Nonlinear Analysis of Horses Trotting with and without Sedation

by

Jinghua Cao

A thesis submitted to Auburn University for the Degree of Master of Science

> Auburn, Alabama December 12, 2015

Keywords: Lameness Locator, the Lyapunov exponents, sedation, gait

Approved by

Dan Marghitu, Professor of Mechanical Engineering John Schumacher, Professor of College of Veterinary Medicine Joseph Ragan, Visiting Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering

Abstract

It is common to use sedatives in equine practice for surgical procedures, standing or recumbent, and for control of unruly patients. In recent years, there have been many investigations concerning the effect sedation might have on gait during lameness examination.

The aim of this research is to develop an application of the largest Lyapunov exponents to study the effects of sedation on the gait of horses with lameness. The purpose of this study is to quantify movements of the horses using the largest Lyapunov exponents. The research was also conducted by the IACUC of the College of Veterinary Medicine at Auburn University, as well as the Samuel Ginn College of Engineering at Auburn University. Data examples were recorded from nine horses with sedation or untreated during trotting. Within each group, data was generated from different time.

In conclusion, the largest Lyapunov exponents were applied successfully to study the horses' motion during trotting for each time period. It is obvious that the maximal Lyapunov exponents of horses with sedation (detomidine) were smaller and more stable than untreated horses for each time period. This means that sedation drugs had a distinct effect on lame horses' motion stability. Generally, the maximal Lyapunov exponents of horses decreased with increasing trotting time.

Future studies are needed to get more meaningful data based on horses. For further analysis, more Nonlinear Methods should be used to analyze the data, so that the examination procedure can be applied to a larger population of subjects more widely.

Acknowledgments

I want to thank my academic advisor, Dr. Dan Marghitu for providing me this opportunity to be involved in his project. With this chance, I have learned a lot about Dynamic Analysis in mechanical engineering. Thanks to Dr. Dan Marghitu for providing so much help during my master's study period at Auburn University. I also want to thank Dr. John Schumacher, who provided valuable experiments' data for my Nonlinear Analysis. I also want to thank Dr. Joseph Ragan for being my thesis committee member. Moreover, I also want to thank all the staff who provided valuable help during my thesis work.

Table of Contents

| Abstract |
|---|
| Acknowledgments |
| List of Figures |
| List of Tables |
| List of Abbreviationsix |
| Chapter 1 Introduction |
| 1.1 Horse Description |
| 1.2 Horses' Gaits Previous Experiments |
| 1.3 Methods Description |
| 1.4 Lyapunov Exponents Previous Experiments |
| 1.5 Two-way ANOVA Method Description |
| 1.6 Objective |
| Chapter 2 Methods and Equipment |
| 2.1 Animals |
| 2.2 Treatments |
| 2.3 Data Acquisition |

| 2.4 Data Analysis |
|---------------------------------|
| Chapter 3 Data and Results |
| 3.1 Time Series |
| 3.2 Time Delay |
| 3.3 Global Embedding Dimensions |
| 3.4 Lyapunov Exponents Analysis |
| 3.5 Two-way ANOVA Analysis |
| Chapter 4 Discussion |
| Chapter 5 Conclusion |
| Reference |

List of Figure

| Figure 1 The measuring equipment on horses |
|--|
| Figure 2 The original data examples |
| Figure 3 First part data examples |
| Figure 4 Second part data examples |
| Figure 5 First stride time's series data |
| Figure 6 Fourth ~tenth stride time's series data14 |
| Figure 7 Average Mutual Information16 |
| Figure 8 Time Delay Comparison |
| Figure 9 Global Embedding Dimensions |
| Figure 10 Global Embedding Dimensions Comparison |
| Figure 11 Short LLE |
| Figure 12 Long LLE |
| Figure 13 Short and Long LLEs Comparison |
| Figure 14 Short LLEs Comparison of Subject 1 |

| Figure 15 Short LLEs Comparison |
|---|
| Figure 16 Long LLEs Comparison of Subject2 27 |
| Figure 17 Long LLEs Comparison of Subject4 28 |
| Figure 18 Long LLEs Comparison 29 |
| Figure 19 two-way ANOVA of Short LLEs |
| Figure 20 two-way ANOVA chart (Short LLEs) |
| Figure 21 two-way ANOVA of Long LLEs |
| Figure 22 two-way ANOVA chart (Long LLEs) |

List of Table

| Table 1 The data table | 30 |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Table 2 ANOVA table (Short LLEs) | 34 |
| Table 3 ANOVA table (Long LLEs) | 37 |

List of Abbreviations

| One-way ANOVA | One-way analysis of variance |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Two-way ANOVA | Two-way analysis of variance |
| CSPW | Computer-Supported Personal Work |
| VRA | Virtual Recurrence Analysis |
| AMI | Average Mutual Information |
| GFNN | Global False Nearest Neighbors |
| Global ED | Global Embedding Dimension |
| LLE | Largest Lyapunov Exponents |

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Horse Description

Humans and horses create a locomotive ability by using their legs. With the domestication of the horses, the movement of goods became faster. Therefore, horses have become more significant throughout time.

Horses change their gaits ^[1] according to the need for speed. For instance, the speed of travel increases from a walk to a trot to a gallop. In order to better understand the mechanism of horses' motion and to identify lameness, many researchers have investigated horses' gaits.

Horses' gaits ^[2] can be divided into three categories: walk, trot, and canter or gallop. The walk is a four-beat gait. When walking, a horse moves its legs as follows: left hind leg, left front leg, right hind leg, right front leg, in a regular 1-2-3-4 beat. The trot is a two-beat gait that has the variation in speeds. For the trot, horses move their legs in unison in diagonal pairs. The canter is a three-beat gait and faster than the trot. Compared to the canter, the gallop is faster, more ground-covering and is a four-beat gait. Taking a horse's canter as an example, a horse's legs follow this sequence: left hind leg, then left front leg and right hind leg together, then right front leg and then all legs ascend simultaneously.

In this research, there were nine lame horses in the experiments. Due to the dysfunction of the locomotor system, lameness ^[3] is defined as an abnormal gait or stance. In the experiment horses were lame because of pain, neurologic or mechanical dysfunction. This study was performed on horses that had easily observed lameness and horses that had subtle lameness ^[4]. Some lameness is easily observed using subjective evaluation while subtle lameness may require

objective evaluation by using a wireless, inertial, sensor-based, motion analysis system (Lameness Locator®).

Some lameness experts ^{[4] [5]} claimed that sedation of lame horses would not appreciably change gait and even though sedatives have analgesic properties, sedation will not make horses significantly less lame. However, a study by Buchner et al. ^[5] showed that sedation with detomidine caused horses' gaits to change, and when lameness was subtle, sedation hampered lameness evaluation.

1.2 Horses' Gaits Previous Experiments

In 1997, according to the phenomenon of horses bearing impact during locomotion, Wilson et al. ^[6] used contour plots to provide a visual representation of time and frequency localization of power. Then, by drawing the time series for the hoof impact and the initial deceleration at impact, they studied the causation and prevention of injury to horses.

Four years later, Butcher and Ashley-Ross^[7], in order to investigate how age influenced dorsiflexion and quick rates of fetlock joint movements, conducted experiments with four age classes of Thoroughbreds. After comparing the galloping stride's angular profile of different aged horses, they found the fetlock joint dorsiflexion from impact to mid-stance changed according to age and the change in gaits and speeds.

In 2002, Biau et al. ^[8] carried out a study to describe downward gait transitions in horses. During the experiments, horses were equipped with the ambulatory gait analysis system Equimetrix[™] for decelerating gait transitions. Among their conclusions, they discovered that the transition type could be affected by duration, energy module and frequency.

In 2005, Lagarde et al.^[9] studied the interaction between riders and horses and compared the behavior of expert riders with the behavior of novice riders. As the augment of the temporal-

oscillations' regularity of horses' trunks, horses and expert riders created a tight ensemble synchrony. Through practice, the differences of phase synchronization between expert and novice riders will be reduced gradually.

In addition, Bullimore and Burn ^[10] considered that different-sized horses might have similar patterns of locomotion (2005). Therefore, they measured the relative stride length and the duty factor of horses under various experimental environments. As a result, they found a "compensatory distortion" might offset the influence of different-sized horses.

In 2007, Nicodemus et al. ^[11] did a study to examine the differences between the joint motion measurements using the multi-planar analysis and the joint coordinate system. With a series of data collection, reduction, calculation and plotting, analysis showed that flexion/extension measurements by the multi-planar analysis or the joint coordinate system both had advantages under a different situation.

Moreover, in 2012, Pfau et al.^[12] explored the relationship between a horse body leaning angle, and its radius and speed during lunging. They selected 11 training horses as the research objects and found the body leaning angles could be predicted from gravitational and centripetal forces. Unfortunately, there also existed some differences in symmetry between horses lunging directions, which should be investigated for further study.

1.3 Methods Description

The proposed analysis departs from previous research concentrated on a nonlinear analysis of experiments in horses' gaits and motions. One of the most significant methods is based on the Lyapunov exponents ^[13].

The dynamical system's stability can be approximated by its reaction to kinematic disturbances ^[13]. The dynamical system's sensitivity to small kinematic perturbations can be

3

quantified by the system's Lyapunov exponents $(\lambda_i)^{[13]}$. The separation rate can vary due to the different orientations of initial separation vector. Therefore, there is a spectrum of the Lyapunov exponents, which is equal in number to the phase space's dimension. The maximal Lyapunov exponent ^[13] is the largest one of λ_i because it determines a predictable notion of a dynamic system. A positive maximal Lyapunov exponent indicates that the system is chaotic.

1.4 Lyapunov Exponents Previous Experiments

An example of a study using the Lyapunov exponents of Dingwella and Cusumano ^[14] researched the dynamic stability of people walking on a motorized treadmill by the average maximum finite-time Lyapunov exponents, λ_i . The λ_i quantified the average exponential rate of neighboring-trajectories' divergence in state space and measured the stability of the system. Finally, Dingwella and Cusumano demonstrated that a small but obvious amount of people walking on a motorized treadmill stabilized their natural locomotors kinematics.

In 2007, England and Granata ^[15] examined how walking velocity impacted the stability during normal gaits. They used the Lyapunov exponents, which is λ_i , to quantify the local dynamic stability. Every movement dimension of the kinematic trajectory would be matched with one Lyapunov exponent. If the sum of all Lyapunov-spectrum exponents is negative, the system is stable. From the experiments, the smaller the largest Lyapunov exponent is, the more stable the system is. They finally indicated that higher stability would result from lower walking velocities.

In 2008, Skokos ^[16] did a survey about the Lyapunov characteristic exponents for a dynamic system. After some attempts for a numerical evaluation of the Lyapunov characteristic exponents, based on the multiplicative ergodic theorem of Oseledec, Skokos analyzed the algorithm for the maximal Lyapunov characteristic exponents and used it as an indicator of

chaos. He also considered different discrete and continuous methods for calculating the Lyapunov characteristic exponents. At the same time, he also evaluated the Lyapunov characteristic exponents of dissipative systems and time series.

In addition, Marghitu et al. ^[17] applied nonlinear mathematical techniques to analyze dogs' gaits at walk and trot. They used a 2D motion analysis system for collecting kinematic data of the center pressure of the dog. The Lyapunov exponents, λ_i , served as a measurement method for the sensitivity of a system to its initial conditions. The λ_i means the rate of divergence or convergence of the nearby trajectories to figure out if the system is chaotic or non-chaotic. With experiments, Marghitu et al. provide a method to distinguish normal and abnormal gaits in dogs according to the analysis of the center pressure on dogs.

1.5 Two-way ANOVA Method Description

The one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA)^[18] is typically used to compare means of three or more data samples (using the F distribution). The two-way analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA)^[18] is an improvement of the one-way ANOVA that compares the effect of two different categorical independent variables on one continuous dependent variable.

According to the two-way ANOVA, it is effective to evaluate the main influence of each independent variable, as well as the interaction between them.

1.6 Objective

The purpose of this research is to carry on an application of the largest Lyapunov exponents. This study is based on the experiment about the effect of sedation drugs for horses with lameness during their motion (gait).

We have developed tests and collected horses' motion data as they trotted within different time periods. The largest Lyapunov exponents for analyzing motion data about a sedative

5

(detomidine) effect on variability or stability of horses' gaits.

Chapter 2

Method and Equipment

2.1 Animals

Nine mature lame horses with approximately body weights and heights were used in this experiment. A full clinical examination was performed on all horses to ensure that these horses satisfied the experiment's requirements ^{[19] [20]}. The horses were amendable to the trotting protocol and measurement sessions.

2.2 Treatments

Each horse was used as its own control, and two treatments were administered to each horse: sedation and untreated. All horses were trotted for evaluation of gait for each group on different days. Horses were trotted about 25 strides every 5 minutes. To get 25 strides, horses were trotted about 12 to 13 strides turned and trotted back. So they were trotted down and back every 5 minutes. The Lameness Locator® automatically discards data from the turn arounds. For each horse, the same protocol was used.

Sedation drugs are used in the symptomatic treatment of anxiety, irritability, etc.; they are sometimes used in the lameness examinations to control an unruly horse. Common sedation drugs used in the horses are xylazine and detomidine ^[19]. This experiment studied the effect of a sedative (detomidine) on the gait of lame horses.

2.3 Data Acquisition

The gaits analysis system used sensors and a data logger. Every 5 minutes the data were collected for a total of 45 minutes while horses were sedated or untreated. The data collected by the Lameness Locator® ^{[19] [20]} was analyzed for each of the two data collection trials.

Figure 1 from the IACUC of the College of Veterinary Medicine at Auburn University showed the measuring equipment.



Figure 1 The measuring equipment on horses

The experiment used Lameness Locator® software for data collection. The Lameness Locator® ^[20] uses a series of algorithms to determine symmetry while horse is trotting (the trot is a symmetrical gait). There would be an accurate determination of lameness with a Lameness Locator® according to the horses' motion data. In this study, the horses trotted in one direction in a straight line, turned, and trotted back, and then stopped after at least 25 strides were recorded.

2.4 Data Analysis

The original data examples were arranged by MATLAB R2015a software. The useful data examples were put in the Computer-Supported Personal Work software and Virtual Recurrence Analysis software to find data parameters. For the effect of sedation drugs on stability during horses' walking, the software developed by M. T. Rosenstein et al. and MATLAB R2015a software were used for the Lyapunov Exponents Analysis. For the effect of sedation drugs on variability during horses' motion, the two-way ANOVA method was used.

Chapter 3

Data and Results

3.1 Time Series

A time series ^[21] is a sequence of data points from measurements made over a successive time interval and it is usually plotted by line charts.

Taking the data examples from Subject1 (horses with sedation were trotting at 5 minutes) as an example, Figure 2 shows the original head acceleration data.





Figure 3 is the first part of data examples and the length of time series is approximately 25 seconds (the total number of data is 3000). Figure 4 is the second part of data examples and the length of time series is approximately 25 seconds (the total number of data is 3000).



Figure 3 First part data examples



Figure 4 Second part data examples

Moreover, with a time series analysis, it is helpful to get the meaningful and significant characteristics of data examples. Figure 5 uses the start point and end point of the 1st stride's time series data and Figure 6 uses the 4th ~ 10th strides' time series data.



Figure 5 First stride's time series data





3.2 Time Delay

For the time series analysis, in order to reconstruct a state space where the behavior of the system is embedded, the primary step is to find an appropriate time delay and global embedding dimension. If x(t) is one variable from a dynamic system, we can consider y(t) = [x(t), x(t+T)... x (t+n*T)] to reconstruct a (n+1) dimension vector — y(t), where T is the time delay ^[21]. If T is too small, x(t) is very similar to x(t+T) so that all of the data will stay near the line x(t) = x(t+T). If T is too big, the coordinates are independent and not able to be analyzed for more information.

Taking the data examples from Subject1 (horses without sedation were trotting at 45 minutes) as an example, I imported the data examples and calculated the average mutual information (AMI) by CSPW software. The time delay is located at the first minimum AMI of the iterative process, shown in Figure 7. In this chart, the number of data examples is 3000, and the time delay is 15.



Figure 7 Average Mutual Information

Figure 8 shows that the time delay of data examples usually fluctuated remarkably from 10 to 20, but some data's time delay was stable. At varying times, the time delay of sedation data was larger or smaller than the time delay of no treatment data.



Figure 8 Time Delay Comparison

3.3 Global Embedding Dimension

The embedding dimension ^[21] is the minimum number of random variables required to define a dynamic system from a given time series. The false nearest neighbor method ^[21] is used to determine the minimal embedding dimension— d_E , i.e. global embedding dimension ^[22].

With the time delay, the global embedding dimension of a dynamic system was calculated by CSPW software. Taking the data from Subject1 (horses without sedation were trotting at 45 minutes) as an example, the global embedding dimension is 5 shown in Figure 9. This chart, with 3000 data examples and a time delay of 14, shows a trend of the global false nearest neighbors reduction with the dimension increased.

Figure 9 Global Embedding Dimension



Figure 10 shows that the dimension of data typically ranged from 4 to 5 during different time periods while the global false nearest neighbors had the first minimum value (%FN —the fraction of the false nearest neighbors). There were two instances when the global embedding dimensions of sedation data and no treatment data were the same; all other examples were very similar.



Figure 10 Global Embedding Dimensions Comparison

3.4 Lyapunov Exponents Analysis

The Lyapunov exponents ^[13] of a dynamic system are used to quantify the separation rate of very close trajectories, and λ_i is defined as Lyapunov exponents. The maximal Lyapunov exponent ^[13] is the largest Lyapunov exponent.

The software developed by M. T. Rosenstein et al. is a practical method for calculating the largest Lyapunov exponents from determined data sets. Using the data examples from Subject1, I imported the data parameters to calibrate the software, and then re-arranged the original data examples.

The algorithm from Rosenstein et al. ^[13] indicated that the logarithm of the distances between nearest neighbors were averaged at each point in time and outputted as a single distance vector. Thus, λ_{Max} is calculated as the slope of the linear best-fit line generated by the equation: $\lambda_{Max} = \frac{ln(divergence)}{\Delta t}$.

Taking the data examples from Subject1 (horses with sedation were trotting at 5 minutes) as an example, The MATLAB programs produced the short LLE shown in Figure 11. The short LLE is calculated for the first stride of time series. The best-fit line between the first stride of time series (with a stride length of approximately 0.7 seconds) and the slope of the best-fit line was 1.6162.

Figure 11 Short LLE



The MATLAB programs produced the long LLE shown in Figure 12. The long LLE is calculated for the 4th \sim 10th stride of time series. The best-fit line between 4 \sim 10 strides of time series (with a stride length of approximately 4 seconds) and the slope of the best-fit line was 0.0222.

Figure 12 Long LLE



Figure 13 shows a comparison of the short LLE and the long LLE. The curve of the long LLE is smoother than the curve of the short LLE. The value of the long LLE is smaller than the slope of the short LLE.





Taking the data of Subject1 (shown in Figure 14), for instance, when the horse was trotting from 5 minutes to 45 minutes with sedated drugs, the short LLEs attached were 1.6162, 1.6263, 1.2956, 1.5954 and 1.2853; the short LLEs without drugs were 1.7563, 1.3152, 1.326, 1.5697, and 1.0947. Compared to the short LLEs without drugs, the short LLEs with sedation were smaller or larger.



Figure 14 Short LLEs Comparison of Subject1

According to Table 1 and Figure 15, the short LLEs were changing a little without a clear pattern during the different time periods.



Figure 15 Short LLEs Comparison

Taking the data of Subject2 (shown in Figure 16), for instance, when the horse was trotting from 5 minutes up to 45 minutes with sedation drugs, the long LLEs attached were 0.1165, 0.0395, 0.08, 0.0099 and 0.0519; the long LLEs without sedation were 0.125, 0.1012, 0.1084, 0.0694 and 0.0899. Compared to the long LLEs without drugs, the long LLEs with sedation were smaller. Most of the long LLEs with sedation reduced with the time increased.



Figure 16 Long LLEs Comparison of Subject2

Taking the data of Subject4 (shown in Figure 17), for instance, when the horse was trotting every 5 minutes up to 45 minutes with sedation drugs, the long LLEs attached were 0.0598, 0.0782, 0.053, 0.0504 and 0.0132; the long LLEs without treatment were 0.1042, 0.0919,

0.09905, 0.1062 and 0.075. Compared to the long LLEs without drugs, the long LLEs with sedation were smaller. Most of the long LLEs with sedation reduced with the time increased.



Figure 17 Long LLEs Comparison of Subject4

In Table 1 and Figure 18, the long LLEs mostly decreased as time increased. In addition, the long LLEs of no treatment data were mostly higher than the long LLEs of sedation data. The smaller the λ_{Max} *is*, the more stable the dynamic system is. Therefore, the stability of horses' motion with sedation was more stable.



Figure 18 Long LLEs Comparison

| | | Sedatio | on | | | No sedation | | | | |
|---------|-------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------|--|
| | Time | Time | Global | short | long | Time | Global | short | long | |
| | (min) | Delay | Embedding | LLE | LLE | Delay | Embedding | LLE | LLE | |
| | | (T) | Dimension | | | (T) | Dimension | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 12 | 5 | 1.6162 | 0.0222 | 12 | 4 | 1.7563 | 0.0851 | |
| 1 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 1.6263 | 0.0145 | 17 | 5 | 1.3152 | 0.0928 | |
| | 25 | 17 | 5 | 1.2956 | 0.0937 | 14 | 5 | 1.326 | 0.1242 | |
| | 35 | 12 | 5 | 1.5954 | 0.0114 | 10 | 5 | 1.5697 | 0.0743 | |
| | 45 | 13 | 5 | 1.2853 | 0.0139 | 15 | 5 | 1.0947 | 0.1736 | |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 10 | 5 | 1.0533 | 0.1165 | 8 | 4 | 0.9817 | 0.125 | |
| 2 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 1.4077 | 0.0395 | 6 | 4 | 1.5339 | 0.1012 | |
| | 25 | 15 | 4 | 1.35 | 0.08 | 16 | 4 | 1.0263 | 0.1084 | |
| | 35 | 6 | 4 | 1.194 | 0.0099 | 7 | 4 | 1.0557 | 0.0694 | |
| | 45 | 8 | 4 | 1.5269 | 0.0519 | 6 | 5 | 1.2049 | 0.0899 | |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 14 | 5 | 1.4363 | 0.0461 | 12 | 4 | 1.2131 | 0.068 | |
| 3 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 0.8622 | 0.0799 | 13 | 5 | 1.1983 | 0.1127 | |
| | 25 | 15 | 4 | 0.7712 | 0.0079 | 12 | 5 | 0.9605 | 0.0267 | |
| | 35 | 8 | 4 | 1.3351 | 0.1231 | 12 | 5 | 1.0287 | 0.1254 | |
| | 45 | 8 | 4 | 1.3558 | 0.1166 | 8 | 5 | 1.1291 | 0.1206 | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1 The data table

| SUBJECT | 05 | 10 | 4 | 0.9703 | 0.0598 | 6 | 4 | 0.9036 | 0.1042 |
|---------|----|----|---|--------|--------|----|---|--------|--------|
| 4 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 1.5299 | 0.0782 | | 4 | 0.8204 | 0.0919 |
| | 25 | 8 | 4 | 1.194 | 0.053 | 12 | | | |
| | 35 | 7 | 4 | 1.1218 | 0.0504 | 11 | 4 | 0.9734 | 0.1062 |
| | 45 | 13 | 4 | 1.2213 | 0.0132 | 8 | 4 | 0.9843 | 0.075 |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 12 | 5 | 1.3346 | 0.1021 | 15 | 5 | 1.0409 | 0.0945 |
| 5 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 0.934 | 0.0972 | 9 | 5 | 0.9137 | 0.1191 |
| | 25 | 9 | 5 | 1.0722 | 0.0612 | 7 | 5 | 1.2571 | 0.1079 |
| | 35 | 13 | 5 | 0.9461 | 0.095 | 10 | 5 | 1.0446 | 0.1075 |
| | 45 | 10 | 5 | 0.4835 | 0.0247 | 8 | 5 | 0.6232 | 0.0976 |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 12 | 5 | 0.5576 | 0.0672 | 8 | 5 | 0.918 | 0.084 |
| 6 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 1.2065 | 0.0134 | 7 | 5 | 1.0824 | 0.1421 |
| | 25 | 12 | 4 | 0.6954 | 0.0708 | 10 | 5 | 0.898 | 0.1021 |
| | 35 | 12 | 5 | 1.0646 | 0.0722 | 5 | 5 | 0.6488 | 0.084 |
| | 45 | 7 | 5 | 0.8381 | 0.1474 | 12 | 5 | 0.8612 | 0.0826 |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 9 | 5 | 1.344 | 0.0422 | 14 | 4 | 0.3611 | 0.0468 |
| 7 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 1.6683 | 0.0311 | 6 | 4 | 0.3402 | 0.0763 |
| | 25 | 8 | 4 | 1.3569 | 0.0685 | 11 | 4 | 0.7651 | 0.1078 |
| | 35 | 10 | 3 | 1.1359 | 0.0704 | 11 | 4 | 1.0365 | 0.086 |
| | 45 | 18 | 3 | 0.8134 | 0.1038 | 10 | 4 | 0.5147 | 0.1219 |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 7 | 5 | 1.0329 | 0.074 | 9 | 4 | 1.5277 | 0.0813 |
| 8 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 0.9055 | 0.1058 | 11 | 4 | 0.9358 | 0.0583 |
| | 25 | 7 | 5 | 0.5357 | 0.1168 | 7 | 5 | 1.9374 | 0.0952 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | |

| | 35 | 12 | 4 | 0.7032 | 0.1015 | 5 | 5 | 0.4833 | 0.0405 |
|---------|----|----|---|--------|--------|----|---|--------|--------|
| | 45 | 4 | 5 | 0.3497 | 0.0375 | 15 | 4 | 0.7494 | 0.0405 |
| SUBJECT | 05 | 7 | 5 | 0.3143 | 0.0683 | 3 | 4 | 2.172 | 0.1095 |
| 9 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 0.9189 | 0.0501 | 11 | 4 | 1.1213 | 0.1117 |
| | 25 | 10 | 5 | 0.7869 | 0.0316 | 5 | 4 | 1.5552 | 0.1162 |
| | 35 | 8 | 5 | 0.5144 | 0.0737 | 5 | 5 | 1.7284 | 0.1191 |
| | 45 | 6 | 5 | 0.6398 | 0.0771 | 12 | 4 | 0.8935 | 0.1213 |

3.5 Two- Way ANOVA Analysis

The two-way ANOVA^[18] compares the effect of two different categorical independent variables on one continuous dependent variable. Figure 19 shows the short LLEs summary produced by the two-way ANOVA method^[18]. The mean and the standard deviation of sedation data or no treatment data varied, but the total tendency of the standard deviation decreased as the time periods increased.



Figure 19 two-way ANOVA of Short LLEs

For the ANOVA Table^[18], the critical value (alpha) is 0.05. Table 2 and Figure 20 show the p-value of columns (sedation or no treatment) = 0.93585 > 0.05, which indicates the groups (sedation data or no treatment data) of independent variables did not show a significant relationship with the short LLEs.

| | ANOVA Table | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|-----|----|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Source | SS | $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{f}$ | MS | F | Pı | :ob>F | | | | |
| Time | 0.5654 | 4 | 0.14136 | 1. | 79 | 0.141 | | | | |
| Columns | 0.0026 | 1 | 0.00263 | 0. | 01 | 0.9358 | | | | |
| Interaction | 0.4077 | 4 | 0.10193 | 1.1 | 29 | 0.2821 | | | | |
| Rows | 6.298 | 16 | 0.39363 | 4. | 99 | 0 | | | | |
| Error | 5.0441 | 64 | 0.07881 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 12.3179 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 20 two-way ANOVA chart (Short LLEs)

Table 2 ANOVA table (Short LLEs)

| SS | df | MS | F | Prob>F |
|--------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 0.5654 | 4 | 0.14136 | 1.79 | 0.1410 |
| | | | | |
| 0.0026 | 1 | 0.00263 | 0.01 | 0.9358 |
| | | | | |
| 0.4077 | 4 | 0.10193 | 1.29 | 0.2821 |
| | | | | |
| 6.2980 | 16 | 0.39363 | 4.99 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| | SS 0.5654 0.0026 0.4077 6.2980 | SS df 0.5654 4 0.0026 1 0.4077 4 6.2980 16 | SS df MS 0.5654 4 0.14136 0.0026 1 0.00263 0.4077 4 0.10193 6.2980 16 0.39363 | SS df MS F 0.5654 4 0.14136 1.79 0.0026 1 0.00263 0.01 0.4077 4 0.10193 1.29 6.2980 16 0.39363 4.99 |

| 5.04410 | 64 | 0.07881 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 12.3179 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| ANOVA Table Description ^[18] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Source —these are the categories to be examined | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *SS —these are the sum of squares associated with the three sources. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *df —these are the degrees of freedom associated with the sources of variance. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| umber of the se | ource minus o | one) | | | | | | | | | |
| *MS —these are the mean squares, the sum of squares divided by their respective df. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *F —the value of F is the ratio of the sample variances. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Prob>F — the p-value associated with F-value is very small, and the p-value is compared to the | | | | | | | | | | | |
| alpha level value. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5.04410 12.3179 scription ^[18] are the categor are the sum of are the degrees umber of the so are the mean s lue of F is the value associate | 5.04410 64 12.3179 | 5.04410 64 0.07881 12.3179 12.3179 scription ^[18] are the categories to be examined are the categories to be examined are the sum of squares associated with the are the degrees of freedom associated with the unber of the source minus one) are the mean squares, the sum of squares of lue of F is the ratio of the sample variance value associated with F-value is very smallevel value. | 5.04410 64 0.07881 12.3179 | | | | | | | |

Figure 21 shows the long LLEs summary produced by the two-way ANOVA method. According to the error bar, the mean and the standard deviation of sedation data were smaller than the mean and the standard deviation of no treatment data. This means the variability of horses' motion with sedation was stable.



Figure 21 two-way ANOVA of Long LLEs

For the ANOVA Table ^[18], the alpha value is typically 0.05. Table 3 and Figure 22 show the p-value of columns (sedation or no treatment) = 0 < 0.05, which states the groups (sedation data or no treatment data) of independent variables did show a significant relationship with the long LLEs, that is, the groups could reliably predict the horses' motion.

| ANOVA Table | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Source | SS | df | MS | F | Prob>F | | * |
| Time Columns Interaction Rows Error Total | 0.00048 0.03143 0.00261 0.01189 0.06714 0.11354 | 4 1 4 16 64 | 0.00012 0.03143 0.00065 0.00074 0.00105 | 0.11 42.29 0.62 0.71 | 0.9774 0 0.6477 0.7752 | | |

Figure 22 two-way ANOVA chart (Long LLEs)

Table 3 ANOVA table (Long LLEs)

| Source | SS | df | MS | F | Prob>F |
|------------------|---------|----|---------|-------|--------|
| Time | 0.00048 | 4 | 0.00012 | 0.11 | 0.9774 |
| Columns(sedation | 0.03143 | 1 | 0.03143 | 42.29 | 0 |
| or no sedation) | | | | | |
| Interaction | 0.00261 | 4 | 0.00065 | 0.62 | 0.6477 |
| Rows | 0.01189 | 16 | 0.00074 | 0.71 | 0.7752 |

| Error | 0.06714 | 64 | 0.00105 | |
|-------|---------|----|---------|--|
| Total | 0.11354 | | | |

Chapter 4

Discussion

This research was done to develop a study of the effect of sedation on the trotting gait of lame horses using the largest Lyapunov exponents. The motion data was collected from nine horses. The largest Lyapunov exponents were used for motion data analysis.

Each group of motion data examples from the horses tested were collected with sedation or no treatment during different time periods. The motion data was analyzed by three different software—Virtual Recurrence Analysis software, Computer-Supported Personal Work software, the software developed by M. T. Rosenstein et al. and MATLAB R2015a software.

The data in Table 1 and Figure 8 indicated that the values of time delay from sedation data examples or no treatment data were varied from 10 to 20. The current study found that sedation did not have a large influence on the time delay of the horses' motion data.

The data in Table 1 and Figure 10 indicate that the values of global embedding dimension from sedation data or no treatment data changed little and ranged from 4 to 5 in this research. The data clearly indicates that sedation did not have a significant effect on the global embedding dimension during horses' gaits.

The data presented in Table 1 and Figure 15 shows that the values of short LLEs from sedation data examples and no treatment data examples had no significant trend to find. Therefore, there was not an obvious pattern observed from short LLEs.

As the data presented in Table 1 and Figure 18 shows, the values of long LLEs from sedation data or no treatment data varied, but the values of long LLEs from sedation data were

smaller than those from no treatment data. Therefore, there was a consistent pattern observed from long LLEs, which means that sedation had a significant effect on horses' motion stability.

As the data presented in Table 2 and Figure 19 shows, the two-way ANOVA method indicated that the short LLEs did not vary much (p > 0.05) with the various time periods or sedation drugs as horses trotted. However, the data presented in Table 3 and Figure 21 shows that the long LLEs by the two-way ANOVA method did very a great deal (p < 0.05) with sedation drugs during horses' gaits.

In addition, the findings of this study need stronger support, because the sample size of testing horses in this study was just nine, and the results of analysis were not sufficient to get a more accurate and significant conclusion. In further research, a larger quantity of testing samples and more analysis methods are needed for generalizing the results. In order to support the above findings, more experiments also need to be done on other effective factors for lame horses' gait.

Chapter 5

Conclusion

The objective of this research was to conduct a test on the effect of sedation on horses' gaits using the largest Lyapunov exponents. The motion data examples were tested during horses trotting with sedation and no treatment. The results of motion analysis (two-way ANOVA method) revealed that the LLEs variability of horses' gait does decrease with sedation. The findings of motion analysis using the largest Lyapunov exponents also indicated that sedation has a good influence on the movement stability of horses' gaits.

The quantity of samples used in this study was small. With only nine testing examples, the analysis could not produce an accurate conclusion. More testing samples are needed to provide a better estimation of the effect with sedation and no treatment on variability or stability during horses' gaits. In the future, there should be a more deeply and confirmed experiment about this research with more participants and analysis methods.

Reference

- Yuichi Ambe, Timo Nachstedt, Poramate Manoonpong et al., "Stability Analysis of a Hexapod Robot Driven by Distributed Nonlinear Oscillators with a Phase Modulation Mechanism," Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). P5087-5092, 2013
- [2] L.A. Lawrence, "Horse Conformation Analysis," Washington State University Extension and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Sub 160, 2006
- [3] John Schumacher, "Animal Subjects Review Form," Auburn, Alabama, the United States
- [4] John Schumacher et al., "Effect of tranquilization or sedation on the gait of lame horses," Auburn, Alabama, the United States
- [5] Buchner, H.H., Kübber, P., Zohmann, E. and Peham C. "Sedation and anticipation as tools in equine lameness examination," Equine Veterinary Journal. Vol 31, Issue S30, P227-230, 1999
- [6] J. F. Burn, A. M. Wilson and G. P. Nason, "Impact during equine locomotion: Techniques for measurement and analysis," Equine Veterinary Journal. 5(23), P9-12, 1997
- [7] Michael T. Butcher and M.A. Ashley-Ross, "Fetlock joint kinematics differ with age in thoroughbred racehorses," Journal of Biomechanics. Vol. 35, Issue 5, P563–571, 2001
- [8] Sophie Biau, Sophie Lemaire and E. Barrey, "Analysis of gait transitions in dressage horses using wavelet analysis of dorsoventral acceleration," Pferdeheilkunde. 18(4), P343–350, 2002
- [9] J. Lagarde, C. Peham T. Licka and J. A. S. Kelso, "Coordination Dynamics of the Horse Rider System," Journal of Motor Behavior. Vol. 37, No. 6, P418–424, 2005
- [10] Sharon R. Bullimore and Jeremy F. Burn, "Dynamically similar locomotion in horses," The

Journal of Experimental Biology. 209, P455-465, 2005

- [11] MC Nicodemus, HM Clayton and JL Lanovazb, "Comparison of a joint coordinate system versus multi-planar analysis for equine carpal and fetlock kinematics," Comparative Exercise Physiology. 5(1), P43–55, 2008
- [12] Thilo Pfau, Narelle C. Stubbs and LeeAnn J. Kaiser et al., "Effect of trotting speed and circle radius on movement symmetry in horses during lunging on a soft surface," American Journal of Veterinary Research. Vol. 73, No. 12, P1890-1899, 2012
- [13] Kevin P. Granata, Chair, Michael Madigan, Dennis Hong, "Quantifying Dynamic Stability of Musculoskeletal System using Lyapunov Exponents," Blacksburg, Virginia, The United States, 2005
- [14] Jonathan B. Dingwella and Joseph P. Cusumano, "Nonlinear time series analysis of normal and pathological human walking," Chaos. 10(4), P848-863, 2000
- [15] Scott A. England and Kevin P. Granata, "The influence of gait speed on the local dynamic stability of walking," Gait Posture. 25(2), P172–178, 2007
- [16] Charalampos Skokos, "The Lyapunov Characteristic Exponents and their computation," Lect. Notes Phys. 790, P63-135, 2010
- [17] Eliza Banu, Dan B. Marghitu, Janet Steiss and Victoria Light, "Nonlinear Analysis of the Center of Pressure of Dogs at Walk and Trot," Auburn, Alabama, the United States
- [18] W. Penny and R. Henson, "Analysis of Variance," Elsevier. Ch13-P372560, London, UK
- [19] F. Javier López-Sanrom án, Ronald Holmbak-Petersen et al., "Accelerometric comparison of the locomotor pattern of horses sedated with xylazine hydrochloride, Detomidine hydrochloride, or romifidine hydrochloride," AJVR. Vol 74, No. 6, 2013
- [20] "Lameness Locator® User Manual," http://equinosis.com/

- [21] Matthew B. Kennel, Reggie Brown, Henry D.I. Abarbanel, "Determining embedding dimension for phase-space reconstruction using a geometrical construction," Physical Review A. Vol 45, No.6, 1991
- [22] Kyandoghere Kyamakya, Abdelhamid Bouchachia, Jean C Chedjou, "Intelligence for nonlinear dynamics and synchronization," Atlantis Press.P71, 2010