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Ride quality of a pavement is quantified by a statistical index. The statistical index and the profile device used to assess the index are major variables in pavement smoothness. Due to the wide range of these variables across the United States, a nationwide review of the current ride quality specifications was conducted. A major effort in the industry for acceptance testing is the concept of specifying ride quality based on a percent improvement in ride quality of the layer immediately below the new surface.

In order to determine the least variable method of profiling pavement surfaces, three different profile devices were used to collect longitudinal profiles at the National

Center for Asphalt Technology Test Track. Profiles were collected on the existing lanes and the reconstructed lanes. The profiles collected made it possible to determine repeatability precision for the profile devices. This information also provided insight in determining if and how ride quality improves with increasing pavement layers.

The findings of this research indicate that the type of surface profiled have an affect on the repeatability of the profile device. Also, the length of the test section profiled affect repeatability. Through the placement of each structural layer in the pavement section, the final surface smoothness was improved. However, bumps located in the initial layer profiled were reflected in the final surface. This indicates that every effort should be made to ensure the smoothest possible initial layer.

Computer software used Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, ProScan System, Australian Road Research Board Walking Profiler System, ProVal 2.5
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## CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

Pavement smoothness is an important element of pavement construction. Smooth pavements provide comfort and safe passage to the driving public and prevent increases in travel cost. Rough (i. e. lack of smoothness) roads increase travel costs by causing vehicle damage and increasing fuel consumption. There is much concern from state highway agencies about pavement smoothness because it is primarily how the public perceives pavement quality. Additionally, the contractors responsible for constructing pavements are concerned with pavement smoothness since it affects them financially by pay adjustment factors (incentives, disincentives) used by state agencies to ensure pavement smoothness.

The pay factors used to ensure ride quality vary widely across the United States. One major variable is the ride quality (statistical index) used to quantify pavement smoothness. Another variable is the type of devices that can be used to assess the index. The selection of indices and profiling devices used significantly affect the quantification of the ride quality and deserve serious investigation. Also, it is important to research the correlations of these parameters to their effect on the quantification of pavement smoothness. A major effort in the pavement industry for acceptance testing is the concept of specifying ride quality for mill and overlay based on a percent improvement in ride quality of the layer immediately below the new surface. Therefore, research is needed to
investigate the process of measuring smoothness on different structural layers of the pavement.

## OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the thesis were to:

- Review Literature on the topic of road profile measurement devices, profile indices, and existing research of correlating profile indices.
- Assess and summarize the current methods of specifying ride quality in the United States.
- Evaluate if and how ride quality improves with increasing layers.
- Determine the most realistic and least variable method of profiling various types of surfaces for assessing the improvement in ride quality as each layer is added to the pavement structure.


## CHAPTER II. LITERATURE REVIEW

## INTRODUCTION

Pavement smoothness has evolved into an important aspect of pavement construction. Smooth pavements provide comfort and safe passage to the driving public and prevent increases in traveling cost while rough roads increase vehicle repair costs, fuel consumption, and unsafe driving conditions. State agencies use statistically based ride quality indices such as the Profile Index (PI) and International Roughness Index (IRI) to quantify the driving public's perception of an acceptable road. From a contractor's perspective, the initial smoothness of the pavement is very important because in most states ride quality specifications determine incentives and disincentives for contractor pay adjustments. The smoothness of a pavement is not only used in specifications, but it is also used for an overall evaluation of the condition of the pavement over the life of the facility. It is not unusual for the initial ride quality statistic to be different from the one used to monitor pavement condition during the life of the pavement.

While a number of statistical methods have been used to represent a user perception of ride quality, the most common quantitive expressions of ride quality today are the PI and IRI. The PI is a mechanical filter based index that measures the roughness of a profilograph trace (1). IRI is defined as the reference average rectified slope
$\left(\right.$ RARS $\left._{80}\right)$ of a standard quarter-car simulation at a traveling speed of $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}(80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr})$ measured in units of length/length (in/mile or $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{km}$ ) (1). Regardless of the quantitive measurement used, all expressions of ride quality are based on the longitudinal profile of the surface.

There are a number of devices available for documenting surface profiles. These devices range from very simple hand operated units to complicated devices that involve today's advanced technology.

## Objectives of Literature Review

The objectives of the literature review were to:

- Investigate how road profile measurement devices are used to obtain longitudinal profiles.
- Define how longitudinal profiles from each device are used to indicate ride quality.
- Investigate how state agencies across the United States use these devices and the ride quality associated with each device for acceptance testing.

The objectives of the literature review were researched in order to select the types of devices included in the Research Program.

The types of devices used to document the longitudinal profile of the roadway, as well as brief explanations of the mathematical approaches used to quantify profile characteristics are summarized in the following sections. The state of the practice review (Appendix A ) documents how each state is currently measuring ride quality and the
state's ride quality specifications. A thorough investigation of the current ride quality specifications for the state of Alabama is also included in the report.

## Scope

The literature review was conducted to identify publications providing information on current specifications enforced by state agencies within the United States as of 2003. The majority of the specifications were found on state agency web sites. In order to find the most current specifications, contacts were made to the state agencies that did not display the specifications on their web site. Publications providing information on indices and equipment used to measure pavement smoothness were also reviewed.

## BACKGROUND

## Road Profile Measurement Devices

Profiles are used to examine the smoothness or, conversely, the roughness of a pavement surface. Roughness, typically defined as the lack of smoothness, occurs when there is a variation in surface elevation that induces vibrations in traversing vehicles, and it is defined over an interval of profile (2). Sayers et al. describe vibrations as follows: (2)
"There are many kinds of vibration, ranging from sickening heaves due to long wavelengths, to the rapid teeth-jarring impacts and irritating noises caused by short wavelengths."

The definition of a profile is a two-dimensional slice of the road surface, taken along an imaginary line (2). For the purpose of measuring a pavement surface, an imaginary line is usually considered in both the left and right wheel paths. Longitudinal
and transverse profiles are the two types of roadway profiles. Longitudinal profiles can be used to show the design grade, roughness, and texture of the pavement surface while transverse profiles are used to measure rut depth (2). However, rutting can contribute to the level of roughness of a pavement because over time rutting results in a reduction of pavement section and premature cracking will occur which will increase roughness of a pavement. In terms of measurement, if the depth of rutting along the measured longitudinal profile is not consistent then rutting will affect ride quality. The collection, profile analysis, and use of ride quality statistics are the main focus of this literature review.

There are a number of profile measuring devices designed to be hand operated, operated at low speeds, or operated at highway speeds. The hand-operated units include rolling straightedges, walking profilographs, and inclinometers. Inertial profilers, while originally designed to be operated at highway speeds (typically 40 to 55 mph ), now have smaller versions, referred to as lightweight profilers, that can be operated at slower speeds (i.e., 10 to 20 mph ).

## Walking Profilograph (Hand Operated)

The California Profilograph (Figure 2-1) was developed by Francis N. Hveem while at the California Division of Highways in the 1940s (3). There are a number of manufacturers that supply this type of profilograph; the profilograph shown in Figure 2-1 was manufactured by McCracken. The profilograph records the profile by the deviations of the center bicycle wheel. A cable connects the center wheel to a pen on a printer that records the profile trace as the machine is pushed along the pavement. The printer drum
is connected to the center wheel with chains and gears which allows the printer to feed paper to the recording pen. The Profile Index (PI) can be calculated from a profilograph trace. The PI and its calculation will be discussed later.


Figure 2-1. McCracken Model California Profilograph.

## Inclinometers (Hand Operated)

Inclinometer-based profilers use a small straightedge beam up to 12 inches in length to measure profile. The beam is placed on the pavement surface and its inclination is measured and recorded. The beam is then moved its length along the pavement surface and the same measurements are repeated (4). One type of inclinometer is the Australian Road Research Board (ARRB) walking profiler (Figure 2-2). The ARRB walking profiler uses a 9.5 inch beam. The process of moving the beam along the pavement surface is called a step. After each step the distance and elevation is recorded. The profiler uses these measurements to create a profile and calculate the IRI. The IRI is an index that is used to measure roughness of the pavement and will be discussed later.


Figure 2-2. ARRB Walking Profiler (5).

## Inertial Profilers (Low to High Speed)

Inertial profilers determine the profile by using a combination of non-contact height sensors, an accelerometer, and a distance measuring instrument. The height sensors measure the distance from the vehicle chassis to the ground. Height sensor types include laser, optical, infrared, and ultrasonic sensors, but the most common sensor today is the laser height sensor (6). The accelerometer is usually located on top of the height sensor to measure vertical acceleration (6). The accelerometer measures the force of the up and down movement of the vehicle chassis during a data run and uses the data to compensate the height measurements made by the laser (6). The distance measuring instrument simply measures the longitudinal distance of the section being profiled (6).

Inertial profilers are separated into two groups: lightweight and full-size. The lightweight profilers (Figure 2-3) are generally operated at low speeds between 10-20 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and are used by the contractor immediately after the Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) mat
is placed. Testing is completed before the pavement is opened to traffic. Many contractors have implemented the use of the lightweight profiler for measuring pavement smoothness because of its maneuverability and speed compared to the California-style profilograph. In addition, since the lightweight profilers weigh less than the full size profilers some state agencies allow their use on green (i.e., not fully cured) Portland Cement Concrete (PCC). Most lightweight profilers produce a trace from which the PI and IRI can be calculated. In fact, the PI and IRI values are produced along with the trace by an onboard computer.

The full-size profilers (Figure 2-4) are generally operated at speeds between 45 and 55 mph , although they can be used at speeds as low as 22 mph . These units are used to evaluate the ride quality of pavement that has already been opened to traffic. The inertial profiler system is usually mounted to a multi-passenger van. The Roadware ARAN van (Figure 2-4) is one example of a full size inertial profiler that can obtain pavement profiles at highway speeds. This allows state agencies to evaluate pavements on a network level. The ARAN van software is capable of producing smoothness indices in PI and IRI. Most full size inertial profilers are employed for acceptance or performance evaluation.


Figure 2-3. ICC Lightweight Inertial Profiler (7).


Figure 2-4. Automatic Road Analyzer - ARAN (8).

## Profile Indices

Among the many statistics used to quantify ride quality from a longitudinal profile, the two used in state specifications today are PI and IRI. Therefore, this thesis will focus on these indices. Twenty five state agencies use PI to report pavement smoothness, but some of these agencies are planning to make a transition from PI to IRI at some future date.

## Profile Index (PI)

The profile index is a mechanical filter based index which measures the roughness of a profilograph trace, generally from the California style-profilograph. In order to calculate the PI, a blanking band must be applied optimally between the highs and lows of the profile trace depicting at least $100 \mathrm{ft}(30 \mathrm{~m})$. The blanking band used is chosen by the state agency and it can be $0.2,0.1$, or 0.0 in ( $5 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, or 0.0 mm ). The PI is calculated by summing the excursions that are outside the applied blanking band and dividing by the length of the test section (9). The purpose of a blanking band is to allow small deflections in the profile trace to be nulled out of the measurements to compensate for equipment vibrations and other minor movements. The amount of deflection to be nulled out is determined by the specification of a blanking band. Therefore, only deflections occurring outside of the blanking band are recorded as deviations from a smooth surface. Figure 2-5 shows a sample calculation of PI. In Figure 2-5, the solid black line is the best fit linear line which is used as a reference to measure the excursions. This best fit line is a major difference in the two methods of reducing a profilograph trace. The manual method involves a template centered on the trace which represents the
best fit linear line. The automated method involves a scanner used to digitize the trace and perform a least-square error analysis to determine the best fit linear line from which to measure the excursions. Prior research has shown that the automated method is more reliable and faster than the manual method, and the automated method reduces the influence of the experience and subjectivity of the individual performing the manual reduction method (10).


Figure 2-5. Sample PI Calculation.

## International Roughness Index (IRI)

The IRI is calculated for one longitudinal profile and is defined as the reference average rectified slope $\left(\right.$ RARS $\left._{80}\right)$ of a standard quarter-car simulation at a traveling speed of $50 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}(80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) measured in units of length/length (in/mile or m/km) (2). The profile is filtered with a 250 mm moving average to smooth the profile or weaken the small wavelengths. The profile is then filtered with a quarter car simulation (Figure 2-6) with standardized parameters of a sprung mass, unsprung mass, suspension spring rate, tire spring rate, and suspension linear damping (10). These parameters are standardized to
allow the model to simulate response properties typical of most highway vehicles. The output of the filter is the relative displacement of the sprung mass and unsprung mass (or suspension motion) at a speed of $50 \mathrm{mph}(80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr})(10)$. The absolute value of the suspension motion is accumulated and divided by the profile length to obtain IRI in units of $\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ or $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{km}$.


Figure 2-6. Quarter Car Model (1).

## Correlations Between PI and IRI

With the advance of technology, many state agencies are trying to advance the ride quality specifications for pavements. Most specifications are listed in terms of PI, but some are transitioning into IRI. Many studies have been performed to relate PI and IRI in order to do this.

In a recent study involving the relationship of smoothness index values, several researchers from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) analyzed the profile data from the Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) database (11). The profile data
extracted from LTPP included PI and IRI values for flexible and rigid pavements using the $0.0 \mathrm{in}, 0.1 \mathrm{in}$, and 0.2 in blanking bands (11). The purpose of the study was to provide recommendations for smoothness specification acceptance limits for new and rehabilitated flexible and rigid pavements, based upon IRI and PI (11). In other words, the study was conducted to recommend how agencies make the switch from current PIbased specifications to IRI specifications, and to show what levels of IRI would be comparable or equivalent to current PI levels. The results of the study provided numerous figures and tables which can be found in reference 11. The results show that a reasonable correlation can be developed between IRI and PI (11). For example, the following equation represents a model that can be used to relate IRI to PI using a 0.0 in blanking band on flexible pavement (11):

$$
\mathrm{IRI}=2.66543 * \mathrm{PI}+213.009 \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.89482
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IRI }=\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km} \\
& \text { PI }=\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km} \text { with zero blanking band }
\end{aligned}
$$

Other results show that correlations between PI values using the zero blanking band and PI values using the 0.1 in and 0.2 in blanking bands can be developed (11). If a state agency wanted to improve its specifications without converting to IRI, then this study provides the agency with equations to calculate PI with a reduced blanking band width. Pavement types evaluated in this study included Asphaltic Concrete (AC), AC/AC, and AC/Portland Cement Concrete (PCC), and the climatic regions analyzed were dry-freeze, dry-nonfreeze, wet-freeze, and wet-nonfreeze (11). Although the conclusions of this study stated that pavement type and climate are significant factors in the correlation
between IRI and PI, there were no clear trends regarding the effect of climate and pavement type on the IRI-PI relationship (11). However, climatic conditions have the effect of increasing the slope of the IRI-PI relationship for AC/AC pavements in dryer climatic regions (11). It was recommended to agencies which plan to use the IRI-PI relationship equations to evaluate the validity of the research based on that agencies conditions and experiences.

## State of the Practice

## Specifications

Each of the fifty United States’ smoothness specifications were reviewed and recorded as a part of this study. The information collected include the method of measurement, ride quality statistic to be used, test section length, time of testing, and the acceptance limits including full pay and incentives/disincentives if applied for both flexible and rigid pavements. The specifications are summarized in Appendix A.

Figure 2-7 summarizes the prevalence of the various types of equipment used across the United States. The California-style profilograph is used by 25 states for acceptance of the ride quality of flexible pavements, and 17 states use inertial profilers such as the ARAN inertial profiler, General Motors Profilometer, or Lightweight inertial profiler. Seven states use devices other than the California-style profilograph and inertial profiler. These other devices include the straightedge, Mays Ride Meter, or South Dakota Profiler. The type of device used for four states were undetermined. Out of the 50 state specifications reviewed, 43 have flexible pavement smoothness specifications (Table A-
2). The remaining 7 states do not have smoothness specifications, or no information was able to be obtained for these states.


Figure 2-7. Flexible Pavement

Of the 43 states enforcing specifications, 29 have both pay incentives and disincentives, 14 use no incentives, 5 use incentives only, and 2 use disincentives only (Figure 2-8). The states that do not have disincentives require corrective action to the pavement if the smoothness index exceeds the full pay range. Some states do not require corrective action if the smoothness index has exceeded full pay range; however, a penalty or price adjustment is usually enforced.


Figure 2-8. Flexible Pavement Pay Factors Used


Fgure 2-9. Profilographs Used for Rigid Pavement

Smoothness specifications are enforced by 44 out of 50 states for newly constructed rigid pavements (Table A-3). Within the 44 states, 24 apply both incentives and disincentives, 13 have no pay factors, 7 apply incentives only, and 6 apply disincentives only (Figure 2-10). The California profilograph is used by 39 states for
acceptance of the ride quality of rigid pavements, and 4 states use an inertial profiler (Figure 2-9). The remaining states use devices such as the straightedge, or Mays Ride Meter.

## Acceptance Limits

Acceptance limits for pavements vary a great deal within the United States. For instance, the ranges of Profile Index in in/mile representing 100 percent pay are plotted in Figure 2-11. There are nineteen ranges of Profile Index for 100 percent pay, and only three ranges include more than one state (Figure 2-11). The PI ranges vary from $0 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ to $18.1 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ for a lower limit and $3 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ to $30 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ for an upper limit (Figure 211). It can be determined from Figure 2-11 that state agencies do not agree on one particular range of PI values to assign 100 percent pay to the contractor for flexible pavements. The same follows for the specifications written for rigid pavements. It can be seen from Figure 2-12 that state agencies are inconsistent in assigning PI values to award 100 percent pay to the contractor for rigid pavements.


Figure 2-10. Pay Factors Used for Rigid Pavements


Figure 2-11. Profile Index Ranges for California Profilograph on Flexible Pavement


Figure 2-12. Profile Index Ranges for California Profilograph on Rigid Pavements

The data in Table 2-1 show acceptance limits for 100 percent pay on flexible pavements for the states listed using an inertial profiler. The index used by these states in Table 2-1 is the IRI. The data show that no two states agree on a particular range.

However, some states do agree on lower limits alone and upper limits alone. Connecticut and Washington agree on an approximate IRI $=60 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ for a lower limit;

Pennsylvania, South Dakota, and Wyoming agree on and IRI = $70 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ as an upper limit for 100 percent pay (Table 2-1).

Table 2-1. 100\% Pay Acceptance Limits for Flexible
Pavements using Inertial Profiler

| State | Acceptance Limits <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Type of Inertial <br> Profiler | International Roughness <br> Index, in/mile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Upper Limit |  |
|  | ARAN $^{\text {A }}$ | 60 | 80 |
| Georgia | Laser Profiler | 0 | 47.5 |
| Maine | Inertial Profiler | 36 | 60 |
| Massachusetts | Inertial Profiler | 0 | 95 |
| Montana | Laser Profiler | 46 | 65 |
| Pennsylvania | Lightweight | 0 | 70 |
| South Dakota | Inertial Profiler | 55.1 | 70 |
| Vermont | Inertial Profiler | 54 | 65 |
| Washington | Lightweight | 60.1 | 95 |
| Wyoming | Inertial Profiler | 55 | 70 |

A = Automatic Road Analyzer

## Pay Factors

State agencies apply pay factors such as incentives and disincentives to promote quality construction by the contractor. As discussed previously, the number of states applying incentives and disincentives are expressed in Figures 2-8 and 2-10. Table A-1 in Appendix A lists the range of incentives and disincentives used across the nation; Figures 2-13 and 2-14 summarize this information based on the number of states that use similar incentives or disincentives. This information is presented in terms as a percent of the pavement unit bid price. From Figure 2-13 it can be seen that the incentive range of 0-5\% is the most common among the specifications, and Figure 2-14 shows that the disincentive range of $0-10 \%$ is the most commonly used penalty. Figures 2-13 and 2-14
list a column titled "Other" which means the state agency uses a method other than percent of pavement unit bid price. The other methods used for incentives/disincentives include equations which are a function of PI or IRI, price increase/decrease per square yard, and price increase/decrease per lot.


Figure 2-13. Range of Incentives Specified for Flexible Pavement


Range of Disincentives, \% of pavement unit bid price
Figur 2-14. Range of Disincentives Specified for Flexible Pavement

## Current Alabama Specifications

Table 2-2 lists the current specifications for flexible and rigid pavements in the state of Alabama. Table 2-2 specifies that a California-style profilograph is suitable for measuring flexible and rigid pavement. The section length for testing is 0.1 miles for both types of pavement. The specifications are listed in PI with corresponding price adjustments in percent of pavement unit bid price.

Recent research shows that there are disadvantages to the current ride quality specifications in Alabama. An analysis by the Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT) indicates that more than three-quarters of all the 0.1 mile sections tested since the implementation of the specification have fallen in the $5 \%$ bonus range without an improvement in pavement ride quality (10). One disadvantage of the older Alabama specifications is that a 0.2 inch ( 5 mm ) blanking band is specified in manually analyzing the profilograph trace (10). Studies have indicated that using a wide blanking band, such as the one specified by Alabama, allow minor defects in the pavement to go unnoticed, and these defects affect ride quality but are not measured because they fall inside the blanking band (10). It was recommended by Bowman et al. to change the blanking band width to 0.0 . This recommendation was subsequently adopted by ALDOT.

Another disadvantage of the specifications noted by Bowman et al. was the fact that a step function with $5 \%$ increments is used. It was stated that the large increments between payment levels results in the potential for a large payment difference between two borderline segments (10). Bowman et al. suggested that a combination step function/continuous linear relationship function be used to determine pay factors. The suggested pay function (10) is as follows:

Bonus:

$$
\text { Percent Pay }=(-1.667 * \text { PI })+124.5
$$

Penalty:
Percent Pay $=(-1.667 * P I)+133.33$
where,
PI = Profile Index, in/mile.

Table 2-2. Current Alabama Ride Quality Specifications

| Pavement Type | Equipment | Section Length | Time of Testing | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Profile Index, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km}$ 0.2 in <br> Blanking Band | Profile <br> Index, in/mile 0.2 in Blanking Band | Contract Price Adjustment, \% of pavement unit bid price |
| Flexible | California profilograph | 0.1 mile | same day | Under 47.3 | Under 3.0 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | 47.3 to < 94.6 | 3.0 to < 6.0 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | 94.6 to < 126.2 | 6.0 to $<8.0$ | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | 126.2-157.7 | 8.0-10.0 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | over 157.7 | over 10.0 | Corrective work required |
| Rigid | California profilograph | 0.1 mile | immediately after curing | Under 45 | Under 3.0 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | 45 to < 95 | 3.0 to < 6.0 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | 95 to < 125 | 6.0 to $<8.0$ | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | 125 to 160 | 8.0 to 10.0 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | over 160 | Over 10.0 | Corrective work required |

## SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Pavement smoothness has become and will remain an essential element of the construction industry. There are numerous technical papers dealing with pavement smoothness, and each author has a different idea of how to achieve or specify pavement
smoothness. Through research of the literature and current state specifications it can be determined that PI is still the main index used, and the California-style profilograph is still the predominant measuring device used. However, many states are currently in the process of transitioning to other means of measuring pavement smoothness such as inertial profilers. Alabama is one of the states that are beginning to investigate the possibility of phasing out the use of the California - style profilograph in favor of an inertial profiler. Therefore, the devices of concern in this thesis are the California - style Profilograph and the Automatic Road Analyzer (ARAN) high-speed inertial profiler.

A third hand operated inclinometer style profiler, the Australian Road Research Board (ARRB), was added to the research program for several reasons: Some states are currently evaluating specifying a percent ride quality improvement rather than fixed upper and lower ride statistics. This means that the profile of the existing surface is needed in order to calculate the percent change. In the case of mill and fill overlay projects, this means that the milled profile would need to be obtained. In Alabama, this means that the profile needs to be collected between the milling and the paving operation as the constructions process moves down the road. This will require the use of a handoperated unit that can be operated over short distances and move with the stop and start processes associated with a number of paving projects. A second reason, also associated with exploring the extent of the percent improvement due to the addition of the layer in the pavement structure, is that an easily movable hand-operated unit is needed when trying to profile cut areas in pavement construction and/or unbound surface materials. The third reason for including the ARRB unit is that Roadware is starting to market the ARRB along with the ARAN van for possible establishment of a reference profile for
field verification of profile measurements. For these reasons, it was deemed important to include an evaluation of this unit in this study.

An investigation of transitioning current specifications from PI to IRI must be performed. The first step in achieving this transition is the development and comparison of the precision and correlation of both methods. This is the focus of this research project.

## CHAPTER III: RESEARCH PROGRAM

## INTRODUCTION

This research program was designed to:

- Determine McCracken California profilograph repeatability precision for recording longitudinal pavement profiles so that statistical differences between the new methods for obtaining pavement profiles could be established.
- Determine ARRB repeatability precision for recording longitudinal pavement profiles.
- Determine ARAN repeatability precision for recording longitudinal pavement profiles.
- Compare profile traces of the ARRB and the ARAN van.
- Evaluate the influence of subsequent pavement layers, starting with the subgrade profile, on the smoothness of the final HMA surface.

The ARAN van was used to evaluate smoothness of HMA pavement layers only due to the fact that this equipment is not made for measuring pavement layers such as the subgrade and granular base layers.

## SCOPE

The Auburn University National Center for Asphalt Technology (AU-NCAT) test track was used for this research. This test track is a 1.76 mile closed loop two lane roadway. A total of 46 test sections were constructed around the loop with 13 sections in each of the North and South tangents and 10 sections in each of the east and west curves. Tangents were constructed with a cross slope of $2 \%$ and varied between $2 \%$ and $15 \%$ in the curves. The inside lane was essentially untrafficked, except for occasional passenger vehicles and for a safety lane for the truckers. This lane was used for estimating the repeatability of longitudinal profiles (tangents only) of the McCracken profilograph, ARRB profiler, and ARAN van, so that differences in the profiles due to traffic would be minimized.

The outside lane was used to evaluate the longitudinal profiles of each layer of pavement during reconstruction of the test track. The reconstruction of the North tangent was used to assess the repeatability of longitudinal profiles obtained with the McCracken profilograph and ARRB on subgrade and granular base course surfaces. These profiles in conjunction with the inside lane tangent were used to determine the ability of the ARRB profiler to accurately reproduce a profile over extended lengths (approximately 600 meters) and a range of surface types.

The McCracken profilograph traces were analyzed using the ProScan device to achieve the profile index (PI) with a 0.2 in blanking band. The ProScan device is an automated profilogram reduction system which is used by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and ALDOT to reduce profilograph traces. The ARAN van was used to produce IRI of the inside lane at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.

The McCracken profilograph was physically pushed around the track three times in order to receive three sample profiles for the inside lane on the North tangent and the South tangent. Samples of the subgrade, granular base course, HMA layer 2, HMA layer 1, and wearing course were profiled during reconstruction of the outside lane on the North tangent. Figure 3-1 shows the typical section of the reconstruction of the outside lane on the North tangent. Table 3-1 summarizes the number of samples tested for each layer using the McCracken profilograph. Logistics was a factor in determining the amount of samples taken for each layer. Each layer was profiled as much as logistically possible; however, some samples were interrupted by the paving train. Each layer profiled with the McCracken profilograph produced a profile trace which was reduced by the ProScan device which will be discussed later.

The process for the ARRB walking profiler was similar to the process for the McCracken profilograph. Table 3-1 summarizes the number of samples tested for each layer using the ARRB walking profiler.

The ARAN van was used to profile the inside lanes of the North and South tangents at speeds of $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. Three samples were obtained for each tangent at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. Table 3-1 summarizes the number of samples tested for each layer using the ARAN van.


Figure 3-1. Typical Section of Reconstructed Lane on North Tangent.

Table 3-1. Number of Replicate Measurements with Each Device on Each Layer.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { North Tangent Reconstructed Lane }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { North } \\ \text { Tangent } \\ \text { Inside } \\ \text { Lane }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}South <br>

Tangent <br>
Inside <br>
Lane\end{array}\right]\)

## DATA COLLECTION

Data collection for this research involved measuring longitudinal profiles with the McCracken model California profilograph, ARRB walking profiler, and the ARAN van. The inside (untrafficked) lane was measured using all three of the measuring devices mentioned above. A homemade guide was placed on the McCracken model California profilograph and the ARRB walking profiler to line up with a reference point in order to approximately measure the same tract with each device (Figures 3-2, 3-3). The test path
chosen was in between the inside wheel path and outside wheel path due to destructive testing in each wheel path of the existing pavement. Three replicates of the inside (untrafficked) lane were measured with the McCracken profilograph and ARRB profiler. There were six replicates measured with the ARAN van. Three replicates were measured at a speed of $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, and three replicates were measured at a speed of $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 3-2. McCracken Model Profilograph with guide.


Figure 3-3. ARRB Walking Profiler with guide.

## McCracken California Style Profilograph Data

The McCracken Profilograph was used to obtain the Profile Index for the North tangent and South tangent of the NCAT test track. Also, during the reconstruction of the North tangent outside lane, measurements were taken with the McCracken profilograph to obtain the Profile Index. The pavement layers profiled include the following:

1. Subgrade,
2. Granular base layer,
3. HMA base layers, and
4. HMA wearing course.

Only the inside wheel path was profiled for each layer of reconstruction. Due to reconstruction logistics some layer test section lengths were shorter than others, and each layer could not be profiled three times for three complete samples.

The ProScan device was used to reduce the profile traces produced by the McCracken profilograph. This device digitizes the profile trace in order to perform a least-square error analysis to determine the best fit line and locates the deviations from this line. The deviations are summed and divided by the length of pavement being evaluated resulting in the Profile Index in in/mile. The ProScan device is capable of reducing a profile trace quickly, and is able to produce roughness indices for 0.0 in blanking band, 0.1 in blanking band, and 0.2 in blanking band. The ProScan device used for this research was on loan from the FHWA, but due to the limited availability only the profile index using a 0.2 in blanking band was reported. The layers profiled which are defined as follows:

North Tangent: Untrafficked lane on the North tangent.
South Tangent: Untrafficked lane on the South Tangent.
Wearing: Wearing course on the reconstructed lane of the North tangent.
HMA Base Layer 1: Base course on the reconstructed lane of the North tangent.
HMA Base Layer 2: Base course on the reconstructed lane of the North tangent.
Granular Base: Granular base course on the reconstructed lane of the North tangent.

Subgrade: Subgrade on the reconstructed lane of the North tangent.
Figure 3-1 shows the typical section of the reconstructed lane on the North tangent. This figure also indicates how the layers are labeled.

## ARRB Walking Profiler Data

The ARRB Walking Profiler was used to obtain the PI and IRI for the untrafficked lanes of the North tangent and South tangent of the NCAT test track. Also, during the reconstruction of the North tangent outside lane, measurements were taken with the ARRB to obtain the PI and IRI. The pavement layers profiled during reconstruction include the following:

1. Subgrade,
2. Granular base layer,
3. HMA base layer 2,
4. HMA base layer 1, and
5. Wearing.

The inside wheel path was profiled for each layer of reconstruction using the ARRB. Due to reconstruction logistics some layer test section lengths were shorter than others, and some layers could not be profiled three times for three complete samples.

The information collected with the ARRB profiler consists of profile height and distance every 9.5 inches. The raw data collected with ARRB walking profiler are listed in Appendix B. Due to the large amount of surface profiled and the extensive number of measurements (i.e., one every 9.5 inches), the first 9.5 feet of the raw data is shown in the tables of Appendix B as an example of the data collected. The ARRB data was processed with the Profile Viewing and Analysis 2.5 (ProVal 2.5) software to produce IRI values using 25 ft ., 52.8 ft ., and 528 ft . intervals and PI values using $0.0,0.1$, and 0.2 blanking bands (12). The ProVal 2.5 software simulates a California profilograph when processing

PI values and reports PI of each 0.1 mile ( 528 ft ) segment. The processed IRI and PI data are listed in Appendix C.

## ARAN Van Data

The ARAN van was used to obtain the IRI for the untrafficked lanes of the North tangent and South tangent of the NCAT test track. Six samples of the North and South tangent were profiled. Three samples were profiled at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and three samples were profiled at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The ARAN View software provides an engineering research development (ERD) file which lists profile height and distance of the longitudinal profiles. The profile height and distance is then used to create a profile trace. The tables in Appendix D list the profile height and distance for the longitudinal profiles created with the ARAN van. Due to the large amount of surface profiled and the minute measuring increments only the first 3.7114 feet of the raw data is listed in the tables of Appendix D. The ProVal 2.5 software uses the ERD file to calculate ride statistics at intervals such as IRI using a base length of 52.8 ft and 528 ft . The IRI data obtained with the ARAN van are shown in Appendix E. The base length of 25 ft was not calculated for the ARAN data due to errors in the ProVal 2.5 software. ProVal 2.5 is software that is updated as researchers and those in the industry use it. During the IRI calculations using ProVal 2.5 it was found that it could not calculate the IRI using a base length of 25 ft . The engineer that wrote the program for ProVal 2.5 was contacted about this problem and further investigation showed that there was a programming error with the latest version of ProVal 2.5. The error was corrected and the engineer explained that the error was directly
related to the base length of 25 ft , and the results using the base lengths of 52.8 ft and 528 ft are correct.

## CHAPTER IV: DATA ANALYSIS

## REPEATABILITY OF WALKING PROFILERS

## McCracken California Style Profilograph

Table 4-1 shows the Profile Index (PI) for each of the replicate profiles. In order to establish estimates of repeatability for the McCracken Profilograph, the average PI and standard deviation for each set of replicate values for each of the sections tested were calculated for the 0.2 inch blanking band. Multiple segments were treated as additional replicates for a given pavement layer. Table 4-2 lists the average PI and standard deviation for each of the inside (untrafficked) lanes and the reconstructed lanes (outside lane), North tangent.

Table 4-3 presents the statistics for each layer type. The average PI for the unbound layers is at least 10 times larger than either of the HMA base or surface layers. The PI of the HMA base layers is slightly lower than that of the HMA surface mix; the HMA base PI values are significantly less variable than those obtained for the HMA surface. These results suggest that the compaction effort used to obtain density in the surface layers may actually be increasing both the roughness and variability in roughness of the finished surface.

Table 4-1. Profile Index obtained with McCracken Profilograph

| 0.2 in. Blanking Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Layer Profiled | Segment | Profile Index, in/mile | Segment | Profile Index, in/mile | Segment | Profile Index, in/mile |
| North <br> Tangent | 1 | 6.1 | 1 | 5.4 | 1 | 6.2 |
|  | 2 | 9.8 | 2 | 10.2 | 2 | 9.1 |
|  | 3 | 3.5 | 3 | 5.3 | 3 | 3.3 |
|  | 4 | 6.6 | 4 | 7.2 | 4 | 6.7 |
|  | 5 | 18.0 | 5 | 25.2 | 5 | 22.6 |
| South <br> Tangent | 1 | 6.0 | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 6.2 |
|  | 2 | 10.4 | 2 | 12.5 | 2 | 12.1 |
|  | 3 | 6.2 | 3 | 4.6 | 3 | 3.0 |
|  | 4 | 9.0 | 4 | 9.2 | 4 | 7.3 |
|  | 5 | 2.7 | 5 | 3.5 | 5 | 5.2 |
| Wearing | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | N/A |
|  | 2 | 6.2 | 2 | 5.6 | 2 | N/A |
|  | 3 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | N/A |
|  | 1 | 3.6 | 1 | 4.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
|  | 2 | 4.2 | 2 | 3.9 | 2 | 4.0 |
|  | 1 | 4.1 | 1 | 5.5 | 1 | 3.6 |
| Granular Base | 1 | 46.9 | 1 | 53.5 | 1 | 38.2 |
|  | 2 | 46.1 | 2 | 46.3 | 2 | 46.5 |
|  | 3 | 24.0 | 3 | 40.4 | 3 | 14.9 |
|  | 4 | 48.9 | 4 | N/A | 4 | 102.5 |
| Subgrade | 1 | 39.7 | 1 | 63.7 | 1 | N/A |
|  | 2 | 61.4 | 2 | 62.3 | 2 | N/A |
|  | 3 | 74.5 | 3 | 61.7 | 3 | N/A |
|  | 4 | 70.6 | 4 | 160.0 | 4 | N/A |

*Segment $=0.1$ mile interval ( 528 ft )
N/A = not able to obtain measurement

Table 4-2. Analysis of Profile Index Obtained With McCracken Profilograph.

| Layer Profiled | PI Information, in/mile <br> (0.2 Blanking Band) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | Avg | Std Dev |
| Subgrade | 8 | 74.24 | 28.20 |
| Granular Base | 12 | 48.66 | 20.30 |
| HMA Surface North Tangent | 15 | 9.68 | 7.15 |
| HMA Surface South Tangent | 15 | 6.95 | 3.19 |
| HMA Surface New Wear | 6 | 2.73 | 2.77 |
| HMA Base 2 | 3 | 4.40 | 0.98 |
| HMA Base 1 | 6 | 3.95 | 0.12 |

Table 4-3. McCracken Profiler PI Statistics for each Layer Type.

| Layer Type |  | PI Repeatability, in/mile (0.2 Blanking Band) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation | Coefficient of <br> Variation |  |
| Unbound Layers | 61.45 | 588.06 | 24.25 | 39.47 |  |
| HMA Base Layers | 4.18 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 13.21 |  |
| HMA Surfaces | 6.45 | 19.10 | 4.37 | 67.72 |  |

## ARRB Walking Profiler

In order to establish preliminary estimates of repeatability for the ARRB walking profiler the average PI, average IRI, and the associated standard deviations for the test sections were calculated. Table 4-4 lists the average PI and standard deviation for the inside (untrafficked) lanes and the reconstructed outside North tangent lanes.

Figure 4-1 compares the average values for each of the three layer types. The average PI values for the ARRB decrease with increasing size of blanking band, as expected. The average PI values ( 0.2 blanking band) are similar for both devices. Table 4-5 lists the statistics for each layer type using the ARRB profiler, and Figure 4-2 compares the standard deviations for the different types of layers. On the unbound layers, the standard deviations obtained with the McCracken profilograph are approximately five
times greater than the standard deviations obtained with the ARRB. This is most likely a function of the ease of use of the different equipment on unpaved surfaces. That is, it is easier to maneuver the small ARRB unit compared to the 25 ft McCracken truss-like structure.

Table 4-4. Analysis of Profile Index Obtained With ARRB Profiler.

| Layer Profiled | Profile Index, in/mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0 in. Blanking Band |  |  | 0.1 in. Blanking Band |  |  | 0.2 in. Blanking Band |  |  |
|  | *n | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | *n | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | *n | Average | Standard <br> Deviation |
| North <br> Tangent | 15 | 30.57 | 4.84 | 15 | 17.95 | 4.91 | 15 | 9.71 | 4.85 |
| South <br> Tangent | 15 | 25.73 | 3.72 | 15 | 13.78 | 4.40 | 15 | 7.33 | 4.39 |
| Wearing | 3 | 19.98 | 1.88 | 3 | 8.09 | 1.48 | 3 | 2.38 | 1.08 |
| HMA <br> Base Layer 1 | 9 | 26.67 | 1.63 | 9 | 14.73 | 2.72 | 9 | 6.38 | 3.00 |
| HMA <br> Base <br> Layer 2 | 6 | 27.28 | 4.22 | 6 | 15.32 | 3.14 | 6 | 8.60 | 3.88 |
| Granular Base | 9 | 81.10 | 2.38 | 9 | 67.39 | 4.19 | 9 | 51.66 | 5.08 |
| Subgrade | 3 | 102.80 | 11.40 | 3 | 89.92 | 8.93 | 3 | 73.82 | 5.81 |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{n}=$ number of 0.1 mile intervals ( 528 ft )

Table 4-5. ARRB Profiler PI Statistics for each Layer Type.

| Blanking Band Size | Layer Type | PI Repeatability, in/mile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Standard <br> Deviation | Coefficient of <br> Variation |
|  |  | 25.43 | 12.11 | 3.48 | 13.68 |
|  | Base Layers | 26.98 | 8.58 | 2.93 | 10.86 |
|  | Unbound | 91.95 | 47.47 | 6.89 | 7.49 |
| 0.1 Blanking Band | HMA Surfaces | 13.27 | 12.96 | 3.60 | 27.13 |
|  | Base Layers | 15.03 | 8.58 | 2.93 | 19.49 |
|  | Unbound | 78.66 | 43.03 | 6.56 | 8.34 |
| 0.2 Blanking Band | HMA Surfaces | 6.47 | 11.83 | 3.44 | 53.17 |
|  | Base Layers | 7.49 | 11.83 | 3.44 | 45.93 |
|  | Unbound | 62.74 | 29.70 | 5.45 | 8.69 |



Figure 4-1. Average PI for Different Devices and Layer Types.


Figure 4-2. PI Standard Deviations for Different Devices and Layer Types.

Table 4-6 shows the average IRI and standard deviation for the inside
(untrafficked) lanes and the reconstructed lanes calculated from the same ARRB profile used for the PI calculations. The standard deviations of IRI are greater in the layers which are not paved with HMAC compared to the standard deviations of the layers which are paved with HMAC. The variability is expected to be higher for the underlying layers due to the difficulty of testing these layers versus the bound layers. The underlying layers are more difficult to test because they consist of loose material or are not compacted as well as a bound material such as HMAC.

Table 4-6. Analysis of IRI Obtained With ARRB Profiler.

| Layer Profiled | IRI, in/mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 ft Interval |  |  | 52.8 ft Interval |  |  | 528 ft Interval |  |  |
|  | * $\mathbf{n}$ | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | *n | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | * n | Average | Standard <br> Deviation |
| North <br> Tangent | 102 | 77.05 | 49.04 | 49 | 78.99 | 47.10 | 5 | 79.40 | 25.59 |
| South Tangent | 101 | 69.70 | 40.85 | 49 | 82.76 | 94.76 | 5 | 78.94 | 18.99 |
| Wearing | 16 | 62.52 | 38.26 | 8 | 66.69 | 38.24 | 1 | 62.10 | 1.45 |
| HMA <br> Base <br> Layer 1 | 63 | 84.81 | 101.96 | 30 | 80.59 | 50.44 | 3 | 73.21 | 5.59 |
| HMA <br> Base <br> Layer 2 | 32 | 88.51 | 72.65 | 15 | 76.84 | 30.17 | 2 | 89.58 | 24.02 |
| Granular Base | 54 | 206.57 | 200.25 | 27 | 246.32 | 277.01 | 3 | 616.13 | 625.88 |
| Subgrade | 63 | 288.31 | 302.12 | 30 | 279.74 | 192.84 | 3 | 276.27 | 15.51 |

*n = number of intervals

The large IRI value and standard deviation for the longer 528 foot interval was unexpected. Longer intervals are expected to provide a smoother estimate of ride quality. In order to further investigate the repeatability of the ARRB profile traces, the inside (untrafficked) lane in the tangents were plotted and statistically analyzed. Each tangent
consists of thirteen 200-foot test sections for a total longitudinal profile length of approximately 2600 feet. Longitudinal profiles were not collected for the curves since the inclinometer-based units have a limitation of $5^{\circ}$ or less for sideways tilt of the unit. Figure $4-3$ shows the three profiles obtained for the North tangent. There is very close agreement between the profiles at the start of the testing. However, as the distance increases, the profiles show progressively more difference. In order to explore the significance of this difference, the variance between the three profiles for each of the 13 test sections in both tangents was calculated.

Figure 4-4 shows these calculated variances for each test section in the two tangents. There is a trend of increasing variance between the three profiles with increasing distance for the North tangent from 200 ft to 1000 ft and from 1400 ft to 2600 ft , and much less of a tendency for the variance to increase for the South tangent profiles. One possible reason for this difference is that the outside lane of the North tangent was under construction at the time the testing was conducted. The right lane had already been removed down to the subgrade, leaving a steep, uneven edge along the centerline. Since the centerline was used as the profile reference, it is possible that it was more difficult to accurately track this portion of the pavement. The South tangent, which has a much more consistent variance over the length of the tangent, was not under construction. There is, however a slight tendency for the variance to increase with increasing length of the profile. For the North tangent data the statistics indicate that a standard deviation of 0.92 inches is reasonable for profile measurements obtained over 200 foot longitudinal distances. Also, for the South tangent and granular base data the statistics indicate a
reasonable standard deviation of 0.41 inches and 0.67 , respectively. The increasing variability with increasing distance agrees with the findings presented by Fernando (13).

Figure 4-5 shows that the average variance also increases with distance for the granular base, HMA Layer 1, and HMA Layer 2. The variance of the wearing layer was inconclusive due to the length of the sample which was approximately 400 feet. The general magnitude of the variance on the granular base is similar to that for the North tangent profiles. While it was anticipated that the base profiles would be more variable, it was actually easier to track the same profile on the granular base since the ARRB unit left small foot prints in the surface which acted as a marked line for the replicate measurements.


Figure 4-3. ARRB Profile Traces of Inside Lane North Tangent.


Figure 4-4. Variance in ARRB Profile Traces of Inside Lanes.


Figure 4-5. Variance in ARRB Profile Traces of Reconstructed Lane.

## REPEATABILITY OF INERTIAL PROFILER


#### Abstract

ARAN Van

In order to estimate repeatability for the ARAN van, the average IRI and standard deviation were determined for the HMA surface courses used in the previous analyses. Table 4-7 lists the average IRI and standard deviation for the inside (untrafficked) lanes at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, and Table 4-8 lists the average IRI and standard deviation at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The standard deviations of the inside (untrafficked) lanes using two different speeds are similar, while the average IRI values are slightly higher using the speed of $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The standard deviations are approximately two to three times greater when using the shorter interval of 52.8 ft . This is as expected because the IRI is averaged over a shorter distance (2).

Table 4-7. Analysis of IRI Obtained With ARAN Van at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. | Layer <br> Profiled | IRI, in/mile |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{5 2 . 8} \mathbf{f t}$ Interval |  | $\mathbf{5 2 8} \mathbf{f t}$ Interval |  |  |  |
|  | $* \mathbf{n}$ | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | $\boldsymbol{* n}_{\mathbf{n}}$ | Average | Standard <br> Deviation |
| North <br> Tangent | 50 | 70.25 | 33.65 | 5 | 70.24 | 19.14 |
| South <br> Tangent | 50 | 61.67 | 36.23 | 5 | 61.57 | 11.79 |


*n=number of intervals

Table 4-8. Analysis of IRI Obtained With ARAN Van at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.

| Layer <br> Profiled | IRI, in/mile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{5 2 . 8} \mathbf{f t}$ Interval |  |  | $\mathbf{5 2 8} \mathbf{f t}$ Interval |  |  |  |
|  | $*_{\mathbf{n}}$ | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | $*_{\mathbf{n}}$ | Average | Standard <br> Deviation |  |
| North <br> Tangent | 50 | 79.24 | 24.47 | 5 | 79.55 | 19.59 |  |
| South <br> Tangent | 50 | 73.74 | 36.96 | 5 | 73.73 | 14.92 |  |

*n=number of intervals

The ARAN van profile traces for the inside (untrafficked) lane in the tangents were plotted and statistically analyzed. Longitudinal profiles were not collected for the reconstructed lanes because the ARAN van is not made for measuring granular base or subgrade. The ARAN could not be used on the HMA base layers of the reconstructed lane due to logistics of the paving schedule. Figures 4-6 and 4-7 show the three profiles obtained for the North tangent at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and South tangent at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively. Figures 4-8 and 4-9 show the three profiles obtained for the North tangent at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and South tangent at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively. There is very close agreement between the profiles measured at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, but the profiles measured at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ are visibly more variable. One reason for this difference is the horizontal shift of the starting point for the $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ test. In order to obtain repeatable ride quality statistics, the longitudinal profiles need to be shifted horizontally until the starting points and the large scale profile features of each longitudinal profile match. Programs such as ProVal now have an option for cross correlation which will automatically horizontally shift the profiles until the best match of large scale profile features is obtained. Other possible reasons for the difference in profile height are the difficulty to track the same path at slow speeds and susceptibility to wind influence (2).

In order to explore the significance of this difference, the variance between three profiles for each of the 13 test sections in both tangents was calculated for each speed. Figure 4-10 shows the calculated variances for the North tangent and South tangent at 45 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. Figure 4-11 shows the calculated variances for the North tangent and South tangent at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. These figures show that the calculated variances for the inside lanes recorded at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ are much higher than those recorded at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. For the North
tangent data recorded at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ the statistics indicate that a standard deviation of 0.11 inches is reasonable for profile measurements obtained over 200 foot longitudinal distances. The statistics indicate that a standard deviation of 0.08 inches is reasonable for the South tangent data recorded at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. For the North tangent data recorded at 15 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ the statistics indicate that a standard deviation of 0.84 inches is reasonable for profile measurements obtained over 200 foot longitudinal distances. The statistics indicate that a standard deviation of 1.12 inches is reasonable for the South tangent data recorded at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The differences in variability for $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ are important because the results of a $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ test would influence pay adjustments if specifications were developed for $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the tests were run at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The device can be used at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, but the user should expect the ride quality statistics to be more variable. The $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ test speed is good for process control but not for determining pay adjustments.


Figure 4-6. ARAN North Tangent Profile Trace at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 4-7. ARAN South Tangent Profile Trace at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 4-8. ARAN North Tangent Profile Trace at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 4-9. ARAN South Tangent Profile Trace at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 4-10. Variance in ARAN Profile Traces of Inside Lanes at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 4-11. Variance in ARAN Profile Traces of Inside Lanes at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$.

## ARRB AND ARAN PROFILE TRACE COMPARISON

The profile traces obtained with the ARRB and the ARAN were plotted for each device on the North tangent inside (untrafficked) lane and South tangent inside (untrafficked) lane. Figure 4-12 shows the complete North tangent obtained with the ARRB and ARAN devices. The full profile of the South tangent is shown in Figure 4-13. These two figures highlight one of the problems with trying to replicate profiles, especially with different devices. Note on Figure 4-12 the two sets of arrows indicating the points in each profile that have the same shape characteristics. This shows that there is a slight horizontal offset between the two profiles. Figure 4-13 shows that the offset is considerably more for the South tangent. Figures 4-12 and 4-13 show that the vertical elevations are different between the ARRB and ARAN. This is because the ARAN and ARRB do not use the same reference elevation. The ARAN uses a moving inertial reference therefore it does not obtain rod and level survey information. The ARRB evaluates elevation changes and uses its starting point as a reference elevation.

The average IRI statistic was calculated for each of the profile traces. Figure 4-14 shows the calculated average IRI obtained with the ARRB using an interval of 52.8 feet for the North tangent on the x -axis and the calculated average IRI obtained with the ARAN (at a speed of $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) using an interval of 52.8 feet for the North tangent on the y-axis. Figures 4-15 through 4-17 show the same comparison for the North tangent at 15 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, the South tangent at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, and the South tangent at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$, respectively. The large horizontal offset in the $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ profiles, particularly in the South tangent (Figure 413), is seen in the IRI data as a very poor correlation between the two devices. The
profiles at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the ARRB matched much better, hence the better correlation between the devices.


Figure 4-12. ARRB and ARAN ( $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) Average Profile Trace of North Tangent.


Figure 4-13. ARRB and ARAN ( $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) Average Profile Trace of South Tangent.


Figure 4-14. North Tangent ARRB vs. ARAN ( $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) Average IRI.


Figure 4-15. North Tangent ARRB vs. ARAN (15 mi/hr) Average IRI.


Figure 4-16. South Tangent ARRB vs. ARAN ( $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) Average IRI.


Figure 4-17. South Tangent ARRB vs. ARAN ( $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) Average IRI.

## EVALUATION OF SMOOTHNESS ON RECONSTRUCTED LANES

The average profile height and distance was plotted to get the profile trace on the reconstructed lanes through the placement of structural layers using the data from the ARRB device. Figure 4-18 shows the entire North tangent profiles for the subgrade and the granular base layer. The "bumps" occur at the same longitudinal position, but the heights of the vertical displacements were reduced by the placement of the base on top of the subgrade. The large drop in the vertical distance in the base layer is due to the reduction in the base thickness for the structural design study.

Figure 4-19 shows the typical reduction in vertical displacements due to the placement of subsequent layers for the first 400 ft of the North tangent, which is just after
the change in base thickness. The incremental decrease in vertical displacement, which indicates an incremental increase in smoothness with each additional layer, can be seen in the peaks at about 175 and 225 ft .

Table 4-9 shows the PI calculated for the reconstructed lanes using the McCracken profilograph and the PI and IRI for the reconstructed lanes using the ARRB profiler. The table shows that the pavement does become smoother in terms of PI and IRI using both devices. The wearing is $96 \%$ smoother than the subgrade when calculated using the PI obtained with the McCracken Profilograph with a 0.2 inch blanking band. The wearing is $97 \%$ smoother than the subgrade when calculated using the PI obtained with the ARRB with a 0.2 inch blanking band. The wearing is $76 \%$ smoother than the subgrade when calculated using the IRI obtained with the ARRB with a 52.8 foot interval.
Table 4-9. Smoothness Indices for the Reconstructed Lanes



Figure 4-18. Average Profile Height vs. Distance for Unbound Layers.


Figure 4-19. Average Profile Height vs. Distance for Reconstructed Layers (400 ft).

## CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the completion of the field work at the AU NCAT test track and a complete review of data collected the following conclusions and recommendations were determined.

## GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

1. The analysis of the PI obtained with the McCracken Profilograph suggests that the McCracken is more repeatable on paved surfaces such as HMAC versus surfaces which are not paved such as a granular base or subgrade. However, if the improvement of profile with subsequent layers is needed (from the subgrade up), this style of profile can be used to obtain reasonable information. This profiler is not useful on surfaces with horizontal curves due to the difficulty in rolling the device in a straight line.
2. The analysis of the PI ride quality statistic calculated from the profile obtained with the ARRB Walking Profiler suggests that the ARRB is more repeatable on paved surfaces such as HMAC versus surfaces which are not paved such as a granular base or subgrade. This device would also be acceptable for determining the relative improvement of each layer on the smoothness of the next layer. The
main limiting consideration for this device is that over longer horizontal distances, there is progressively more difference between replicate profiles. This device would be useful for profiling shorter distances associated with obtaining profiles during milling operations where the profiler is positioned between the miller and the paver, and the profiling operations can be intermittently stopped and started.
3. The profile obtained with the ARRB Walking Profiler can also be used to calculate the IRI ride quality statistic. Again, the data indicate that the ARRB is more repeatable when used to profile shorter distances.
4. Because of the impact of increasing longitudinal distance on the repeatability of replicate profiles obtained with the ARRB walking profiler, the variability in the ride quality statistics increases as the distance increases.
5. An additional evaluation of the ARAN van for use during construction processes for acceptance testing was added to the study. In order to use an inertial profiler within a traffic-controlled construction work zone, the van needs to be operated at slower speeds, such as $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The standard deviations of the IRI obtained with the ARAN Van inside (untrafficked) lanes using speeds of $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ (standard speed) and $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ (construction speeds) are similar. Shortening the interval over which the ride quality statistics are calculated influences the standard deviations of the IRI obtained at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The average IRI values obtained at $15 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ are slightly higher than average IRI values obtained at $45 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$. The variability approximately doubles from $14.92 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ to $36.96 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}$ when the sample interval is decreased from 528 ft to 52.8 ft .
6. The ability to obtain repeatable, well correlated ride quality statistics depends on the ability to match the starting point for the horizontal distance. If a poor correlation is obtained between either replicate testing with a given device, or between different devices, the raw profiles should be examined to make sure that the "bump" characteristics are shifted horizontally until the characteristics occur at the same distance. It is important to match large scale profile features before analyzing replicate profiles. This can be done by manually identifying the starting point on each profile or by using newer profiling analysis software packages that include an option for cross correlation.
7. Bumps recorded in the profile trace of subgrade were reflected in the profile trace of the lifts following the subgrade such as the granular base layers and HMAC layers. However, through the placement of the layers following the subgrade, the bumps became less severe.
8. In terms of PI and IRI using both the McCracken profilograph and the ARRB profiler the reconstructed lane does become smoother, or less rough, through the placement of granular base, HMAC base courses, and HMAC wearing course.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. In the event that a specification is to be written on percent improvement from unbound base layers to HMAC surfaces, it is important to note that the McCracken Profilograph and ARRB walking profiler are less repeatable on unbound layers.
2. The initial starting point of each replicate data set should be confirmed by evaluating the raw data profile vertical displacement characteristics.
3. Since bumps in the subgrade will be carried through to the wearing course, every effort should be made to ensure the smoothest possible subgrade.
4. If the ARRB device is to be used for collecting longitudinal profiles, the length of the profile section should be kept to a minimum due to the increasing variability with distance. Incremental profiles can be stitched together at the outset of the ride quality analysis.
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## APPENDIX A

Table A-1. Smoothness Specifications for the United States


| ALASKA |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paverment Type | Equipment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acceptance } \\ \text { Limits } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Section <br> Length | Time of Testing | Profle Inder, mom/km per 1.0 km section | Profle Index, in/mile per 0.1 mile section | Adjustment |
| Flexible | $\begin{gathered} 16 \text { foor Straight } \\ \text { Edge } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3/16" deviation | - | same day | -... | -.- | -- |

ALASKA
No rigid info












| IOWA |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance Limits | Section <br> Length | Time of Testing | Profile Index, mm/kn per 160 m section | Profile Index, in /mille per 0.1 mille section | Adjustment, dollars per segment |
| Flexible \& Rigid | Californua-stvle profilograph | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{PI}=110 \\ & \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{km} \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 mile | same day | 0-16 | 0-1.0 | \$650 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16.1-32 | 1.1-2.0 | \$550 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 32.1-48 | 2.1-3.0 | \$450 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 48.1-110 | 3.1-7.0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110-160 | 7.1-10.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cortective Work or - } \\ \$ 300 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 160.1 \& over | 10.1 \& over | Corrective Work Required |




| LOUISANA |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance | Section Length | Time of Testing | Proflle Index， mm／km per lot | Profile Index， indmile per lot | Adjustment， <br> Percent or Contract Unit Price／lot |
| Flexible | Califorma－ssyle proillograph | Average $\mathrm{PI}=$ <br> 3.0 in mile $(47 \mathrm{mmkm})$ | length based on 500 tons placed in continuos operatio | Nest Day | 01047 | 0.0103 .0 | 100\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 481063 | 3.1104 .0 | 95\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 641095 | 4.11060 | 80\％ |


|  |  | （2） | 㜢 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ade } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| （\％ | ： |  |  |
|  | 鮈 |  |  |
| 先器 | ！ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 或 |  |  |


| MAINE |  |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance Limits | Section Length | Time of Testing | International Roughness Index, IRI, $\mathbf{m} / \mathrm{km}$ | International Roughness Index IRI, in/mile | Pay Factor |
| Flexible \& Rigid | Inerual Profiler | IRI $0.947 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km}$ | 1000 meters | same day | 0.552 | 35 | 1.05 |
| *For IRI values between those bisted in the table. the pay factor shall be delermined by the following fonnula (based on units of inimile): <br> Pay Factor $=1.05 \cdot\left(4.2164066 \mathrm{E}-13^{-1} \mathrm{IRI}^{\wedge} 6.2279\right)$ |  |  |  |  | 0.947 | 60 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 126 | 80 | 0.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | >1.26 | $>80$ | Corrective Work Requured |



| MASSACHUSETTS |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | $\qquad$ | Section <br> Length | Time of Testing | International Roughness Index, IRL, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km}$ | International Roughness Index, IRI, in/mile | Pay Factor |
| Flexible | Inertial Profiler | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI }-1500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km} \\ (95 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \mathrm{~km}(0.12 \\ \text { mile }) \end{gathered}$ | same day | --- | ... | --- |




MISSOURI

| MISSOURI |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance Limits | Section <br> Length | Time of Testing | Profile Index, mm/km per 1.0 lom section | Profle Index, In/mile per 0.5 mile section | Adjustment, \% <br> of Contract Price |
| Flexible | Califomia-style profilograph | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PI}=25 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile} \\ (395 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{km}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { mile ( } \\ \mathrm{km}) \end{gathered}$ | same day | 158 or less | 10.0 or less | 107 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 159-237 | 10.1-150 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 238-284 | 15.1-18.0 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 285-395 | 181-25.0 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 396-553 | 25.1-350 | 97 with cortection |
|  |  |  |  |  | 555-711 | 35.1450 | 95 with correction |
|  |  |  |  |  | 712 or greater | 45.1 or greater | 93 with correction |


| MISSOURI |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance Lamits | Section <br> Length | Time of Testing | Profile Index, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{mm}$ per 1.0 km section | Profile Index, m /mille per 0.5 mile section | Adjustment, \% of Contract Price |
| Rigid | California-style profilograph | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PI}=25 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mile} \\ (395 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{km}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { mile }(1 \\ \mathrm{km}) \end{gathered}$ | same day | 158 or less | 10.0 or less | 107 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 159-237 | 10.1-15.0 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 238-284 | 15.1-18.0 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 285-395 | 18.1-25.0 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 396-553 | 25.1-35.0 | 97 with correation |
|  |  |  |  |  | 555-711 | 35.1-45.0 | 95 with cortection |
|  |  |  |  |  | 712 or greater | 45.1 or greater | 93 with correction |



- Class I PTojects
Target IRI Values - 46 to 65 inches per mile ( 0.72 to 1.03 meters per kilometer)
Projects with three or more opportunities for improving the ride.
Projects with a pre-paving IRI $<140$ iv/mi ( $2.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km}$ ); with two opportunitics for
Projects with a pre-paving IRI $<140 \mathrm{iv} / \mathrm{mi}(2.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km})$; with two opportunities for improving the ride.
Single ift overiays with a pre-paving IRI value $<90 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mi}(1.42 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km})$.
"Class IV Projects:
Target IRI Values - 55 to 75 inches per mile ( 0.88101 .19 meters per kilometer)
Projects with a pre-paving $1 \mathrm{R} \mathrm{l}=>140 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mi}(2.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km})$; with two opportunitics
Projects with a single opportunity for improvement with a pre-paving IRI value of $>90$ in/mi ( $1.42 \pi / \mathrm{km}$ ) and $<1.40 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mi}(2.21 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km})$.
*Class_Ju_Brojects:
Target IRI Values
Target IRI Values - 56 to 80 inches per mile ( 0.88 to 1.26 meters per kilometer)
Projects with a single opportunity for mprovement with a pre-paving IRI value of
Target IRI Values - 61 to 90 inches per mile ( 0.95 to 1.42 meters per kilometer)
PTojects with a single opportunity for improvement with a pre-paving IRI value of $\geqslant 190 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{mi}(3.00 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{km})$,

| MONTANA |  |  |  |  | Price Adjustments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance Limits | Section <br> Length | Time of Testing | Profile Index, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km}$ | Profile Index, in/mile | Adjustment |
| Rigid | Califormia-style protilograph | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PI}=158 \\ \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{km}(10 \\ \text { in } / \mathrm{mile}) \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 mile | $\ldots$ | $<=94$ | $<=6$ | Bonus |










| OKILAHOMA |  |  |  |  | Class I Price Adjustments* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Type | Equipment | Acceptance Limits | Section Length | Time of Testing | Profile Index, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{km}$ | Profile Index, in/mile | Pay Factor |
| Rigid | California-style profilograph or Lightweight Inertial Profiler | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PI}=110 \\ \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{km}(7.0 \\ \text { in/mile }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 528 \text { feet } \\ & (161 \\ & \text { meters) } \end{aligned}$ | same day | $<47$ | $<3$ | 1.03 |
| $47-62$ $3.0-3.9$ 1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 63-77 | 4.0-4.9 | 1.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 78-110 | 5.0-7.0 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 111-134 | $7.1-8.5$ | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 135-158 | 8.6-10.0 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 159-189 | 10.1-12.0 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 190-221 | 12.1-14.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 222-252 | 14.1-16.0 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 252$ | $>160$ | Conective Work Required |
|  |  |  |  |  | Class I Price Adjustments* |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Profile Index, mm/n | Proflie Index, in/mile | Pay Factor |
|  |  |  |  |  | $<78$ | $<5.0$ | 1.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 78-94 | 5.0-5.9 | 1.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 95-109 | 6.0-6.9 | 1.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110-142 | 7.0-9.0 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 143-166 | 9.1-10.5 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 167-189 | 10.6-12.0 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 190-221 | 12.1-140 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 222-252 | 14.1-16.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 253-284 | 16.1-18.0 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $>284$ | 218.0 | Corrective Work Required |
|  |  |  |  |  | Class MII Price Adjustments* |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Proflite Index, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{m}$ | Profile Index, in/mile | Pay Factor |
|  |  |  |  |  | <110 | $<7.0$ | 1.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 110-125 | 7.0-7.9 | 1.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 126-140 | 8.0-8.9 | 1.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 141-174 | 9.0-11.0 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 175-197 | 11.1-12.5 | 099 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 198-221 | 12.6-14.0 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 222-252 | 14.1-16.0 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 253-284 | 16.1-18.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 285-316 | 18.1-20.0 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $>316$ | $>20.0$ | Corrective Work Requied |
| *CLASS J roads are rural in nature and'or have few, if any, intersecting roads, drainage infets, or other features which significantly increase the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- CLASS II and CLASS It roads are urban in nature andior do have these features which significantly increase the difficulty.









Table A-2. Summary of Flexible Pavement Smoothness Specifications in the U.S.

| State | Testing Device | Specs Found | Incentives Found | Disincentives Found |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Califonia Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Alaska | Straightedge | no | no | no |
| Arizona | General Motors Protiloneter | yes | yes | yes |
| Arkansas | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| California | California Profilograph | yos | no | no |
| Colorado | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Connecticut | ARAN Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Delaware | --- | no | no | no |
| Florida | Laser Profiler | yes | yes | no |
| Georgia | Laser Profiler | yes | no | no |
| Hawaii | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Idaho | Califomia Protilograph | yes | no | no |
| Illinois | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Indiana | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Iowa | Califonia Profilograph | yes | yes | no |
| Kansas | Califomia Profilograph | yes | yes | no |
| Kentucky | Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Louisiana | California Profilograph | yes | no | yes |
| Maine | Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Maryland | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Massachusetts | Inertial Profiler | yes | no | no |
| Michigan | Califomia profilograph or General Motors Profilometer | yes | yes | no |
| Minnesota | Califomia profilograph or Lightweight Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Mississippi | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Missouri | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Montana | Laser Protiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Nebraska | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Nevada | California Profilograph | yos | no | no |
| New Hampshire | General Motors Inertial Profiler | no | no | no |
| New Jersey | Rolling Straightedge | no | no | no |
| New Mexico | Califomia Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| New York | --- | no | no | no |
| North Carolina | Hearne Straightedge | yes | yes | yes |
| North Dakota | --- | no | no | no |
| Ohio | Califonia Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Oklahoma | California profilograph or L.ightweight Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Oregon | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Pennsylvania | Lightweight Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | no |
| Rhode Island | --- | no | no | 110 |
| South Carolina | Mays Ride Meter | yes | yes | yes |
| South Dakota | Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Tennessee | Mays Ride Meter | yes | yes | yes |
| Texas | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Utah | California Profilograph | yes | 110 | no |
| Vermont | Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Virginia | South Dakota Type Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Washington | Lightweight Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| West Virginia | Mays Ride Meter | yes | no | yes |
| Wisconsin | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Wyoming | Incrtial Profiler | yes | no | no |

Table A-3. Summary of Rigid Pavement Smoothness Specifications in the U.S.

| State | Testing Device | Specs Found | Incentives Found | Disincentives Found |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Alaska | --- | no | no | no |
| Arizona | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Arkansas | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| California | California Profilograph | yes | no | no |
| Colorado | Califomia Protilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Commecticut | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Delaware | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Florida | California Protilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Georgia | California Profilograph | yes | no | no |
| Hawaii | California Profilograph | yes | no | yes |
| Idaho | California Protilograph | yes | 110 | 10 |
| Illinois | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Indiana | California Profilograph | yes | no | yes |
| Iowa | California Profilograph | yes | yes | no |
| Kansas | Califomia Profilograph | yes | yes | no |
| Kentucky | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| L.ouisiana | California Profilograph | yes | no | yes |
| Maine | Inertial Protiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Maryland | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Massachusetts | --- | no | no | no |
| Michigan | California profilograph or General Motors Profilometer | yes | yes | no |
| Minnesota | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Mississippi | California Profilograph | yes | no | yes |
| Missouri | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Montana | Calitomia Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Nebraska | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Nevada | California Profilograph | yes | no | no |
| New Hampshire | ---- | no | no | no |
| New Jersey | Rolling Straightedge | no | no | no |
| New Mexico | California Protilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| New York | Califomia Protilograph | yes | yes | no |
| North Carolina | California Protilograph | yes | no | no |
| North Dakota | California Protilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Ohio | Califonia Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Oklahoma | California profilograph or Lightweight Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Oregon | Califomia Profilograph | yes | yes | no |
| Pennsylvania | Lightweight Inertial Profiler | yes | yes | no |
| Rhode Island | ---- | no | no | no |
| South Carolina | Mays Ride Meter | yes | no | no |
| South Dakota | Califonia Protilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Tennessee | Califomia Profilograph | yes | no | yes |
| Texas | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Utah | California Profilograph | yes | no | no |
| Vermont | --- | no | no | no |
| Virginia | South Dakota Type Protiler | yes | yes | yes |
| Washington | California Protilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| West Virginia | Mays Ride Meter | yes | no | yes |
| Wisconsin | California Profilograph | yes | yes | yes |
| Wyoming | California Profilograph | yes | yes | 110 |

## APPENDIX B

Table B-1. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| North Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Sample |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |
| 0.7917 | -0.0413 | 0.7917 | -0.0764 | 0.7917 | -0.0572 |
| 1.5833 | -0.0711 | 1.5833 | -0.0935 | 1.5833 | -0.0991 |
| 2.3750 | -0.1352 | 2.3750 | -0.1735 | 2.3750 | -0.1689 |
| 3.1667 | -0.1907 | 3.1667 | -0.2439 | 3.1667 | -0.2183 |
| 3.9583 | -0.1961 | 3.9583 | -0.2662 | 3.9583 | -0.2383 |
| 4.7500 | -0.1951 | 4.7500 | -0.2729 | 4.7500 | -0.2443 |
| 5.5417 | -0.2019 | 5.5417 | -0.2922 | 5.5417 | -0.2596 |
| 6.3333 | -0.2322 | 6.3333 | -0.3302 | 6.3333 | -0.2935 |
| 7.1250 | -0.2750 | 7.1250 | -0.3792 | 7.1250 | -0.3393 |
| 7.9167 | -0.3215 | 7.9167 | -0.4318 | 7.9167 | -0.3882 |
| 8.7083 | -0.3572 | 8.7083 | -0.4692 | 8.7083 | -0.4290 |
| 9.5000 | -0.3781 | 9.5000 | -0.4962 | 9.5000 | -0.4526 |

Table B-2. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| South Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{~ S a m p l e ~}$ |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |
| 0.7917 | -0.1074 | 0.7917 | -0.0878 | 0.7917 | -0.0941 |
| 1.5833 | -0.2057 | 1.5833 | -0.0297 | 1.5833 | -0.1165 |
| 2.3750 | -0.1319 | 2.3750 | -0.0317 | 2.3750 | -0.1054 |
| 3.1667 | -0.1357 | 3.1667 | -0.0703 | 3.1667 | -0.1260 |
| 3.9583 | -0.1820 | 3.9583 | -0.1148 | 3.9583 | -0.1468 |
| 4.7500 | -0.2313 | 4.7500 | -0.1095 | 4.7500 | -0.1999 |
| 5.5417 | -0.1467 | 5.5417 | -0.1390 | 5.5417 | -0.2019 |
| 6.3333 | -0.1714 | 6.3333 | -0.1657 | 6.3333 | -0.2329 |
| 7.1250 | -0.2083 | 7.1250 | -0.1996 | 7.1250 | -0.2671 |
| 7.9167 | -0.2432 | 7.9167 | -0.2357 | 7.9167 | -0.3041 |
| 8.7083 | -0.2746 | 8.7083 | -0.2676 | 8.7083 | -0.3408 |
| 9.5000 | -0.2984 | 9.5000 | -0.2906 | 9.5000 | -0.3787 |

Table B-3. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| North Tangent, Reconstructed Lane: Subgrade |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | Sample |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |
| 0.7917 | 0.0276 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1.5833 | -0.0173 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2.3750 | -0.0016 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3.1667 | -0.0243 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3.9583 | -0.0368 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4.7500 | -0.0849 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5.5417 | -0.0789 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 6.3333 | -0.0569 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7.1250 | 0.0159 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7.9167 | -0.0015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 8.7083 | 0.0756 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 9.5000 | 0.0528 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table B-4. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| North Tangent, Reconstructed Lane: Granular Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |  |
| 0.7917 | -0.0756 | 0.7917 | -0.0860 | 0.7917 | -0.0769 |  |
| 1.5833 | -0.1650 | 1.5833 | -0.1553 | 1.5833 | -0.1579 |  |
| 2.3750 | -0.2278 | 2.3750 | -0.2619 | 2.3750 | -0.2685 |  |
| 3.1667 | -0.2624 | 3.1667 | -0.2922 | 3.1667 | -0.3167 |  |
| 3.9583 | -0.2687 | 3.9583 | -0.2624 | 3.9583 | -0.3392 |  |
| 4.7500 | -0.2931 | 4.7500 | -0.2621 | 4.7500 | -0.3509 |  |
| 5.5417 | -0.3581 | 5.5417 | -0.2995 | 5.5417 | -0.4224 |  |
| 6.3333 | -0.3991 | 6.3333 | -0.3240 | 6.3333 | -0.4675 |  |
| 7.1250 | -0.4309 | 7.1250 | -0.3709 | 7.1250 | -0.4928 |  |
| 7.9167 | -0.4493 | 7.9167 | -0.3691 | 7.9167 | -0.5061 |  |
| 8.7083 | -0.4804 | 8.7083 | -0.4207 | 8.7083 | -0.5488 |  |
| 9.5000 | -0.4992 | 9.5000 | -0.4520 | 9.5000 | -0.5792 |  |

Table B-5. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| North Tangent, Reconstructed Lane: HMA Layer 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |  |
| 0.7917 | -0.0437 | 0.7917 | -0.0384 | 0.7917 | -0.0378 |  |
| 1.5833 | -0.0686 | 1.5833 | -0.0565 | 1.5833 | -0.0595 |  |
| 2.3750 | -0.1032 | 2.3750 | -0.0853 | 2.3750 | -0.0876 |  |
| 3.1667 | -0.1469 | 3.1667 | -0.1333 | 3.1667 | -0.1313 |  |
| 3.9583 | -0.1950 | 3.9583 | -0.1856 | 3.9583 | -0.1767 |  |
| 4.7500 | -0.2432 | 4.7500 | -0.2357 | 4.7500 | -0.2224 |  |
| 5.5417 | -0.3034 | 5.5417 | -0.2897 | 5.5417 | -0.2856 |  |
| 6.3333 | -0.3433 | 6.3333 | -0.3339 | 6.3333 | -0.3265 |  |
| 7.1250 | -0.3916 | 7.1250 | -0.3820 | 7.1250 | -0.3709 |  |
| 7.9167 | -0.4521 | 7.9167 | -0.4402 | 7.9167 | -0.4126 |  |
| 8.7083 | -0.5027 | 8.7083 | -0.4925 | 8.7083 | -0.4689 |  |
| 9.5000 | -0.5667 | 9.5000 | -0.5442 | 9.5000 | -0.5284 |  |

Table B-6. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| North Tangent, Reconstructed Lane: HMA Layer 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | Sample |  |  |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |
| 0.7917 | -0.0367 | 0.7917 | -0.0261 | 0.7917 | -0.0379 |
| 1.5833 | -0.0600 | 1.5833 | -0.0548 | 1.5833 | -0.0632 |
| 2.3750 | -0.0985 | 2.3750 | -0.0953 | 2.3750 | -0.0926 |
| 3.1667 | -0.1350 | 3.1667 | -0.1387 | 3.1667 | -0.1232 |
| 3.9583 | -0.1686 | 3.9583 | -0.1789 | 3.9583 | -0.1546 |
| 4.7500 | -0.2015 | 4.7500 | -0.2121 | 4.7500 | -0.1894 |
| 5.5417 | -0.2356 | 5.5417 | -0.2463 | 5.5417 | -0.2241 |
| 6.3333 | -0.2656 | 6.3333 | -0.2811 | 6.3333 | -0.2454 |
| 7.1250 | -0.3088 | 7.1250 | -0.3321 | 7.1250 | -0.3009 |
| 7.9167 | -0.3588 | 7.9167 | -0.3796 | 7.9167 | -0.3550 |
| 8.7083 | -0.3972 | 8.7083 | -0.4186 | 8.7083 | -0.4008 |
| 9.5000 | -0.4415 | 9.5000 | -0.4693 | 9.5000 | -0.4486 |

Table B-7. Raw Data collected with ARRB Profiler

| North Tangent, Reconstructed Lane: Wearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | Sample |  | 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) | Distance <br> (ft) | Profile <br> Height <br> (in) |  |
| 0.7917 | -0.0383 | 0.7917 | -0.0292 | 0.7917 | -0.0403 |  |
| 1.5833 | -0.0727 | 1.5833 | -0.0795 | 1.5833 | -0.0785 |  |
| 2.3750 | -0.1166 | 2.3750 | -0.1189 | 2.3750 | -0.1222 |  |
| 3.1667 | -0.1626 | 3.1667 | -0.1685 | 3.1667 | -0.1601 |  |
| 3.9583 | -0.1964 | 3.9583 | -0.2033 | 3.9583 | -0.1984 |  |
| 4.7500 | -0.2395 | 4.7500 | -0.2455 | 4.7500 | -0.2425 |  |
| 5.5417 | -0.2850 | 5.5417 | -0.2926 | 5.5417 | -0.2841 |  |
| 6.3333 | -0.3224 | 6.3333 | -0.3339 | 6.3333 | -0.3261 |  |
| 7.1250 | -0.3726 | 7.1250 | -0.3763 | 7.1250 | -0.3769 |  |
| 7.9167 | -0.4240 | 7.9167 | -0.4307 | 7.9167 | -0.4266 |  |
| 8.7083 | -0.4796 | 8.7083 | -0.4852 | 8.7083 | -0.4817 |  |
| 9.5000 | -0.5470 | 9.5000 | -0.5511 | 9.5000 | -0.5520 |  |

## APPENDIX C

Table C-1. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| North Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 25 | 107.00 | 25 | 98.70 | 25 | 114.50 |
| 50 | 87.90 | 50 | 102.50 | 50 | 98.10 |
| 75 | 58.60 | 75 | 85.30 | 75 | 80.00 |
| 100 | 45.30 | 100 | 47.70 | 100 | 48.70 |
| 125 | 48.90 | 125 | 68.00 | 125 | 72.20 |
| 150 | 66.90 | 150 | 45.30 | 150 | 28.50 |
| 175 | 58.00 | 175 | 64.40 | 175 | 57.50 |
| 200 | 67.10 | 200 | 85.60 | 200 | 75.70 |
| 225 | 178.10 | 225 | 181.50 | 225 | 164.10 |
| 250 | 137.50 | 250 | 138.80 | 250 | 111.90 |
| 275 | 87.40 | 275 | 90.80 | 275 | 80.40 |
| 300 | 50.80 | 300 | 52.00 | 300 | 45.10 |
| 325 | 20.20 | 325 | 27.80 | 325 | 37.60 |
| 350 | 58.70 | 350 | 59.60 | 350 | 44.50 |
| 375 | 47.00 | 375 | 43.10 | 375 | 38.10 |
| 400 | 55.70 | 400 | 83.00 | 400 | 55.40 |
| 425 | 119.70 | 425 | 84.40 | 425 | 107.80 |
| 450 | 31.50 | 450 | 53.50 | 450 | 37.20 |
| 475 | 80.80 | 475 | 44.70 | 475 | 77.70 |
| 500 | 26.20 | 500 | 41.00 | 500 | 41.40 |
| 525 | 30.00 | 525 | 49.70 | 525 | 39.30 |
| 550 | 27.10 | 550 | 36.90 | 550 | 43.80 |
| 575 | 41.30 | 575 | 33.40 | 575 | 35.00 |
| 600 | 98.10 | 600 | 110.70 | 600 | 90.70 |
| 625 | 101.50 | 625 | 65.30 | 625 | 81.30 |
| 650 | 38.80 | 650 | 46.20 | 650 | 27.60 |
| 675 | 37.40 | 675 | 59.50 | 675 | 48.20 |
| 700 | 46.10 | 700 | 62.30 | 700 | 33.00 |
| 725 | 21.30 | 725 | 41.20 | 725 | 23.30 |
| 750 | 27.10 | 750 | 47.20 | 750 | 30.80 |
| 775 | 37.10 | 775 | 53.10 | 775 | 37.90 |
| 800 | 74.70 | 800 | 94.10 | 800 | 68.70 |
| 825 | 103.60 | 825 | 68.20 | 825 | 73.40 |
| 850 | 90.10 | 850 | 38.60 | 850 | 67.90 |
| 875 | 44.00 | 875 | 62.30 | 875 | 47.50 |
| 900 | 40.60 | 900 | 51.10 | 900 | 38.00 |
| 925 | 26.10 | 925 | 33.80 | 925 | 27.40 |
| 950 | 36.40 | 950 | 36.90 | 950 | 24.20 |
| 975 | 34.20 | 975 | 104.30 | 975 | 30.30 |
| 1000 | 101.90 | 1000 | 161.00 | 1000 | 107.10 |
| 1025 | 165.70 | 1025 | 117.50 | 1025 | 166.00 |
| 1050 | 130.80 | 1050 | 87.90 | 1050 | 108.60 |


| 1075 | 96.20 | 1075 | 51.50 | 1075 | 94.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 81.00 | 1100 | 54.80 | 1100 | 45.60 |
| 1125 | 50.20 | 1125 | 57.80 | 1125 | 37.80 |
| 1150 | 51.20 | 1150 | 95.40 | 1150 | 31.30 |
| 1175 | 47.70 | 1175 | 314.70 | 1175 | 36.90 |
| 1200 | 98.90 | 1200 | 67.30 | 1200 | 105.10 |
| 1225 | 89.00 | 1225 | 36.60 | 1225 | 115.90 |
| 1250 | 29.90 | 1250 | 55.00 | 1250 | 45.60 |
| 1275 | 60.50 | 1275 | 42.20 | 1275 | 52.30 |
| 1300 | 53.20 | 1300 | 45.70 | 1300 | 84.30 |
| 1325 | 47.60 | 1325 | 38.40 | 1325 | 105.90 |
| 1350 | 50.20 | 1350 | 45.70 | 1350 | 50.40 |
| 1375 | 49.40 | 1375 | 70.70 | 1375 | 44.10 |
| 1400 | 85.00 | 1400 | 113.90 | 1400 | 80.80 |
| 1425 | 84.30 | 1425 | 104.90 | 1425 | 95.40 |
| 1450 | 108.30 | 1450 | 52.50 | 1450 | 91.30 |
| 1475 | 83.50 | 1475 | 63.10 | 1475 | 57.30 |
| 1500 | 64.90 | 1500 | 39.10 | 1500 | 85.60 |
| 1525 | 52.40 | 1525 | 39.40 | 1525 | 43.60 |
| 1550 | 140.20 | 1550 | 62.90 | 1550 | 38.50 |
| 1575 | 92.00 | 1575 | 98.20 | 1575 | 63.70 |
| 1600 | 109.40 | 1600 | 135.90 | 1600 | 100.50 |
| 1625 | 99.30 | 1625 | 76.90 | 1625 | 72.80 |
| 1650 | 49.60 | 1650 | 62.90 | 1650 | 74.80 |
| 1675 | 59.50 | 1675 | 39.30 | 1675 | 63.20 |
| 1700 | 44.70 | 1700 | 42.90 | 1700 | 46.20 |
| 1725 | 43.60 | 1725 | 32.20 | 1725 | 35.80 |
| 1750 | 54.20 | 1750 | 46.60 | 1750 | 52.30 |
| 1775 | 54.40 | 1775 | 119.70 | 1775 | 36.90 |
| 1800 | 124.60 | 1800 | 51.40 | 1800 | 128.30 |
| 1825 | 60.40 | 1825 | 81.00 | 1825 | 52.70 |
| 1850 | 66.60 | 1850 | 60.20 | 1850 | 62.80 |
| 1875 | 57.30 | 1875 | 60.10 | 1875 | 77.50 |
| 1900 | 34.00 | 1900 | 54.00 | 1900 | 42.40 |
| 1925 | 39.10 | 1925 | 45.00 | 1925 | 38.00 |
| 1950 | 35.20 | 1950 | 50.80 | 1950 | 45.20 |
| 1975 | 44.70 | 1975 | 142.00 | 1975 | 34.90 |
| 2000 | 136.80 | 2000 | 95.90 | 2000 | 127.90 |
| 2025 | 117.50 | 2025 | 70.30 | 2025 | 93.80 |
| 2050 | 75.20 | 2050 | 53.00 | 2050 | 82.60 |
| 2075 | 56.40 | 2075 | 88.80 | 2075 | 49.00 |
| 2100 | 54.80 | 2100 | 55.00 | 2100 | 55.90 |
| 2125 | 73.40 | 2125 | 197.70 | 2125 | 46.10 |
| 2150 | 206.20 | 2150 | 97.10 | 2150 | 156.00 |
| 2175 | 115.80 | 2175 | 143.70 | 2175 | 112.50 |
| 2200 | 121.50 | 2200 | 117.60 | 2200 | 156.70 |
| 2225 | 140.40 | 2225 | 54.30 | 2225 | 121.90 |


| 2250 | 73.80 | 2250 | 46.00 | 2250 | 54.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2275 | 51.10 | 2275 | 56.60 | 2275 | 56.20 |
| 2300 | 65.00 | 2300 | 73.70 | 2300 | 53.60 |
| 2325 | 101.60 | 2325 | 57.30 | 2325 | 59.30 |
| 2350 | 111.20 | 2350 | 76.40 | 2350 | 60.40 |
| 2375 | 135.30 | 2375 | 176.70 | 2375 | 82.50 |
| 2400 | 151.10 | 2400 | 186.20 | 2400 | 180.80 |
| 2425 | 194.30 | 2425 | 133.60 | 2425 | 176.30 |
| 2450 | 124.90 | 2450 | 55.80 | 2450 | 129.30 |
| 2475 | 63.40 | 2475 | 91.90 | 2475 | 56.30 |
| 2500 | 68.60 | 2500 | 56.20 | 2500 | 60.70 |
| 2525 | 35.00 | 2525 | 55.00 | 2525 | 45.10 |
| 2561 | 72.20 | 2538 | 1208.90 | 2546 | 41.20 |

Table C-2. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| South Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t )}$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 25 | 102.00 | 25 | 111.70 | 25 | 94.80 |
| 50 | 93.10 | 50 | 85.70 | 50 | 92.50 |
| 75 | 66.90 | 75 | 57.00 | 75 | 70.30 |
| 100 | 53.40 | 100 | 60.10 | 100 | 53.70 |
| 125 | 72.40 | 125 | 80.90 | 125 | 74.90 |
| 150 | 30.80 | 150 | 33.20 | 150 | 35.60 |
| 175 | 32.50 | 175 | 32.40 | 175 | 40.70 |
| 200 | 122.80 | 200 | 106.70 | 200 | 109.70 |
| 225 | 139.60 | 225 | 142.20 | 225 | 142.40 |
| 250 | 97.90 | 250 | 77.00 | 250 | 100.50 |
| 275 | 41.60 | 275 | 41.90 | 275 | 29.60 |
| 300 | 34.70 | 300 | 34.40 | 300 | 63.30 |
| 325 | 56.90 | 325 | 48.40 | 325 | 60.50 |
| 350 | 33.00 | 350 | 65.70 | 350 | 67.70 |
| 375 | 57.20 | 375 | 49.70 | 375 | 62.50 |
| 400 | 96.30 | 400 | 75.70 | 400 | 70.20 |
| 425 | 52.40 | 425 | 68.80 | 425 | 63.70 |
| 450 | 57.20 | 450 | 55.30 | 450 | 47.50 |
| 475 | 42.20 | 475 | 32.50 | 475 | 29.60 |
| 500 | 40.80 | 500 | 39.50 | 500 | 39.40 |
| 525 | 39.90 | 525 | 39.10 | 525 | 33.20 |
| 550 | 41.00 | 550 | 30.60 | 550 | 34.70 |
| 575 | 63.00 | 575 | 34.20 | 575 | 33.60 |
| 600 | 128.50 | 600 | 125.30 | 600 | 113.80 |
| 625 | 41.20 | 625 | 65.00 | 625 | 72.10 |
| 650 | 35.80 | 650 | 38.90 | 650 | 34.90 |
| 675 | 28.10 | 675 | 34.50 | 675 | 18.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 700 | 25.40 | 700 | 25.80 | 700 | 30.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 725 | 40.60 | 725 | 29.00 | 725 | 30.30 |
| 750 | 49.70 | 750 | 34.70 | 750 | 20.10 |
| 775 | 45.80 | 775 | 41.10 | 775 | 33.70 |
| 800 | 170.40 | 800 | 133.50 | 800 | 110.80 |
| 825 | 73.90 | 825 | 95.10 | 825 | 106.50 |
| 850 | 135.80 | 850 | 127.90 | 850 | 130.30 |
| 875 | 66.20 | 875 | 131.40 | 875 | 121.90 |
| 900 | 43.10 | 900 | 63.60 | 900 | 85.60 |
| 925 | 35.10 | 925 | 30.80 | 925 | 57.00 |
| 950 | 24.00 | 950 | 35.50 | 950 | 20.20 |
| 975 | 85.30 | 975 | 65.70 | 975 | 61.70 |
| 1000 | 250.20 | 1000 | 271.90 | 1000 | 242.20 |
| 1025 | 120.00 | 1025 | 87.60 | 1025 | 103.20 |
| 1050 | 121.70 | 1050 | 131.00 | 1050 | 138.60 |
| 1075 | 56.50 | 1075 | 59.90 | 1075 | 96.70 |
| 1100 | 87.20 | 1100 | 67.00 | 1100 | 87.40 |
| 1125 | 66.80 | 1125 | 29.10 | 1125 | 40.10 |
| 1150 | 74.90 | 1150 | 58.60 | 1150 | 64.90 |
| 1175 | 117.30 | 1175 | 79.20 | 1175 | 55.80 |
| 1200 | 89.40 | 1200 | 106.60 | 1200 | 114.00 |
| 1225 | 57.30 | 1225 | 96.50 | 1225 | 80.00 |
| 1250 | 47.20 | 1250 | 46.30 | 1250 | 24.30 |
| 1275 | 39.30 | 1275 | 44.70 | 1275 | 38.00 |
| 1300 | 33.50 | 1300 | 22.00 | 1300 | 28.30 |
| 1325 | 31.80 | 1325 | 32.60 | 1325 | 23.00 |
| 1350 | 83.10 | 1350 | 56.20 | 1350 | 48.20 |
| 1375 | 165.20 | 1375 | 107.40 | 1375 | 88.90 |
| 1400 | 85.30 | 1400 | 117.50 | 1400 | 139.10 |
| 1425 | 58.10 | 1425 | 82.10 | 1425 | 78.20 |
| 1450 | 49.90 | 1450 | 68.20 | 1450 | 43.30 |
| 1475 | 39.70 | 1475 | 53.00 | 1475 | 38.80 |
| 1500 | 36.40 | 1500 | 25.00 | 1500 | 25.60 |
| 1525 | 51.20 | 1525 | 47.00 | 1525 | 47.90 |
| 1550 | 29.40 | 1550 | 29.60 | 1550 | 36.10 |
| 1575 | 241.30 | 1575 | 116.60 | 1575 | 40.50 |
| 1600 | 61.00 | 1600 | 196.00 | 1600 | 224.10 |
| 1625 | 34.90 | 1625 | 50.40 | 1625 | 58.80 |
| 1650 | 51.50 | 1650 | 33.90 | 1650 | 52.80 |
| 1675 | 42.40 | 1675 | 27.00 | 1675 | 23.60 |
| 1700 | 64.60 | 1700 | 38.40 | 1700 | 42.90 |
| 1725 | 49.00 | 1725 | 30.60 | 1725 | 42.00 |
| 1750 | 90.20 | 1750 | 32.40 | 1750 | 22.60 |
| 1775 | 199.60 | 1775 | 114.20 | 1775 | 61.30 |
| 1800 | 96.60 | 1800 | 154.70 | 1800 | 175.70 |
| 1825 | 37.20 | 1825 | 94.20 | 1825 | 87.80 |
| 1850 | 34.20 | 1850 | 37.70 | 1850 | 35.50 |


| 1875 | 38.80 | 1875 | 28.40 | 1875 | 28.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 33.40 | 1900 | 31.20 | 1900 | 26.90 |
| 1925 | 31.70 | 1925 | 36.10 | 1925 | 17.20 |
| 1950 | 125.30 | 1950 | 28.50 | 1950 | 31.30 |
| 1975 | 239.10 | 1975 | 132.10 | 1975 | 109.40 |
| 2000 | 163.30 | 2000 | 214.20 | 2000 | 228.50 |
| 2025 | 96.10 | 2025 | 151.50 | 2025 | 128.60 |
| 2050 | 69.10 | 2050 | 105.40 | 2050 | 80.70 |
| 2075 | 37.20 | 2075 | 31.90 | 2075 | 47.80 |
| 2100 | 34.10 | 2100 | 46.60 | 2100 | 43.60 |
| 2125 | 55.20 | 2125 | 43.60 | 2125 | 33.50 |
| 2150 | 60.90 | 2150 | 40.10 | 2150 | 37.20 |
| 2175 | 64.60 | 2175 | 70.80 | 2175 | 61.30 |
| 2200 | 73.80 | 2200 | 41.20 | 2200 | 58.10 |
| 2225 | 27.70 | 2225 | 47.00 | 2225 | 38.80 |
| 2250 | 51.40 | 2250 | 77.70 | 2250 | 73.90 |
| 2275 | 57.00 | 2275 | 73.60 | 2275 | 42.90 |
| 2300 | 43.90 | 2300 | 62.30 | 2300 | 68.60 |
| 2325 | 52.50 | 2325 | 66.40 | 2325 | 57.40 |
| 2350 | 100.40 | 2350 | 47.00 | 2350 | 48.50 |
| 2375 | 89.90 | 2375 | 69.80 | 2375 | 92.40 |
| 2400 | 101.80 | 2400 | 100.20 | 2400 | 113.80 |
| 2425 | 84.60 | 2425 | 112.10 | 2425 | 113.10 |
| 2450 | 64.20 | 2450 | 109.20 | 2450 | 90.20 |
| 2475 | 46.50 | 2475 | 77.60 | 2475 | 76.10 |
| 2500 | 26.50 | 2500 | 52.40 | 2500 | 48.90 |
| 2536 | 40.30 | 2529 | 20.20 | 2535 | 32.00 |

Table C-3. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| North Tangent Subgrade Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 25 | 263.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 50 | 125.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 75 | 162.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 100 | 140.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 125 | 260.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 150 | 399.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 175 | 248.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 200 | 133.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 225 | 97.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 250 | 97.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 275 | 237.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 300 | 153.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 325 | 200.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| 350 | 255.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 375 | 1944.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 400 | 491.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 425 | 315.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 450 | 207.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 475 | 158.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 500 | 97.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 525 | 152.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 550 | 156.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 575 | 91.80 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 600 | 112.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 625 | 165.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 650 | 177.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 675 | 164.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 700 | 201.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 725 | 218.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 750 | 1311.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 775 | 307.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 800 | 190.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 825 | 328.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 850 | 245.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 875 | 379.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 900 | 271.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 925 | 279.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 950 | 230.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 975 | 261.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1000 | 184.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1025 | 175.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1050 | 268.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1075 | 148.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1100 | 86.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1125 | 264.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1150 | 234.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1175 | 181.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1200 | 362.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1225 | 146.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1250 | 142.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1275 | 435.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1300 | 172.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1325 | 152.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1350 | 225.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1375 | 275.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1400 | 371.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1425 | 245.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1450 | 127.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1475 | 162.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1500 | 360.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| 1525 | 375.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1550 | 457.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1575 | 1369.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C-4. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| North Tangent Granular Base Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 25 | 95.50 | 25 | 121.10 | 25 | 126.70 |
| 50 | 80.50 | 50 | 89.70 | 50 | 100.20 |
| 75 | 127.00 | 75 | 142.60 | 75 | 167.60 |
| 100 | 167.30 | 100 | 171.30 | 100 | 208.40 |
| 125 | 162.30 | 125 | 140.20 | 125 | 133.00 |
| 150 | 107.60 | 150 | 113.30 | 150 | 118.60 |
| 175 | 63.40 | 175 | 57.00 | 175 | 60.80 |
| 200 | 88.60 | 200 | 97.60 | 200 | 109.00 |
| 225 | 144.30 | 225 | 115.20 | 225 | 119.50 |
| 250 | 105.60 | 250 | 93.80 | 250 | 100.70 |
| 275 | 105.50 | 275 | 93.30 | 275 | 100.60 |
| 300 | 79.10 | 300 | 79.10 | 300 | 65.90 |
| 325 | 138.90 | 325 | 171.30 | 325 | 130.90 |
| 350 | 436.10 | 350 | 4842.10 | 350 | 8415.10 |
| 375 | 18706.50 | 375 | 14795.00 | 375 | 11524.40 |
| 400 | 3776.70 | 400 | 3961.40 | 400 | 3893.60 |
| 425 | 1352.70 | 425 | 1312.40 | 425 | 1305.80 |
| 450 | 639.90 | 450 | 714.20 | 450 | 701.00 |
| 475 | 572.10 | 475 | 403.90 | 475 | 465.30 |
| 500 | 322.30 | 500 | 362.10 | 500 | 282.80 |
| 525 | 202.00 | 525 | 142.80 | 525 | 166.00 |
| 550 | 174.10 | 550 | 167.50 | 550 | 165.70 |
| 575 | 126.60 | 575 | 134.90 | 575 | 93.40 |
| 600 | 127.60 | 600 | 83.00 | 600 | 120.00 |
| 625 | 131.20 | 625 | 149.20 | 625 | 141.10 |
| 650 | 122.80 | 650 | 124.30 | 650 | 120.80 |
| 675 | 189.90 | 675 | 174.70 | 675 | 219.20 |
| 700 | 122.80 | 700 | 113.20 | 700 | 128.80 |
| 725 | 201.90 | 725 | 197.20 | 725 | 192.20 |
| 750 | 1436.40 | 750 | 1516.50 | 750 | 1569.60 |
| 775 | 603.00 | 775 | 450.20 | 775 | 525.10 |
| 800 | 424.90 | 800 | 344.90 | 800 | 286.70 |
| 825 | 154.00 | 825 | 166.00 | 825 | 234.40 |
| 850 | 266.60 | 850 | 250.70 | 850 | 204.60 |
| 875 | 253.90 | 875 | 270.50 | 875 | 250.80 |


| 900 | 185.20 | 900 | 161.60 | 900 | 198.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 925 | 177.90 | 925 | 204.80 | 925 | 209.10 |
| 950 | 232.20 | 950 | 217.80 | 950 | 192.00 |
| 975 | 307.40 | 975 | 256.30 | 975 | 278.10 |
| 1000 | 243.10 | 1000 | 220.90 | 1000 | 153.80 |
| 1025 | 107.30 | 1025 | 136.40 | 1025 | 129.80 |
| 1050 | 179.70 | 1050 | 286.20 | 1050 | 240.20 |
| 1075 | 257.50 | 1075 | 148.80 | 1075 | 143.70 |
| 1100 | 176.40 | 1100 | 173.80 | 1100 | 204.50 |
| 1125 | 165.90 | 1125 | 145.90 | 1125 | 155.70 |
| 1150 | 223.40 | 1150 | 211.20 | 1150 | 173.50 |
| 1175 | 221.20 | 1175 | 215.20 | 1175 | 216.50 |
| 1200 | 97.50 | 1200 | 119.80 | 1200 | 117.20 |
| 1225 | 144.60 | 1225 | 173.20 | 1225 | 181.20 |
| 1250 | 289.00 | 1250 | 306.20 | 1250 | 294.30 |
| 1275 | 234.20 | 1275 | 233.30 | 1275 | 189.00 |
| 1300 | 169.90 | 1300 | 179.10 | 1300 | 142.10 |
| 1325 | 154.40 | 1325 | 128.70 | 1325 | 191.60 |
| 1350 | 252.50 | 1350 | 171.10 | 1350 | 163.60 |
| 1375 | 181.60 | 1375 | 158.50 | 1375 | 135.70 |
| 1400 | 179.20 | 1400 | 181.50 | 1400 | 122.10 |
| 1425 | 173.90 | 1425 | 130.50 | 1425 | 59.80 |
| 1450 | 114.90 | 1450 | 150.60 | 1450 | 204.50 |
| 1475 | 179.70 | 1475 | 140.30 | 1475 | 257.20 |
| 1500 | 250.90 | 1500 | 168.10 | 1500 | 129.10 |
| 1525 | 134.60 | 1525 | 187.10 | 1525 | 146.10 |
| 1545 | 319.70 | 1542 | 541.80 | 1559 | 840.00 |

Table C-5. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| North Tangent HMA Layer 2 Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 25 | 65.20 | 25 | 70.10 | 25 | 64.50 |
| 50 | 68.40 | 50 | 57.70 | 50 | 63.80 |
| 75 | 78.40 | 75 | 59.40 | 75 | 77.50 |
| 100 | 57.40 | 100 | 58.50 | 100 | 75.60 |
| 125 | 62.70 | 125 | 68.90 | 125 | 75.00 |
| 150 | 62.30 | 150 | 52.80 | 150 | 63.20 |
| 175 | 89.30 | 175 | 76.90 | 175 | 83.70 |
| 200 | 82.10 | 200 | 77.70 | 200 | 67.50 |
| 225 | 98.70 | 225 | 94.10 | 225 | 99.70 |
| 250 | 54.50 | 250 | 47.90 | 250 | 81.00 |
| 275 | 77.60 | 275 | 76.50 | 275 | 69.00 |
| 300 | 42.40 | 300 | 92.70 | 300 | 51.50 |
| 325 | 37.20 | 325 | 43.80 | 325 | 38.00 |


| 350 | 84.50 | 350 | 104.80 | 350 | 103.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 375 | 65.60 | 375 | 84.80 | 375 | 81.00 |
| 400 | 47.50 | 400 | 58.10 | 400 | 51.60 |
| 425 | 112.80 | 425 | 133.20 | 425 | 134.20 |
| 450 | 82.20 | 450 | 82.10 | 450 | 83.10 |
| 475 | 65.00 | 475 | 62.30 | 475 | 62.30 |
| 500 | 36.30 | 500 | 28.80 | 500 | 24.20 |
| 525 | 87.60 | 525 | 110.00 | 525 | 122.00 |
| 550 | 132.60 | 550 | 154.80 | 550 | 195.80 |
| 575 | 220.80 | 575 | 218.00 | 575 | 177.50 |
| 600 | 121.70 | 600 | 111.30 | 600 | 114.90 |
| 625 | 45.90 | 625 | 53.80 | 625 | 36.80 |
| 650 | 36.50 | 650 | 39.50 | 650 | 39.80 |
| 675 | 42.50 | 675 | 35.90 | 675 | 37.70 |
| 700 | 53.00 | 700 | 54.40 | 700 | 58.60 |
| 725 | 54.60 | 725 | 52.80 | 725 | 58.10 |
| 750 | 87.70 | 750 | 87.30 | 750 | 72.40 |
| 775 | 74.60 | 775 | 64.60 | 775 | 67.60 |
| 792 | 405.10 | 792 | 321.80 | 788 | 598.40 |

Table C-6. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| North Tangent HMA Layer 1 Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n / m i )}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 25 | 32.00 | 25 | 54.90 | 25 | 44.60 |
| 50 | 49.60 | 50 | 35.00 | 50 | 54.80 |
| 75 | 60.60 | 75 | 52.50 | 75 | 54.40 |
| 100 | 53.10 | 100 | 86.30 | 100 | 40.30 |
| 125 | 37.10 | 125 | 57.10 | 125 | 37.90 |
| 150 | 57.90 | 150 | 52.10 | 150 | 51.50 |
| 175 | 128.40 | 175 | 117.90 | 175 | 118.40 |
| 200 | 101.80 | 200 | 89.10 | 200 | 102.20 |
| 225 | 133.40 | 225 | 132.80 | 225 | 130.20 |
| 250 | 86.40 | 250 | 72.10 | 250 | 75.70 |
| 275 | 74.30 | 275 | 74.80 | 275 | 70.20 |
| 300 | 63.70 | 300 | 71.70 | 300 | 67.20 |
| 325 | 66.10 | 325 | 60.60 | 325 | 49.80 |
| 350 | 55.40 | 350 | 48.70 | 350 | 54.70 |
| 375 | 79.20 | 375 | 62.50 | 375 | 65.60 |
| 400 | 47.50 | 400 | 41.70 | 400 | 55.90 |
| 425 | 45.10 | 425 | 53.50 | 425 | 60.30 |
| 450 | 67.70 | 450 | 66.80 | 450 | 65.30 |
| 475 | 64.10 | 475 | 76.60 | 475 | 73.30 |
| 500 | 46.00 | 500 | 45.20 | 500 | 36.90 |
| 525 | 69.80 | 525 | 88.80 | 525 | 44.90 |


| 550 | 50.20 | 550 | 63.60 | 550 | 37.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 575 | 144.70 | 575 | 140.90 | 575 | 134.30 |
| 600 | 131.10 | 600 | 103.00 | 600 | 135.90 |
| 625 | 61.10 | 625 | 47.10 | 625 | 53.10 |
| 650 | 63.00 | 650 | 37.60 | 650 | 39.50 |
| 675 | 50.30 | 675 | 57.40 | 675 | 47.90 |
| 700 | 35.70 | 700 | 28.20 | 700 | 34.00 |
| 725 | 29.10 | 725 | 33.00 | 725 | 37.70 |
| 750 | 50.20 | 750 | 37.90 | 750 | 48.50 |
| 775 | 64.60 | 775 | 71.70 | 775 | 68.00 |
| 800 | 77.60 | 800 | 68.30 | 800 | 57.30 |
| 825 | 48.20 | 825 | 52.50 | 825 | 41.90 |
| 850 | 68.40 | 850 | 53.80 | 850 | 58.10 |
| 875 | 72.40 | 875 | 71.10 | 875 | 75.70 |
| 900 | 73.10 | 900 | 87.30 | 900 | 72.00 |
| 925 | 60.20 | 925 | 78.90 | 925 | 60.80 |
| 950 | 114.50 | 950 | 130.40 | 950 | 124.50 |
| 975 | 154.90 | 975 | 145.30 | 975 | 162.00 |
| 1000 | 113.00 | 1000 | 107.30 | 1000 | 115.20 |
| 1025 | 67.10 | 1025 | 102.70 | 1025 | 72.80 |
| 1050 | 57.80 | 1050 | 60.70 | 1050 | 54.60 |
| 1075 | 67.50 | 1075 | 64.00 | 1075 | 57.00 |
| 1100 | 78.90 | 1100 | 63.40 | 1100 | 84.70 |
| 1125 | 69.90 | 1125 | 72.30 | 1125 | 66.50 |
| 1150 | 83.00 | 1150 | 61.70 | 1150 | 56.30 |
| 1175 | 61.20 | 1175 | 57.20 | 1175 | 96.90 |
| 1200 | 114.70 | 1200 | 126.40 | 1200 | 101.00 |
| 1225 | 111.60 | 1225 | 115.30 | 1225 | 89.00 |
| 1250 | 61.00 | 1250 | 68.30 | 1250 | 80.80 |
| 1275 | 83.90 | 1275 | 91.50 | 1275 | 95.70 |
| 1300 | 64.20 | 1300 | 81.00 | 1300 | 105.10 |
| 1325 | 78.60 | 1325 | 86.10 | 1325 | 109.60 |
| 1350 | 101.50 | 1350 | 102.60 | 1350 | 101.10 |
| 1375 | 71.10 | 1375 | 74.80 | 1375 | 68.40 |
| 1400 | 48.30 | 1400 | 48.00 | 1400 | 37.50 |
| 1425 | 65.40 | 1425 | 42.90 | 1425 | 80.50 |
| 1450 | 79.10 | 1450 | 58.40 | 1450 | 75.20 |
| 1475 | 39.10 | 1475 | 67.60 | 1475 | 58.00 |
| 1500 | 59.00 | 1500 | 36.40 | 1500 | 73.40 |
| 1525 | 95.00 | 1525 | 82.80 | 1525 | 79.80 |
| 1550 | 65.70 | 1550 | 56.60 | 1550 | 64.10 |
| 1564 | 817.20 | 1564 | 793.80 | 1564 | 970.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C-7. IRI obtained using ARRB with 25 ft interval

| North Tangent Wearing Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 25 | 53.00 | 25 | 55.00 | 25 | 39.90 |
| 50 | 37.30 | 50 | 37.40 | 50 | 35.50 |
| 75 | 70.70 | 75 | 76.20 | 75 | 77.30 |
| 100 | 53.20 | 100 | 52.00 | 100 | 61.00 |
| 125 | 31.50 | 125 | 37.20 | 125 | 36.70 |
| 150 | 26.90 | 150 | 28.80 | 150 | 41.20 |
| 175 | 69.00 | 175 | 70.70 | 175 | 70.00 |
| 200 | 56.80 | 200 | 73.10 | 200 | 49.80 |
| 225 | 68.50 | 225 | 75.00 | 225 | 75.40 |
| 250 | 57.90 | 250 | 63.80 | 250 | 48.10 |
| 275 | 47.00 | 275 | 38.30 | 275 | 50.30 |
| 300 | 63.00 | 300 | 67.90 | 300 | 76.30 |
| 325 | 44.90 | 325 | 56.50 | 325 | 43.50 |
| 350 | 39.70 | 350 | 25.80 | 350 | 46.40 |
| 375 | 67.10 | 375 | 52.50 | 375 | 64.10 |
| 398 | 192.60 | 398 | 190.00 | 398 | 206.00 |

Table C-8. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| North Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $\mathbf{( i n / \mathbf { m i } )}$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 52.8 | 94.60 | 52.8 | 100.10 | 52.8 | 105.70 |
| 105.6 | 54.30 | 105.6 | 66.00 | 105.6 | 65.40 |
| 158.4 | 55.80 | 158.4 | 56.00 | 158.4 | 44.20 |
| 211.2 | 88.40 | 211.2 | 91.10 | 211.2 | 94.70 |
| 264.0 | 131.40 | 264.0 | 144.10 | 264.0 | 115.00 |
| 316.8 | 56.50 | 316.8 | 57.20 | 316.8 | 53.10 |
| 369.6 | 44.00 | 369.6 | 46.70 | 369.6 | 39.80 |
| 422.4 | 84.70 | 422.4 | 82.50 | 422.4 | 74.30 |
| 475.2 | 57.60 | 475.2 | 50.30 | 475.2 | 61.70 |
| 528.0 | 31.20 | 528.0 | 44.10 | 528.0 | 41.10 |
| 580.8 | 33.20 | 580.8 | 34.60 | 580.8 | 38.10 |
| 633.6 | 96.20 | 633.6 | 86.70 | 633.6 | 83.20 |
| 686.4 | 43.60 | 686.4 | 57.20 | 686.4 | 38.20 |
| 739.2 | 28.30 | 739.2 | 49.10 | 739.2 | 27.80 |
| 792.0 | 36.40 | 792.0 | 61.40 | 792.0 | 37.00 |
| 844.8 | 108.60 | 844.8 | 65.10 | 844.8 | 82.10 |
| 897.6 | 46.00 | 897.6 | 52.60 | 897.6 | 42.80 |
| 950.4 | 28.50 | 950.4 | 35.40 | 950.4 | 28.80 |
| 1003.2 | 61.00 | 1003.2 | 118.50 | 1003.2 | 58.60 |


| 1056.0 | 148.00 | 1056.0 | 111.60 | 1056.0 | 139.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1108.8 | 87.00 | 1108.8 | 51.50 | 1108.8 | 69.60 |
| 1161.6 | 50.70 | 1161.6 | 78.50 | 1161.6 | 33.60 |
| 1214.4 | 75.00 | 1214.4 | 183.50 | 1214.4 | 84.10 |
| 1267.2 | 56.40 | 1267.2 | 47.00 | 1267.2 | 68.70 |
| 1320.0 | 53.80 | 1320.0 | 40.80 | 1320.0 | 89.60 |
| 1372.8 | 49.20 | 1372.8 | 39.50 | 1372.8 | 52.30 |
| 1425.6 | 75.90 | 1425.6 | 100.90 | 1425.6 | 70.20 |
| 1478.4 | 94.30 | 1478.4 | 75.70 | 1478.4 | 85.10 |
| 1531.2 | 69.00 | 1531.2 | 43.20 | 1531.2 | 64.60 |
| 1584.0 | 107.30 | 1584.0 | 72.00 | 1584.0 | 50.50 |
| 1636.8 | 98.30 | 1636.8 | 110.40 | 1636.8 | 86.40 |
| 1689.6 | 61.90 | 1689.6 | 50.60 | 1689.6 | 68.40 |
| 1742.4 | 44.20 | 1742.4 | 39.90 | 1742.4 | 40.10 |
| 1795.2 | 70.40 | 1795.2 | 80.70 | 1795.2 | 52.00 |
| 1848.0 | 81.20 | 1848.0 | 68.90 | 1848.0 | 88.80 |
| 1900.8 | 50.20 | 1900.8 | 57.60 | 1900.8 | 66.60 |
| 1953.6 | 37.00 | 1953.6 | 46.70 | 1953.6 | 38.10 |
| 2006.4 | 71.20 | 2006.4 | 111.20 | 2006.4 | 60.50 |
| 2059.2 | 109.90 | 2059.2 | 66.50 | 2059.2 | 99.30 |
| 2112.0 | 54.70 | 2112.0 | 71.50 | 2112.0 | 55.20 |
| 2164.8 | 119.90 | 2164.8 | 136.50 | 2164.8 | 91.30 |
| 2217.6 | 126.60 | 2217.6 | 132.60 | 2217.6 | 138.80 |
| 2270.4 | 109.50 | 2270.4 | 51.10 | 2270.4 | 86.60 |
| 2323.2 | 60.40 | 2323.2 | 62.30 | 2323.2 | 54.90 |
| 2376.0 | 110.90 | 2376.0 | 92.10 | 2376.0 | 61.60 |
| 2428.8 | 161.20 | 2428.8 | 160.40 | 2428.8 | 133.60 |
| 2481.6 | 120.80 | 2481.6 | 93.00 | 2481.6 | 146.00 |
| 2534.4 | 61.40 | 2534.4 | 65.50 | 2534.4 | 52.80 |
| 2582.7 | 311.60 | 2559.7 | 648.90 | 2593.8 | 53.80 |

Table C-9. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| South Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 52.8 | 96.10 | 52.8 | 97.00 | 52.8 | 93.40 |
| 105.6 | 64.90 | 105.6 | 66.50 | 105.6 | 67.00 |
| 158.4 | 43.10 | 158.4 | 44.30 | 158.4 | 47.00 |
| 211.2 | 110.50 | 211.2 | 96.90 | 211.2 | 101.20 |
| 264.0 | 81.40 | 264.0 | 81.60 | 264.0 | 90.20 |
| 316.8 | 46.40 | 316.8 | 41.10 | 316.8 | 56.50 |
| 369.6 | 45.00 | 369.6 | 53.60 | 369.6 | 58.40 |
| 422.4 | 74.10 | 422.4 | 77.10 | 422.4 | 71.60 |
| 475.2 | 47.10 | 475.2 | 41.50 | 475.2 | 38.50 |
| 528.0 | 40.80 | 528.0 | 38.80 | 528.0 | 35.30 |


| 580.8 | 52.30 | 580.8 | 32.90 | 580.8 | 34.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 633.6 | 83.20 | 633.6 | 90.80 | 633.6 | 89.10 |
| 686.4 | 30.20 | 686.4 | 37.70 | 686.4 | 30.00 |
| 739.2 | 32.80 | 739.2 | 26.70 | 739.2 | 26.30 |
| 792.0 | 85.50 | 792.0 | 38.50 | 792.0 | 27.70 |
| 844.8 | 105.90 | 844.8 | 134.70 | 844.8 | 125.30 |
| 897.6 | 79.30 | 897.6 | 111.60 | 897.6 | 111.10 |
| 950.4 | 28.60 | 950.4 | 36.00 | 950.4 | 57.40 |
| 1003.2 | 157.50 | 1003.2 | 129.90 | 1003.2 | 111.60 |
| 1056.0 | 116.60 | 1056.0 | 131.30 | 1056.0 | 148.30 |
| 1108.8 | 73.40 | 1108.8 | 70.50 | 1108.8 | 91.80 |
| 1161.6 | 70.00 | 1161.6 | 44.20 | 1161.6 | 51.60 |
| 1214.4 | 104.20 | 1214.4 | 95.40 | 1214.4 | 87.20 |
| 1267.2 | 47.10 | 1267.2 | 68.00 | 1267.2 | 46.10 |
| 1320.0 | 33.00 | 1320.0 | 31.00 | 1320.0 | 34.00 |
| 1372.8 | 77.40 | 1372.8 | 53.50 | 1372.8 | 44.60 |
| 1425.6 | 112.10 | 1425.6 | 111.60 | 1425.6 | 117.00 |
| 1478.4 | 49.00 | 1478.4 | 62.70 | 1478.4 | 39.50 |
| 1531.2 | 42.40 | 1531.2 | 40.60 | 1531.2 | 44.40 |
| 1584.0 | 119.30 | 1584.0 | 37.30 | 1584.0 | 32.50 |
| 1636.8 | 58.70 | 1636.8 | 154.90 | 1636.8 | 139.80 |
| 1689.6 | 45.90 | 1689.6 | 29.90 | 1689.6 | 38.70 |
| 1742.4 | 55.40 | 1742.4 | 35.10 | 1742.4 | 41.20 |
| 1795.2 | 146.80 | 1795.2 | 93.80 | 1795.2 | 64.30 |
| 1848.0 | 58.70 | 1848.0 | 97.10 | 1848.0 | 107.60 |
| 1900.8 | 37.10 | 1900.8 | 33.90 | 1900.8 | 26.70 |
| 1953.6 | 37.90 | 1953.6 | 32.20 | 1953.6 | 22.10 |
| 2006.4 | 198.50 | 2006.4 | 147.50 | 2006.4 | 132.20 |
| 2059.2 | 111.90 | 2059.2 | 128.10 | 2059.2 | 129.80 |
| 2112.0 | 34.90 | 2112.0 | 54.10 | 2112.0 | 50.30 |
| 2164.8 | 54.00 | 2164.8 | 40.60 | 2164.8 | 34.90 |
| 2217.6 | 70.80 | 2217.6 | 55.30 | 2217.6 | 56.60 |
| 2270.4 | 42.90 | 2270.4 | 60.60 | 2270.4 | 56.90 |
| 2323.2 | 51.20 | 2323.2 | 70.30 | 2323.2 | 57.20 |
| 2376.0 | 77.90 | 2376.0 | 57.50 | 2376.0 | 50.20 |
| 2428.8 | 86.40 | 2428.8 | 91.70 | 2428.8 | 105.90 |
| 2481.6 | 73.10 | 2481.6 | 105.50 | 2481.6 | 96.90 |
| 2534.4 | 38.10 | 2534.4 | 47.50 | 2534.4 | 55.90 |
| 2557.4 | 1028.50 | 2575.6 | 549.10 | 2581.9 | 523.70 |

Table C-10. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| North Tangent Subgrade Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IRI } \\ \text { (in/mi) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 52.8 | 194.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 105.6 | 144.80 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 158.4 | 348.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 211.2 | 157.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 264.0 | 144.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 316.8 | 183.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 369.6 | 959.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 422.4 | 475.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 475.2 | 191.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 528.0 | 131.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 580.8 | 121.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 633.6 | 138.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 686.4 | 168.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 739.2 | 286.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 792.0 | 704.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 844.8 | 282.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 897.6 | 291.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 950.4 | 291.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1003.2 | 224.30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1056.0 | 204.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1108.8 | 131.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1161.6 | 244.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1214.4 | 271.70 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1267.2 | 132.50 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1320.0 | 307.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1372.8 | 221.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1425.6 | 325.90 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1478.4 | 135.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1531.2 | 303.40 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1588.2 | 674.10 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C-11. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| North Tangent Granular Base Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 52.8 | 91.30 | 52.8 | 108.60 | 52.8 | 113.70 |
| 105.6 | 153.80 | 105.6 | 161.70 | 105.6 | 198.20 |
| 158.4 | 117.40 | 158.4 | 110.50 | 158.4 | 103.90 |
| 211.2 | 81.50 | 211.2 | 93.60 | 211.2 | 109.20 |
| 264.0 | 128.00 | 264.0 | 95.70 | 264.0 | 93.50 |


| 316.8 | 90.70 | 316.8 | 100.90 | 316.8 | 91.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 369.6 | 8371.10 | 369.6 | 8967.80 | 369.6 | 9208.20 |
| 422.4 | 3052.90 | 422.4 | 2789.80 | 422.4 | 2677.30 |
| 475.2 | 727.20 | 475.2 | 679.00 | 475.2 | 661.30 |
| 528.0 | 305.90 | 528.0 | 269.70 | 528.0 | 255.60 |
| 580.8 | 146.20 | 580.8 | 149.90 | 580.8 | 126.30 |
| 633.6 | 130.70 | 633.6 | 113.90 | 633.6 | 136.60 |
| 686.4 | 147.00 | 686.4 | 152.30 | 686.4 | 168.20 |
| 739.2 | 173.10 | 739.2 | 235.60 | 739.2 | 295.10 |
| 792.0 | 1036.50 | 792.0 | 935.70 | 792.0 | 902.40 |
| 844.8 | 271.00 | 844.8 | 216.60 | 844.8 | 247.10 |
| 897.6 | 225.10 | 897.6 | 224.40 | 897.6 | 210.30 |
| 950.4 | 192.60 | 950.4 | 202.40 | 950.4 | 207.10 |
| 1003.2 | 285.80 | 1003.2 | 253.80 | 1003.2 | 226.10 |
| 1056.0 | 129.40 | 1056.0 | 163.90 | 1056.0 | 178.30 |
| 1108.8 | 226.20 | 1108.8 | 195.30 | 1108.8 | 164.80 |
| 1161.6 | 189.80 | 1161.6 | 176.60 | 1161.6 | 166.00 |
| 1214.4 | 155.70 | 1214.4 | 170.50 | 1214.4 | 176.00 |
| 1267.2 | 222.40 | 1267.2 | 237.40 | 1267.2 | 237.20 |
| 1320.0 | 189.30 | 1320.0 | 187.70 | 1320.0 | 156.50 |
| 1372.8 | 196.50 | 1372.8 | 154.50 | 1372.8 | 170.90 |
| 1425.6 | 204.80 | 1425.6 | 181.70 | 1425.6 | 111.50 |
| 1478.4 | 153.10 | 1478.4 | 136.90 | 1478.4 | 194.20 |
| 1531.2 | 181.90 | 1531.2 | 163.40 | 1531.2 | 147.70 |
| 1583.5 | 1248.50 | 1580.3 | 1311.10 | 1572.4 | 1585.30 |

Table C-12. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| North Tangent HMA Layer 2 Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t )}$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 52.8 | 71.10 | 52.8 | 69.80 | 52.8 | 69.00 |
| 105.6 | 61.60 | 105.6 | 51.70 | 105.6 | 70.50 |
| 158.4 | 72.20 | 158.4 | 69.60 | 158.4 | 75.10 |
| 211.2 | 75.10 | 211.2 | 66.00 | 211.2 | 67.80 |
| 264.0 | 79.40 | 264.0 | 73.50 | 264.0 | 90.60 |
| 316.8 | 53.80 | 316.8 | 82.50 | 316.8 | 56.60 |
| 369.6 | 67.90 | 369.6 | 87.20 | 369.6 | 86.10 |
| 422.4 | 67.60 | 422.4 | 80.00 | 422.4 | 74.90 |
| 475.2 | 81.50 | 475.2 | 83.60 | 475.2 | 83.90 |
| 528.0 | 64.60 | 528.0 | 69.90 | 528.0 | 75.10 |
| 580.8 | 172.60 | 580.8 | 183.30 | 580.8 | 181.40 |
| 633.6 | 79.30 | 633.6 | 78.60 | 633.6 | 72.50 |
| 686.4 | 41.30 | 686.4 | 39.00 | 686.4 | 40.40 |
| 739.2 | 61.60 | 739.2 | 60.70 | 739.2 | 61.30 |
| 798.6 | 73.30 | 798.6 | 69.10 | 794.6 | 65.40 |

Table C-13. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| North Tangent HMA Layer 1 Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 52.8 | 41.70 | 52.8 | 44.60 | 52.8 | 50.30 |
| 105.6 | 54.40 | 105.6 | 70.30 | 105.6 | 45.60 |
| 158.4 | 51.80 | 158.4 | 57.40 | 158.4 | 49.70 |
| 211.2 | 114.60 | 211.2 | 106.90 | 211.2 | 112.30 |
| 264.0 | 111.10 | 264.0 | 94.60 | 264.0 | 99.80 |
| 316.8 | 63.30 | 316.8 | 74.20 | 316.8 | 61.40 |
| 369.6 | 70.10 | 369.6 | 54.70 | 369.6 | 60.50 |
| 422.4 | 45.90 | 422.4 | 44.60 | 422.4 | 55.00 |
| 475.2 | 67.20 | 475.2 | 72.70 | 475.2 | 71.20 |
| 528.0 | 55.30 | 528.0 | 65.50 | 528.0 | 40.50 |
| 580.8 | 96.30 | 580.8 | 101.20 | 580.8 | 84.00 |
| 633.6 | 94.50 | 633.6 | 72.40 | 633.6 | 90.20 |
| 686.4 | 54.10 | 686.4 | 48.00 | 686.4 | 45.80 |
| 739.2 | 37.50 | 739.2 | 30.90 | 739.2 | 37.50 |
| 792.0 | 60.90 | 792.0 | 61.10 | 792.0 | 61.80 |
| 844.8 | 62.90 | 844.8 | 58.60 | 844.8 | 49.10 |
| 897.6 | 69.50 | 897.6 | 73.30 | 897.6 | 70.00 |
| 950.4 | 51.40 | 950.4 | 74.10 | 950.4 | 51.80 |
| 1003.2 | 167.70 | 1003.2 | 151.80 | 1003.2 | 174.10 |
| 1056.0 | 62.90 | 1056.0 | 79.00 | 1056.0 | 66.10 |
| 1108.8 | 73.00 | 1108.8 | 67.00 | 1108.8 | 68.30 |
| 1161.6 | 77.00 | 1161.6 | 65.80 | 1161.6 | 62.20 |
| 1214.4 | 91.90 | 1214.4 | 100.10 | 1214.4 | 99.20 |
| 1267.2 | 86.00 | 1267.2 | 93.70 | 1267.2 | 93.00 |
| 1320.0 | 64.30 | 1320.0 | 73.60 | 1320.0 | 97.50 |
| 1372.8 | 92.70 | 1372.8 | 91.30 | 1372.8 | 94.30 |
| 1425.6 | 51.90 | 1425.6 | 56.20 | 1425.6 | 63.50 |
| 1478.4 | 70.80 | 1478.4 | 62.50 | 1478.4 | 65.00 |
| 1531.2 | 73.60 | 1531.2 | 58.00 | 1531.2 | 77.60 |
| 1577.9 | 300.90 | 1577.9 | 289.40 | 1577.9 | 347.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C-14. IRI obtained using ARRB with 52.8 ft interval

| North Tangent Wearing Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i )}$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 52.8 | 45.30 | 52.8 | 46.10 | 52.8 | 38.2 |
| 105.6 | 59.00 | 105.6 | 64.50 | 105.6 | 67.9 |
| 158.4 | 39.30 | 158.4 | 39.50 | 158.4 | 46.5 |
| 211.2 | 51.40 | 211.2 | 61.00 | 211.2 | 51.6 |
| 264.0 | 64.40 | 264.0 | 70.60 | 264.0 | 63 |


| 316.8 | 60.30 | 316.8 | 60.30 | 316.8 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 369.6 | 44.60 | 369.6 | 38.80 | 369.6 | 50.3 |
| 401.3 | 159.50 | 401.3 | 149.10 | 402.1 | 166.3 |

Table C-15. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| North Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 528 | 70.10 | 528 | 73.90 | 528 | 69.70 |
| 1056 | 62.20 | 1056 | 67.20 | 1056 | 57.00 |
| 1584 | 72.80 | 1584 | 73.10 | 1584 | 67.30 |
| 2112 | 67.40 | 2112 | 70.70 | 2112 | 65.50 |
| 2594 | 128.70 | 2571 | 129.60 | 2605 | 115.80 |

Table C-16. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| South Tangent Inside Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t )}$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t )}$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 528 | 65 | 528 | 63.90 | 528 | 66.00 |
| 1056 | 76.7 | 1056 | 76.70 | 1056 | 76.20 |
| 1584 | 66.1 | 1584 | 62.10 | 1584 | 59.20 |
| 2112 | 86.4 | 2112 | 80.50 | 2112 | 75.20 |
| 2569 | 111.4 | 2587 | 108.60 | 2594 | 110.10 |

Table C-17. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| North Tangent Subgrade Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 528 | 294.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1056 | 269.60 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1595 | 265.20 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table C-18. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| North Tangent Granular Base Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ |
| 528 | 1316.90 | 528 | 1342.60 | 528 | 1356.50 |
| 1056 | 273.70 | 1056 | 264.50 | 1056 | 267.30 |
| 1591 | 223.70 | 1587 | 214.20 | 1579 | 285.80 |

Table C-19. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| North Tangent HMA Layer 2 Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> (in/mi) | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t )}$ | IRI <br> (in/mi) |
| 528 | 69.70 | 528 | 73.30 | 528 | 74.80 |
| 802 | 106.40 | 802 | 102.00 | 798 | 111.30 |

Table C-20. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| North Tangent HMA Layer 1 Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i )}$ |
| 528 | 67.50 | 528 | 68.50 | 528 | 64.90 |
| 1056 | 76.30 | 1056 | 75.40 | 1056 | 73.00 |
| 1585 | 76.10 | 1585 | 74.70 | 1585 | 82.50 |

Table C-21. IRI obtained using ARRB with 528 ft interval

| North Tangent Wearing Reconstructed Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance <br> (ft) | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t})$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i})$ | Distance <br> $(\mathbf{f t )}$ | IRI <br> $(\mathbf{i n} / \mathbf{m i )}$ |
| 403 | 60.70 | 403 | 62.00 | 404 | 63.60 |

Table C-22. Profile Index obtained with ARRB Profiler

| 0.0 in. Blanking Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Layer Profiled | *Segment | Profile Index, in/mile | *Segment | Profile Index, in/mile | *Segment | Profile Index, in/mile |
| North Tangent | 1 | 27.92 | 1 | 29.47 | 1 | 27.92 |
|  | 2 | 26.54 | 2 | 28.04 | 2 | 27.04 |
|  | 3 | 26.54 | 3 | 31.54 | 3 | 28.54 |
|  | 4 | 28.04 | 4 | 31.54 | 4 | 28.04 |
|  | 5 | 44.24 | 5 | 38.78 | 5 | 34.34 |
| South Tangent | 1 | 25.33 | 1 | 24.82 | 1 | 22.23 |
|  | 2 | 28.04 | 2 | 28.54 | 2 | 25.54 |
|  | 3 | 27.04 | 3 | 27.54 | 3 | 21.53 |
|  | 4 | 32.05 | 4 | 34.55 | 4 | 26.04 |
|  | 5 | 19.79 | 5 | 20.73 | 5 | 22.16 |
| Wearing | 1 | 17.85 | 1 | 21.42 | 1 | 20.66 |
|  | 1 | 24.30 | 1 | 24.30 | 1 | 25.85 |
|  | 2 | 29.04 | 2 | 28.54 | 2 | 26.04 |
|  | 3 | 26.29 | 3 | 27.32 | 3 | 28.35 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HMA } \\ \text { Base } \\ \text { Layer } 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 23.27 | 1 | 25.33 | 1 | 24.30 |
|  | 2 | 31.81 | 2 | 26.68 | 2 | 32.31 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Granular } \\ \text { Base } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 471.52 | 1 | 483.93 | 1 | 493.75 |
|  | 2 | 84.12 | 2 | 83.12 | 2 | 81.12 |
|  | 3 | 82.09 | 3 | 75.93 | 3 | 80.24 |
| Subgrade | 1 | 115.29 | 1 | N/A | 1 | N/A |
|  | 2 | 100.14 | 2 | N/A | 2 | N/A |
|  | 3 | 92.97 | 3 | N/A | 3 | N/A |

*Segment $=0.1$ mile interval ( $\mathbf{5 2 8} \mathbf{f t}$ )

Table C-23. Profile Index obtained with ARRB Profiler

| 0.1 in. Blanking Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Layer <br> Profiled | *Segment | ProfiIe <br> Index, in/mile | *Segment | ProfiIe Index, in/mile | *Segment | ProfiIe Index, in/mile |
| North <br> Tangent |  | 14.99 |  | 14.48 | 1 | 15.51 |
|  | 2 | 17.52 | 2 | 18.53 | 2 | 16.52 |
|  | 3 | 13.52 | 3 | 19.03 | 3 | 11.52 |
|  | 4 | 15.02 | 4 | 18.03 | 4 | 15.02 |
|  | 5 | 30.63 | 5 | 25.06 | 5 | 23.82 |
| South Tangent | 1 | 12.41 | 1 | 11.37 | 1 | 14.48 |
|  | 2 | 16.02 | 2 | 19.03 | 2 | 20.03 |
|  | 3 | 12.02 | 3 | 11.52 | 3 | 8.01 |
|  | 4 | 17.52 | 4 | 20.03 | 4 | 17.52 |
|  | 5 | 7.80 | 5 | 9.22 | 5 | 9.66 |
| Wearing | 1 | 6.43 | 1 | 8.57 | 1 | 9.26 |
| HMA Base Layer 1 | 1 | 12.93 | 1 | 14.99 | 1 | 10.86 |
|  | 2 | 18.53 | 2 | 18.53 | 2 | 16.52 |
|  | 3 | 12.37 | 3 | 12.37 | 3 | 15.46 |
| HMA Base Layer 2 | 1 | 12.93 | 1 | 14.48 | 1 | 11.89 |
|  | 2 | 16.42 | 2 | 17.44 | 2 | 18.76 |
| Granular Base | 1 | 458.59 | 1 | 466.35 | 1 | 477.72 |
|  | 2 | 67.10 | 2 | 66.09 | 2 | 60.09 |
|  | 3 | 70.37 | 3 | 66.18 | 3 | 74.51 |
| Subgrade | 1 | 99.78 | 1 | N/A | 1 | N/A |
|  | 2 | 87.62 | 2 | N/A | 2 | N/A |
|  | 3 | 82.36 | 3 | N/A | 3 | N/A |

*Segment $=0.1$ mile interval ( $\mathbf{5 2 8} \mathbf{f t}$ )

Table C-24. Profile Index obtained with ARRB Profiler

| 0.2 in. Blanking Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Layer } \\ \text { Profiled } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | *Segment | Profile Index, in/mile | *Segment | Profile Index, in/mile | *Segment | Profile Index, in/mile |
| North <br> Tangent | 1 | 6.72 | 1 | 7.24 | 1 | 7.24 |
|  | 2 | 10.51 | 2 | 9.51 | 2 | 8.51 |
|  | 3 | 5.01 | 3 | 10.51 | 3 | 3.50 |
|  | 4 | 7.51 | 4 | 8.51 | 4 | 6.51 |
|  | 5 | 22.12 | 5 | 17.30 | 5 | 14.96 |
| South <br> Tangent | 1 | 5.69 | 1 | 5.17 | 1 | 5.69 |
|  | 2 | 10.51 | 2 | 12.02 | 2 | 12.02 |
|  | 3 | 4.51 | 3 | 4.01 | 3 | 4.01 |
|  | 4 | 12.52 | 4 | 13.02 | 4 | 12.02 |
|  | 5 | 3.00 | 5 | 2.88 | 5 | 2.84 |
| Wearing | 1 | 1.43 | 1 | 2.14 | 1 | 3.56 |
|  | 1 | 4.65 | 1 | 5.17 | 1 | 3.62 |
|  | 2 | 10.01 | 2 | 10.01 | 2 | 9.51 |
|  | 3 | 3.61 | 3 | 4.64 | 3 | 6.19 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { HMA } \\ \text { Base } \\ \text { Layer } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 4.65 | 1 | 5.69 | 1 | 7.24 |
|  | 2 | 10.26 | 2 | 12.31 | 2 | 11.46 |
| GranularBase | 1 | 449.29 | 1 | 453.42 | 1 | 459.11 |
|  | 2 | 49.57 | 2 | 51.07 | 2 | 43.56 |
|  | 3 | 55.58 | 3 | 52.84 | 3 | 57.32 |
| Subgrade | 1 | 80.14 | 1 | N/A | 1 | N/A |
|  | 2 | 72.60 | 2 | N/A | 2 | N/A |
|  | 3 | 68.72 | 3 | N/A | 3 | N/A |

*Segment $=0.1$ mile interval ( $\mathbf{5 2 8} \mathbf{f t}$ )

## APPENDIX D

Table D-1. Raw Data Collected with ARAN Van

| North Tangent 45 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |  |  |
| Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height |  |
| $\mathbf{f t}$ | in | ft | in | ft | in |  |
| 0.0000 | -0.3350 | 0.0000 | -0.2429 | 0.0000 | -0.0421 |  |
| 0.3374 | -0.3445 | 0.3374 | -0.2508 | 0.3374 | -0.0508 |  |
| 0.6748 | -0.3535 | 0.6748 | -0.2610 | 0.6748 | -0.0587 |  |
| 1.0122 | -0.3634 | 1.0122 | -0.2713 | 1.0122 | -0.0665 |  |
| 1.3496 | -0.3713 | 1.3496 | -0.2831 | 1.3496 | -0.0744 |  |
| 1.6870 | -0.3787 | 1.6870 | -0.2898 | 1.6870 | -0.0807 |  |
| 2.0244 | -0.3925 | 2.0244 | -0.2914 | 2.0244 | -0.0878 |  |
| 2.3618 | -0.3965 | 2.3618 | -0.2925 | 2.3618 | -0.0870 |  |
| 2.6992 | -0.3925 | 2.6992 | -0.2925 | 2.6992 | -0.0843 |  |
| 3.0366 | -0.3976 | 3.0366 | -0.2945 | 3.0366 | -0.0854 |  |
| 3.3740 | -0.4063 | 3.3740 | -0.2976 | 3.3740 | -0.0890 |  |
| 3.7114 | -0.4161 | 3.7114 | -0.2996 | 3.7114 | -0.1008 |  |

Table D-2. Raw Data Collected with ARAN Van

| South Tangent 45 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |  |
| Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height |
| ft | in | ft | in | ft | in |
| 0.0000 | -0.3095 | 0.0000 | -0.3095 | 0.0000 | -0.3004 |
| 0.3374 | -0.3150 | 0.3374 | -0.3063 | 0.3374 | -0.3225 |
| 0.6748 | -0.3201 | 0.6748 | -0.3059 | 0.6748 | -0.3402 |
| 1.0122 | -0.3209 | 1.0122 | -0.3158 | 1.0122 | -0.3394 |
| 1.3496 | -0.3197 | 1.3496 | -0.3256 | 1.3496 | -0.3315 |
| 1.6870 | -0.3252 | 1.6870 | -0.3299 | 1.6870 | -0.3339 |
| 2.0244 | -0.3362 | 2.0244 | -0.3339 | 2.0244 | -0.3449 |
| 2.3618 | -0.3378 | 2.3618 | -0.3433 | 2.3618 | -0.3622 |
| 2.6992 | -0.3394 | 2.6992 | -0.3461 | 2.6992 | -0.3673 |
| 3.0366 | -0.3520 | 3.0366 | -0.3457 | 3.0366 | -0.3662 |
| 3.3740 | -0.3579 | 3.3740 | -0.3504 | 3.3740 | -0.3776 |
| 3.7114 | -0.3488 | 3.7114 | -0.3508 | 3.7114 | -0.3862 |

Table D-3. Raw Data Collected with ARAN Van

| North Tangent 15 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height |
| $\mathbf{f t}$ | in | ft | in | ft | in |
| 0.0000 | -1.58584 | 0.0000 | -0.15277 | 0.0000 | 0.67952 |
| 0.3374 | -1.58269 | 0.3374 | -0.15001 | 0.3374 | 0.67243 |
| 0.6748 | -1.58623 | 0.6748 | -0.14450 | 0.6748 | 0.66495 |
| 1.0122 | -1.58938 | 1.0122 | -0.13702 | 1.0122 | 0.65904 |
| 1.3496 | -1.58899 | 1.3496 | -0.13663 | 1.3496 | 0.65156 |
| 1.6870 | -1.58820 | 1.6870 | -0.14292 | 1.6870 | 0.64172 |
| 2.0244 | -1.59175 | 2.0244 | -0.14450 | 2.0244 | 0.63345 |
| 2.3618 | -1.59647 | 2.3618 | -0.14135 | 2.3618 | 0.62834 |
| 2.6992 | -1.59726 | 2.6992 | -0.14096 | 2.6992 | 0.62440 |
| 3.0366 | -1.59411 | 3.0366 | -0.14332 | 3.0366 | 0.62046 |
| 3.3740 | -1.59253 | 3.3740 | -0.14804 | 3.3740 | 0.61613 |
| 3.7114 | -1.59214 | 3.7114 | -0.15395 | 3.7114 | 0.61180 |

Table D-4. Raw Data Collected with ARAN Van

| South Tangent 15 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |  |
| Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height | Distance | Profile <br> Height |
| $\mathbf{f t}$ | in | $\mathbf{f t}$ | in | ft | in |
| 0.0000 | 0.83345 | 0.0000 | 0.22597 | 0.0000 | 0.93267 |
| 0.3374 | 0.83621 | 0.3374 | 0.21416 | 0.3374 | 0.91810 |
| 0.6748 | 0.83424 | 0.6748 | 0.21967 | 0.6748 | 0.92401 |
| 1.0122 | 0.83385 | 1.0122 | 0.23778 | 1.0122 | 0.91613 |
| 1.3496 | 0.80904 | 1.3496 | 0.24999 | 1.3496 | 0.87597 |
| 1.6870 | 0.77597 | 1.6870 | 0.25668 | 1.6870 | 0.83385 |
| 2.0244 | 0.77282 | 2.0244 | 0.26416 | 2.0244 | 0.80314 |
| 2.3618 | 0.77991 | 2.3618 | 0.27361 | 2.3618 | 0.78149 |
| 2.6992 | 0.76259 | 2.6992 | 0.28148 | 2.6992 | 0.77991 |
| 3.0366 | 0.72322 | 3.0366 | 0.28896 | 3.0366 | 0.77440 |
| 3.3740 | 0.68660 | 3.3740 | 0.29959 | 3.3740 | 0.74960 |
| 3.7114 | 0.65668 | 3.7114 | 0.30786 | 3.7114 | 0.73306 |

## APPENDIX E

Table E-1. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 52.8 ft Interval

| North Tangent @ 45 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 52.8 | 67.40 | 52.8 | 75.40 | 52.8 | 67.20 |
| 105.6 | 51.90 | 105.6 | 58.30 | 105.6 | 58.50 |
| 158.4 | 57.40 | 158.4 | 60.70 | 158.4 | 62.70 |
| 211.2 | 145.20 | 211.2 | 140.50 | 211.2 | 144.00 |
| 264.0 | 72.50 | 264.0 | 73.50 | 264.0 | 66.10 |
| 316.8 | 40.60 | 316.8 | 40.00 | 316.8 | 37.00 |
| 369.6 | 60.40 | 369.6 | 59.40 | 369.6 | 56.50 |
| 422.4 | 73.30 | 422.4 | 71.80 | 422.4 | 65.90 |
| 475.2 | 49.10 | 475.2 | 43.20 | 475.2 | 47.60 |
| 528.0 | 30.50 | 528.0 | 26.20 | 528.0 | 36.00 |
| 580.8 | 82.70 | 580.8 | 78.20 | 580.8 | 75.60 |
| 633.6 | 42.30 | 633.6 | 46.00 | 633.6 | 44.20 |
| 686.4 | 36.90 | 686.4 | 34.80 | 686.4 | 29.10 |
| 739.2 | 40.90 | 739.2 | 35.60 | 739.2 | 29.50 |
| 792.0 | 79.90 | 792.0 | 81.80 | 792.0 | 79.60 |
| 844.8 | 79.00 | 844.8 | 72.60 | 844.8 | 69.70 |
| 897.6 | 37.60 | 897.6 | 36.50 | 897.6 | 36.60 |
| 950.4 | 34.50 | 950.4 | 30.90 | 950.4 | 30.50 |
| 1003.2 | 130.40 | 1003.2 | 125.20 | 1003.2 | 133.90 |
| 1056.0 | 94.30 | 1056.0 | 97.40 | 1056.0 | 94.10 |
| 1108.8 | 50.00 | 1108.8 | 47.60 | 1108.8 | 46.50 |
| 1161.6 | 40.30 | 1161.6 | 38.30 | 1161.6 | 38.20 |
| 1214.4 | 88.40 | 1214.4 | 86.10 | 1214.4 | 90.00 |
| 1267.2 | 46.30 | 1267.2 | 45.40 | 1267.2 | 50.30 |
| 1320.0 | 39.30 | 1320.0 | 37.90 | 1320.0 | 38.90 |
| 1372.8 | 55.30 | 1372.8 | 47.20 | 1372.8 | 56.10 |
| 1425.6 | 89.60 | 1425.6 | 79.90 | 1425.6 | 92.80 |
| 1478.4 | 57.50 | 1478.4 | 52.30 | 1478.4 | 60.70 |
| 1531.2 | 36.00 | 1531.2 | 34.00 | 1531.2 | 36.10 |
| 1584.0 | 73.50 | 1584.0 | 69.80 | 1584.0 | 70.10 |
| 1636.8 | 73.70 | 1636.8 | 77.00 | 1636.8 | 75.90 |
| 1689.6 | 49.70 | 1689.6 | 51.80 | 1689.6 | 54.90 |
| 1742.4 | 39.80 | 1742.4 | 42.70 | 1742.4 | 46.20 |
| 1795.2 | 79.60 | 1795.2 | 76.10 | 1795.2 | 83.10 |
| 1848.0 | 61.30 | 1848.0 | 62.30 | 1848.0 | 63.30 |
| 1900.8 | 38.90 | 1900.8 | 34.90 | 1900.8 | 35.30 |
| 1953.6 | 34.50 | 1953.6 | 44.20 | 1953.6 | 48.00 |
| 2006.4 | 105.90 | 2006.4 | 102.40 | 2006.4 | 105.70 |
| 2059.2 | 68.90 | 2059.2 | 73.70 | 2059.2 | 70.10 |
| 2112.0 | 53.40 | 2112.0 | 52.90 | 2112.0 | 56.90 |
| 2164.8 | 138.20 | 2164.8 | 135.00 | 2164.8 | 135.30 |


| 2217.6 | 133.00 | 2217.6 | 130.70 | 2217.6 | 132.90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2270.4 | 48.30 | 2270.4 | 45.80 | 2270.4 | 59.10 |
| 2323.2 | 61.10 | 2323.2 | 63.60 | 2323.2 | 66.90 |
| 2376.0 | 80.90 | 2376.0 | 78.10 | 2376.0 | 82.00 |
| 2428.8 | 153.70 | 2428.8 | 156.20 | 2428.8 | 160.40 |
| 2481.6 | 86.70 | 2481.6 | 85.20 | 2481.6 | 89.60 |
| 2534.4 | 44.50 | 2534.4 | 51.80 | 2534.4 | 47.90 |
| 2587.2 | 128.00 | 2587.2 | 130.60 | 2587.2 | 131.20 |
| 2640.0 | 153.80 | 2640.0 | 156.00 | 2640.0 | 154.70 |

Table E-2. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 52.8 ft Interval

| North Tangent @ 15 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 52.8 | 68.70 | 52.8 | 112.60 | 52.8 | 66.00 |
| 105.6 | 65.60 | 105.6 | 76.90 | 105.6 | 54.40 |
| 158.4 | 112.10 | 158.4 | 37.70 | 158.4 | 62.40 |
| 211.2 | 77.70 | 211.2 | 59.80 | 211.2 | 148.60 |
| 264.0 | 61.70 | 264.0 | 141.10 | 264.0 | 74.00 |
| 316.8 | 61.00 | 316.8 | 66.80 | 316.8 | 46.60 |
| 369.6 | 94.50 | 369.6 | 34.60 | 369.6 | 62.00 |
| 422.4 | 97.80 | 422.4 | 53.90 | 422.4 | 70.10 |
| 475.2 | 81.50 | 475.2 | 69.80 | 475.2 | 60.50 |
| 528.0 | 60.60 | 528.0 | 43.90 | 528.0 | 49.80 |
| 580.8 | 126.20 | 580.8 | 25.90 | 580.8 | 81.50 |
| 633.6 | 72.50 | 633.6 | 79.30 | 633.6 | 48.20 |
| 686.4 | 90.30 | 686.4 | 51.00 | 686.4 | 28.90 |
| 739.2 | 75.90 | 739.2 | 52.90 | 739.2 | 37.80 |
| 792.0 | 81.30 | 792.0 | 40.50 | 792.0 | 80.70 |
| 844.8 | 60.90 | 844.8 | 83.40 | 844.8 | 88.50 |
| 897.6 | 63.90 | 897.6 | 75.80 | 897.6 | 32.30 |
| 950.4 | 50.90 | 950.4 | 35.20 | 950.4 | 26.80 |
| 1003.2 | 136.80 | 1003.2 | 38.10 | 1003.2 | 133.50 |
| 1056.0 | 67.00 | 1056.0 | 138.00 | 1056.0 | 97.70 |
| 1108.8 | 55.00 | 1108.8 | 100.90 | 1108.8 | 44.90 |
| 1161.6 | 75.50 | 1161.6 | 49.60 | 1161.6 | 46.60 |
| 1214.4 | 71.40 | 1214.4 | 42.60 | 1214.4 | 94.60 |
| 1267.2 | 63.30 | 1267.2 | 91.40 | 1267.2 | 51.00 |
| 1320.0 | 58.30 | 1320.0 | 49.40 | 1320.0 | 35.90 |
| 1372.8 | 77.80 | 1372.8 | 38.50 | 1372.8 | 59.00 |
| 1425.6 | 70.20 | 1425.6 | 52.70 | 1425.6 | 90.40 |
| 1478.4 | 61.60 | 1478.4 | 87.90 | 1478.4 | 57.70 |
| 1531.2 | 67.10 | 1531.2 | 56.30 | 1531.2 | 32.20 |
| 1584.0 | 100.30 | 1584.0 | 41.40 | 1584.0 | 90.90 |


| 1636.8 | 89.40 | 1636.8 | 101.40 | 1636.8 | 75.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1689.6 | 76.40 | 1689.6 | 75.50 | 1689.6 | 51.30 |
| 1742.4 | 83.80 | 1742.4 | 53.20 | 1742.4 | 46.60 |
| 1795.2 | 118.20 | 1795.2 | 45.80 | 1795.2 | 82.30 |
| 1848.0 | 81.50 | 1848.0 | 87.40 | 1848.0 | 73.50 |
| 1900.8 | 63.60 | 1900.8 | 60.20 | 1900.8 | 39.00 |
| 1953.6 | 60.40 | 1953.6 | 36.70 | 1953.6 | 46.80 |
| 2006.4 | 173.40 | 2006.4 | 37.80 | 2006.4 | 122.20 |
| 2059.2 | 88.60 | 2059.2 | 130.00 | 2059.2 | 76.90 |
| 2112.0 | 85.50 | 2112.0 | 79.40 | 2112.0 | 69.20 |
| 2164.8 | 163.30 | 2164.8 | 54.50 | 2164.8 | 137.60 |
| 2217.6 | 127.70 | 2217.6 | 134.80 | 2217.6 | 152.20 |
| 2270.4 | 92.50 | 2270.4 | 131.00 | 2270.4 | 66.30 |
| 2323.2 | 96.20 | 2323.2 | 52.60 | 2323.2 | 77.10 |
| 2376.0 | 154.50 | 2376.0 | 67.70 | 2376.0 | 64.60 |
| 2428.8 | 147.40 | 2428.8 | 103.30 | 2428.8 | 173.90 |
| 2481.6 | 98.10 | 2481.6 | 153.40 | 2481.6 | 97.30 |
| 2534.4 | 107.30 | 2534.4 | 93.70 | 2534.4 | 65.10 |
| 2587.2 | 138.60 | 2587.2 | 62.10 | 2587.2 | 141.50 |
| 2640.0 | 128.50 | 2640.0 | 142.10 | 2640.0 | 161.30 |

Table E-3. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 52.8 ft Interval

| South Tangent @ 45 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 52.8 | 35.60 | 52.8 | 38.50 | 52.8 | 45.40 |
| 105.6 | 45.60 | 105.6 | 35.20 | 105.6 | 33.20 |
| 158.4 | 76.40 | 158.4 | 73.90 | 158.4 | 80.10 |
| 211.2 | 40.60 | 211.2 | 45.00 | 211.2 | 47.40 |
| 264.0 | 27.00 | 264.0 | 29.60 | 264.0 | 30.40 |
| 316.8 | 31.90 | 316.8 | 27.60 | 316.8 | 28.80 |
| 369.6 | 104.10 | 369.6 | 79.20 | 369.6 | 78.50 |
| 422.4 | 31.90 | 422.4 | 57.40 | 422.4 | 58.00 |
| 475.2 | 24.40 | 475.2 | 24.20 | 475.2 | 36.10 |
| 528.0 | 18.90 | 528.0 | 21.20 | 528.0 | 18.40 |
| 580.8 | 89.70 | 580.8 | 92.90 | 580.8 | 88.20 |
| 633.6 | 112.90 | 633.6 | 116.70 | 633.6 | 105.00 |
| 686.4 | 42.50 | 686.4 | 45.20 | 686.4 | 42.60 |
| 739.2 | 38.90 | 739.2 | 32.70 | 739.2 | 35.90 |
| 792.0 | 170.00 | 792.0 | 157.70 | 792.0 | 147.50 |
| 844.8 | 88.30 | 844.8 | 98.30 | 844.8 | 100.80 |
| 897.6 | 58.10 | 897.6 | 56.70 | 897.6 | 54.20 |
| 950.4 | 72.40 | 950.4 | 61.30 | 950.4 | 61.10 |
| 1003.2 | 69.10 | 1003.2 | 67.00 | 1003.2 | 76.30 |


| 1056.0 | 40.80 | 1056.0 | 39.70 | 1056.0 | 40.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1108.8 | 25.00 | 1108.8 | 21.10 | 1108.8 | 15.30 |
| 1161.6 | 119.10 | 1161.6 | 111.20 | 1161.6 | 100.20 |
| 1214.4 | 59.70 | 1214.4 | 73.70 | 1214.4 | 68.50 |
| 1267.2 | 39.70 | 1267.2 | 35.10 | 1267.2 | 40.80 |
| 1320.0 | 35.30 | 1320.0 | 35.50 | 1320.0 | 37.10 |
| 1372.8 | 154.80 | 1372.8 | 151.90 | 1372.8 | 150.10 |
| 1425.6 | 60.40 | 1425.6 | 48.80 | 1425.6 | 47.90 |
| 1478.4 | 27.60 | 1478.4 | 29.80 | 1478.4 | 30.00 |
| 1531.2 | 28.00 | 1531.2 | 28.10 | 1531.2 | 27.80 |
| 1584.0 | 132.00 | 1584.0 | 114.80 | 1584.0 | 109.30 |
| 1636.8 | 42.70 | 1636.8 | 53.10 | 1636.8 | 57.80 |
| 1689.6 | 23.70 | 1689.6 | 27.30 | 1689.6 | 19.90 |
| 1742.4 | 42.50 | 1742.4 | 39.80 | 1742.4 | 33.30 |
| 1795.2 | 192.90 | 1795.2 | 189.20 | 1795.2 | 178.00 |
| 1848.0 | 81.20 | 1848.0 | 93.10 | 1848.0 | 94.00 |
| 1900.8 | 31.90 | 1900.8 | 37.00 | 1900.8 | 36.50 |
| 1953.6 | 51.90 | 1953.6 | 45.00 | 1953.6 | 43.90 |
| 2006.4 | 48.90 | 2006.4 | 43.00 | 2006.4 | 56.90 |
| 2059.2 | 28.20 | 2059.2 | 34.90 | 2059.2 | 41.10 |
| 2112.0 | 51.80 | 2112.0 | 36.00 | 2112.0 | 47.10 |
| 2164.8 | 64.20 | 2164.8 | 64.70 | 2164.8 | 62.10 |
| 2217.6 | 93.50 | 2217.6 | 84.00 | 2217.6 | 87.80 |
| 2270.4 | 82.40 | 2270.4 | 80.80 | 2270.4 | 78.30 |
| 2323.2 | 46.00 | 2323.2 | 41.70 | 2323.2 | 43.10 |
| 2376.0 | 64.10 | 2376.0 | 46.50 | 2376.0 | 43.60 |
| 2428.8 | 58.50 | 2428.8 | 58.20 | 2428.8 | 63.90 |
| 2481.6 | 64.70 | 2481.6 | 62.10 | 2481.6 | 61.60 |
| 2534.4 | 43.60 | 2534.4 | 38.30 | 2534.4 | 45.20 |
| 2587.2 | 90.70 | 2587.2 | 87.80 | 2587.2 | 91.10 |
| 2640.0 | 35.20 | 2640.0 | 40.20 | 2640.0 | 37.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table E-4. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 52.8 ft Interval

| South Tangent @ 15 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |  |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |  |
| 52.8 | 96.70 | 52.8 | 138.30 | 52.8 | 107.70 |  |
| 105.6 | 49.10 | 105.6 | 84.50 | 105.6 | 58.00 |  |
| 158.4 | 58.10 | 158.4 | 55.00 | 158.4 | 50.00 |  |
| 211.2 | 93.80 | 211.2 | 60.00 | 211.2 | 73.90 |  |
| 264.0 | 99.10 | 264.0 | 82.60 | 264.0 | 98.10 |  |
| 316.8 | 53.40 | 316.8 | 30.60 | 316.8 | 25.90 |  |
| 369.6 | 62.30 | 369.6 | 37.00 | 369.6 | 38.70 |  |
| 422.4 | 107.50 | 422.4 | 72.50 | 422.4 | 71.10 |  |


| 475.2 | 50.80 | 475.2 | 46.20 | 475.2 | 37.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 528.0 | 55.70 | 528.0 | 31.40 | 528.0 | 27.80 |
| 580.8 | 49.40 | 580.8 | 29.50 | 580.8 | 31.90 |
| 633.6 | 98.50 | 633.6 | 82.50 | 633.6 | 89.70 |
| 686.4 | 65.50 | 686.4 | 53.50 | 686.4 | 43.80 |
| 739.2 | 54.30 | 739.2 | 31.30 | 739.2 | 26.00 |
| 792.0 | 45.20 | 792.0 | 27.30 | 792.0 | 21.00 |
| 844.8 | 121.80 | 844.8 | 87.60 | 844.8 | 90.50 |
| 897.6 | 49.10 | 897.6 | 112.50 | 897.6 | 110.90 |
| 950.4 | 56.00 | 950.4 | 44.80 | 950.4 | 38.90 |
| 1003.2 | 51.30 | 1003.2 | 33.00 | 1003.2 | 37.50 |
| 1056.0 | 159.60 | 1056.0 | 173.70 | 1056.0 | 182.80 |
| 1108.8 | 65.40 | 1108.8 | 108.10 | 1108.8 | 80.60 |
| 1161.6 | 70.90 | 1161.6 | 61.50 | 1161.6 | 51.20 |
| 1214.4 | 116.10 | 1214.4 | 70.00 | 1214.4 | 72.50 |
| 1267.2 | 108.70 | 1267.2 | 81.80 | 1267.2 | 62.60 |
| 1320.0 | 59.00 | 1320.0 | 51.00 | 1320.0 | 31.90 |
| 1372.8 | 55.00 | 1372.8 | 36.90 | 1372.8 | 30.80 |
| 1425.6 | 156.90 | 1425.6 | 118.80 | 1425.6 | 115.50 |
| 1478.4 | 75.90 | 1478.4 | 78.50 | 1478.4 | 64.00 |
| 1531.2 | 59.10 | 1531.2 | 66.70 | 1531.2 | 30.90 |
| 1584.0 | 58.10 | 1584.0 | 57.10 | 1584.0 | 30.50 |
| 1636.8 | 232.70 | 1636.8 | 159.60 | 1636.8 | 147.90 |
| 1689.6 | 60.90 | 1689.6 | 54.60 | 1689.6 | 56.70 |
| 1742.4 | 119.80 | 1742.4 | 48.80 | 1742.4 | 32.00 |
| 1795.2 | 105.10 | 1795.2 | 49.40 | 1795.2 | 36.20 |
| 1848.0 | 272.10 | 1848.0 | 112.50 | 1848.0 | 163.10 |
| 1900.8 | 56.00 | 1900.8 | 79.80 | 1900.8 | 46.90 |
| 1953.6 | 46.80 | 1953.6 | 79.40 | 1953.6 | 27.50 |
| 2006.4 | 172.10 | 2006.4 | 56.70 | 2006.4 | 48.10 |
| 2059.2 | 127.10 | 2059.2 | 188.10 | 2059.2 | 195.10 |
| 2112.0 | 47.90 | 2112.0 | 94.90 | 2112.0 | 79.70 |
| 2164.8 | 46.30 | 2164.8 | 33.60 | 2164.8 | 34.70 |
| 2217.6 | 104.60 | 2217.6 | 55.30 | 2217.6 | 52.60 |
| 2270.4 | 67.30 | 2270.4 | 53.40 | 2270.4 | 47.40 |
| 2323.2 | 58.90 | 2323.2 | 47.00 | 2323.2 | 37.30 |
| 2376.0 | 64.30 | 2376.0 | 61.10 | 2376.0 | 47.30 |
| 2428.8 | 103.70 | 2428.8 | 69.70 | 2428.8 | 55.00 |
| 2481.6 | 64.50 | 2481.6 | 99.90 | 2481.6 | 93.10 |
| 2534.4 | 53.00 | 2534.4 | 83.50 | 2534.4 | 80.50 |
| 2587.2 | 51.30 | 2587.2 | 61.90 | 2587.2 | 31.80 |
| 2640.0 | 98.40 | 2640.0 | 76.40 | 2640.0 | 51.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table E-5. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 528 ft Interval

| North Tangent @ 45 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 528 | 64.70 | 528 | 64.70 | 528 | 64.10 |
| 1056 | 65.80 | 1056 | 63.80 | 1056 | 62.10 |
| 1584 | 57.80 | 1584 | 54.10 | 1584 | 58.10 |
| 2112 | 60.60 | 2112 | 61.70 | 2112 | 64.00 |
| 2640 | 102.60 | 2640 | 103.40 | 2640 | 106.10 |

Table E-6. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 528 ft Interval

| North Tangent @ 15 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 528 | 78.00 | 528 | 69.50 | 528 | 69.30 |
| 1056 | 82.70 | 1056 | 62.40 | 1056 | 65.60 |
| 1584 | 70.40 | 1584 | 61.10 | 1584 | 61.10 |
| 2112 | 93.80 | 2112 | 70.90 | 2112 | 67.80 |
| 2640 | 123.30 | 2640 | 103.00 | 2640 | 114.30 |

Table E-7. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 528 ft Interval

| South Tangent @ 45 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 528 | 43.50 | 528 | 43.20 | 528 | 45.60 |
| 1056 | 78.10 | 1056 | 76.50 | 1056 | 74.90 |
| 1584 | 68.60 | 1584 | 66.30 | 1584 | 64.20 |
| 2112 | 59.30 | 2112 | 59.10 | 2112 | 59.90 |
| 2640 | 63.80 | 2640 | 59.80 | 2640 | 60.70 |

Table E-8. IRI Obtained with ARAN Van with 528 ft Interval

| South Tangent @ 15 MPH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 |  | Sample 2 |  | Sample 3 |  |
| Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI | Distance | IRI |
| ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile | ft. | in/mile |
| 528 | 72.60 | 528 | 63.70 | 528 | 58.90 |
| 1056 | 74.90 | 1056 | 68.10 | 1056 | 68.20 |
| 1584 | 82.50 | 1584 | 72.40 | 1584 | 56.00 |
| 2112 | 123.60 | 2112 | 92.60 | 2112 | 83.20 |
| 2640 | 71.60 | 2640 | 64.70 | 2640 | 53.00 |
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