 Sorted Not No 8.15 total 0.08 3.10 3 3 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 total 0.15 3 3 1 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4 1 Fall-through 200 lbs <t< td=""><td>PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 10 Mix Chips 130 124,64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 200 3.51 1 3.51 0 After PL Chips 200 18.699 160.80 0 Sorted/Fall-through 109 18.71% 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through 109 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through 109 Mixed Chips 2.20 3 3 Sorted Mix Chips 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 7 Gilt-through Chips 1.10 1.10 0.85 7 3 Sorted Mix Chips 3.13 2.20 3.10 3 3 3 Coll-through Chips 8.13 total 0.08 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <</td><td>PL 70 61.35 52.40 11 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 1 3.51 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 3.51 0 0 After PL Chips 20.0 18.09 160.80 0 0 Sorted/Fall-through 479.25 451.74 395.61 0 0 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 3 0 Sorted Mix Chips 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 0 Sorted Mix Chips 11.00 Unite 0.05 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 10 Mix Chips 130 3.5.1 1 After PL Chips 20.0 3.5.1 3.5.1 1 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 6 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 1140 16080 0 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 11me 0.38 7 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 11me 0.38 7 Sorted Nchips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.36 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.36 7 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36 3.10 3.10 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36 3.10 3.10 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36</td></t<></td></th<>	PL 70 61.35 52.40 10 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 35.1 1 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 3 0 0 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.51 1 After PL Chips 200 130 3.51 6.69% 3.51 1 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Mixed Chips 2.10 3.10 2 Sorted Drap/Hitte 1.1.20 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 0.05 0 0.05 0 0.05 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 fotad 0.05 0.05 0 0.05 0 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 6.75 0.75 0.05 0 0 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.05	PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 11 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 1 3.51 1 After PL Chips 20.0 18.699 160.80 0 0 After PL Chips 20.0 18.699 160.80 0 0 Sorted/Fall-through (lbs) 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 Sorted Vell 1101 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 3 3 Sorted Vell 112.20 Nixed Chips 2.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7 Sorted Vell 11.00 Unixe 0.00 Mixed Chips 33.10 3 Sorted Not No 8.15 total 0.08 3.10 3 3 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 total 0.15 3 3 1 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4 1 Fall-through 200 lbs <t< td=""><td>PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 10 Mix Chips 130 124,64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 200 3.51 1 3.51 0 After PL Chips 200 18.699 160.80 0 Sorted/Fall-through 109 18.71% 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through 109 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through 109 Mixed Chips 2.20 3 3 Sorted Mix Chips 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 7 Gilt-through Chips 1.10 1.10 0.85 7 3 Sorted Mix Chips 3.13 2.20 3.10 3 3 3 Coll-through Chips 8.13 total 0.08 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <</td><td>PL 70 61.35 52.40 11 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 1 3.51 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 3.51 0 0 After PL Chips 20.0 18.09 160.80 0 0 Sorted/Fall-through 479.25 451.74 395.61 0 0 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 3 0 Sorted Mix Chips 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 0 Sorted Mix Chips 11.00 Unite 0.05 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td><td>PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 10 Mix Chips 130 3.5.1 1 After PL Chips 20.0 3.5.1 3.5.1 1 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 6 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 1140 16080 0 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 11me 0.38 7 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 11me 0.38 7 Sorted Nchips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.36 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.36 7 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36 3.10 3.10 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36 3.10 3.10 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36</td></t<>	PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 10 Mix Chips 130 124,64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 200 3.51 1 3.51 0 After PL Chips 200 18.699 160.80 0 Sorted/Fall-through 109 18.71% 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through 109 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 395.61 Sorted/Fall-through 109 Mixed Chips 2.20 3 3 Sorted Mix Chips 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 7 Gilt-through Chips 1.10 1.10 0.85 7 3 Sorted Mix Chips 3.13 2.20 3.10 3 3 3 Coll-through Chips 8.13 total 0.08 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 <	PL 70 61.35 52.40 11 Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 1 3.51 0 After PL Chips 20.0 3.51 3.51 0 0 After PL Chips 20.0 18.09 160.80 0 0 Sorted/Fall-through 479.25 451.74 395.61 0 0 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 3 0 Sorted Mix Chips 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3 0 Sorted Mix Chips 11.00 Unite 0.05 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	PL 70 61.35 5.2.40 10 Mix Chips 130 3.5.1 1 After PL Chips 20.0 3.5.1 3.5.1 1 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 6 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 1140 16080 0 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 11me 0.38 7 Sorted/Fall-through 1140 11me 0.38 7 Sorted Nchips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.38 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.36 7 Vall-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 11me 0.36 7 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36 3.10 3.10 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36 3.10 3.10 Adter PL Chips 8.15 0.36
Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 After PL Chips 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Ime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Ime/Lutter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 Sorted/Fall-through 11.40 $Nixed Chips$ 2.20 3.10 Sorted Intrough 11.40 $Nixed Chips$ 2.20 3.10 <i>Fthrough Chips/Lutte</i> 11.40 0.85 7.8 7.8 <i>Fthrough Chips/Lutte</i> 11.40 0.05 0.4 3.10 3.10 <i>Fthrough Chips/Lutte</i> 11.40 11.40 0.05 0.4 3.10 3.10 <i>Fthrough Chips/Lutte</i> 0.30 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.4 <i>Fthrough Chips/Lutte</i> 0.90 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.4 <i>Full-through 200 lbs</i> <	Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 lime 4.00 3.51 1.9 0.3 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 1.9 Lime/Litter Percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 6.69% 0.4 Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Naced Chips 2.20 31.0 33.10 33.10 33.10 Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Naced Chips 2.20 3.10 95.61 3.10 Sorted Matchips 1.1.40 Nixed Chips 2.20 3.10 0.35 0.41 Sorted Matheword Raceo Rater PL Chips 8.15 1.48 0.05 0.44 Fail-through 200 lbs 8.15 1.000 0.35 0.31 0.36 Fail-through 200 lbs 8.15 1.48 0.05 0.48 0.36 0.36 Fail-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.31 0.31 <td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 Mix Chips 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Imme 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 0.4 Imme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.65% 0.4 335.61 0.4 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.65% 0.4 31.6 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.71% 0.6 3.1 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$ Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$ Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$ Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$</td> <td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 lime 4.00 3.51 1.9 0.3 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.4 Imme 4.00 3.51 3.51 1.9 Imme/Litter Percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 160.80 0.4 Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 7.8 7.8 Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.30 0.4 Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 9.1 0.85 7.8 Sorted Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 0.4 Sorted Soulds 8.15 0.03 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.4 Sorted Soulds 8.15 0.04 0.35 0.43 0.31 0.4 Sorted Soulds 8.15 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31<!--</td--><td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 lime 4.00 3.51 1.3 1.3 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.4 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 1.3 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 1.4 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted/Fall-through 0.0 335.61 0.4 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7.8 Sorted PL-time 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.0 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.0 0.48 Sorted DDIs 8.15 totol 0.05 0.4 4.8 Other PL chips 8.15 totol 0.35 4.8 Adher PL Chips 8.15 0.35 3.10 3.10 Modthips char 6.75 0.35 0.36 3.10 Modthips char 5.55 5.48<!--</td--><td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 Hime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Ime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 0.4 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 33.61 0.4 Sorted PL-time 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 31.6 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.1 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 Sorted Onlise 8.15 0.01 0.05 0.4 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05</td></td></td>	Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 Mix Chips 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Imme 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 0.4 Imme/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.65% 0.4 335.61 0.4 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.65% 0.4 31.6 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.71% 0.6 3.1 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$ Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$ Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$ Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 0.4 Sorted Nu Schips $1.1.40$	Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 lime 4.00 3.51 1.9 0.3 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.4 Imme 4.00 3.51 3.51 1.9 Imme/Litter Percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 160.80 0.4 Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 7.8 7.8 Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.30 0.4 Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 9.1 0.85 7.8 Sorted Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 0.4 Sorted Soulds 8.15 0.03 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.4 Sorted Soulds 8.15 0.04 0.35 0.43 0.31 0.4 Sorted Soulds 8.15 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 0.31 </td <td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 lime 4.00 3.51 1.3 1.3 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.4 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 1.3 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 1.4 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted/Fall-through 0.0 335.61 0.4 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7.8 Sorted PL-time 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.0 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.0 0.48 Sorted DDIs 8.15 totol 0.05 0.4 4.8 Other PL chips 8.15 totol 0.35 4.8 Adher PL Chips 8.15 0.35 3.10 3.10 Modthips char 6.75 0.35 0.36 3.10 Modthips char 5.55 5.48<!--</td--><td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 Hime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Ime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 0.4 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 33.61 0.4 Sorted PL-time 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 31.6 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.1 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 Sorted Onlise 8.15 0.01 0.05 0.4 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05</td></td>	Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 lime 4.00 3.51 1.3 1.3 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.4 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 1.3 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 1.4 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted/Fall-through 0.0 335.61 0.4 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 7.8 Sorted PL-time 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.0 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.0 0.48 Sorted DDIs 8.15 totol 0.05 0.4 4.8 Other PL chips 8.15 totol 0.35 4.8 Adher PL Chips 8.15 0.35 3.10 3.10 Modthips char 6.75 0.35 0.36 3.10 Modthips char 5.55 5.48 </td <td>Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 Hime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Ime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 0.4 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 33.61 0.4 Sorted PL-time 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 31.6 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.1 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 Sorted Onlise 8.15 0.01 0.05 0.4 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05</td>	Mix Chips 130 124.64 107.18 0.3 Hime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 After PL Chips 200 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 Ime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 1.9 0.4 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 33.61 0.4 Sorted PL-time 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 0.4 31.6 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 31.1 Sorted Intrough 11.40 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.1 Sorted Onlise 8.15 0.01 0.05 0.4 0.05 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.4 0.05 0.4 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05
lime 4.00 3.51 3.51 1.97 After PL Chips 200 188.99 160.80 0.43 lime/litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 0.43 Sorted VFall-through [lbs] Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted VFall-through [lbs] Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 Sorted Pt-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.10 Sorted Pt-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.36 7.86 Fall-through 200 bs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fall-through 200 bs 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 Moodthips char 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 After	lime 4.00 3.51 3.95.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 3.61 0.45 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 3.31 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.31 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.31 Sorted DL-Hime 1.1.40 1.1.40 0.45 7.80 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totool 3.10 4.86 Fall-Hrough 200 lbs 8.15 totool 3.10 0.45 Fall-Hrough 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fall-Hrough 200 lbs 8.15 1.30 3.10 3.10 3.10 Modothips char 6.75 6.775	lime 4.00 3.51 3.55 3.51 3.55 3.51 3.55 3.51 3.55 5.73% 5.73% 5.53% 5.73% 5.53% 0.45 Ime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.69% 7.80 3.35 3.16 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.73% 5.73% 0.45 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 3.16 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.91 Mixed Chips 2.13 4.38 3.10 3.10 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.43 After Pt Chips 8.15 4.38 3.10 Fell-through 2001bs 8.15 Atter Pt Chips 8.15 4.38 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.1	lime 4.00 3.51 3.55 3.51 3.55 3.51 3.55 3.51 5.73% 6.69% 6.69% 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 5.73% 6.69% 3.35 3.35 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.73% 6.73% 0.45 3.36 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 4.36 Coll-through Chips 8.15 0.45 0.45 3.10 $3.$	lime 4.00 3.51 3.55 3.51 3.55 5.71% 5.53% 5.73% 5.53 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 5.68% 7.88 3.316 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mxed Chips 5.71% 5.68% 3.316 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mxed Chips 2.20 3.316 3.316 Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mxed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.316 Sorted Pt-Lime 1.1.40 0.14 0.085 0.45 3.310 Sorted 200 lbs 3.15 1.01me 0.05 3.48 3.10 3.10 Foll-through 200 lbs 3.15 1.01me 0.35 0.45 3.10 3.10 3.16 Foll-through 200 lbs 8.15	lime 4.00 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.51 3.95 1.97 After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.6% 395.61 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.6% 31.6 Sorted PL-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 8.15 6.7% 0.45 3.16 Sorted Naix Chips 2.14 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Naix Chips 2.20 8.15 4.86 7.86 7.86 Value Prough Chips Char 0.140 0.146 0.35 0.45 0.36 Modehips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.76 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.120 0.36 <
After PL Chips 200 136.99 160.80 0.45 totar 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.45 7.1% 5.71% 6.69% 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Mixed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 0.45 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 3.16 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 $Mixed Chips$ 2.20 3.16 3.16 Sorted Nux Chips 8.15 $total$ 0.85 7.80 0.45 Sorted Soulbs 8.15 $total$ 0.05 0.45 0.310 Fall-through 200 bs 8.15 $total$ 3.10 3.10 3.10 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Crate 5.55 5.48 0.310 <th< td=""><td>After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 three PL Chips 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5,71% 6.69% 6.16 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Nxed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 0.45 Sorted Mall-through [lbs] Nxed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 7.80 Sorted Max Chips 1.140 Nxed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.16 Sorted Max Chips 2.20 Nxed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.16 Sorted Max Chips 2.20 Nxed Chips 3.10 3.10 3.10 Cult through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 3.10 4.86 Cult through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 3.10 3.10 Foll-through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Nxed Chips 3.10 3.10 Corted Max Chips 2.55 5.48 7.80 3.10 4.86 Moodthips char 6.75 0.3</td><td>After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 Ime/Lutter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.045 Lime/Lutter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.16% 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Mxed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 7.80 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Diss/PL/time 11.40 Utime 0.05 0.45 Coll-through Chips 3.16 PL 0.35 0.45 Sorted Chips 3.15 Sorted 2.00 0.45 Coll-through Collbis 3.15 Sorted 3.10 3.10 Moodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.35 3.10 3.10 Moodchips char 5.35 5.48 3.12 3.12.09 3.12 Moodchips char 5.55 5.48 2.03</td><td>After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 tend 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.16 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Chips 5.71% 6.69% 0.45 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.780 0.45 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 8.15 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 8.15 Mixed Chips 3.10 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 8.15 Mixed Chips 3.10 3.16 Sorted Mithough 200 lbs 8.15 Mixed Chips 3.10 3.16 Modelips Char 6.75 6.75 0.35 3.16 Modelips Char 6.75 5.48 2.03 3.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67</td><td>After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 trond 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.16% 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 $Mixed Chips$ 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.140 Unime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 4.86 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelrips char 6.75 6.75 0.35 0.36 0.36 Modelrips char 6.75 5.48 <math>After PL Chips 3.15 2.67 Modelrips char 6.75 0.32 0.36 </math></td><td>After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 Inne/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.045 Inne/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 1.16 Sorted VFall-through [lbs] Sorted Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Dis Sorted Mix Chips 2.1.4 3.00 4.80 7.80 Sorted Dis Sorted Mixed Dis Sorted 3.1.5 5.64 4.80 4.80 Moodchips char 6.75 0.05 0.36 0.36 Moodchips char 5.54 3.1.2 3.1.20 3.1.2 After Grate 5.5.3 5.48 1.2.12 1.2.09 After</td></th<>	After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 three PL Chips 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5,71% 6.69% 6.16 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Nxed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 0.45 Sorted Mall-through [lbs] Nxed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 7.80 Sorted Max Chips 1.140 Nxed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.16 Sorted Max Chips 2.20 Nxed Chips 2.20 3.10 3.16 Sorted Max Chips 2.20 Nxed Chips 3.10 3.10 3.10 Cult through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 3.10 4.86 Cult through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 3.10 3.10 Foll-through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Nxed Chips 3.10 3.10 Corted Max Chips 2.55 5.48 7.80 3.10 4.86 Moodthips char 6.75 0.3	After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 Ime/Lutter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.045 Lime/Lutter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.16% 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Mxed Chips 5.71% 6.69% 7.80 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Diss/PL/time 11.40 Utime 0.05 0.45 Coll-through Chips 3.16 PL 0.35 0.45 Sorted Chips 3.15 Sorted 2.00 0.45 Coll-through Collbis 3.15 Sorted 3.10 3.10 Moodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.35 3.10 3.10 Moodchips char 5.35 5.48 3.12 3.12.09 3.12 Moodchips char 5.55 5.48 2.03	After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 tend 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.16 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Chips 5.71% 6.69% 0.45 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 5.71% 5.780 0.45 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 8.15 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 8.15 Mixed Chips 3.10 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 8.15 Mixed Chips 3.10 3.16 Sorted Mithough 200 lbs 8.15 Mixed Chips 3.10 3.16 Modelips Char 6.75 6.75 0.35 3.16 Modelips Char 6.75 5.48 2.03 3.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67	After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 trond 479.25 451.74 395.61 0.45 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.16% 0.45 Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 $Mixed Chips$ 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.140 Unime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 4.86 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelrips char 6.75 6.75 0.35 0.36 0.36 Modelrips char 6.75 5.48 $After PL Chips 3.15 2.67 Modelrips char 6.75 0.32 0.36 $	After PL Chips 200 186.99 160.80 0.45 Inne/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 6.045 Inne/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 1.16 Sorted VFall-through [lbs] Sorted Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Pt-Hime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Dis Sorted Mix Chips 2.1.4 3.00 4.80 7.80 Sorted Dis Sorted Mixed Dis Sorted 3.1.5 5.64 4.80 4.80 Moodchips char 6.75 0.05 0.36 0.36 Moodchips char 5.54 3.1.2 3.1.20 3.1.2 After Grate 5.5.3 5.48 1.2.12 1.2.09 After
totari 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through[lbs] 5.71% 6.69% $Fall-through$ Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 $Mixed Chips$ 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 $Mixed Chips$ 2.20 3.16 Fuhrough Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $total$ 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 Sorted 200 lbs 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.15 0.15 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.15 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.15 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.35 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs <td>total$479.25$$451.74$$395.61$Lime/Litter percentage5.71%$6.69\%$$5.71\%$$6.69\%$Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]$8.71\%$$6.69\%$$7.11\%$Sorted Machine$0.90$Mixed Chips$2.20$$3.11$Sorted mix Chips$2.20$$3.11$$0.65\%$$3.11$Sorted Mix Chips$2.20$$Mixed Chips$$2.20$$3.11$Sorted Mix Chips$1.140$$1.140$$0.05$$0.45$Sorted 200 lbs$8.15$$1.140$$0.05$$0.42$Sorted 200 lbs$8.15$$1.140$$0.05$$0.42$Sorted 200 lbs$8.15$$0.05$$0.42$Sorted 200 lbs$8.15$$0.05$$0.42$Sorted 200 lbs$0.120$$0.05$$0.42$Sorted 200 lbs$0.1140$$0.05$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.120$$0.120$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.120$$0.120$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.120$$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.120$$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.125$$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.131.5$$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.131.5$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs$0.36$$0.36$Sorted 200 lbs<t< td=""><td>total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 3.10 Umme 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.86 Fall-through Z00 bs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modothips char 5.55 5.48 12.09 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 12.09 12.01 After Grate 5.55 5.48<!--</td--><td>total479.25451.74395.61Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%5.71%6.69%Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]Sorted chips3.16Sorted hellime0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.20Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45Cult through Chips/PL/Lime8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.156.750.570.36Modethips char6.756.750.363.66Modethips char5.555.483.123.12Modethips char5.555.483.513.67After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.51After Grate5.555.483.</td><td>total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted 6.69% 3.16 3.36 Sorted/Fall-through 0.90 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 11.40 Utime 0.05 0.45 3.30 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 3.10 <</td><td>totat 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Sorted Chips 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted Mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.14 0.85 7.80 Sorted Diss 8.15 Ptr 0.05 0.45 Sorted Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Folk-through 200 bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Voodchips char 6.75 0.05 0.35 6.75 0.36 Moodchips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.36 0.36 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.31 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10</td></td></t<></td>	total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage5.71% 6.69% 5.71% 6.69% Sorted/Fall-through[lbs] 8.71% 6.69% 7.11% Sorted Machine 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.11 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 3.11 0.65% 3.11 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 $Mixed Chips$ 2.20 3.11 Sorted Mix Chips 1.140 1.140 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1.140 0.05 0.42 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1.140 0.05 0.42 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.42 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.42 Sorted 200 lbs 0.120 0.05 0.42 Sorted 200 lbs 0.1140 0.05 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.120 0.120 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.120 0.120 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.120 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.120 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.125 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs $0.131.5$ 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs $0.131.5$ 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 0.36 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs <t< td=""><td>total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 3.10 Umme 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.86 Fall-through Z00 bs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modothips char 5.55 5.48 12.09 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 12.09 12.01 After Grate 5.55 5.48<!--</td--><td>total479.25451.74395.61Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%5.71%6.69%Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]Sorted chips3.16Sorted hellime0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.20Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45Cult through Chips/PL/Lime8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.156.750.570.36Modethips char6.756.750.363.66Modethips char5.555.483.123.12Modethips char5.555.483.513.67After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.51After Grate5.555.483.</td><td>total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted 6.69% 3.16 3.36 Sorted/Fall-through 0.90 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 11.40 Utime 0.05 0.45 3.30 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 3.10 <</td><td>totat 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Sorted Chips 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted Mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.14 0.85 7.80 Sorted Diss 8.15 Ptr 0.05 0.45 Sorted Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Folk-through 200 bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Voodchips char 6.75 0.05 0.35 6.75 0.36 Moodchips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.36 0.36 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.31 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10</td></td></t<>	total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted Pt-Lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 3.10 Umme 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.86 Fall-through Z00 bs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modothips char 5.55 5.48 12.09 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 12.09 12.01 After Grate 5.55 5.48 </td <td>total479.25451.74395.61Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%5.71%6.69%Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]Sorted chips3.16Sorted hellime0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.20Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45Cult through Chips/PL/Lime8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.156.750.570.36Modethips char6.756.750.363.66Modethips char5.555.483.123.12Modethips char5.555.483.513.67After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.51After Grate5.555.483.</td> <td>total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted 6.69% 3.16 3.36 Sorted/Fall-through 0.90 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 11.40 Utime 0.05 0.45 3.30 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 3.10 <</td> <td>totat 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Sorted Chips 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted Mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.14 0.85 7.80 Sorted Diss 8.15 Ptr 0.05 0.45 Sorted Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Folk-through 200 bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Voodchips char 6.75 0.05 0.35 6.75 0.36 Moodchips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.36 0.36 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.31 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10</td>	total479.25451.74395.61Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%5.71%6.69%Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]Sorted chips3.16Sorted hellime0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.20Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45Cult through Chips/PL/Lime8.15Atter PL Chips3.104.86Sorted 200 lbs8.15Atter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.156.750.570.36Modethips char6.756.750.363.66Modethips char5.555.483.123.12Modethips char5.555.483.513.67After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.513.51After Grate5.555.483.51After Grate5.555.483.	total 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted 6.69% 3.16 3.36 Sorted/Fall-through 0.90 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 Mked Chips 2.20 3.34 3.36 Sorted mix Chips 11.40 Utime 0.05 0.45 3.30 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 3.10 <	totat 479.25 451.74 395.61 Lime/Litter percentage 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted/Fall-through [libs] Sorted Chips 5.71% 6.69% Fall-through Sorted Mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 0.14 0.85 7.80 Sorted Diss 8.15 Ptr 0.05 0.45 Sorted Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Folk-through 200 bs 8.15 total 3.10 4.80 Voodchips char 6.75 0.05 0.35 6.75 0.36 Moodchips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.36 0.36 3.10 3.10 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.31 12.09 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10
Lime/Litter percentage5.71% 6.69% Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]5.71% 6.69% Sorted Pl-time0.90Mixed Chips2.00Sorted Pl-time0.90Mixed Chips3.16Sorted Pl-time0.90Mixed Chips2.30Pthrough Chips/PL/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.154.86After PL Chips8.154.86Fail-through 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips8.154.86Fail-through 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips8.154.86Modethips char6.750.360.36AddrefDryAttual Ash2.67Woodchips char6.750.362.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67Attra forter5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67Ash Percent diff:-0.41%-0.41%	Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%Citter/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted PL-Lime0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted PL-Lime0.90Mixed Chips7.807.80Sorted PL-Lime0.10Mixed Chips2.200.45Sorted DL11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15Arter PL Chips3.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.15Arter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.15Arter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.15Arter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.15Arter PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.156.750.030.36Modethips chart6.756.750.360.36Gastifier19.2519.2112.093.10After Grate5.555.483.673.67After Grate5.555.483.673.67After Grate5.555.483.673.67After Grate5.555.483.673.67After Grate5.555.483.673.67After Grate5.555.483.673.67After Grate5.555.483.643.67After Grate5.555.483.67After Grate5.555.483.67<	Lime/ltter percentage5.71% 6.69% 6.11% Sorted /Fall-through[lbs] 5.71% 6.69% 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.780 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 0.10 0.85 7.80 Sorted PL-lime 0.10 0.85 7.80 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 $totol$ 3.10 Modeling char 10.27 10.21 10.20 Modeling char 5.55 5.48 2.67 Modeling char $3.1.55$ 10.20 Modeling char $3.1.55$ 0.41% Modeling char $3.1.55$ $0.$	Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%Lime/Litter percentage5.71%6.69%Sorted PL-lime(1bs)SortedFall-timeSorted PL-lime0.90Mixed Chips3.10Sorted PL-lime0.100.857.80Sorted DL-lime0.100.857.80Sorted DL-lime0.100.850.45Sorted DL-lime0.101.1.400.850.45Sorted 200 lbs8.154.060.650.45Fall-through 200 lbs8.154.060.750.45Fall-through 200 lbs8.154.060.750.46Fall-through 200 lbs8.154.060.750.46Modethips charRecoveredDryActual Ash0.36Modethips char9.5519.7112.090.36After Grate5.5519.750.41%15.12After Grate5.5519.750.41%15.12After Grate5.5519.7112.09After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.480.41%After Arran Arran Arran Arran Arran Arran Arran	Lime/Litter percentage5.71% 6.69% 6.11% Sorted Fall-through[lbs] 5.71% 6.69% 3.16 Sorted PL+lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 0.85 0.45 Sorted Noths 8.15 $totel$ 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $totel$ 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $totel$ 3.10 0.46 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $totel$ 0.35 0.48 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $totel$ 0.35 0.48 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $totel$ 0.35 0.48 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 $totel$ 0.35 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 10.210 12.03 0.36 Modchips char 5.55 5.48 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 0.36 2.67 After Mark 0.36 0.36 0.3	Lime/Litter percentage5.71% 6.69% 6.11% Sorted VFall-through[lbs] 5.71% 6.69% 5.11% Sorted VFall-through[lbs] 5.71% 6.69% 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Nips/Lime 1.200 1.16 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totol 3.10 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totol 3.10 0.46 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totol 3.10 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totol 3.10 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.05 0.35 0.36 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 0.00 0.16 0.36 Modethips char 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 Modethips char 6.75 0.32 0.36 0.36 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 12.09 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 12.09 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 12.09 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Gate 5.55 5.48 0.36 0.36 After Gate 0.52 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Gate 0.52 0.457 0.52 After Gate 0.457
Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted Vall-through[lbs]0.00Mixed Chips3.16Sorted N1+time0.09Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted N1+time0.140Uime0.050.45Lthrough Chips/Lime1.2,00Hime0.050.45Sorted 200 bs8.15totot3.104.86Fail-through 200 lbs8.15After PL Chips8.154.86Fail-through 200 lbs8.15totot3.104.86Fail-through 200 lbs8.15forter Ibis3.104.86Moodchips char6.756.750.363.10After Grate5.5519.2112.093.66After Grate5.555.4815.123.66After Grate5.555.4815.133.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.480.41%3.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.103.66After Grate5.555.4815.10 <td>Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted Name0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted Mix Chips2.20Pix0.050.45Sorted Souted11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted Souted Souted8.15total3.104.86Sorted Souted Souted8.15total3.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.15total3.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.154.050.454.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs1.001.003.151.00Moddrips char5.555.482.670.36After Grate5.555.482.673.67After Grate5.555.482.673.67After Grate5.555.481.5.12After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.483.64After Grate5.555.483.67After Grate5.555.48<td>Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted Pl-time0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.201.400.050.45Sorted mix Chips1.40Unme0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Fall-through 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Voodchips char0.toput [lbs]3.103.103.10Modchips char0.toput [lbs]1.403.103.10After Carle0.toput [lbs]3.151.204.86Modchips char0.toput [lbs]10.2110.03After Gate3.151.9211.003.67After Gate3.153.1555.482.67After Gate3.1555.482.67After Gate3.1551.3211.30After Gate3.1551.41%1.41%After Gate3.1551.41%1.41%After Gate3.45Ash Percent diff:0.41%After Gate3.45Ash Percent diff:0.41%</td><td>Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted Vi-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.410 3.16 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 1.240 Uime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 2.81 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 3.10 Modethips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Gasifier 5.54 12.09 3.10 3.10 After Grade 5.548 2.01 3.6 3.6 After Grade 5.55 13.21 12.09 After Grade 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.55 5.48 2.</td><td>Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted PL-tlime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1005 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1000 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 100 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 100 0.45 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Modothips char 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.036 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.32 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.75 5.48 2.67 After Grade</td><td>Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.85 7.80 <math>all-through Chips 1.40 Unme 0.05 0.45 <math>all-through Chips 8.15 1.40 0.05 0.45 <math>all-through Chips 8.15 1.40 0.05 0.45 $all-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.00 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 5.48 3.10 Modothips char 5.5 5.48 2.67 3.67 After Gate 3.1.55 19.21 12.09 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.67 3.67 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.68 2.67 After Gate 3.1.55 19.21 10.36 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.67 After Gate$</math></math></math></td></td>	Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted Name0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted Mix Chips2.20Pix0.050.45Sorted Souted11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted Souted Souted8.15total3.104.86Sorted Souted Souted8.15total3.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.15total3.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.154.050.454.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs8.151.003.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs1.001.003.151.00Moddrips char5.555.482.670.36After Grate5.555.482.673.67After Grate5.555.482.673.67After Grate5.555.481.5.12After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.483.64After Grate5.555.483.67After Grate5.555.48 <td>Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted Pl-time0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.201.400.050.45Sorted mix Chips1.40Unme0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Fall-through 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Voodchips char0.toput [lbs]3.103.103.10Modchips char0.toput [lbs]1.403.103.10After Carle0.toput [lbs]3.151.204.86Modchips char0.toput [lbs]10.2110.03After Gate3.151.9211.003.67After Gate3.153.1555.482.67After Gate3.1555.482.67After Gate3.1551.3211.30After Gate3.1551.41%1.41%After Gate3.1551.41%1.41%After Gate3.45Ash Percent diff:0.41%After Gate3.45Ash Percent diff:0.41%</td> <td>Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted Vi-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.410 3.16 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 1.240 Uime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 2.81 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 3.10 Modethips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Gasifier 5.54 12.09 3.10 3.10 After Grade 5.548 2.01 3.6 3.6 After Grade 5.55 13.21 12.09 After Grade 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.55 5.48 2.</td> <td>Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted PL-tlime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1005 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1000 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 100 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 100 0.45 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Modothips char 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.036 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.32 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.75 5.48 2.67 After Grade</td> <td>Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.85 7.80 <math>all-through Chips 1.40 Unme 0.05 0.45 <math>all-through Chips 8.15 1.40 0.05 0.45 <math>all-through Chips 8.15 1.40 0.05 0.45 $all-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.00 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 5.48 3.10 Modothips char 5.5 5.48 2.67 3.67 After Gate 3.1.55 19.21 12.09 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.67 3.67 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.68 2.67 After Gate 3.1.55 19.21 10.36 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.67 After Gate$</math></math></math></td>	Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted Pl-time0.90Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.201.400.050.45Sorted mix Chips1.40Unme0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Fall-through 200 lbs8.15totod3.100.45Voodchips char0.toput [lbs]3.103.103.10Modchips char0.toput [lbs]1.403.103.10After Carle0.toput [lbs]3.151.204.86Modchips char0.toput [lbs]10.2110.03After Gate3.151.9211.003.67After Gate3.153.1555.482.67After Gate3.1555.482.67After Gate3.1551.3211.30After Gate3.1551.41%1.41%After Gate3.1551.41%1.41%After Gate3.45Ash Percent diff:0.41%After Gate3.45Ash Percent diff:0.41%	Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted Vi-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.410 3.16 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 1.240 Uime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 2.81 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 totof 3.10 3.10 Modethips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Gasifier 5.54 12.09 3.10 3.10 After Grade 5.548 2.01 3.6 3.6 After Grade 5.55 13.21 12.09 After Grade 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.55 5.48 2.	Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted PL-tlime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1005 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1000 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 100 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 100 0.45 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Modothips char 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.036 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.548 2.67 0.36 After Grade 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.32 5.48 2.67 After Grade 5.75 5.48 2.67 After Grade	Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] Sorted Fall-through Sorted PL-time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 1.40 0.85 7.80 $all-through Chips 1.40 Unme 0.05 0.45 all-through Chips 8.15 1.40 0.05 0.45 all-through Chips 8.15 1.40 0.05 0.45 all-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.00 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 3.10 3.10 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 5.48 3.10 Modothips char 5.5 5.48 2.67 3.67 After Gate 3.1.55 19.21 12.09 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.67 3.67 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.68 2.67 After Gate 3.1.55 19.21 10.36 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gate 5.5 5.48 2.67 After Gate $
Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throSorted mix Chips0.90Mixed Chips2.207.80Sorted mix Chips2.20mixed Chips7.803.16Sorted mix Chips2.20p.10.650.45Hrough Chis/PL/lub8.15totot3.100.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15totot3.100.45Fall-through 200 lbs8.15totot3.100.45Fall-through 200 lbs0.0put [lbs0.758.154.86Moodchips char6.750.750.760.76Moodchips char19.2519.2110.090.36After Grate5.555.4815.010.36After Grate5.555.4815.020.36After Grate5.555.4815.1515.09After Grate5.555.4815.1215.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.2112.09After Grate5.5419.21	Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]Sorted/Fall-throughSorted/Fall-throughSortedFall-throughSorted NL-through0.09Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted mix Chips2.20Neider3.167.80Sorted mix Chips1.40Line0.050.45Sorted mix Chips8.15tototof3.100.45Sorted mix Chips8.15tototof3.100.45Sorted mix Chips8.15tototof3.100.45Sorted mix Chips8.15tototof3.104.86Fall-through 200 lbs4.86After PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs6.756.750.700.36Woodchips char6.756.750.360.36Woodchips char9.5519.2112.093.67After Grate5.553.4355.482.67After Grate5.5513.203.1515.09After Grate5.553.452.673.67After Grate5.5513.2112.09After Grate5.553.452.67After Grate5.554.8615.12After Grate5.5513.2112.09After Grate5.554.8615.12After Grate5.554.8615.12After Grate5.554.8615.12After Grate5.554.8615.12After Grate5.554.8615.12After Grate5.554	Sorted/Fall-through[lbs]SortedFall-throughSorted PL-time0.09Mixed Chips2.203.16Sorted Mix Chips2.20pl3.162.81Sorted Sorted Sorted3.140.050.450.45Sorted 2001bs8.151.4003.100.45Sorted 2001bs8.151.4003.100.45Fall-through 2001bs8.151.4003.100.45Fall-through 2001bs8.151.4003.104.86Fall-through 2001bs8.151.4003.100.45Fall-through 2001bs8.151.4003.104.86Fall-through 2001bs8.151.4003.100.45Fall-through 2001bs9.169.750.751.400Modothips that6.756.756.750.36Modothips that9.251.9211.2003.60After Gate5.351.9211.2003.60After Gate5.451.9211.2003.60After Gate3.151.9211.2003.60After Gate3.151.9211.2003.60After Gate3.1551.9211.0003.60After Gate3.1551.9211.000After Gate3.1551.9211.000After Gate3.1551.9211.000After Gate3.1551.9211.010After Gate3.1551.9211.920After Gate3.1551.921	Sorted/Fall-through [lbs] sorted/Fall-through fall-through Sorted/Fall-through 0.090 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 8.15 11.40 0.00 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 10.40 0.00 0.45 Fall-through 2001bs 8.15 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 2001bs 8.15 4.86 Fall-through 2001bs 8.15 4.86 Fall-through 2001bs 8.15 4.86 Fall-through 2001bs 9.14 0.01 Fall-through 2001bs 9.15 9.10 Moddchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Voodchips char 5.48 9.22 3.10 Moddchips char 5.75 19.21 12.00 After Grate 5.54 0.36 3.67 After Grate 5.43 13.21 12.00 After Grate 5.43 9.41 12.00 After Grate 5.43 5.43 2.67 After Grate 5.43 9.21 12.00 After Grate 5.43 9.21 12.00 After Grate 5.43 <td< td=""><td>Sorted/Fail-through [lbs] Sorted/Fail-through Sorted/Fail-through Sorted/Fail-through Sorted Fail-through Sorted Fail-through Sorted Coll Sorted Sorted Sorted Coll Sorted Sorted<td>Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] Sorted VFall-through Eall-through Sorted PL-time 0.090 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 11.40 10.06 0.45 7.80 $all-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.00 0.45 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.040 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.00 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 8.15 4.86 0.486 Voldethips that 6.75 6.75 0.45 0.36 Modethips that 6.75 5.48 0.36 0.36 Atter facte 5.55 5.48 2.07 0.36 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55$</td></td></td<>	Sorted/Fail-through [lbs] Sorted/Fail-through Sorted/Fail-through Sorted/Fail-through Sorted Fail-through Sorted Fail-through Sorted Coll Sorted Sorted Sorted Coll Sorted Sorted <td>Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] Sorted VFall-through Eall-through Sorted PL-time 0.090 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 11.40 10.06 0.45 7.80 $all-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.00 0.45 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.040 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.00 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 8.15 4.86 0.486 Voldethips that 6.75 6.75 0.45 0.36 Modethips that 6.75 5.48 0.36 0.36 Atter facte 5.55 5.48 2.07 0.36 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55$</td>	Sorted/Fall-through [Ibs] Sorted VFall-through Eall-through Sorted PL-time 0.090 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 11.40 10.06 0.45 7.80 $all-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.00 0.45 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 0.040 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.00 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 8.15 4.86 0.486 Voldethips that 6.75 6.75 0.45 0.36 Modethips that 6.75 5.48 0.36 0.36 Atter facte 5.55 5.48 2.07 0.36 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After facte 5.55 $
Sorted PL-Ime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 Frincugh Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 Sorted anix Chips 8.15 time 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 bs 8.15 time 0.05 0.45 Fail-through 200 bs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fail-through 200 bs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 Miter Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36	Sorted PL+Lime 0.90 Mxed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.140 PL 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 3.13 0.05 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Motoditips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 3.66 Wooddchips char 6.75 19.21 12.09 3.67 3.67 After Gate 5.55 5.48 2.67 3.67 3.67 <t< td=""><td>Sorted PL+Lime 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 780 Fall-through Chips/PL/Ime 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 then 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 then 0.05 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modethips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Moodethips char 6.75 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48<td>Sorted PL+time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 780 Cult-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Adter Gastifer 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Gastifer 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gastifer 19.21 12.09 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 15.12 After Gastifer 9.155 15.12 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 15.12 After Gastifer 5.54 0.41% After Gastifer 5.55 0.41% <t< td=""><td>Sorted PL+lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 2.20 pL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1.40 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modelips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.1.55 15.12 3.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.64</td></t<><td>Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.40 0.46 3.10 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 0.45 Fail-through 200 bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.21 12.09 3.66 3.66 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 <td< td=""></td<></td></td></td></t<>	Sorted PL+Lime 0.00 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted Mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 780 Fall-through Chips/PL/Ime 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 then 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 then 0.05 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modethips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Moodethips char 6.75 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 <td>Sorted PL+time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 780 Cult-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Adter Gastifer 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Gastifer 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gastifer 19.21 12.09 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 15.12 After Gastifer 9.155 15.12 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 15.12 After Gastifer 5.54 0.41% After Gastifer 5.55 0.41% <t< td=""><td>Sorted PL+lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 2.20 pL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1.40 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modelips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.1.55 15.12 3.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.64</td></t<><td>Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.40 0.46 3.10 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 0.45 Fail-through 200 bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.21 12.09 3.66 3.66 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 <td< td=""></td<></td></td>	Sorted PL+time 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 780 Cult-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Uime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Adter Gastifer 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Gastifer 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gastifer 19.21 12.09 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 15.12 After Gastifer 9.155 15.12 After Gastifer 5.55 2.67 After Gastifer 5.55 15.12 After Gastifer 5.54 0.41% After Gastifer 5.55 0.41% <t< td=""><td>Sorted PL+lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 2.20 pL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1.40 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modelips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.1.55 15.12 3.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.64</td></t<> <td>Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.40 0.46 3.10 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 0.45 Fail-through 200 bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.21 12.09 3.66 3.66 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 <td< td=""></td<></td>	Sorted PL+lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted PL-lime 2.20 pL 0.85 7.80 Sorted Mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 1.40 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Modelips char 6.75 5.48 12.09 3.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.1.55 15.12 3.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Artaid 3.64	Sorted PL-lime 0.90 Mixed Chips 2.20 3.16 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.20 p. 0.85 7.80 Sorted mix Chips 2.14 0.1me 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.40 0.46 3.10 Sorted 2001bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 0.45 Fail-through 200 bs 8.15 1.00 3.10 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.21 12.09 3.66 3.66 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 <td< td=""></td<>
Sorted mix Chips 2.20 bit mix Chips 2.20 bit mix chips PL 0.85 bit mix chips 7.80 bit mix chips Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 sorted 200 lbs 8.15 sorted 200 lbs 4.86 sorted 200 lbs	Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 $oll-through Chips/Pl/Lime$ 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 totol 3.10 0.45 0.45 Fall-through Chips/Pl/Lime 8.15 totol 3.10 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fall-through 200 lbs $A.86$ After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Poutput [lbs $A.86$ $After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Noodothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 4.86 Mater Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 3.155 19.21 12.09 4.76 After Grate 3.155 19.21 12.09 4.76 After Grate 3.155 19.21 12.09 4.76 4.76 $	Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 $ahl-through Chips/PL/lime 11.40 time 0.05 0.45 ahl-through Chips/PL/lime 8.15 time 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 time 0.05 0.45 ahl-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Pall-through 200 lbs 0.81 Atter PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Noodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Noodchips char 6.75 5.74 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.$	Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 $all-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 Sorted 2200 lbs 8.15 toted 3.10 3.10 0.45 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 5.48 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 5.74 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.5 5.48 2.67 0.36 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 <$	Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 $all-through Chips/PL/time 11.40 time 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 3.10 3.10 3.10 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 total 8.15 8.15 3.10 4.86 Modelips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 3.10 Woodchips char 6.75 5.48 Actual Ash 0.36 0.36 3.15 4.86$	Sorted mix Chips 2.20 PL 0.85 7.80 $alt through Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 11.40 0.05 0.45 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 3.10 Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 4.86 Fall-through 200 lbs 8.15 10.92 0.45 Modothips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Analyses 5.48 15.12 2.67 After Analyses 5.48 0.41% 5.67 After Analyses 0.45 0.45 0.52 Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.52 Motist (% As Recl LOI (% DY Basi SiO2 (%) 0.467 0.52 $
<i>Hthrough Chips/PL/Line</i> 11.40Line0.050.45 <i>Folit through 200</i> lbs 8.15 $atter$ $a.10$ $a.10$ $a.186$ <i>Folit through 200</i> lbs $a.86$ $atter$ $a.16$ $a.10$ $a.186$ <i>Folit through 200</i> lbs $a.86$ $atter$ $a.15$ $a.86$ $a.86$ <i>Folit through 200</i> lbs $a.86$ $a.15$ $a.186$ $a.86$ <i>Noodchips that</i> 6.75 6.75 0.36 <i>Moodchips that</i> 6.75 19.21 12.09 <i>After Grate</i> 5.55 5.48 2.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.54 2.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.54 2.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.54 2.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.54 2.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.55 5.48 <i>After Grate</i> 5.54 2.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.55 5.48 <i>After Grate</i> 5.54 5.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.56 5.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.56 <i>After Grate</i> 5.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.67 <i>After Grate</i> 5.67 <i>After G</i>	cult through Chips/PL/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45Sorted 200 lbs8.15 $total$ 3.101Fall-through 200 lbs4.86After PL Chips8.154.86Fall-through 200 lbs4.86After PL Chips8.154.86Producting6.750.970.040.05Woodchips chart6.756.750.360.36After Grate5.555.4812.090.67After Grate5.555.4815.120.36After Grate3.1519.2112.0915.12After Grate3.1519.2112.09After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate3.1519.212.67After Grate3.15Attach 15.12After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate9.1511.209After Grate9.1515.12After Grate5.555.48After Grate5.555.48After Grate9.15After Grate9.15After Grate10.21After Grate9.15After Grate10.21After Grate10.21After Grate9.15After Grate10.21After Grate11.20After Grate11.20After Grate11.20After Grate11.20After Grate11.20After Grate11.20Aft	olt-through Chips/Pu/Lime11.40Lime0.050.45 $olt-through Chips/Pu/Lime8.15a.1011Fall-through 200 lbs8.15a.15a.86After PL Chipsa.15a.86Fall-through 200 lbsa.86After PL Chipsa.15a.86a.86rough Limeb.yb.ya.15a.86rough Limeb.yb.ya.15a.86woodchips chart6.756.750.36woodchips chart19.2519.2112.09astifier19.2519.2112.09astifier5.555.482.67astifier3.1555.482.67total3.1555.482.67astifier3.1555.482.67total3.1555.482.67astifier3.1555.482.67astifier5.555.482.67astifier3.155a.19.21astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64a.19.64astifiera.18.64$	olt Ulter 0.05 0.45 $olt 8.15 total 0.05 0.45 Falt-through 200 lbs 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Falt-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Falt-through 200 lbs 4.86 Dry 8.15 4.86 Falt-through 200 lbs 4.86 Dry Attual sch 4.86 Output [lbs 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 Gastifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 total 31.55 Ath Percent diff: -0.41% After ManNyses Output Ash Analyses 0.041% 15.12 $	oltthrough Chips/PL/Lime 11.40 Lime 0.05 0.45 $Fall-through 200 lbs$ 8.15 total 3.10 1 $Fall-through 200 lbs$ 8.15 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 $Fall-through 200 lbs$ 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 $Fall-through 200 lbs$ 0.05 0.31 4.86 $Fall-through 200 lbs$ 0.00 0.07 0.16 $Recovered Dry Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gastifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Harder 31.55 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 15.03 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -$	
Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Falt-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbsNoodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Moodchips char 6.75 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 3.155 5.48 2.67 After Grate 3.155 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 5.12 Abs 5.55 5.48 5.12 Abs 5.12	Sorted 200 lbs8.15totol3.1011Fall-through 200 lbs4.86After PL Chips8.154.86Output [lbs]Output [lbs]974.86Noodchips char6.756.750.36Woodchips char6.7519.2112.09After Grate5.555.482.67After Grate5.555.4815.12After Grate5.555.485.48After Grate5.555.485.48After Grate5.555.485.48After Grate5.555.48 <t< td=""><td>Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Atter PL Chips 8.15 4.86 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 9.7 4.86 Atter Chips 8.15 9.65 4.86 Modechips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gastifier 19.25 19.21 10.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% -0.41%</td><td>Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Noodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Mathy 0.0404 5.48 2.67 After Mathy 0.41% -0.41% -0.41%</td><td>Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Pable After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [Ibs] Output [Ibs] 9.75 6.75 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 After Grate 5.55 19.21 12.09 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.61 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.61 2.67 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61</td><td>Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs 0utput [lbs 0utput [lbs 19.21 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After After After 31.55 15.12 After After After 0.41% 0.41% After Af</td></t<>	Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Atter PL Chips 8.15 4.86 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 9.7 4.86 Atter Chips 8.15 9.65 4.86 Modechips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gastifier 19.25 19.21 10.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% -0.41%	Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Noodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Mathy 0.0404 5.48 2.67 After Mathy 0.41% -0.41% -0.41%	Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Pable After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [Ibs] Output [Ibs] 9.75 6.75 4.86 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 6.75 0.36 After Grate 5.55 19.21 12.09 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.61 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.61 2.67 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61	Sorted 200 lbs 8.15 total 3.10 11 Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs 0utput [lbs 0utput [lbs 19.21 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After After After 31.55 15.12 After After After 0.41% 0.41% After Af
Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 4.86 Output [lbs] 0utput [lbs] 4.86 Noodchips char 0.07 0.36 Voodchips char 0.75 0.36 After Grate 5.75 19.21 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.54 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12	Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs 0 Dry Actual Ash 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.81fer 19.21 12.09 36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67	Fall-through 200 lbs4.86After PL Chips4.86Output [lbsOutput [lbsOutput [lbsNoodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Gaste 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gaste 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gaste 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Gaste 5.548 2.67 After Gaste 5.48 2.67 After Gaste 31.55 3.41 After Gaste 3.53 3.41 After Gaste 3.45 3.41 After Gaste 3.41 <td< td=""><td>Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0.15 0.36 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.41</td><td>Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0 0.36 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Gastifier 19.21 19.21 19.20 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Gastifier 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36<!--</td--><td>Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0 0 0.36 Noodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.75 19.21 10.09 After Grate 5.54 2.02 13.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.07 After Grate 0.41% 15.12 After Grate 0.41% 0.41% After Charte 0.41% 0.529 Oxtdet to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529</td></td></td<>	Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0.15 0.36 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.41	Fall-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0 0.36 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Gastifier 19.21 19.21 19.20 0.36 0.36 0.36 After Gastifier 5.55 5.48 2.67 0.36 </td <td>Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0 0 0.36 Noodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.75 19.21 10.09 After Grate 5.54 2.02 13.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.07 After Grate 0.41% 15.12 After Grate 0.41% 0.41% After Charte 0.41% 0.529 Oxtdet to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529</td>	Fail-through 200 lbs 4.86 After PL Chips 8.15 4.86 Output [lbs] Output [lbs] 0 0 0 0.36 Noodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 0.36 After Grate 5.75 19.21 10.09 After Grate 5.54 2.02 13.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.07 After Grate 0.41% 15.12 After Grate 0.41% 0.41% After Charte 0.41% 0.529 Oxtdet to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529
Output [lbs] Output [lbs] Noodchips char 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12	Output [lbs] Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12	Output [lbs] Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 19.21 12.09 36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41%	Output [lbs] Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Analyses Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Output [lbs] Output [lbs] Moodchips char 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.5 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.5 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.5 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.5.48 15.12 15.12 After Grate 5.48 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 0.41% 0.42% Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 0.529	Output [lbs] Attual Ash Woodchips char 6, 75 0.36 Woodchips char 6, 75 0.36 Woodchips char 6, 75 0.36 After Gatie 9,25 19,21 10,36 After Gatie 5,38 2,67 2,67 After Gatie 5,53 5,48 2,67 After Gatie 5,54 15,12 15,12 Ash Percent diff: -0,41% 15,12 2,13 Ash Percent diff: -0,41% 0,457 0,529 Output Ash Analyses Ox46 to Element Multiplier 0,467 0,529
Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 After Fortal 31.55 15.12	Output [Ibs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 19.21 10.36 0.36 After Gate 3.55 5.48 2.67 After Gate 3.155 5.48 2.67 After Gate 3.155 13.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41%	Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.548 2.67 After Grate 5.35 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.35 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.35 48 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.67 2.67 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% -0.41%	Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Woodchips char 19.21 12.09 31.52 After Grate 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.48 2.67 2.67 After Grate 5.1.55 5.48 2.67 After Math 31.55 5.48 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% Output Ash Analyses 0.0tput Ash -0.41%	Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.529	Output [lbs] Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 Actual Math 31.55 5.48 2.67 Actual Math 31.55 5.48 2.12 Actual Math 31.55 5.48 0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% Actual Mathyses Output Ash Analyses 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) [Jol (% Dry Basi SiO2 (%) Al203 (%)
Recovered Dry Attual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 13.16 15.12 After Grate 31.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67	RecoveredDryActual AshWoodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 3.55 5.48 5.48 After Grate 5.58 5.48 <td>RecoveredDryActual AshWoodchips char$6.75$$0.36$Gasifier$19.25$$19.21$$0.36$After Grate$5.55$$5.48$$2.67$After Grate$5.55$$5.48$$2.67$After Grate$3.155$$15.12$After Grate$3.155$$15.12$</td> <td>Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Math Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Output Ash Analyses -0.41%</td> <td>Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 1.03 After Grate 5.55 19.21 1.03 After Grate 5.55 19.21 1.03 After Grate 5.55 1.43 1.51 After Grate 5.55 1.43 1.51 After Grate 5.55 1.51 1.51 After Grate 5.55 1.51 1.51 After Grate 5.48 0.41% 1.51 Ash Percent diff -0.41% 0.41% 1.51 Ash Percent diff 0.041% 0.041% 0.529 Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.529 0.529</td> <td>Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 4.54 2.67 After Grate 5.55 4.54 5.67 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LO1 (% Dry Basi SiO2 (%) A1203 (%)</td>	RecoveredDryActual AshWoodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 3.155 15.12 After Grate 3.155 15.12	Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.53 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Math Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Output Ash Analyses -0.41%	Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 1.03 After Grate 5.55 19.21 1.03 After Grate 5.55 19.21 1.03 After Grate 5.55 1.43 1.51 After Grate 5.55 1.43 1.51 After Grate 5.55 1.51 1.51 After Grate 5.55 1.51 1.51 After Grate 5.48 0.41% 1.51 Ash Percent diff -0.41% 0.41% 1.51 Ash Percent diff 0.041% 0.041% 0.529 Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.529 0.529	Recovered Dry Actual Ash Woodchips char 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 4.54 2.67 After Grate 5.55 4.54 5.67 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LO1 (% Dry Basi SiO2 (%) A1203 (%)
Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 11.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 After Grate 1.51 After Grate 5.55 5.48 1.51 After Grate 31.55 1.51 1.51 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 1.51	Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 After Grate 31.55 15.12 After Grate 31.55 15.12 After Grate 15.12 15.12 After Grate 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 12.09 12.09 After Grate 31.55 12.01 12.01 After Grate 31.55 12.01 12.01 After Grate 31.55 9.48 9.41% Answer of the formation of	Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 9.48 2.67 After Grate 3.155 9.48 2.67 After Mark Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Analyses Output Ash Analyses Output Ash Analyses	Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 0.36 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 5.48 0.41% After Grate Output Aft Analyses 0.41% Output Aft Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529	Woodchips char 6.75 6.75 0.36 Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 2.43 2.67 After Grate 31.55 2.43 2.67 After Grate 31.55 -0.41% -0.41% After Grate -0.41% <
Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 7.155 15.48 2.67 After Grate After Grate 15.12 After Grate 3.1.55 15.48	Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.35 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 15.12 Assertion After Grate 14.15 15.12 After Grate Assertion 14.15 15.12	Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 0.41% Output Ash Analyses 0.041% 0.041%	Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 0.41% Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529	Gasifier 19.25 19.21 12.09 After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.15 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.15 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.15 5.48 2.67 After Grate 5.11 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 0.41% 15.12 Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 0.529 15.12 Moist (% A Rec) D0.15% DN Basi Si0.2 (%) 0.529 15.23
After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 total 31.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 31.55 5.48 15.12 After Grate 31.55 5.48 15.12 Advance Advance Advance 15.12	After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 15.12	After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 After Grate 31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 15.12 Output Ash Analyses Output Ash Analyses -0.41%	After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 total 31.55 15.12 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 15.12 Output Ash Percent diff: -0.41% 15.12	After Grate 5.55 5.48 2.67 total 31.55 5.48 2.67 total 31.55 5.48 2.67 Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 15.12 Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 0.41% Output Ash Percent diff: 0.41% 0.41% Moist (% As Rec) Onternation (% Dry Basi Si O2 (%) 0.529
total 31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	total 31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	total 31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	totar 31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses	total 31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses 0.457 0.529	total 31.55 15.12 Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basil \$102 (%) 0.529
Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41% -0.41% Output Ash Analyses	Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529	Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basi § 5102 (%) Al 203 (%)
Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41%	Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Output Ash Analyses 0.457	Ash Percent diff: -0.41% Ash Percent diff: 0.41% Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basi \$102 (%) Al 203 (%)
			Output Ash Analyses	Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529	Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) Lol (% Dry Basi Si02 (%) Al203 (%)
			Output Ash Analyses	Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529	Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) [L01 (% Dry Basi [S102 (%)] Al203 (%)
			Output Ash Analyses	Output Ash Analyses Output Ash Analyses Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529	Output Ash Analyses 0.467 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basil SiO2 (%) Al203 (%)
Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basi SiO2 (%) A1203 (%) asifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3	Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basil SiO2 (%) A1203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3	Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basil SiO2 (%) Al 203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3	Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basil 5102 (%) Al 203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3	Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3	
Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basil SiO2 (%) Al 203 (%) asifier 5/26 37.08 21.74 1 ther Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1	Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) [LOI (% Dry Basil 5102 (%)) Al203 (%) 337.08 Gastifier 5/26 0.222 37.08 21.74 3. After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1	Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basi SiO2 (%) Al 203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3. After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1	Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basit 5102 (%) Al 203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3. After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1	Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3. After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1	After Grate 5/26 1.24 12.05 1
Output Ash Analyses 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 529 37.08 21.74 3 3 3 1616 51.21 12.05 1 1 3	Output Ash Analyses 0.467 0.529 Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) L01 (% Dry Basi SiO2 (%) A1203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3 After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1 After Grate 5/26 94.63 94.63 1	Oxide to Element Multiplier 0.467 0.529 Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% Dry Basi Sto2 (%) A1203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.222 37.08 21.74 3 After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1 After Grate 5/26 0.52 94.63 94.63 1	Moist (% As Rec) LOI (% DIY Basil 5:02 (%) Al203 (%) Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3 After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1 Moddhip Char 94.63 94.63 1 1	Gasifier 5/26 0.22 37.08 21.74 3 After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1 Woodchip Char 94.63 94.63 1	After Grate 5/26 1.26 51.21 12.05 1 Woodchip Char 94.63

Table A.7: Spreadsheet for 6.69% limestone/dry litter from 5/26/2010** Denotes average value used for conveyor fall-through losses
									X	M.	1d													itise	29					
	- 17	UIT.	dry	moisture %			Charcoal	Warm up Chips	PL	Mix Chips	Lime	After PL Chips	total	Lime/Litter percentage		Sorted/Fall-through	Sorted PL+Lime	Sorted Mix Chips	Fall-through Chips/PL/Lime	Sorted 200 lbs	Fall-through 200 lbs			Woodchips char	Gasifier	After Grate		total		
Moisture (Luarcoal	13.5	125.1	6.22	Input [lbs]	wet	37.10	35.10	70	130	5.40	200	477.6(2 7.71%		[sql]	2 1.5(s 3.4(12.7	5 8.4(5.1(Output [lb	Recovered	7.45	18.15	6.25	1 31.85			
content (wet basis)	warm up cnips	13./	131.3	9.89	, 5.4 lbs Limestone	wet - (Sorted + Fall through)	37.10	35.10	59.85	123.29	4.62	186.50	0 446.45	7.71%			0 Mix Chips	DI DI	Lime) total	D After PL Chips	sj	Dry	7.45	18.09	6.19	10			Percent diff:
		13./ 116.4	102.5	13.53		drv	34.79	31.63	51.75	109.51	4.62	165.67	397.97	8.92%		Sorted	3.40	1.39	0.11	4.90	8.40		Actual Ash	0.46	8.22	2.95	11.63		25 36%	200.01
	/IIX/ATTER PL Chips	13.5	129.6	11.17		Theoretical Ash	1.96	0.09	10.16	0.31	2.60	0.46	15.58			Fall-through	3.32	8.76	0.68	12.75	5.10									
						1					•																			
						4	600.0	0.002	0.702	0.008	0.001	0.012	0.734	0.032	95.65%								Р	0.0116	0.4398	0.1504	0.6017	82.01%		113.0%
					Elemer	×	0.084	0.000	0.954	0.032	0.007	0.048	1.133	0.180	84.14% 9							Element	К	0.0475	0.7383	0.2482	1.0341 (91.23% 8		125.7% 1
					Its IN [lbs]	C	0.008	0.001	3.260 0.	0.003 0.	0.000.C	0.005 0.	0.277	0.017 0	3.89%							ts ouT [lb:	cI	0.0010	0.0755	0.1642	0.2407	7.01% (19.9%
						R	0131 1	0012 0	2509 2	0040 0	0003 1	.0061 0	0.276	0.025 2	91.01% 4							 5]	Na	0.0060	0.1349	0.0494	0.1903	59.04% 7	2:	95.2%
						Ca	.0948 Cha	0225 Wa	.0541 PL	.0778 Chi	.4324 lim	.1177 Aft	4.799 tot	745 tot	12.80% rati								Ca	0.1162 Wo	2.4171 Gat	0.9486 Aft.	3.4820 tot	'2.55% Rat	7.45% % C	100% Noi
							ircoal	rm up Chips		sd	e	er PL Chips	21	al (non PL)	io from PL/total									odchips char	sifier	er Grate	21	io OUT/IN	lifference	'm. to Ca

 Table A.8: Spreadsheet for 8.92% limestone/dry litter from 6/1/2010

Appendix B

Sample Calculations

To calculate the input mass of K from poultry litter in the 6.49% limestone experiment in Table A.4:

$$m_{K_{litter,IN}} = \left(m_{litter,wet} - m_{litter,sorted} - m_{litter,fall thru}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{Moisture \%_{litter}}{100}\right)$$
$$\cdot \left(\frac{\% Ash_{litter}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{K_2 O \%_{litter}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\% K}{K_2 O \%_{litter}}\right) \qquad (B.1)$$

$$= (70.00 \ lbs - 0.95 \ lbs - 7.80 \ lbs) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{11.90\%}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{19.63\%}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{11.31\%}{100}\right) \cdot (0.830)$$

$$m_{K_{litter,IN}} = 0.995 \ lbs$$

Similarly, the amount of K from each biomass input can be determined. The mass of K from each source can then simply be summed to get total K put in the gasifier.

To calculate the mass of K recovered from the char Below the Grate:

 $m_{K_{Below\,Grate,OUT}}$

$$= (m_{Below \ Grate,wet}) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{Moisture \ \%_{Below \ Grate}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\% \ LOI_{Below \ Grate}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{K_2 \ 0 \ \%_{Below \ Grate}}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\% \ K}{K_2 \ 0 \ \%_{Below \ Grate}}\right)$$
(B.2)

$$= (6.10 \ lbs) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{0.07\%}{100}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{51.98\%}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{8.71\%}{100}\right) \cdot (0.830)$$

 $m_{K_{Below \, Grate, OUT}} = 0.2117 \ lbs$

Similarly, the amount of K from each location can be determined. The mass of K from each location can then simply be summed to get total K recovered.

To find the amount of poultry litter in the mixture of fines collected from below the conveyor in Table A.4:

 $m_{litter,fall-thru}$

$$= \left[m_{fall-thru,mix} - \left(\frac{m_{chips,fall-thru}}{200.00 \ lbs \ chips} \right) \cdot (130.00 \ lbs \ mixed \ chips) \right]$$
$$\cdot \left(\frac{m_{litter,wet}}{m_{litter,wet} + m_{limestone}} \right) \qquad (B.3)$$

$$= \left[11.40 \ lbs - \left(\frac{4.86 \ lbs}{200.00 \ lbs \ chips} \right) \cdot (130.00 \ lbs \ mixed \ chips) \right] \\ \cdot \left(\frac{70.00 \ lbs}{70.00 \ lbs + 4.00 \ lbs} \right)$$

$$m_{litter,fall-thru} = 7.80 \ lbs$$

Appendix C

Uncertainty Analysis

Uncertainty associated with measured quantities is presented in this appendix, however the largest sources of uncertainty in the experiments are unquantifiable and derive from mixing and sampling of char and biomass as well as unknown losses. The uncertainty of various measuring devices is shown in Table C.1.

Device	Units	Uncertainty
Thermocouples	°C	±2.2°C
CAI Nova Analyzer	% Volume	CO: ±0.6% CO ₂ : ±0.6%
		CH₄: ±0.3% O₂: ±0.5%
HiTech K1550 Analyzer	% Volume	H2: ±2%
Ohaus CD-11 Digital Scale	lbs	±0.05 lbs

Table C.1: Uncertainty of instruments used

The uncertainty estimates from the ash, moisture, and elemental analyses are shown in

Table C.2.

Parameter	Uncertainty
Moisture, as received	$\pm 0.1\%$
Ash, dry basis	±0.1%
Na2O	y = 0.0024x + 0.0205
MgO	y = 0.0035x + 0.0291
Al2O3	y = 0.0026x + 0.0849
SiO2	y = 0.0028x + 0.1343
P2O5	y = 0.0158x + 0.0056
SO3	y = 0.0145x + 0.0821
K2O	y = 0.0101x + 0.0104
CaO	y = 0.0056x + 0.0759
TiO2	y = 0.0155x + 0.0364
MnO2	y = 0.01x + 0.0046
Fe2O3	y = 0.0104x + 0.0234
SrO	y = 0.0556x + 0.0015
BaO	y = 0.0087x + 0.004
Cl	y = 0.028x + 0.02

Table C.2: Uncertainty of biomass and char analyses, units are % mass. Oxide and Cl are on an Ash basis

Because uncertainty propagation through to the calculated retention percentage is dependent on the particular elemental oxide and its concentration, a general equation will be formulated and example result calculated. The general form of the propagated uncertainty equation, as denoted by Beckwith, 1990 for a function $f(x_1, x_2 ... x_n)$ is (31):

$$U_f = \pm \sqrt{\left(U_{x_1}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 + \left(U_{x_2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(U_{x_n}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right)^2}$$
(C.1)

For the actual weight of feedstock *i* put into the gasifier (m_i) , the measure weight $(m_{wet,i})$ minus the weight of the sorted feedstock $(m_{sorted,i})$ and conveyor loss weight $(m_{loss,i})$ is:

$$m_i = m_{wet,i} - m_{sorted,i} - m_{loss,i} \tag{C.2}$$

$$U_{m_{i}} = \pm \sqrt{\left(U_{m_{wet,i}} \frac{\partial m_{i}}{\partial m_{wet,i}}\right)^{2} + \left(U_{m_{sorted,i}} \frac{\partial m_{i}}{\partial m_{sorted,i}}\right)^{2} + \left(U_{m_{loss,i}} \frac{\partial m_{i}}{\partial m_{loss,i}}\right)^{2} \quad (C.3)$$

$$= \pm \sqrt{\left(U_{m_{wet,i}}(1)\right)^2 + \left(U_{m_{sorted,i}}(-1)\right)^2 + \left(U_{m_{loss,i}}(-1)\right)^2}$$
(C.4)

Using the uncertainty of the electronic scale (± 0.05 lbs) for each measured weight:

$$U_{m_i} = \pm 0.0866$$
 (C.5)

The total mass calculated for each element E, from each feedstock i put into the gasifier is then:

$$m_{E_{i,IN}} = m_i \cdot \left(\frac{Dry \,\%_i}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\% \, Ash_i}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{E_x O_y \%_i}{100}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\% \, E}{E_x O_y \%_i}\right) \tag{C.6}$$

**Note:
$$Dry \,\%_i = (100 - \% \,Moisture_i)$$

**Note: Oxide to Element Conversion factor $\left(\frac{\% E}{E_x O_y \%_i}\right)$ is from eq. (3.4),
and is a constant

Uncertainty of $m_{E_{i,IN}}$ is:

$$U_{m_{E_{i,IN}}} = \pm \sqrt{\left(U_{m_i} \frac{\partial m_{E_{i,IN}}}{\partial m_i}\right)^2 + \left(U_{Dry \,\%_i} \frac{\partial m_{E_{i,IN}}}{\partial Dry \,\%_i}\right)^2 + \left(U_{\% \,Ash_i} \frac{\partial m_{E_{i,IN}}}{\partial \% \,Ash_i}\right)^2 + \left(U_{E_x O_y \%_i} \frac{\partial m_{E_{i,IN}}}{\partial E_x O_y \%_i}\right)^2 \tag{C.7}$$

$$= \pm \sqrt{\left(U_{m_{i}} \frac{m_{E_{i,IN}}}{m_{i}}\right)^{2} + \left(U_{Dry \%_{i}} \frac{m_{E_{i,IN}}}{Dry \%_{i}}\right)^{2} + \left(U_{\% Ash_{i}} \frac{m_{E_{i,IN}}}{\% Ash_{i}}\right)^{2} + \left(U_{E_{x}O_{y}\%_{i}} \frac{m_{E_{i,IN}}}{E_{x}O_{y}\%_{i}}\right)^{2}}$$
(C.8)

$$= \pm m_{E_{i,IN}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{U_{m_i}}{m_i}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{U_{Dry\,\%_i}}{Dry\,\%_i}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{U_{\%\,Ash_i}}{\%\,Ash_i}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{U_{E_{\chi}}o_{y\%_i}}{E_{\chi}o_{y\%_i}}\right)^2}$$
(C.9)

It becomes apparent at this point, the dependence of uncertainty on the specific element and feedstock of interest. The equations for $U_{E_x O_y \%_i}$ were given in Table C.2. The uncertainty of Moisture % and % Ash can be found in the same table, and U_{m_i} was found in eq. (C.5).

$$U_{m_{E_{i,IN}}} = \pm m_{E_{i,IN}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{(0.0866 \ lbs)}{m_i}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{(0.1\%)}{Dry \ \%_i}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{(0.1\%)}{\% \ Ash_i}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{(m(E_x O_y \%_i) + b \ \%)}{E_x O_y \%_i}\right)^2} \quad (C.10)$$

From here, values will be used from the K in poultry litter data of the 6.43% limestone experiment in Table A.4. This will represent a general value of uncertainty.

From Table A.4: m_{litter} =61.26 lbs, $Dry \%_{litter}$ = (100-11.90) = 88.1%,

$$m_{K_{litter,IN}}$$
=0.995 lbs

From Table A.1: % *Ash_{litter}* = 19.63%

From Table A.2: $K_20\%_{litter} = 11.31\%$

From Table C.2: $U_{K_2O\%_{litter}} = 0.0101(K_2O\%_{litter}) + 0.0104 = \pm 0.125$

$$U_{m_{K_{litter,IN}}} = \pm 0.01221$$
 (C.11)

Repeating equation (C.10) for the rest of the sources of K:

$$U_{m_{K_{Charcoal,IN}}} = \pm 0.00231$$

$$U_{m_{K_{warm\,up\,chips,IN}}} = \pm 0.00417$$

$$U_{m_{K_{mix chips,IN}}} = \pm 0.01108$$

$$U_{m_{K_{limestone,IN}}} = \pm 0.000373$$

$$U_{m_{K_{after litter chips,IN}}} = \pm 0.01678$$

Using a similar approach as (C.2)-(C.4), the uncertainty for total K input (found to be 1.196 lbs) is:

$$U_{m_{K_{Total,IN}}} = \pm 0.02400 \tag{C.12}$$

Similarly, the uncertainty for the total K recovered in the char is:

$$U_{m_{K_{Total,OUT}}} = \pm 0.01078$$
 (C.13)

Therefore, uncertainty of the ratio $\frac{m_{K_{Total,OUT}}}{m_{K_{Total,IN}}}$, presented as "retention percent K" is:

$$U_{retention \% K} = \pm (retention \% K) \sqrt{\left(\frac{U_{OUT}}{m_{K_{Total,OUT}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{U_{IN}}{m_{K_{Total,IN}}}\right)^2}$$
(C.14)

$$U_{retention \% K} = \pm 2.201\%$$

Uncertainties for other retention percentages can be found similarly.

Appendix D





Figure D.1: Dry syngas composition vs. Time for a standard woodchip run, fluctuations in composition are typical, with an average HHV ~5400 kJ/m³



Figure D.2: Dry syngas composition vs. time during flue gas recirculation experiment (Section 4.1.2)



Figure D.3: Dry syngas composition vs. time during raw litter, 5% limestone, and 60:40 (woodchip:litter) experiment (Section 4.1.4)



Figure D.4: Dry syngas composition vs. time during 8.95% Limestone/Dry Litter experiment. Very typical of all experiments in contaminant retention study (Section 4.2)