## Resistance to colistin and carbapenem among Enterobacteriaceae recovered from human

## and animal sources is associated with multiple genetic mechanisms

by

Folasade Adekanmbi

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Auburn University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

Auburn, Alabama

August 7, 2021

Keywords: antimicrobial resistance; colistin; carbapenem; ESBL; humans; animals; USA; Nigeria

Copyright 2021 by Folasade Adekanmbi

Approved by

Chengming Wang, Chair, Professor, Pathobiology Benson Akingbemi, Professor, Anatomy, Physiology and Pharmacology Kellye Joiner, Associate Professor, Pathobiology Stuart Price, Associate Professor, Pathobiology Heather Walz, Assistant Professor, Clinical Sciences

#### Abstract

Colistin and carbapenem and are important last-resort antimicrobials to treat infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Understanding the genetic mechanisms conferring resistance to colistin and carbapenem and their prevalence are critical in the development of preventive measures. There is little information on resistance to colistin and carbapenem among *Enterobacteriaceae* in Nigeria and sub-Saharan Africa. Developing nations have poor sanitation practices and policies regulating use of antibiotics, enhancing the spread of antimicrobial resistance. The emergence of resistant bacteria to these last-resort drugs has necessitated a study to understand the genetic mechanisms conferring resistance and their prevalence, which is critical in the development of preventive and therapeutic measures. While there has been quite a number of investigations and good antimicrobial surveillance practices in the United States, very few reports are available about the colistin and carbapenem resistant bacteria in animals.

The research in this thesis aims to investigate the prevalence of colistin and carbapenem resistance from human and animals in Nigeria, and from pigs in the USA, and understand the genetic mechanisms driving the resistance. A total of 1,119 human (stool from outpatients and urine from ICU patients) and animal (rectal swabs from cattle, dogs, pigs, poultry) samples were collected from Benue State, Northcentral, Nigeria. 583 non-duplicate *Enterobacteriaceae* were recovered from these samples using phenotypic methods and whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Of the 583 isolates, 17.0% (99/583) were resistant to colistin, 18.9% (110/583) were resistant to carbapenem, and 9.1% (53/583) had concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance. PCR (*mcr-1* to

*mcr-9*) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) identified *mcr* in 21.2% (21/99) of colistinresistant isolates: *mcr-1.1* (n = 13), *mcr-8.1* (n = 5), *mcr-1.1* and *mcr-8.1* (n = 2), and *mcr-1.1* and *mcr-5* (n = 1). Of the 21 *mcr*-positive strains, 9 were isolated from human samples, with 8 being *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and 6 of these human *K. pneumoniae* had a high colistin MIC (>64 µg/mL). In contrast, 9 of the 12 *mcr*-positive animal isolates were *Escherichia coli*, of which only 2 had a colistin MIC of >64 µg/mL.

The carbapenem minimum inhibitory concentrations was between 2 and 32 µl/ml. Interestingly, none of the carbapenem resistant bacteria produced any carbapenemase genes. However, they had a combination of efflux pump mutations, outer membrane protein mutations, and production of extended spectrum beta-lactamases. The population structures of the bacterial isolates carrying concurrent colistin and carbapenem resistance were highly polyclonal, distributed into 37 different sequence types and characterized by the presence of internationally recognized high-risk clones in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST11, ST58, ST340-human isolates) and in *E. coli* (ST58, ST744, ST410 -animal isolates). Novel and existing mutations were also observed amongst the resistant isolates. *Escherichia coli* was the most commonly isolated organism from animal samples, while *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was most commonly isolated from human samples.

On the contrary, of the 85 commercial swine fecal samples collected Auburn, Alabama, USA, carbapenem-resistant isolate was not identified. However, molecular analysis by FRET-PCR identified *mcr* genes from 34.5% (38/110) isolates, with *mcr-2* gene as the most prominent 73.7% (28/38). Of the *mcr* positive isolates analyzed for speciation by 16S rRNA, *E. coli* (70.8%) was the most commonly isolated, followed by *K. pneumoniae* (25.0%), and *Salmonella enterica* (4.2%). In two of the *mcr*-positive isolates, WGS identified (*marA, ampC, pmrC,* 

*pmrE*, *pmrF*, and *bla*<sub>*EC*</sub>) known to confer multiple antibiotic resistance, and *bla*<sub>*AMPH*</sub> was identified in only one of the isolates.

This study is the first to report *mcr-1* in *Alcaligenes faecalis* and the emergence of *mcr-5* and *mcr-8* in Nigeria. WGS determined that *mcr-1* was localized on an IncX4 plasmid and that 95.2% of *mcr-1* harboring isolates (20/21) transferred colistin resistance successfully by conjugation. This study adds valuable information regarding resistance to colistin and carbapenem with implications for both human and animal health. These findings highlight the global spread of colistin resistance and emphasize the urgent need for coordinated global action to combat resistant bacteria. The presence of high-risk clones in these isolates provides worrisome evidence that humans and animals may serve as reservoirs and vectors for global microbial spread and should therefore be continuously monitored.

## Acknowledgment

I would be unable to complete my thesis without my graduate mentor, Dr. Chengming Wang, accepting me into his lab, training and mentoring me, and entrusting me with this project. Thank you for being an incredible model and leader in academia.

I would also like to appreciate my graduate committee members, Drs. Akingbemi, Joiner, Price, and Walz, who were supportive during the journey to completing my thesis while being generous with their time and patience.

My family members are my best support system, and I thank them all for supporting and cheering me on all through. My parents, Ebenezer and Bolajoko Adekanmbi; my siblings, Mrs. Catherine Fadoju, Tosin, and Mayokun Adekanmbi; and my favorite Uncle, Babatunde Adekanmbi. I do not take your love and immense support for granted.

Several hours of laboratory work were involved in getting this work done. I must thank my lab colleagues- Dr. Anil Poudel, Dr. Anwar Kalalah, Dr. Monirul Hoque, and Ms. Subarna Barua for their help. Worthy of mention is also Dr. Emmanuel Ngbede, whom I collaborated with for generating data for samples from Nigeria. It was a great experience working with you.

## **Table of Contents**

Abstract
Acknowledgments
List of Tables
List of Figures10
List of Abbreviations
Chapter 1: Literature review
1.1: Antimicrobial resistance
1.2: Mechanisms of resistance in Gram-negative <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> 15
1.2.1: Target site alteration15
1.2.2: Enzymatic degradation16
1.2.3: Decreased permeability of the bacterial outer membrane16
1.2.4: Efflux pumps 17
1.3: Resistance to antimicrobials of last resort17
1.4: Colistin resistance mechanisms18

1.4.1: Plasmid-mediated <i>mcr</i> genes19
1.4.2: Chromosomal mutations20
1.5: Carbapenem resistance mechanisms
1.5.1: Carbapenemases
1.5.2: Extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL)25
1.6: Colistin and carbapenem resistance in Nigeria27
1.7: Colistin and carbapenem resistance in the USA
References
Chapter 2: Genetic mechanisms of colistin and carbapenem resistance in <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> 47
2.1: Introduction
2.2: Materials and Methods 47
2.2.1: Sample collection47
2.2.2: Bacterial isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing
2.2.3: DNA extraction
2.2.4: Identification of bacterial species
2.2.5: Determination of colistin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)50
2.2.6: Detection of <i>mcr</i> -1 to <i>mcr</i> -9 by PCR
2.2.7: Determination of carbapenem MIC
2.2.8: Phenotypic assay for carbapenemase production
2.2.9: Conjugation experiment

2.2.10: Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and MLST54
2.2.11: Detection of mutations in genes related to colistin and carbapenem resistance56
2.2.12: Statistical analysis
2. 3: Results
2.3.1: Bacterial isolation from colistin and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in
Nigeria57
2.3.2: Colistin and carbapenem resistance mechanisms
2.3.3: WGS identified IncX4 harboring mcr-1.1 and other concurrent carbapenem-colistin
resistance mechanisms60
2.3.4: Conjugation experiment
2.3.5: Detection of mcr genes from colistin-resistant bacteria at Auburn
University61
2.3.6: WGS analysis of colistin-resistant bacteria at Auburn University62
2.4: Discussion
Conclusions
References

# List of Tables

Table 2.1: MLST of colistin-resistant K. pneumoniae isolated from humans and poultry86
Table 2.2: MLST of colistin-resistant E. coli isolated from humans and poultry
Table 2.3: Distribution of <i>mcr</i> genes in colistin resistant <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> recovered from
Nigeria
Table 2.4: Distribution of carbapenem and colistin resistant isolates recovered from humans and
animals in Nigeria94
Table 2.5: Characteristics and genotypic profile of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> expressing concurrent resistance to
carbapenem and colistin, Nigeria95
Table 2.6: Characteristics and genotypic profile of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> of animal origin
expressing concurrent resistance to carbapenem and colistin from Nigeria
Table 2.7: Phenotypic and genotypic resistance profile of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> of human origin
expressing concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance from Nigeria100
Table 2.8: Phenotypic and genotypic resistance profile of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> of animal origin
expressing concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance from Nigeria102
Table 2.9: Plasmid replicon profile of co-resistant Enterobacteriaceae    104
Table 2.10: Detection of mcr genes from swine fecal swabs in USA105

Table 2.11 Identification of resistance genes in two *E.coli mcr*- positive isolates in USA.....108

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Phylogenetic analysis of *mcr-5* identified in this study with other *mcr* genes.....109 Figure 2.2: Morphological characteristics of colistin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* on MacConkey agar (A) and CHROM<sup>TM</sup>agar COL-APSE (B)......110

## List of abbreviations

- AMR antimicrobial resistance
- MGE mobile genetic element
- HGT horizontal gene transfer
- ESBL extended-spectrum beta lactamase
- MDR multidrug resistant
- CRE carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae
- LPS lipid polysaccharide
- PBP penicillin binding protein
- CP-CRE carbapenemase-producing- carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae
- OMP outer membrane protein
- CARD comprehensive antibiotic resistance database
- EDTA ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
- IDSA infectious disease society of America
- LTAC long-term acute care
- AMU antimicrobial use
- ICU intensive care unit

- CLSI clinical & laboratory standards institute
- WGS whole genome sequencing
- BLAST basic local alignment search tool
- MLST multi locus sequence typing
- CIM carbapenem inactivation method

## **Chapter 1**

#### Literature review

## **1.1** Antimicrobial resistance

Antibiotics are natural or chemically synthesized agents capable of inhibiting the growth of microorganisms (bacteriostatic) or ultimately killing the microorganisms (bactericidal) (Fymat, 2017). Penicillin, a  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic, was the first discovered antimicrobial compound (Van Hoek et al., 2011). Antibiotics function to improve health through several mechanisms of actions which serve as the basis of classification into groups, including i) protein synthesis inhibition by macrolide, chloramphenicol, aminoglycoside, and tetracycline, ii) bacterial cell wall inhibition as seen in  $\beta$ -lactam and glycopeptide, iii) interruption of DNA and RNA synthesis in quinolone and rifampin and modification of cell energy metabolism (Van Hoek et al., 2011).

While antibiotics have saved numerous lives since their inception and effective use, the continued dependence and possibly over-dependence for both human and animal health globally also has its attendant detriments, in the form of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) (O 'Neill, 2015). AMR is the ability of microorganisms to counteract the effect of antibiotics used to treat infections by developing mechanisms that render the microorganisms resistant (Umeokonkwo et al., 2019). Antibiotic resistance crisis has been associated with antibiotics overuse, misuse, unregulated use, extensive agricultural use, and lack of new drugs in the pharmaceutical industry (Lee Ventola, 2015). Antibiotic-resistant bacteria cause infections resulting in reduced life quality, increased mortality, and recurring infection rates (Umeokonkwo et al., 2019). Microorganisms have undergone evolutionary changes overtime for adaptive living to circumvent the effects of antibiotics and to survive in their hosts.

Resistance genes in bacteria can be inherited through generations (intrinsic resistance) or through acquired resistance. Intrinsic resistance, defined as resistance due to the bacteria's inherent properties, includes the presence of chromosomal genes. This resistance type is through chromosomal genes present in the bacteria genome, and is seen in bacteria that have never been susceptible to the antibiotics (J. H. Lee, 2019). This resistance ability is innate and intrinsically related to the general physiology or anatomy of the microorganism, conferring resistance through several mechanisms and is not affected by the use (or misuse) of antibiotics (Capita & Alonso-Calleja, 2013). Acquired resistance, defined as resistance exhibited when a previously sensitive bacterium becomes non-susceptible through the acquisition of genetic materials exogenously (Christaki et al., 2020) on mobile genetic elements (MGEs), such as plasmids, through a phenomenon known as horizontal gene transfer (HGT). It can also occur through impermeability of the outer membrane as seen in Gram-negative bacteria, and removal of antibiotics out of the cells using the efflux pump through mutations Through HGT, antibiotic resistance can be transferred among interspecies and intraspecies of bacteria (Read & Woods, 2014). This transfer occurs through the spread of resistance genes from one bacterium to another by virus (bacteriophages) through transduction, and plasmid (extra-chromosomal DNA with a selfreplicating ability) transfer conjugation. Plasmids, extrachromosomal genetic material capable of autonomous replication, are the most effective means of acquired resistance mechanism and can be transmitted vertically and horizontally (Alanis, 2005). Conjugative plasmids are known to be readily transmitted horizontally, intra-species and inter-species and are crucial in pathogenic bacteria evolution (Johnson & Nolan, 2009).

## 1.2 Mechanisms of resistance in Gram-negative *Enterobacteriaceae*

*Enterobacteriaceae* are significant causes of serious infections, and many of the most important members of this family are becoming increasingly resistant to currently available antibiotics (Paterson, 2006). Bacteria have developed several antibiotic resistance mechanisms, including: i) alteration of antimicrobial targets in bacteria cells (e.g., antimicrobial intracellular receptor modification), ii) efflux pumps (by pumping out antimicrobials which have successfully penetrated the cell), iii) modifications to membrane permeability to antibiotics (e.g., outer membrane changes in some Gram-negative bacteria conferring impermeability to hydrophobic antibiotics such as beta-lactams or macrolides) (Ferri et al., 2017) iv) antibiotic enzymatic degradation, v) overproduction of target enzyme, and vi) acquisition of other metabolic pathways to those inhibited by the drug (Van Hoek et al., 2011).

#### **1.2.1** Target site alteration

One of the most common bacterial antibiotic resistance mechanisms is target site modification, applicable to almost all families of antibiotics (Munita & Arias, 2016). Through this resistance mechanism, the antimicrobial target site is altered, resulting in the prevention of the antimicrobial from binding to the bacterial cell, thereby disabling antimicrobial activity (Verraes et al., 2013). Such changes include enzymatic modifications to the binding site, point mutations in genes encoding the target site, and replacement of the original target. The goal of these changes is a reduction in the affinity of the antibiotic for the target site (Munita & Arias, 2016). An example of both target site modification and the structural gene mutation is seen in fluoroquinolone resistance through modification to the gene encoding DNA gyrase and DNA topoisomerase IV, respectively (Mukerji et al., 2017).

## 1.2.2 Enzymatic degradation

Through enzymatic degradation, antibiotics are inactivated using specific enzymes produced by the bacteria. Examples of enzymatic degradation include, secretion of  $\beta$ -lactamases such as AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases, extended-spectrum- $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs), and carbapenemases, which hydrolyze the  $\beta$ -lactam ring, conferring resistance to carbapenems, penicillins, and cephalosporins (Livermore & Woodford, 2006).

#### **1.2.3** Decreased permeability of the bacterial outer membrane

In Gram-negative bacteria, the outer membrane serves as a permeability barrier for many substances, including antibiotics. The outer membrane structure of Gram-negative bacteria is unique due to the presence of outer membrane proteins or porins, which are absent in Gram-positive bacteria (Mukerji et al., 2017). The innate low permeability of the bacterial outer membrane to antibiotics is the reason for intrinsic resistance in some Gram-negative bacteria. However, alterations in the outer membrane can result in acquired resistance. Considering the selective passage of substances into the cell through the outer membrane, a reduction in porin expression or porin loss changes the cell membrane permeability. Therefore, it restricts the entry of antimicrobials into the bacterial cell, inhibiting antibiotics, into the cell and the susceptibility of the bacteria to them. Generally, changes in porin expression alone lead to a low-level antibiotic resistance, but the resistance is enhanced in addition to other resistance mechanisms (Fernández & Hancock, 2012).

Porins are the primary route of entry of hydrophilic antibiotics. Hence,  $\beta$ -lactams, tetracyclines, and some fluoroquinolones are particularly affected by changes in the permeability of the outer membrane because they are hydrophilic (Pagès et al., 2008).

#### **1.2.4 Efflux pumps**

Efflux pumps are energy-dependent complex bacterial systems present on the cytoplasmic membrane capable of pumping toxic molecules out of the cell. By extruding substances, including antibiotics, out of the cells, antibiotics cannot reach the required concentration for antibacterial activity. The action of antibiotics is thus hindered, leading to resistance (Verraes et al., 2013). Efflux pumps have been identified in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and affect a wide range of antibiotics, including protein synthesis inhibitors, fluoroquinolones,  $\beta$ -lactams, carbapenems, and polymyxins. The efflux pump system could exhibit a broad-spectrum specificity by transporting multiple unrelated substances, as seen in MDR bacteria, or they may be substrate-specific, as seen in *tet* determinants for tetracycline and *mef* genes for macrolides in pneumococci (Poole, 2005). Genes encoding efflux pumps can be chromosomally encoded, contributing to intrinsic resistance in some bacteria e.g. *E. faecalis* inherent resistance to streptogramin A or located on MGEs in some others (Singh et al., 2002).

## **1.3** Resistance to antimicrobials of last resort

While the emergence of bacterial resistance to first-line antibiotics is an issue of concern, more disturbing is the resistance to drugs of last resort, also called last-line drugs. According to World Health Organization, WHO, drugs of last resort or in the reserve group are antibiotics to be used in the most severe circumstances when all other alternatives have failed. These extreme

circumstances are those infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms (WHO, 2019). Resistance to these last-line drugs has led to the fear of pan-drug resistance. The major critically important last-resort antimicrobials used to treat MDR Gram-negative bacteria include fluoroquinolones, extended-spectrum cephalosporins, carbapenems, and colistin (WHO, 2018).

#### **1.4** Colistin resistance mechanisms

Colistin is a cationic polypeptide antibiotic, a member of the polymyxin family of molecules, comprising five different chemical compounds (polymyxins A, B, C, D, and E) (Stefaniuk & Tyski, 2019a). One of the few remaining options for treating life-threatening infections mediated by MDR bacteria is colistin (polymyxin E). Colistin was first isolated in Japan from the spore-forming soil bacterium *Bacillus polymyxa subsp. colistinus* in 1947, and first used as an intravenous formulation in the 1950s (Bialvaei & Samadi Kafil, 2015b). Colistin was approved by the US FDA and has been in use since 1959 for treating infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria, including infectious diarrhea and urinary tract infections, as well as in bowel decontamination and for topical formulations to treat eye and ear infections (Landman et al., 2008).

As a drug of last resort, colistin is of great importance in treating conditions caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) that belong to multi-resistant isolates and has been classified as one of the critically important for human medicine (WHO, 2017). Despite its usefulness in treating MDR infections, the high rate of nephrotoxicity associated with colistin in humans prompted its disuse and subsequent replacement with safer antibiotics. However, the recent resistance to other drugs of last resort has necessitated the use of colistin again in humans. Colistin has a narrow spectrum activity and acts based on the electrostatic interaction between

colistin amino groups and lipid A subunits of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). In the LPS, colistin displaces Mg2+ and Ca2+ ions, disrupting the cell outer membrane, resulting in increased cell membrane permeability and eventual cell death.

Colistin has been used in human medicine and has also been used extensively in veterinary medicine over the years (Bos et al., 2013) in treating intestinal infections in pigs, poultry, and cattle, which were caused by *Enterobacterales* strains, mainly *E.coli* and *Salmonella* spp. (Liu et al. 2016). Bacterial colistin resistance can be mediated by plasmid-mediated resistance genes, known as the mobile colistin resistance gene (*mcr*) and chromosomal mutations.

#### 1.4.1 Plasmid-mediated *mcr* genes

So far, ten variants of the *mcr* genes have been reported (*mcr*-1 to 10) (Stefaniuk & Tyski, 2019b; Wang et al., 2020). In 2015, the first plasmid-mediated colistin resistance was detected in an *E. coli* strain isolated from food animals in China (Liu et al., 2016). There has been a surge of several reports of *mcr*- variants reported globally since then (Izdebski et al., 2016). The *mcr-2* gene was first identified in Belgium in 2016 from *E. coli* strains isolated from calves and pigs; this MCR-2 protein showed 80.65% identity to the *mcr-1* (Xavier et al., 2016). Meanwhile, in 2017, *mcr-3* was also isolated from *E. coli* in China, and the amino acid sequence of MCR-3 showed 32.5 and 31.7% amino acid identity to MCR-1 and MCR-2, respectively (Yin et al., 2017). The *mcr-4* gene was detected on *Salmonella* in 2017 (Carattoli et al., 2017) while *mcr-5* was identified in fermenting *Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica* serovar Paratyphi B (Borowiak et al., 2017). In 2018, three other *mcr* genes, *mcr-6*, -7 and -8 were identified (AbuOun et al., 2017, 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018). Furthermore, *mcr-9* was isolated in 2019 from a strain of *Salmonella* in Washington State, USA (Carroll et al., 2019).

These *mcr* variants have varying degrees of identity to the *mcr*-1 gene: *mcr*-2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, and -8, which share 81%, 32%, 34%, 36%, 83%, 35%, and 31% amino acid sequence identity, respectively, with mcr-1 (Nang et al., 2019). The *mcr-9* is most closely related to *mcr-3*, with a 4.5% amino acid identity, and is more commonly found in *Salmonella* than other *mcr* gene variants that are identified in *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* (Carroll et al., 2019). The *mcr-* genes are mostly localized on the IncI2, IncX4, and IncHI2 plasmids.

#### **1.4.2** Chromosomal mutations

Other important colistin-resistant mechanisms are overexpression of efflux pumps, outer membrane porin modifications, and reductions in the overall negative charge of the LPS (Bialvaei & Samadi Kafil, 2015a). In Gram-negative bacteria, colistin resistance is usually due to the reduced binding of colistin to the bacterial outer membrane, resulting from changes in the LPS due to changes in the two-component regulatory systems, PhoPQ and PmrAB (Bialvaei & Samadi Kafil, 2015a). In *K. pneumoniae*, the most critical chromosomal colistin resistance mechanism is modifying the *mgrB* gene, encoding the negative regulator of phoP/phoQ system (Jayol et al., 2015). The *mcr-1* has also been found to be associated with ESBL-producing isolates bearing *bla*CTX-M-1 and a human isolate with a *bla*KPC-2 carbapenemase gene (Al-Tawfiq et al., 2017).

#### **1.5** Carbapenem resistance mechanisms

Carbapenems, including meropenem, imipenem, ertapenem, and doripenem, are drugs of last resort, used to treat infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. Carbapenems have broad-spectrum activity against bacterial activity and a unique structure,

having a  $\beta$ -lactam ring, which offers protection to the carbapenems against most carbapenem degrading enzymes such as  $\beta$ -lactamases, including Metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases and extendedspectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs) (Hwang & Gums, 2016). Bacterial cell walls are composed of a peptidoglycan polymer, whose synthesis is enabled by transpeptidase enzymes called the penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs). Carbapenems act by binding to the active sites of these PBPs irreversibly, resulting in the inhibition of transpeptidation of the peptidoglycan layer through crosslinking, thereby disrupting the cell wall synthesis (Fisher et al., 2005; Kapoor et al., 2017) Continued autolytic action of autolysins, a group of bacterial surface enzymes, results in final cell death. While the exact mechanism is uncertain, it is speculated that the autolysins function to create nicks in the cell wall, which act as attachment points for new peptidoglycan units. Hence, cell wall biosynthesis inhibition by  $\beta$ -lactams, together with continued cell wall autolysis, creates weak spots in the cell wall. The cell membrane, too weak to keep the hypertonic cell from rupturing by osmotic shock, finally ruptures, and the cell dies (Van Heijenoort, 2001).

Carbapenems, have been used extensively over the years due to failure of treatment with penicillin and cephalosporin, resulting in the emergence of carbapenem resistance (Patrice Nordmann et al., 2009). Carbapenem resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae* is mediated majorly by mutations in a target site, efflux pumps, porin, and enzymatic inactivation. Of these, enzyme production is the most common mcahnism. Generally, carbapenem resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* are classified as carbapenemase-producing CRE (CP-CRE) and non-carbapenemase-producing CRE (Suay-García & Teresa Pérez-Gracia, 2019). In CP-CRE, the main mechanism of carbapenem relies on the destruction of these compounds by the action of carbapenemases and other  $\beta$ - lactamases. These enzymes destroy the amide bond of the  $\beta$ -lactam ring, rendering the antimicrobial ineffective (Munita & Arias, 2016). The non-carbapenemase-producing CRE

produce ESBLs and AmpC enzymes and lose outer membrane porin (OMP) proteins (Satlin et al., 2017).

## 1.5.1 Carbapenemases

Amongst members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, plasmid-encoded carbapenemases were first seen in K. pneumoniae, however, carbapenem resistance has been reported in E. coli and other members of the Enterobacteriaceae (Cuzon et al., 2010; Perez et al., 2016). Enterobacteriaceae, such as Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Citrobacter freundii, and *Enterobacter cloacae*, are the most common pathogenic bacteria for nosocomial infections. However, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* are of the greatest interest because they are also the most clinically important, causing infections in the clinical setting (Hsu et al., 2017). While carbapenem resistance is more frequently observed in humans, there have been reports of carbapenem-resistant bacteria in food animals (poultry, cattle, pigs) and companion animals (dogs, cats, and horses) and in the environment (Mataseje et al., 2012; P. Nordmann et al., 2011). This is particularly alarming since carbapenems are not registered for use in animals, although off-label use occurs in cats and dogs. Carbapenemases that have been identified in *Enterobacteriaceae* are classified into 3 classes: the Ambler classes A, B, and D  $\beta$ -lactamases. Members of classes A and D, are beta-lactamases, having serine at their active site, while those belonging to class B are metalloenzymes, with zince at their active sites (Queenan & Bush, 2007).

Class A carbapenemases comprise some chromosomally encoded and plasmid-mediated enzymes. These beta-lactamases have been detected in *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia marcescens*, and *Klebsiella spp*. (Queenan & Bush, 2007) and they all actively hydrolyze

carbapenems and are partially inhibited by clavulanic acid. NmcA (not metalloenzyme carbapenemase A), SME (Serratia marcescens enzyme), IMI-1 (Imipenem-hydrolysing β-lactamase), and SFC-1 (Serratia fonticola carbapenemase-1 are all chromosomally-encoded, while KPC (KPC-2 to KPC-13), IMI (IMI-1 to IMI-3), derivatives (GES-1 to GES-20) of GES (Guiana extended-spectrum), are plasmid-mediated (Bedenić et al., 2014; P. Nordmann et al., 2011). KPCs are the most widespread and of clinical importance amongst members of this class and are most commonly reported in *Klebsiella*. Their global dissemination has been reported in Asian, North American, European, and African countries (Codjoe & Donkor, 2017).

Class B carbapenemases all possess the ability to hydrolyze but are unable to hydrolyze aztreonam and are susceptible to inhibition by ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), EDTA chelates Zn2+ and other divalent cations, resulting in the unique characteristic of this class of enzymes. The interaction of beta-lactams with zinc ions in the active site of the enzyme is what causes them to be inhibited by EDTA, a chelator of Zn2+ and other divalent cations. The most prominent members include New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase 1 (NDM-1), Imipenem-resistant Pseudomonas (IMP)-type carbapenemases, VIM (Verona integron-encoded metallo-βlactamase), GIM (German imipenemase) and SIM (Seoul imipenemase). These enzymeencoding genes are usually found inside a variety of integron structures and inserted into gene cassettes (Giakkoupi et al., 2003; Queenan & Bush, 2007). The first NDM case was reported in Klebsiella in India in 2009 (Yong et al., 2009) and has since been seen in most Enterobacteriaceae (Cui et al., 2019), while the first Enterobacteriaceae carrying IMP was seen in Japan (Watanabe et al., 1991) and has been reported in other Asian countries, with the highest report in China (P. Nordmann et al., 2011), and has since spread to the United States and Australia (Queenan & Bush, 2007).

Class D Carbapenemases are serine-lactamases, which are only moderately inhibited by EDTA or clavulanic acid. These carbapenemases belong to the OXA enzyme family and have a low carbapenem activity. In most countries including the United States and the United Kingdom, the enzymes are present mainly in non-fermenter species such as *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and occasionally in isolates of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family (Moquet et al., 2011; Laurent Poirel et al., 2011). The ability of OXA carbapenemases to rapidly mutate and increase their range of activity is a major source of concern (Codjoe & Donkor, 2017). Over the years, 102 unique OXA sequences were identified of which 9 are ESBLs and at least 37 are considered to be carbapenemases (Walther-Rasmussen & Høiby, 2006). In Turkey, the Middle East, North Africa, and Europe, OXA-48 is the most commonly seen in *K. pneumoniae*. Environmental *Acinetobacter* species have been found to carry the non-nosocomial OXA-24 strain, while the OXA-23 type, which is found worldwide, is more prevalent in the United States and Europe, and the OXA-58 group was identified in several outbreaks around the world (Evans & Amyes, 2014).

Carbapenemases have been known to commonly confer resistance amongst CP-CRE. However, other ESBLs have been identified to confer carbapenem resistance, often in association with porin and or efflux pump alterations (Codjoe & Donkor, 2017). Carbapenems are also used in treating infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria, producing extendedspectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs) and AmpC enzyme, as carbapenems are stable against these enzymes. However, in recent times, ESBLs have been known to confer carbapenem resistance, often in association with porin or efflux pump mutation (Ye et al., 2018).

#### **1.5.2** Extended Spectrum Beta-lactamases (ESBL)

ESBLs are  $\beta$ -lactamases, which have the ability to offer bacterial resistance to the penicillins, first-, second-, and third-generation cephalosporins, and aztreonam (but not the cephamycins or carbapenems), and which are inhibited by  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanic acid (Paterson & Bonomo, 2005a). This is the differing characteristics between ESBL and AmpC, as AmpC – producing organisms e.g., *Enterobacter cloacae* are not inhibited by clavulanic acid. The total number of ESBLs now characterized exceeds 200 (Paterson & Bonomo, 2005b).

Amongst the ESBLs, the most frequently encountered and clinically important are found in the Temoniera (TEM), sulfhydryl variable (SHV), and cefotaximase-Munich (CTX-M) families (Nwafia et al., 2019). ESBL producing isolates are most commonly found in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* (Yusuf et al., 2014), and these resistant strains are associated with a high rate of mortality rate, a higher financial burden on infected patients due to extended hospital stays and health costs (Nwafia et al., 2019).

TEM- is a common plasmid-mediated ESBL and was first isolated from *E. coli* from a human patient in Athens, Greece, named Temoneira (hence the designation TEM). They are found amongst Gram-negative bacilli (for example, *E. coli*). TEM-1 is the most common of these, and the ESBLs derived from TEM-1, TEM-2 or SHV-1 only differ by as few as one amino acid from their progenitor. Well over 100 TEM-type beta-lactamases have been described to date (Paterson, 2006).

CTX-M, the second largest group of ESBLs, with about 40 members, is a plasmidencoded ESBL commonly found in *K. pneumoniae*, *E. coli*, and other *Enterobacteriaceae* around the world. There is evidence that the enzyme was derived from a bacterium of paramount human

pathogenic importance, the *Kluyvera spp.*, through horizontal gene transfer, as opposed to other class A ESBL members like TEM-3, which were derived from TEM or SHV (Rawat & Nair, 2010). The potent hydrolytic activity of the group of beta-lactamases is reflected in the name CTX, and organisms producing the CTX-M- type beta-lactamases could also harbor SHV-type ESBLs and AmpC-type beta-lactamases, altering the antibiotic resistance phenotype (Yan et al., 2000). Genes encoding CTX-M- enzymes are usually found on mobile genetic elements like insertion sequences (ISEcp1) and transposable elements (Tn402-like transposons), which can be captured by large plasmids giving rise to a wide range of dissemination. Hence, CTX-M enzymes are the most prevalent ESBL worldwide (Ye et al., 2018). The CTX-M producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, mostly *E. coli*, have been isolated from the community, causing urinary tract infections (Rawat & Nair, 2010).

SHV group of ESBL enzymes is also another large group of beta lactamase. SHV (sulfhydryl variable) has been found in a wide range of *Enterobacteriaceae*. The first *bla*<sub>SHV-1</sub> gene was identified from *E.coli* in the 1970s (Pitton, 1972). In recent years, they have been found in several environmental niches and outside of their most common clinical hosts- *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Over the years, more than 189 known SHV allelic variants with developed resistance to cephalosporin, monobactams, and carbapenems, have been identified. Generally, the SHV beta-lactamases are divided into three groups, based on their functional properties or molecular characteristics: 1) subgroup 2b having 37 variants, 2) subgroup 2br with 7 variants; and 3) and subgroup 2be with 46 variants. Of these SHV variants, there are at least 46 known SHV-ESBL genes together with more than 150 non-ESBL or unclassified alleles to date. The SHV-ESBLs are more frequently found in clinical isolates than any other ESBL-type. Members of the subgroup 2be, which are made up of the ESBLS, have the

ability to hydrolyze one or more oxyimino b-lactams (cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and aztreonam), and of these, seventeen variants are exclusively associated with clinical isolates of *K*. *pneumoniae*, which are *bla*SHV-6, *bla*SHV-13, *bla*SHV-16, *bla*SHV-18, *bla*SHV-23, *bla*SHV-45, *bla*SHV-64,*bla*SHV-66, *bla*SHV-86, *bla*SHV-90, *bla*SHV-91, *bla*SHV-98, *bla*SHV-99, *bla*SHV-100,*bla*SHV-104,*bla*SHV-105, and *bla*SHV-134. SHV variants are usually located on plasmids belonging to the replicon types: A/C, F, HI2, I1, L/M, N, X3), CoIE, K, P, and R. These variants have been seen globally and found in food animals such as poultry and swine, and in clinical isolates (Liakopoulos et al., 2016).

## **1.6** Colistin and carbapenem resistance in Nigeria

In most developing countries, including Nigeria, there are poor antimicrobial prescribing practices, no regulation of use, antibiotics are bought over the counter without prescription, leading to an increased risk of antimicrobial resistance (Chukwuani et al., 2002; Morency-Potvin et al., 2017). Not only is this high antimicrobial resistance seen in humans, but also in animals. In 2015, the global antimicrobial use in food animal was estimated at 63,000 tonnes annually and was expected to rise by about 70% in livestock, with the most significant increase expected to be seen in developing countries such as Nigeria, Indonesia, and Myanmar (Van Boeckel et al., 2015). In Nigeria, AMU in animals is usually for prophylactic, therapeutic, and growth promotion purposes (Adebowale et al., 2016). There is a high risk of AMR transfer from animals to humans as farmers and other farmworkers in Nigeria are often in close contact with the animals (Umeokonkwo et al., 2019). A report of alarming antibiotic use in the ICU has also been made in Nigeria. All (100%) of the patients on ICU admission in four health institutions across the nation were placed on at least one antibiotic (Oduyebo et al., 2017).

Colistin usage is commonly seen in food animals in Nigeria, especially in poultry production, accounting for 72% of its total use. Colistin is usually used therapeutically (57.3%) or in prophylaxis (42.2%), either alone or in combination with other antimicrobials (Bachiri et al., 2018; Tanfous et al., 2018). Several variants of *mcr (mcr-1.1, mcr-5, and mcr-8.1)* have also been reported in *Enterobacteriaceae* of human and animal origin in Nigeria. However, there have been no reports of the *mcr-2* to *mcr-7* and *mcr-9* genes in African countries (Ngbede et al., 2020a). A significant widespread of ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria has also been seen in all six geopolitical zones in Nigeria, but more studies have been carried out in the Southern part compared to the Northern part of Nigeria. *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were the most commonly identified isolates and the most reported ESBL enzymes were TEM, SHV, and CTX-M; in decreasing order of prevalence (Tanko et al., 2020).

While the insurgence and wide dissemination of ESBL producing and carbapenemresistant bacteria is a global issue, the problem was more severe in developing nations (Desalegn, 2013). In 2019, A 35% occurrence of ESBL producing *E. coli* was reported among hospitalized patients in Enugu, Northern Nigeria, with the *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> gene was most frequently seen. Other reports have also been made in Maiduguri, and *bla*<sub>SHV</sub> was the predominant gene reported (36.4%), followed by *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> (31.4%) and *bla*<sub>CTX-M</sub> (27.3%) (Nwafia et al., 2019).

## 1.7 Colistin and carbapenem resistance in the USA

In the United States, the prevalence of MDR *E. coli* among community isolates increased by more than 56% from the 1950s to the 2000s (Tadesse et al., 2012). Infections due to pan-drug resistant bacteria were observed in 60% of participants during a 2011 national survey conducted by IDSA Emerging Infections Network (Spellberg & Gilbert, 2014). Despite laws and policies

regulating the use of antibiotics in developed nations and the US as an example, the incidence of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* is a call for urgent public health measures, with the CRE resistant to almost all classes of antimicrobials (Schwaber & Carmeli, 2008). Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* constitute 92% of all the CRE, while the most common resistance mechanism found in these isolates is the  $bla_{KPC}$  (CDC, 2015), the ESBL producing *Enterobacteriaceae* has also been frequently reported amongst clinical isolates.

In the US, generally within the year 2007 to 2011, the incidence of ESBL infections has increased, with a higher occurrence for ESBL *Klebsiella* compared to ESBL *E. coli* (Sheu et al., 2018). The incidence rate of ESBL producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, increased from 3.2% to 34.7%, and that of *E.coli* ESBL changed from 5.2% to 20.1%, with the highest rates reported in the West North Central Region and the highest rates in the Mid-Atlantic region (Tamma & Villegas, 2017). Meanwhile, in 2011-2013, the *Klebsiella* isolates exhibiting ESBL phenotypes increased to 15% from isolates obtained from 79 US hospital 13, and an increase of 12% among *E.coli* isolates obtained from hospitals in the US (Pana & Zaoutis, 2018).

Colistin has not been used in food animals in the United States, and the prevalence of *mcr* gene has also been low, with most human infections associated with international travel (Henig et al., 2019). Despite its lack of use in animals, *mcr* genes have been isolated from pigs, poultry, and cattle in addition to environmental sources such as seas, rivers, and hospital sewage, and are frequently located on a wide range of plasmids such as IncI2, IncHI2, IncX4, IncP, IncF, and IncY (Jeannot et al., 2017). The first report of a *K. pneumoniae* harboring coresistance to colistin and carbapenem in the US was isolated from patients under long-term acute care (LTAC) in 2009 (Marchaim et al., 2011).

## References

- AbuOun, M., Stubberfield, E. J., Duggett, N. A., Kirchner, M., Dormer, L., Nunez-Garcia, J.,
  Randall, L. P., Lemma, F., Crook, D. W., Teale, C., Smith, R. P., & Anjum, M. F. (2017).
  mcr-1 and mcr-2 (mcr-6.1) variant genes identified in Moraxella species isolated from
  pigs in Great Britain from 2014 to 2015. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 72(10),
  2745–2749. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx286
- AbuOun, M., Stubberfield, E. J., Duggett, N. A., Kirchner, M., Dormer, L., Nunez-Garcia, J.,
  Randall, L. P., Lemma, F., Crook, D. W., Teale, C., Smith, R. P., & Anjum, M. F. (2018).
  mcr-1 and mcr-2 (mcr-6.1) variant genes identified in Moraxella species isolated from
  pigs in Great Britain from 2014 to 2015. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, *73*(10),
  2904–2904. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky272
- Adebowale, O. O., Adeyemo, O. K., Awoyomi, O., Dada, R., & Adebowale, O. (2016).
  Antibiotic use and practices in commercial poultry laying hens in Ogun State Nigeria. *Revue d'élevage et de Médecine Vétérinaire Des Pays Tropicaux*, 69(1), 41.
  https://doi.org/10.19182/remvt.31170
- Al-Tawfiq, J. A., Laxminarayan, R., & Mendelson, M. (2017). How should we respond to the emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance in humans and animals? https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.11.415

Alanis, A. J. (2005). Resistance to antibiotics: Are we in the post-antibiotic era? In Archives of Medical Research (Vol. 36, Issue 6, pp. 697–705). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcmed.2005.06.009

Aworh, M. K., Kwaga, J., Okolocha, E., Mba, N., & Thakur, S. (2019). Prevalence and risk factors for multi-drug resistant Escherichia coli among poultry workers in the Federal

Capital Territory, Abuja, Nigeria. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(11). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225379

- Bachiri, T., Lalaoui, R., Bakour, S., Allouache, M., Belkebla, N., Rolain, J. M., & Touati, A.
  (2018). First report of the plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene mcr-1 in Escherichia coli ST405 Isolated from Wildlife in Bejaia, Algeria. *Microbial Drug Resistance*, 24(7), 890–895. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2017.0026
- Bedenić, B., Plečko, V., Sardelić, S., Uzunović, S., & Godič Torkar, K. (2014). Carbapenemases in gram-negative bacteria: laboratory detection and clinical significance. *BioMed Research International*, 2014, 841951. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/841951
- Bialvaei, A. Z., & Samadi Kafil, H. (2015a). Colistin, mechanisms and prevalence of resistance. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 31(4), 707–721. https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2015.1018989
- Bialvaei, A. Z., & Samadi Kafil, H. (2015b). Colistin, mechanisms and prevalence of resistance. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 31(4), 707–721. https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2015.1018989
- Borowiak, M., Fischer, J., Hammerl, J. A., Hendriksen, R. S., Szabo, I., & Malorny, B. (2017).
  Identification of a novel transposon-associated phosphoethanolamine transferase gene, mcr-5, conferring colistin resistance in d-tartrate fermenting Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi B. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 72(12), 3317– 3324. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx327
- Bos, M. E. H., Taverne, F. J., van Geijlswijk, I. M., Mouton, J. W., Mevius, D. J., & Heederik,D. J. J. (2013). Consumption of Antimicrobials in Pigs, Veal Calves, and Broilers in TheNetherlands: Quantitative Results of Nationwide Collection of Data in 2011. *PLoS ONE*,

8(10), e77525. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077525

- Capita, R., & Alonso-Calleja, C. (2013). Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria: A Challenge for the Food Industry. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 53(1), 11–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2010.519837
- Carattoli, A., Villa, L., Feudi, C., Curcio, L., Orsini, S., Luppi, A., Pezzotti, G., & Magistrali, C.
  F. (2017). Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mcr-4 gene in Salmonella and Escherichia coli, Italy 2013, Spain and Belgium, 2015 to 2016. *Eurosurveillance*, 22(31). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.31.30589
- Carroll, L. M., Gaballa, A., Guldimann, C., Sullivan, G., Henderson, L. O., & Wiedmann, M. (2019). Identification of novel mobilized colistin resistance gene mcr-9 in a multidrugresistant, colistin-susceptible salmonella enterica serotype typhimurium isolate. *MBio*, *10*(3). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00853-19
- Christaki, E., Marcou, M., & Tofarides, A. (2020). Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria: Mechanisms, Evolution, and Persistence. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 88(1), 26–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-019-09914-3
- Chukwuani, C. M., Onifade, M., & Sumonu, K. (2002). Survey of drug use practices and antibiotic prescribing pattern at a general hospital in Nigeria. *Pharmacy World and Science*, 24(5), 188–195. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020570930844
- Cienfuegos-Gallet, A. V., Ocampo De Los Ríos, A. M., Sierra Viana, P., Ramirez Brinez, F.,
  Restrepo Castro, C., Roncancio Villamil, G., Del Corral Londoño, H., & Jiménez, J. N.
  (2019). Risk factors and survival of patients infected with carbapenem-resistant
  Klebsiella pneumoniae in a KPC endemic setting: A case-control and cohort study. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4461-x

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2018). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: 28thEdition Informational Supplement M100-S27; CLSI: Wayne, PA, USA, 2018.*
- Codjoe, F., & Donkor, E. (2017). Carbapenem Resistance: A Review. *Medical Sciences*, 6(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci6010001

Cui, X., Zhang, H., & Du, H. (2019). Carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae: Detection and Antimicrobial Therapy. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 1823. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01823

- Cuzon, G., Naas, T., Truong, H., Villegas, M. V., Wisell, K. T., Carmeli, Y., Gales, A. C., Navon-Venezia, S., Quinn, J. P., & Nordmann, P. (2010). Worldwide diversity of klebsiella pneumoniae that produces β-lactamase blaKPC-2 Gene. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *16*(9), 1349–1356. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1609.091389
- Desalegn, A. A. (2013). Assessment of drug use pattern using WHO prescribing indicators at Hawassa University teaching and referral hospital, south Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 13(1), 170. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-170
- Evans, B. A., & Amyes, S. G. B. (2014). OXA β-lactamases. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 27(2), 241–263. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00117-13
- Fernández, L., & Hancock, R. E. W. (2012). Adaptive and Mutational Resistance: Role of Porins and Efflux Pumps in Drug Resistance. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00043-12
- Ferri, M., Ranucci, E., Romagnoli, P., & Giaccone, V. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance: A global emerging threat to public health systems. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 57(13), 2857–2876. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1077192

- Fymat, A. L. (2017). Antibiotics and Antibiotic Resistance. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.26717/bjstr.2017.01.000117
- Giakkoupi, P., Xanthaki, A., Kanelopoulo, M., Vlahaki, A., Miriagou, V., Kontou, S.,
  Papafraggas, E., Malamou-Lada, H., Tzouvelekis, L. S., Legakis, N. J., & Vatopoulos, A.
  C. (2003). VIM-1 metallo-β-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae strains in Greek hospitals. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *41*(8), 3893–3896.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.8.3893-3896.2003
- Henig, O., Rojas, L. J., Bachman, M. A., Rudin, S. D., Brennan, B. M., Soehnlen, M. K., Jones, K. L., Mills, J. P., Dombecki, C. R., Valyko, A. M., Marshall, S. H., Bonomo, R. A., Kaye, K. S., & Washer, L. (2019). Identification of four patients with colistin-resistant Escherichia coli containing the mobile colistin resistance mcr-1 gene from a single health system in Michigan. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, *40*(9), 1059–1062. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2019.177
- Hsu, L. Y., Apisarnthanarak, A., Khan, E., Suwantarat, N., Ghafur, A., & Tambyah, P. (2017).
  Carbapenem-resistant acinetobacter baumannii and enterobacteriaceae in South and
  Southeast Asia. In *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* (Vol. 30, Issue 1, pp. 1–22). American
  Society for Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00042-16
- Hwang, A. Y., & Gums, J. G. (2016). The emergence and evolution of antimicrobial resistance: Impact on a global scale. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry*, 24(24), 6440–6445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2016.04.027
- Izdebski, R., Baraniak, A., Bojarska, K., Urbanowicz, P., Fiett, J., Pomorska-Wesołowska, M., Hryniewicz, W., Gniadkowski, M., & Żabicka, D. (2016). Mobile MCR-1-associated resistance to colistin in Poland: Table 1. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, *71*(8),

2331-2333. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw261

- Jayol, A., Nordmann, P., Brink, A., & Poirel, L. (2015). Heteroresistance to colistin in Klebsiella pneumoniae associated with alterations in the PhoPQ regulatory system. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 59(5), 2780–2784. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05055-14
- Jeannot, K., Bolard, A., & Plésiat, P. (2017). Resistance to polymyxins in Gram-negative organisms. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 49(5), 526–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.11.029
- Johnson, T. J., & Nolan, L. K. (2009). Pathogenomics of the Virulence Plasmids of Escherichia coli. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 73(4), 750–774. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00015-09
- Kapoor, G., Saigal, S., & Elongavan, A. (2017). Action and resistance mechanisms of antibiotics: A guide for clinicians. In *Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology* (Vol. 33, Issue 3, pp. 300–305). Medknow Publications. https://doi.org/10.4103/joacp.JOACP\_349\_15
- Landman, D., Georgescu, C., Martin, D. A., & Quale, J. (2008). Polymyxins revisited. In *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* (Vol. 21, Issue 3, pp. 449–465). American Society for Microbiology (ASM). https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00006-08
- Larsen, M. V., Cosentino, S., Rasmussen, S., Friis, C., Hasman, H., Marvig, R. L., Jelsbak, L., Sicheritz-Pontén, T., Ussery, D. W., Aarestrup, F. M., & Lund, O. (2012). Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 50(4), 1355–1361. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11
- Lee, J. H. (2019). Perspectives towards antibiotic resistance: from molecules to population. In *Journal of Microbiology* (Vol. 57, Issue 3, pp. 181–184). Microbiological Society of
Korea. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-019-0718-8

- Lee Ventola, C. (2015). *The Antibiotic Resistance Crisis Part 1: Causes and Threats* (Vol. 40, Issue 4).
- Li, M., Jelocnik, M., Yang, F., Gong, J., Kaltenboeck, B., Polkinghorne, A., Feng, Z.,
  Pannekoek, Y., Borel, N., Song, C., Jiang, P., Li, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Y., Wang, J., Zhou,
  X., & Wang, C. (2017). Asymptomatic infections with highly polymorphic Chlamydia suis are ubiquitous in pigs. *BMC Veterinary Research*, *13*(1), 370.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-017-1295-x
- Liakopoulos, A., Mevius, D., & Ceccarelli, D. (2016). A review of SHV extended-spectrum βlactamases: Neglected yet ubiquitous. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7(SEP). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01374
- Liu, Y. Y., Wang, Y., Walsh, T. R., Yi, L. X., Zhang, R., Spencer, J., Doi, Y., Tian, G., Dong,
  B., Huang, X., Yu, L. F., Gu, D., Ren, H., Chen, X., Lv, L., He, D., Zhou, H., Liang, Z.,
  Liu, J. H., & Shen, J. (2016). Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance
  mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: A microbiological and
  molecular biological study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *16*(2), 161–168.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00424-7
- Livermore, D. M., & Woodford, N. (2006). The β-lactamase threat in Enterobacteriaceae,
  Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter. In *Trends in Microbiology* (Vol. 14, Issue 9, pp. 413–420). Trends Microbiol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2006.07.008
- Marchaim, D., Chopra, T., Pogue, J. M., Perez, F., Hujer, A. M., Rudin, S., Endimiani, A.,
  Navon-Venezia, S., Hothi, J., Slim, J., Blunden, C., Shango, M., Lephart, P. R., Salimnia,
  H., Reid, D., Moshos, J., Hafeez, W., Bheemreddy, S., Chen, T. Y., ... Kaye, K. S.

(2011). Outbreak of colistin-resistant, carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in Metropolitan Detroit, Michigan. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *55*(2), 593– 599. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01020-10

- Mataseje, L. F., Bryce, E., Roscoe, D., Boyd, D. A., Embree, J., Gravel, D., Katz, K., Kibsey, P., Kuhn, M., Mounchili, A., Simor, A., Taylor, G., Thomas, E., Turgeon, N., & Mulvey, M. R. (2012). Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacilli in Canada 2009-10: results from the Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program (CNISP). *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 67(6), 1359–1367. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks046
- Moquet, O., Bouchiat, C., Kinana, A., Seck, A., Arouna, O., Bercion, R., Breurec, S., & Garin, B. (2011). Class D OXA-48 Carbapenemase in Multidrug-Resistant Enterobacteria, Senegal. In *Emerging Infectious Diseases* (Vol. 17, Issue 1, pp. 143–144). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1701.100244
- Morency-Potvin, P., Schwartz, D. N., & Weinstein, R. A. (2017). Antimicrobial stewardship:
  How the microbiology laboratory can right the ship. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 30(1), 381–407. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00066-16
- Mukerji, S., O'Dea, M., Barton, M., Kirkwood, R., Lee, T., & Abraham, S. (2017). Development and transmission of antimicrobial resistance among Gram-negative bacteria in animals and their public health impact. *Essays in Biochemistry*, 61(1), 23–35. https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20160055
- Munita, J. M., & Arias, C. A. (n.d.). *Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance*. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015
- Munita, J. M., & Arias, C. A. (2021). *Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance*. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015

- Nang, S. C., Li, J., & Velkov, T. (2019). The rise and spread of mcr plasmid-mediated polymyxin resistance. In *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* (Vol. 45, Issue 2, pp. 131–161). Taylor and Francis Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2018.1492902
- Netikul, T., & Kiratisin, P. (2015). Genetic characterization of carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae and the spread of carbapenem-resistant klebsiella pneumonia ST340 at a university hospital in Thailand. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139116
- Ngbede, E. O., Poudel, A., Kalalah, A., Yang, Y., Adekanmbi, F., Adikwu, A. A., Adamu, A. M., Mamfe, L. M., Daniel, S. T., Useh, N. M., Kwaga, J. K. P., Adah, M. I., Kelly, P., Butaye, P., & Wang, C. (2020). Identification of mobile colistin resistance genes (mcr-1.1, mcr-5 and mcr-8.1) in Enterobacteriaceae and Alcaligenes faecalis of human and animal origin, Nigeria. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, *56*(3), 106108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106108
- Nordmann, P., Naas, T., & Poirel, L. (2011). Global Spread of Carbapenemase-producing. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1710.110655
- Nordmann, Patrice, Cuzon, G., & Naas, T. (2009). The real threat of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-producing bacteria. In *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* (Vol. 9). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70054-4
- Nwafia, I. N., Ohanu, M. E., Ebede, S. O., & Ozumba, U. C. (2019). Molecular detection and antibiotic resistance pattern of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing Escherichia coli in a Tertiary Hospital in Enugu, Nigeria. *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, 18(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-019-0342-9

O 'Neill, J. (2015). Antimicrobials in Agriculture and the Environment: Reducing Unnecessary

*Use and Waste the Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. December.* 

- Oduyebo, O., Olayinka, A., Iregbu, K., Versporten, A., Goossens, H., Nwajiobi-Princewill, P., Jimoh, O., Ige, T., Aigbe, A., Ola-Bello, O., Aboderin, A., & Ogunsola, F. (2017). A point prevalence survey of antimicrobial prescribing in four Nigerian Tertiary Hospitals. *Annals of Tropical Pathology*, 8(1), 42. https://doi.org/10.4103/atp.atp\_38\_17
- Olaitan, Abiola Olumuyiwa, Morand, S., & Rolain, J. M. (2016). Emergence of colistin-resistant bacteria in humans without colistin usage: A new worry and cause for vigilance.
   *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 47(1), 1–3.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.11.009
- Olaitan, Abiola Olumuyiwa, Thongmalayvong, B., Akkhavong, K., Somphavong, S.,
  Paboriboune, P., Khounsy, S., Morand, S., & Rolain, J.-M. (2015). Clonal transmission of a colistin-resistant *Escherichia coli* from a domesticated pig to a human in Laos:
  Table 1. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 70(12), dkv252.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv252
- Pagès, J. M., James, C. E., & Winterhalter, M. (2008). The porin and the permeating antibiotic:
  A selective diffusion barrier in Gram-negative bacteria. In *Nature Reviews Microbiology* (Vol. 6, Issue 12, pp. 893–903). Nature Publishing Group.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1994
- Pana, Z. D., & Zaoutis, T. (2018). Treatment of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae (ESBLS) infections: What have we learned until now? [version 1; referees: 2 approved]. *F1000Research*, 7(0), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.14822.1

Paterson, D. L. (2006). Resistance in gram-negative bacteria: Enterobacteriaceae. American

Journal of Infection Control, 34(5 SUPPL.), 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2006.05.238

- Paterson, D. L., & Bonomo, R. A. (2005a). Extended-Spectrum-Lactamases: a Clinical Update. *CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY REVIEWS*, 18(4), 657–686. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.18.4.657-686.2005
- Paterson, D. L., & Bonomo, R. A. (2005b). Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: A clinical update. In *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* (Vol. 18, Issue 4, pp. 657–686). https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.18.4.657-686.2005
- Pitton, J. S. (1972). Mechanisms of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. In *Ergebnisse der Physiologie, biologischen Chemie und experimentellen Pharmakologie* (Vol. 65, pp. 15– 93). Ergeb Physiol. https://doi.org/10.17816/ecogen1634-17
- Poirel, Laurent, Ros, A., Carrër, A., Fortineau, N., Carricajo, A., Berthelot, P., & Nordmann, P. (2011). Cross-border transmission of OXA-48-producing Enterobacter cloacae from Morocco to France. In *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* (Vol. 66, Issue 5, pp. 1181–1182). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr023
- Poole, K. (2005). Efflux-mediated antimicrobial resistance. In *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* (Vol. 56, Issue 1, pp. 20–51). J Antimicrob Chemother. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki171
- Poudel, A., Hoque, M. M., Madere, S., Bolds, S., Price, S., Barua, S., Adekanmbi, F., Kalalah, A., Kitchens, S., Brown, V., Wang, C., & Lockaby, B. G. (2020). Molecular and serological prevalence of leptospira spp. In feral pigs (sus scrofa) and their habitats in Alabama, USA. *Pathogens*, 9(10), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9100857

Queenan, A. M., & Bush, K. (2007). Carbapenemases: The versatile β-lactamases. Clinical

Microbiology Reviews, 20(3), 440-458. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00001-07

- Rawat, D., & Nair, D. (2010). Extended-spectrum β-lactamases in gram negative bacteria.
   *Journal of Global Infectious Diseases*, 2(3), 263. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777x.68531
- Read, A. F., & Woods, R. J. (2014). Antibiotic resistance management. *Evolution, Medicine and Public Health*, 2014(1), 147. https://doi.org/10.1093/emph/eou024
- Satlin, M. J., Chen, L., Patel, G., Gomez-Simmonds, A., Weston, G., Kim, A. C., Seo, S. K.,
  Rosenthal, M. E., Sperber, S. J., Jenkins, S. G., Hamula, C. L., Uhlemann, A.-C., Levi,
  M. H., Fries, B. C., Tang, Y.-W., Juretschko, S., Rojtman, A. D., Hong, T., Mathema, B.,
  ... Kreiswirth, B. N. (2017). Multicenter Clinical and Molecular Epidemiological
  Analysis of Bacteremia Due to Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) in the
  CRE Epicenter of the United States. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*.
  https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.02349-16
- Schwaber, M. J., & Carmeli, Y. (2008). Carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae: A potential threat. In JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association (Vol. 300, Issue 24, pp. 2911–2913). JAMA. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2008.896
- Sheu, C. C., Lin, S. Y., Chang, Y. T., Lee, C. Y., Chen, Y. H., & Hsueh, P. R. (2018).
  Management of infections caused by extended-spectrum β–lactamase-producing
  Enterobacteriaceae: current evidence and future prospects. In *Expert Review of Anti-Infective Therapy* (Vol. 16, Issue 3, pp. 205–218). Taylor and Francis Ltd.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2018.1436966
- Singh, K. V., Weinstock, G. M., & Murray, B. E. (2002). An Enterococcus faecalis ABC homologue (Lsa) is required for the resistance of this species to clindamycin and

quinupristin-dalfopristin. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 46(6), 1845–1850. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.6.1845-1850.2002

- Spellberg, B., & Gilbert, D. N. (2014). The future of antibiotics and resistance: A tribute to a career of leadership by John Bartlett. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 59(Suppl 2), S71–S75. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu392
- Stefaniuk, E. M., & Tyski, S. (2019). Colistin resistance in Enterobacterales strains A current view. In *Polish Journal of Microbiology* (Vol. 68, Issue 4, pp. 417–427). Polish Society of Microbiologists. https://doi.org/10.33073/PJM-2019-055
- Suay-García, B., & Teresa Pérez-Gracia, M. (2019). antibiotics Present and Future of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) Infections. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8030122
- Tadesse, D. A., Zhao, S., Tong, E., Ayers, S., Singh, A., Bartholomew, M. J., & McDermott, P.
  F. (2012). Antimicrobial drug resistance in Escherichia coli from humans and food animals, United States, 1950-2002. In *Emerging Infectious Diseases* (Vol. 18, Issue 5, pp. 741–749). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1805.111153
- Tamma, P. D., & Villegas, M. V. (2017). Use of β-Lactam/β-Lactamase Inhibitors for Extended-Spectrum-β-Lactamase Infections: Defining the Right Patient Population. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 61(8). https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01094-17
- Tanfous, F. Ben, Raddaoui, A., Chebbi, Y., & Achour, W. (2018). Epidemiology and molecular characterisation of colistin-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from immunocompromised patients in Tunisia. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 52(6), 861–865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.08.022

- Tanko, N., Bolaji, R. O., Olayinka, A. T., & Olayinka, B. O. (2020). A systematic review on the prevalence of extended-spectrum beta lactamase-producing Gram-negative bacteria in Nigeria. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, 22, 488–496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2020.04.010
- Umeokonkwo, C. D., Madubueze, U. C., Onah, C. K., Okedo-Alex, I. N., Adeke, A. S.,
  Versporten, A., Goossens, H., Igwe-Okomiso, D., Okeke, K., Azuogu, B. N., & Onoh, R.
  (2019). Point prevalence survey of antimicrobial prescription in a tertiary hospital in
  South East Nigeria: A call for improved antibiotic stewardship. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, *17*, 291–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.01.013
- Van Boeckel, T. P., Brower, C., Gilbert, M., Grenfell, B. T., Levin, S. A., Robinson, T. P., Teillant, A., & Laxminarayan, R. (2030). *Global trends in antimicrobial use in food animals*. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503141112
- Van Heijenoort, J. (2001). MINI REVIEW Formation of the glycan chains in the synthesis of bacterial peptidoglycan 1. In *Glycobiology* (Vol. 11, Issue 3).
- Van Hoek, A. H. A. M., Mevius, D., Guerra, B., Mullany, P., Roberts, A. P., & Aarts, H. J. M. (2011). Acquired antibiotic resistance genes: An overview. In *Frontiers in Microbiology*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00203
- Verraes, C., Van Boxstael, S., Van Meervenne, E., Van Coillie, E., Butaye, P., Catry, B., de Schaetzen, M. A., Van Huffel, X., Imberechts, H., Dierick, K., Daube, G., Saegerman, C., De Block, J., Dewulf, J., & Herman, L. (2013). Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: A review. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 10, Issue 7, pp. 2643–2669). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10072643

Voldby Larsen, M. (2014). (No Title). https://doi.org/10.4161/bact.27943

- Walther-Rasmussen, J., & Høiby, N. (2006). OXA-type carbapenemases. In *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* (Vol. 57, Issue 3, pp. 373–383). J Antimicrob Chemother. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki482
- Wang, C., Feng, Y., Liu, L., Wei, L., Kang, M., & Zong, Z. (2020). Identification of novel mobile colistin resistance gene mcr-10. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1732231
- Wang, Q., Sun, J., Li, J., Ding, Y., Li, X.-P., Lin, J., Hassan, B., & Feng, Y. (2017). Expanding landscapes of the diversified mcr-1-bearing plasmid reservoirs. *Microbiome*, 5(1), 70. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0288-0
- Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Zhang, S., & Shen, Z. (2019). Emergence of Colistin Resistance Gene mcr-8 and Its Variant in Raoultella ornithinolytica. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10(FEB), 228. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00228
- Watanabe, M., Iyobe, S., Inoue, M., & Mitsuhashi, S. (1991). Transferable imipenem resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 35(1), 147–151. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.35.1.147
- Xavier, B. B., Lammens, C., Ruhal, R., Kumar-Singh, S., Butaye, P., Goossens, H., & Malhotra-Kumar, S. (2016). Identification of a novel plasmid-mediated colistin-resistance gene, mcr-2, in Escherichia coli, Belgium, June 2016. *Eurosurveillance*, 21(27), 30280. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.27.30280
- Yan, J.-J., Ko, W.-C., Tsai, S.-H., Wu, H.-M., Jin, Y.-T., & Wu, J.-J. (2000). Dissemination of CTX-M-3 and CMY-2-Lactamases among Clinical Isolates of Escherichia coli in Southern Taiwan. In *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY* (Vol. 38, Issue 12).

Ye, Y., Xu, L., Han, Y., Chen, Z., Liu, C., & Ming, L. (2018). Mechanism for carbapenem

resistance of clinical enterobacteriaceae isolates. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, *15*(1), 1143–1149. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2017.5485

- Yin, W., Li, H., Shen, Y., Liu, Z., Wang, S., Shen, Z., Zhang, R., Walsh, T. R., Shen, J., & Wang, Y. (2017). Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance gene mcr-3 in Escherichia coli. *MBio*, 8(3), 543–560. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00543-17
- Yong, D., Toleman, M. A., Giske, C. G., Cho, H. S., Sundman, K., Lee, K., & Walsh, T. R.
  (2009). Characterization of a new metallo-β-lactamase gene, bla NDM-1, and a novel erythromycin esterase gene carried on a unique genetic structure in Klebsiella pneumoniae sequence type 14 from India. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 53(12), 5046–5054. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00774-09
- Yuan, Y., Li, Y., Wang, G., Li, C., Xiang, L., She, J., Yang, Y., Zhong, F., & Zhang, L. (2019). Coproduction Of MCR-9 And NDM-1 By Colistin-Resistant<em> Enterobacter hormaechei</em> Isolated From Bloodstream Infection. *Infection and Drug Resistance, Volume 12*, 2979–2985. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S217168
- Yusuf, I., Arzai, A. H., Haruna, M., Sharif, A. A., & Getso, M. I. (2014). Detection of multi drug resistant bacteria in major hospitals in Kano, North-West, Nigeria. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 45(3), 791–798. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822014000300005
- Zhang, J., Wang, J., Chen, L., Yassin, A. K., Kelly, P., Butaye, P., Li, J., Gong, J., Cattley, R., Qi, K., & Wang, C. (2018). Housefly (Musca domestica) and blow fly (Protophormia terraenovae) as vectors of bacteria carrying colistin resistance genes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01736-17
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, X., Torres, V. V. L., Liu, H., Rocker, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Chen, L., Bi,W., Lin, J., Strugnell, R. A., Zhang, S., Lithgow, T., Zhou, T., & Cao, J. (2019). An

Outbreak of Carbapenem-Resistant and Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae in an Intensive Care Unit of a Major Teaching Hospital in Wenzhou, China. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 7, 229. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00229

## **Chapter 2**

#### Genetic mechanisms of colistin and carbapenem resistance in Enterobacteriaceae

# 2.1 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is a pressing issue of global importance. Of more concern, however, is the occurrence of resistance to antibiotics of last resort, including colistin and carbapenem. While carbapenem has not been approved for animal use, the presence of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in animals could be an indication of transmission between humans and animals in close contact. The drugs of last resort are reserved for treating infections caused by multidrug resistant bacteria. Resistance to these drugs could result in pan drug resistance, necessitating the need for urgent development of new drugs to combat infections caused by multidrug resistance. This highlights the importance of understanding the prevalence of resistant bacteria and understanding the mechanisms of resistance in both developed and developing nations.

#### 2.2 Materials and Methods

#### 2.2.1 Sample collection

Between January 2016 and April 2019, animal, human clinical, and hospital environmental samples were collected for isolation of antibiotic resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. Environmental samples (n=30) and human clinical samples: stool from outpatients presenting with chief complaint of diarrhea (n=60) and urine samples from catheterized inpatients in the intensive care unit (ICU) (n=40) were collected from two hospitals at Makurdi, Benue State, Northcentral Nigeria. The stool and urine samples were from patients not previously treated with colistin and no international travel history.

Over the same time frame, 835 rectal/cloacal swabs were collected from cattle (n=70), dogs (n=110), pigs (n=220), and poultry (n=435), as well as 50 liver samples from sick chickens, from Benue State, North-central Nigeria. The poultry samples were from 32 backyard poultry farms, the pig samples were from 15 farms (n=100) and a slaughterhouse (n=110), and the dog samples were from 60 households. The cattle samples were from two slaughterhouses. In addition, 104 rectal swabs from camels were collected at three live camel markets in Jigawa and Katsina States, Northwest Nigeria. These samples were used for the analysis of colistin and carbapenem resistance in Nigeria throughout this study.

Between July 2020 and August 2020, a total of 85 fecal swab samples were collected from pigs raised commercially in the Auburn University Research Facility farm, AL, USA. Fecal swabs were collected into sterile Eppendorf tubes containing 400 µl 1X phosphate buffer solution and were transported to the research lab within 3 hours of sample collection. Fecal swab samples were directly stored at -20°C until nucleic acid extraction. Fecal swabs were collected from pigs of different ages, ranging between 7.5weeks-22weeks old and including males and females (Table 2.10).

#### 2.2.2 Bacterial isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing

All samples were processed using standard bacteriological techniques for isolation of *Enterobacteriaceae*-like bacteria within 4 hours of collection. Briefly, the collected samples were inoculated into 5 ml Tryptic Soy Broth (Oxoid, UK). After incubation at 37°C for 24 hours, a loopful of the enrichment broth was streaked onto MacConkey agar plates supplemented with

 $2\mu$ g/ml colistin (except for the camel, dog samples which were inoculated onto MacConkey agar without colistin) and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C.

Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of the isolates were determined by the disk diffusion method (CLSI, 2020) using 12 antimicrobial agents: Amoxycillin (10  $\mu$ g), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (30  $\mu$ g), cefoxitin (30 $\mu$ g), cefotriaxone (30 $\mu$ g), ciprofloxacin (5 $\mu$ g), chloramphenicol (10 $\mu$ g), gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g), doxycycline (30 $\mu$ g), erythromycin (15 $\mu$ g), enrofloxacin (5 $\mu$ g), florfernicol (30 $\mu$ g), sulfamethaxozole-trimethoprim (25 $\mu$ g). The results were interpreted according to the guidelines of the CLSI (Weinstein et al., 2020).

## 2.2.3 DNA extraction

In Nigeria, genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from overnight cultures of the isolates using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, USA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. The gDNA was used for molecular identification of bacterial species, PCR detection of the nine *mcr* genes, and the WGS.

At Auburn University, the High-Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche Diagnostics, USA) was used to extract total nucleic acids from fecal swabs according to the manufacturer's instructions and as described before (Li et al., 2017; Poudel et al., 2020). Briefly, 400  $\mu$ l of fecal samples suspension was mixed with 400  $\mu$ l of binding buffer followed by homogenization. 80  $\mu$ l of proteinase K was added for protein digestion. Cell lysis was carried out by incubation at 72 for 20 minutes with shaking at 600 rpm in a thermomixer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Afterwards, 200  $\mu$ l of isopropanol (Avantor Performance Materials, Center Valley, PA) was added to the mixture and vortexed thoroughly. The kit filter was then inserted into a 2ml collection tube and the aqueous solution was transferred into the filtered collection tube,

followed by centrifugation at 8,000g x1minute. The flow-through was discarded and 500 ul of inhibitor removal buffer was added, followed by centrifugation at 8,000g x1minute. The flow-through liquid was again discarded and the 1000  $\mu$ l washing buffer was added to the filter in two washing steps. In the first washing step, the 600  $\mu$ l washing buffer was added to the filter and centrifuged at 8,000g x1minute. This was followed by the addition of 400  $\mu$ l of washing buffer to the filter, and centrifuged at 13,000g x1minute. The filter column was thereafter inserted into a new 2 ml collection tube. The genomic DNA was eluted with 100  $\mu$ l prewarmed elution buffer in two steps of 50  $\mu$ l per elution step, by addition of 50  $\mu$ l of elution buffer directly to the middle of the filter, incubated for 5minutes and centrifuged at 8,000g x1minute. The extracted DNA was used for the molecular identification of bacterial species, PCR detection of the nine *mcr* genes and the WGS.

## 2.2.4 Identification of bacterial species

Speciation of the resistant bacterial isolates was performed by PCR amplification of the full length of the 16S rRNA (Patel, 2001) followed by DNA sequencing and BLASTn.

#### **2.2.5** Determination of colistin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

One presumptive colony from each plate was purified on Tryptic Soy Broth (Oxoid, UK), and the MIC of colistin was determined using the broth macrodilution method based on the recommendation of the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute Guideline (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2018). Briefly, 2 - 3 colonies of each bacteria isolate from overnight culture on Nutrient agar were used to prepare suspensions of the inoculum equivalent of 0.5 MacFarland standard. The suspension (20 µl) was then dispensed into 2 ml of Mueller

Hinton broth containing the different concentrations of colistin sulfate, incubated at 35°C for 24hours. The reference strain *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and broths without antibiotics were used for quality control purposes. The resistance breakpoint was defined using the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute Guideline with isolates exhibiting colistin MIC $\geq$ 4 µg/ml categorized as resistant (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2018). The colistin-resistant isolates were stored on tryptone soya agar slants at 4°C until required for further analyses.

At Auburn University, frozen fecal samples suspended in PBS were thawed at room temperature. A loopful of this suspension was streaked on MacConkey agar supplemented with 3.5ug/mL of colistin, and on CHROMagar<sup>TM</sup> COL-APSE incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Presumptive positive colonies were subcultured on MacConkey agar supplemented with 3.5  $\mu$ g/mL colistin and CHROMagar to obtain pure, isolated colonies of colistin resistant bacteria.

A loopful of the feces and PBS suspension was also streaked on MacConkey agar supplemented with 2 ug/ml and 4.0 ug/mL of meropenem respectively and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, for isolation of carbapenem resistant bacteria.

In total, 15/110 isolates were randomly selected for MIC determination based on different morphological characteristics on the MacConkey agar + 3.5ug/mL colistin. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using the VITEK<sup>®</sup>2, (Biomerieux, Inc., Hazelwood, MO). The following antibiotics were tested: ampicillin, ampicillin-clavulanic acid, cefpodoxime, cephalexin, ceftofur, cefovecin, ceftazidime, chloramphenicol, doxycycline, imipenem, amikacin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, marbofloxacin, enrofloxacin, polymyxin B, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, and nitrofurantoin. Supplemental testing using the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method was employed for colistin testing on Mueller Hinton agar. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213, and

*Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 were used as quality controls in MIC determinations. Zones of inhibition were read and interpreted as susceptible, intermediate, or resistant. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines for *Enterobacterales* (CLSI, 2018) guideline. Isolates exhibiting MIC  $\geq$ 4 µg/ml were considered as resistant to colistin while those with MIC below this breakpoint were categorized as susceptible.

#### 2.2.6 Detection of *mcr-1* to *mcr-9* by PCRs

Real-time fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-PCRs were performed as described to detect *mcr-1* (Zhang et al., 2018), *mcr-2* (Zhang et al., 2018), *mcr-3* (Zhang et al., 2018), *mcr-4* (Chen et al., 2018) and *mcr-5* (Chen et al., 2018) in the extracted DNA of the colistin-resistant isolates. In addition, previously published methods were used to detect *mcr-6* (R. Wang et al., 2018), *mcr-7* (Yang et al., 2018), *mcr-8* (Nabti et al., 2020) and *mcr-9* (Yuan et al., 2019). The primers and probes were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA).

*E. coli* NCTC 13846 isolate was used as the positive control in the *mcr-1* PCR. The positive controls for *mcr-2* to *mcr-5* PCRs were from previous studies (Chen et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). In addition, the nucleotide fragments corresponding to the PCR amplicons of *mcr-6* to *mcr-9* were synthesized and inserted into the pUC57 cloning vector (GenScript, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China), and the four resulting plasmids were linearized with Sac I (Takara Biotechnology, Dalian, China) and quantified using the PicoGreen DNA fluorescence assay (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) for preparation of positive controls used in this study. The PCR thermal conditions used were denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min; three high stringency

step down cycles followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 56 °C for 78 s, and 72 °C for 10 s. All PCRs positive for *mcr* were further verified by electrophoresis of PCR products through 2% agarose gels, purification using the QIAquick Gel Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA), and sequencing with forward and reverse primers (ELIM Biopharmaceuticals, Hayward, USA), followed by BLAST search of existing nucleotide database.

#### 2.2.7 Determination of carbapenem MIC

Carbapenem (meropenem, ertapenem, and imipenem) MIC were determined using the broth dilution method recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (Weinstein et al., 2020). Briefly, colonies of each isolate from overnight growth on tryptone soya agar were suspended in 5 ml normal saline to make an inoculum the equivalent of a 0.5 MacFarland standard. 20  $\mu$ L volume of the inoculum was dispensed into 2 ml of Mueller–Hinton broth containing different concentrations of the respective carbapenems and incubated at 35°C for 24 h. *E. coli* ATCC25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were used as controls. Isolates were categorized as resistant based on the CLSI clinical breakpoints for resistance (meropenem and imipenem =  $\geq 4 \mu$ g/ml, ertapenem =  $\geq 2 \mu$ g/ml ertapenem)(Weinstein et al., 2020).

#### 2.2.8 Phenotypic assay for carbapenemase production

The Carbapenem Inactivation Method (CIM), was used to screen the isolates for carbapenemase production as previously described (Van Der Zwaluw et al., 2015). Briefly, a loopful ( $\approx 10 \ \mu$ l) of the isolate was suspended in 400  $\mu$ l water before a 10  $\mu$ g meropenem disk (Oxoid, UK) was immersed in the suspension and incubated for 2 hours at 35°C. The disk was removed from the suspension with an inoculation loop and placed on a Mueller-Hinton agar p late inoculated with a 0.5 McFarland standard *E. coli* strain ATCC 29522 (a susceptible indicator strain) using a sterile cotton swab and subsequently incubated at 35°C for 24 hours. Carbapenemase production by the isolate inactivates meropenem in the disc allowing uninhibited growth of the susceptible indicator strain (positive result), while meropenem discs incubated in suspensions of isolates that do produce carbapenemases yielded a clear inhibition zone of the susceptible indicator strain (negative result).

#### 2.2.9 Conjugation experiment

Conjugative transferability of the colistin and carbapenem resistance determinants was investigated with the solid mating conjugation assay utilizing the sodium azide-resistant *E. coli* J53 as the recipient cell as previously described (Ojo et al., 2016). Briefly, overnight cultures of each donor and the recipient mixed in 1:4 ratio in tryptone soy broth were centrifuged at 14,000 x *g* for 1 minute and the pelleted cells resuspended in 15  $\mu$ l of 0.85% NaCl. The cell suspension was spotted onto Mueller-Hinton agar and incubated at 37°C for 20-24 hours. Cell mixtures growing on the Mueller-Hinton agar were resuspended in 1 ml 0.85% NaCl and a 100 $\mu$ l aliquot was serially diluted with each dilution placed on brain heart infusion agar (BHIA) supplemented with sodium azide (150  $\mu$ l/ml) + colistin (2  $\mu$ g/ml), meropenem (2  $\mu$ g/ml) + sodium azide (150  $\mu$ l/ml) for selection of transconjugant. The conjugation frequencies were calculated as the number of transconjugants per recipient cell.

#### 2.2.10 Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and MLST

Fifty isolates co-resistant to colistin and carbapenem were subjected to WGS. Briefly, Illumina libraries were prepared from the extracted gDNA and sequenced using next-generation sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform (OE Biotech, Shanghai, China) followed by *de novo* assembly of the generated sequences using SeqMan Pro v.11.2.1 (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). Species identity of the isolates was further confirmed from the WGS data using the MLST (Clausen et al., 2018; Larsen et al., 2014). Multilocus sequence types (ST) of each isolate were predicted using the pubMLST (PubMLST, 2010), while the assignment of phylogroups for the E. coli isolates was carried out using the online Clermont tool (Beghain et al., 2018; Clermont et al., 2019). In silico serotyping was performed using the SeroFinder (Joensen et al., 2015) and Kaptive (Wick et al., 2018) for E. coli and Klebsiella, respectively. The ResFinder database ResFinder v4.0 (Bortolaia et al., 2020) and the comprehensive antimicrobial resistance database (CARD) (Alcock et al., 2020) were used to detect the existing and putative new antibiotic resistance genes mediating resistance to extended-spectrum and/or carbapenem (CARB/ESBL), tetracyclines (TET), quinolones (QUIN), and sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim (SMX/TMP) in the bacterial genomes). Plasmids were identified using PlasmidFinder (Carattoli et al., 2014) and MGEFinder (Johansson et al., 2021), while virulence genes were identified using the VirulenceFinder (Liu et al., 2019). The nucleotide sequences for mcr genes identified by WGS in this study were deposited in GenBank with accession numbers (Table 2.3)

In silico MLST of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* isolates was determined based on allelic numbers of 7 housekeeping genes in *K. pneumoniae* (*gapA*, *infB*, *mdh*, *pgi*, *phoE*, *rpoB*, and *tonB*) and *E. coli* (*adk*, *fumC*, *gyrB*, *icd*, *mdh*, *purA*, *recA*) using MLST 2.0 (Voldby Larsen, 2014). In addition, the *E. coli* O:H typing (Larsen et al., 2012) and *K. pneumoniae* O and K antigens typing (Joensen et al., 2015) was done on these isolates (Table 2.1; Table 2.2).

At Auburn University, two (2) of the *mcr* positive isolates carrying *mcr-4* and 9 and *mcr-9* by PCR, were randomly chosen and analyzed for resistance genes using WGS (South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, the University of Texas at San Antonio).

#### 2.2.11 Detection of mutations in genes related to colistin and carbapenem resistance

Mutations in the genes previously identified to encode for resistance to colistin (*mgrB*, *pmrAB*, *phoPQ*, *arnT*, *ccrB*) and carbapenem (*ompC*, *ompF*, *ompK/35/36/37*, *marR*, *acrR*, *ramR*) were investigated by alignment with wild type reference genomes of *Escherichia coli* strain K-12 substrain MG1655 (NC\_000913.3) and *Klebsiella pneumonia* subspecies *pneumoniae* MGH 78578 (NC\_009648.1). PROVEAN v1.1.3 was employed to predict the possible role/effect of observed amino acid substitutions (mutation/alteration) on the protein functions i.e., colistin or carbapenem resistance (Choi & Chan, 2015). The standard PROVEAN cutoff score of  $\leq$  -2.5 and > - 2.5 was used to categorize the mutation to have a deleterious and neutral effect on protein function respectively (Choi et al., 2012; Choi & Chan, 2015).

## 2.2.12 Statistical analysis

Chi-squared test was used to compare the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in different groups. P < 0.05 was considered significantly different.

## 2.3 Results

# 2.3.1 Bacterial isolation from colistin and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in Nigeria

A total of 583 non-duplicate isolates were recovered from 1,119 samples collected in this study. Overall, 17.0 % of the bacterial isolates (99/583), including *E. coli* (67/99), *K. pneumoniae* (30/99), *Citrobacter werkmanii* (1/99), and *Alcaligenes faecalis* (1/99), were found to be resistant to colistin with the colistin MICs between 8 and >64 µl/ml (Table 2.3). The percentage of the human isolates resistant to colistin was 40.4% (40/99) (CI: 30.7-51%) was significantly higher (P<0.01) than the percentages of resistant isolates from cattle (7/36, 19.4%; CI: 8.2-36%), pigs (12/65, 18.4%; CI: 11.6-34%), poultry (34/290, 11.7%; CI:8.3-16%), camels (3/40, 7.5%; CI: 1.6-20%), human hospital swabs (1/15, 6.7%; CI: 0.2-32%), and dogs (2/42, 4.8%; CI: 0.6-16). Colistin-resistant bacteria were detected in at least one bird in 17 (53.1%) out of the 32 poultry farms sampled and 12 (10%) out of the 110 pigs at the slaughterhouse. However, no colistin-resistant bacteria were detected in all 100 samples collected from the 15 pig farms (Table 2.3).

Of the 583 isolates tested, 110 (18.9%) were resistant to at least one of three carbapenems: meropenem (98.0 %; 109/583), ertapenem (16.8%; 98/583) and imipenem (18.2%; 106/583) while 53 (9.1%; 53/583) were resistant to both colistin and carbapenem (Table 2.4). There was a significantly higher prevalence (P=0.0016) of carbapenem-resistant isolates being resistant to colistin when the colistin supplemented media (45/77, 58.4%) was used compared to when a non-supplemented media was used (8/33, 24.2%). The minimum inhibitory concentrations of the carbapenems ranged between 2-32 µg/ml for meropenem, 2-16 µg/ml for ertapenem, and 2-12 µg/ml for imipenem (Table 2.5, Table 2.6).

The susceptibility testing result showed the majority of the isolates were multidrug resistant (resistant to at least 3 antibiotics of different classes) with the dominant resistant profile identified as: AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX (n=5) (Table 7, Table 2.8). All the isolates, except one *E. coli*, were resistant to Amoxycillin (100%) and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (100%). Resistance to other critical antimicrobial agents was; fluoroquinolones 78% (*Klebsiella*, 13/20; *E. coli*, 26/30) and aminoglycosides 48% (*Klebsiella* 9/20; *E. coli*, 15/30).

#### 2.3.2 Colistin and carbapenem resistance mechanisms

In total, only 21.2% of the colistin-resistant isolates (21/99) were found to harbor *mcr*: *mcr-1* (n=13), *mcr-8* (n=5), both *mcr-1* and *mcr-5* (n=1), and both *mcr-1* and *mcr-8* (n=2) (Table 2.3). The complete nucleotide sequences of *mcr-1* identified in this study (Deposited in GenBank with the accession number: MT070404) were identical to each other and the *mcr-1.1* variant. The identified complete nucleotide gene of *mcr-8* (GenBank accession number: MT070402) in this study was determined to be *mcr 8.1* based on the BLASTn. The partial *mcr-5* sequence (271-bp) identified in this work (GenBank accession number: MT070403) showed 100% similarity to the *mcr-5* sequence a *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolate from Germany (MK360096.1).

While none of the colistin-resistant bacteria from hospital environments and the rectal swabs of camels and dogs were found to carry the *mcr* gene, *mcr*-1 was identified in one swine *A. faecalis* and one bovine *E. coli* isolate. The *mcr-1* and *mcr-8* genes were found in human isolates of *K. pneumoniae* (n=8) and *E. coli* (n=1), and three *mcr* genes (*mcr-1*, *mcr-5*, *mcr-8*) were detected in poultry isolates of *K. pneumoniae* (n=1), *E. coli* (n=8) and *C. freundii* (n=1).

The *mcr* was seen in only 8 out of the 17 farms positive for colistin-resistant bacteria. The *K. pneumoniae* isolates harboring the *mcr-8* gene exhibited a higher colistin MIC compared to those harboring the *mcr-1* gene while in the case of *E. coli*, the strain carrying two *mcr*-genes (*mcr-1* and *mcr-8*) exhibited a higher MIC (Table 2.3). No point mutations associated with colistin resistance (previously reported or novel) were detected in the *pmrABC* and *phoPQ*. Alterations in the *mgrB* were detected in all *E. coli* (29/29), *Klebsiella* (20/20), and *Citrobacter* (1/1). Novel substitutions were also found in some of the *E. coli* isolates, including V336M and T411I in the *etpA* and A278T, T157A, L485F, and W100M in the *arnT*. Similarly, the majority of the *Klebsiella* isolates harbored the N195S amino acid substitution (18/20) and some the N1411/H (5/20) and W140L/S (5/20) substitutions in the *ccrB* and R256G in *pmrB*, previously reported to mediate colistin resistance (Aires et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2016). Finally, a novel mutation G164S in *arnT* and the M1\_L56del deletion that mediates colistin resistance were detected in one *Klebsiella* isolate (Table 2.5, Table 2.6).

Meanwhile, WGS data of the carbapenem-resistant isolates were in agreement with the negative carbapenemase inhibition assay for carbapenemase production, confirming that none of the isolates harbored any known carbapenemase-producing enzyme genes. However, sequence analyses of known genes involved in carbapenem resistance revealed several genetic alterations and polymorphisms in comparison to the wild-type references strains (*Escherichia coli* MGH1655 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* MGH 78578). These included novel deletions M1\_V24del in the *ompC* of all the *E. coli* isolates (29/29), and insertion M233\_T234insHYTH in the *ompK37* mediating carbapenem resistance in half the *Klebsiella* species (10/20). Additionally, previously known substitutions mediating carbapenem resistance were identified: D192G/K (17/29) and N47D (5/29) in the *ompC* of the *E. coli* isolates; A217S (15/20) and

N218H (6/20) in *ompK36* of *Klebsiella* and substitutions I70M (20/20); I128M (20/20), N230G (10/20), T261A (1/20) in *ompK37* of *Klebsiella* species. No mutation associated (existing or novel) with carbapenem resistance was detected in the *ompF*. Multiple substitutions were detected in the global regulator protein *marR* and *ramR*, but not regulators *AcrR*, *MarA*, *RamA* and *SoxR*. However, only the M1V substitution in *E. coli marR* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae ramR* was associated with deleterious effect i.e. carbapenem resistance (Table 2.5, Table 2.6).

# **2.3.3** WGS identified IncX4 harboring *mcr-1.1* and other concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance mechanisms

The IncX4 replicon was present in each of the nine *mcr-1* positive isolates selected for WGS. The *mcr-1* was located in a unique contig with the same sequence as the IncX4 plasmid backbone, indicating that this plasmid carries the colistin resistance gene. Interestingly, no other resistance genes were found on the IncX4 plasmid, which harbored *mcr-1*.

The WGS of these bacterial isolates also identified several chromosomal genes regulated by a two-component system PhoP/Q and PmrA/B which mediates the resistance to colistin when they are over-expressed (Laurent Poirel et al., 2015). However, no mutation was identified in *pmrA*, *pmrB* and *mgrB* that are essential for the overexpression of those genes (Jayol et al., 2014).

Also, several other genes mediating resistance to β-lactams (*TEM*, *SHV*, *CTX-M* and *CMY*), tetracyclines (*tetA*, *tetC* and *tetG*), quinolones (*oqxB*, and *qnrS*), and sulfonamides (*sul1*, *sul2*, and *sul3*) were identified by WGS. The MLST of colistin-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* did not identify clonal relationships between *mcr* positive bacteria (Table 2.1; Table 2.2) as has been previously reported (Abiola Olumuyiwa Olaitan et al., 2015; Wick et al., 2018).

However, a possible clonal relation was found in two poultry *E. coli* isolates which had the same O-antigen (O15) and H-antigen (H45) and an identical MLST type (2485) (Table 2.1; Table 2.2). In addition, analysis of the WGS data also revealed that concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance was strongly associated with carriage of the M1V mutation on the *mgrB* (100%; 50/50), I70M and I128M substitutions in the *ompK37* of *Klebsiella* species (100%), presence of the M1\_V24del deletion on the *ompC* in the *E. coli* isolates (100%), and presence of the M1V mutation in the efflux pump regulators: *ramR* in *Klebsiella* (100%) and *marR* in *E. coli* (100%).

#### 2.3.4 Conjugation experiment

Twenty of 21 isolates (95.2%) harboring *mcr* genes successfully transferred their resistant genes to the recipient *E. coli* J53 AZ<sup>R</sup> as indicated by broth mating assay. The trans-conjugants all grew on MacConkey plates supplemented with  $2\mu g/ml$  colistin and  $300\mu g/ml$  sodium azide, and MICs of the transconjugants were  $\geq 2\mu g/ml$ . The *K. pneumoniae* strain that could not transfer the resistance harbored both *mcr-1* and *mcr-8*. DNA sequencing was performed on the plasmid after conjugation to ensure a successful transfer. None of the isolates were found to transfer the carbapenem resistance determinant.

#### 2.3.5 Detection of *mcr* genes from colistin-resistant bacteria at Auburn University

A total of 110 colistin-resistant bacteria isolates were recovered from 85 fecal swab samples. Altogether, only 34.5% (n=38/110) of all colistin resistant isolates were *mcr*-positive. Of these, most of the isolates were found to carry *mcr*-2, (n=28), and others were *mcr*-4 (n=1), *mcr*-6(n=2), *mcr*-9 (n=4), *mcr* 4 and *mcr*-9(n=1), *mcr*-2 and *mcr*-6 (n=2). The 16S rRNA PCR

and sequencing of the *mcr* positive isolates identified *E. coli* 70.8% (n=17/24), *K. pneumoniae* 25% (6/24), and *Salmonella enterica* 4.2% (n=1/24).

All 15 isolates analyzed for MIC determination were susceptible to colistin and imipenem (0.5-  $\leq$ 0.25 ug/mL). However, 6/15 (40%) of the isolates, all *K. pneumoniae*, were shown to be multidrug resistant, showing resistance to Ampicillin + Nitrofurantoin+ Sulfamethoxazole, with the MIC range between  $\geq$ 32 – 128 ug/mL. All other isolates, *E. coli* (8/15) and Salmonella enterica (1/15) showed susceptibility to all the drugs tested, with an exception of two E. coli isolates, showing intermediate resistance to chloramphenicol (16ug/mL) and nitrofurantoin(64 ug/mL). Of all 85 samples tested, no carbapenem resistant isolate was isolated from the selective media containing carbapenem.

#### 2.3.6 WGS analysis of colistin-resistant bacteria at Auburn University

WGS analysis of the two *mcr* positive isolates failed to confirm the presence of *mcr* genes in them. However other resistance genes were identified using CARD, ARG-ANNOT (Gupta et al., 2014) and NCBI (Table 2.11). Both isolates were identified as *E. coli* and were both found to express resistance genes (*marA*, *ampC*, *pmrC*, *pmrE*, *pmrF*, and *bla<sub>EC</sub>*) known to confer multiple antibiotic resistance. These had greater than 95% coverage and identity to the wild type. WGS also identified *bla<sub>AMPH</sub>*, a class C beta lactamase, conferring resistance to cephalosporins, in only one of the isolates, having a greater than 95% coverage and identity to the wild type strain. Members of the multiple antibiotic resistant (*mar*) genes, are known to confer resistance to quinolones,  $\beta$ -lactams, and tetracyclines. *marA* is known to activate the ACRAB efflux system and to also activate the *waaY* gene, which acts by altering the LPS, thereby changing sensitivity to cationic peptides (Sundaramoorthy et al., 2019). In *E.coli*, *pmrC* 

and *pmrE* are known to mediate the addition of PEtN to the phosphate group of lipid A, This modification is regulated by *pmrA/pmrB*. This addition confers resistance to polymyxins, including colistin (Aghapour et al., 2019; Abiola O. Olaitan et al., 2014). Samples were however not analyzed for mutations in these genes.

## 2.4 Discussion

The last two decades have witnessed a significant rise in infections caused by multidrugresistant *Enterobacteriaceae* with a resultant increase in the use of carbapenems and colistin as last resort drugs (Peyclit et al., 2019). Concurrent resistance to last-resort drugs represents a severe health concern globally (Chaudhary, 2016; Serwecinska, 2020). This study has provided evidence of high levels of concurrent resistance to colistin and carbapenem in *Enterobacteriaceae* in Nigeria. This of concern in a low/middle-income country with minimal antimicrobial surveillance such as Nigeria as it indicates the emergence and establishment of pan drug-resistant strains and the resultant limitations in the antimicrobials available to control infections.

Despite growing information on the global spread and distribution of *mcr*, very few studies have looked into the prevalence of *mcr* mediated colistin resistance in African countries. This study shows that *mcr* harboring bacteria are prevalent in people and animals in Nigeria. The human *mcr*-positive isolates were mainly *K. pneumoniae* and they had a high colistin MIC. In contrast, animal *mcr*-positive isolates were mainly *E. coli* and had a lower value of colistin MIC, indicating different epidemiology in humans and animals. It has to be taken into account that most human strains were of clinical origin while most animal strains were commensals. The high detection rate of the *mcr-1* in *E. coli* and the *mcr-8* in *K. pneumoniae* in this study is consistent with reports from various parts of the world (Anyanwu et al., 2020; Bonnin et al., 2020; Nabti et al., 2020). In agreement with previous studies, most *mcr-8* positive isolates expressed high colistin MIC (Bonnin et al., 2020; X. Wang et al., 2019). Similarly, a previous report (Long et al., 2019) showed that all three isolates that harbored more than one *mcr* gene in the present study had a high colistin MIC (>64 µg/mL).

This study has also provided the first evidence of the *mcr-1* in *Alcaligenes faecalis*. This bacterium is a human commensal that is present in hospital environments. Recently, clinical isolates of *A. faecalis* carrying genes mediating ESBLs and carbapenemases were reported in Pakistan (Masseron et al., 2019). This is also the first report of *mcr-5* and *mcr-8* in Nigeria and the first description of human hospital patients in Nigeria harboring *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* with *mcr*. It is noteworthy that these patients had no history of colistin treatment or international travel, suggesting that colistin resistance was probably community-acquired.

The presence of the *mcr-1* in plasmids and the *pmrAB* mutations in chromosomes are the main reported mechanisms for colistin resistance (Sun et al., 2018). The WGS of the isolates revealed that the *mcr-1* gene detected from the isolates originating from humans, poultry, and pig is located on the self-transmissible IncX4 plasmid. Other studies have also reported the IncX4 plasmids bearing *mcr-1* in different bacterial species and different geographic locations (Bai et al., 2018; Manageiro et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2017). Probably this plasmid is the key vehicle for dissemination of *mcr-1* gene amongst *Enterobacteriaceae* in Nigeria and probably in the whole of Africa. Nevertheless, the majority of the strains identified in this study did not carry one of the *mcr*. Only 21.2% of the colistin-resistant isolates (21/99) harbored the *mcr*, suggesting other resistance mechanisms may be involved. Chromosomal mutations in regulators of the two-component systems including *mgrB*, *phoP/phoQ*, *pmrA*, *pmrB*, *pmrC* and *crrABC* (Laurent Poirel et al., 2015) are often linked to high colistin MIC among *mcr*-negative *K*. *pneumoniae*.

Of the chromosomal genes analyzed, alterations/mutations were detected in the *mgrB*, *ccrB*, *pmrB*, (for colistin resistance) and *OmpC*, *OmpK36*, *OmpK37* (for carbapenem resistance) which is consistent with previous reports on their role in colistin/carbapenem resistance (Cheng et al., 2016; Olaitan et al., 2016; Poirel et al., 2015). Multiple novel mutations/alteration associated

with colistin resistance were identified: *arnT* (W100M, T157A, G164S, A278T, L485F), *etpA* (V336M, T411H), *pmrB* (G164S, R256G), *ccrB* (M1\_L56del, M1\_KA52del, M1\_A78del, M1\_M59del). However, the possibility that the high colistin MIC among *mcr*-positive *K*. *pneumoniae* in this study is due to chromosomal mutations, or other unknown resistant genes remains to be elucidated. There were no mutations in any of the genes mentioned above in these 14 *mcr*-positive colistin-resistant isolates including *K. pneumoniae* isolates on which there was WGS information.

Surprisingly, no evidence was found for carbapenemase-producing genes in both the phenotypic and genomic investigations (WGS). Although some studies on resistance in the region have shown carbapenemase genes such as bla<sub>NDM</sub> and bla<sub>OXA-181</sub> are widespread (Jesumirhewe et al., 2017; Olalekan et al., 2020; Olowo-okere et al., 2020), there are also reports from Nigeria which agree with the current study and show carbapenemase genes were not present in 85% (57/67) (Ogbolu & Webber, 2014) and 63% (12/19) (Olowo-okere et al., 2020) of carbapenem-resistant isolates (with high MIC) from human clinical samples in South-west and Northwest Nigeria, respectively. These studies, however, did not ascertain the mechanisms of resistance. Novel mutations associated with carbapenem resistance were also identified in: *ompC* (M1\_V24del, K173T, N228\_T229insGSYTSNGV, A231\_Y232insNGYGER, A230\_A231insYYISNGVAR), ompK37 (K27Q, D28Q, G29V, N30G, K31S, D33T, M1\_Y25del, M233\_T234insHYTH.

While transferable mobile genetic elements such as *mcr*- and carbapenemase genes have generated much interest and are the target of most studies investigating the mechanism of colistin and carbapenem resistance, the results obtained from this study show that the concurrent carbapenem–colistin resistance in the *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates is linked to previously

reported and novel mechanisms. These include chromosomal mutations/disruptions affecting regulatory and non-regulatory genes controlling efflux pumps and membrane permeability. Such mutations/ disruptions may play a greater role in resistance than previously suspected.

The hypothesis is that the concurrent carbapenem–colistin resistance observed resulted from a combination of the chromosomal mutations/alterations in the *mgrB* (M1V), *ompC* (M1\_V24del), *ompK37* (I70M, I128M), and the regulatory efflux pump genes (*marR* (M1V) and *ramR* (M1V)). Other studies have reported that mutations in the efflux system, in particular the global regulator *marR* and *ramR*,  $\beta$ -lactamase production, and porin deficiency could play major roles in carbapenem resistance (Chetri et al., 2019; Findlay et al., 2012; Girlich et al., 2009; Lerner et al., 2013). However, expression level analyses including transcriptomics and complementation assays are required to determine the significance of the mutations and enable a full understanding of the impact they have on the MIC and resistance to carbapenem and colistin.

Of note in this finding, is that there was a high probability for an isolate that is colistin resistant to also be carbapenem resistant, and vice versa. This may not be unconnected to the potential for isolates resistant to any of the two agents to develop pan drug resistance. Most of the isolates expressed multidrug resistance profiles, including high resistance to amoxicillinclavulanic acid (100%), fluoroquinolones (78%) and gentamicin (48%) which are widely used in the treatment of infections in Nigerian hospitals. The high resistance rates recorded are in accordance with data in other reports on human and animal isolates from Nigeria and sub Saharan Africa (Aworh et al., 2019; Chah et al., 2018; Ojo et al., 2016; Olalekan et al., 2020). These resistance profiles emphasize the important roles the carbapenems and colistin play as last resort drugs and the challenges in successfully treating common and life threatening *Enterobacteriaceae* infections in the region. Most of the isolates also carried the *bla*<sub>CTX-M-15</sub>

which is consistent with the increasing reports of the *bla* genotype in Nigeria (Chah et al., 2018; Okpara et al., 2018; Olowo-okere et al., 2020). There is thus growing evidence that the genotype is expanding rapidly and might become the dominant cause of resistance to extended spectrum  $\beta$ lactams.

The significant clonal diversity, i.e., polyclonality observed amongst the isolates is consistent with previous reports, particularly those of carbapenem and colistin resistant isolates in Nigeria where the population structure is diverse (Ngbede et al., 2020b; Olalekan et al., 2020). Some of the animal and human isolates in this study belong to the "high-risk" clones, e.g., K. pneumoniae ST11, ST17, ST45, ST340 (human isolates) and E. coli ST58, ST744, ST410 (animal isolates). These are known for their global dissemination, ease of transmission between different hosts, ability to cause disease and acquire genetic determinants such as virulence factors, epidemic plasmids and antibiotic resistance that provide them with a competitive advantage over other bacterial clones. These "high-risk" clones are implicated in the global spread of *bla<sub>KPC</sub>*, *bla<sub>NDM</sub>*, *bla<sub>OXA</sub>* and *bla<sub>CTX-M-15</sub>* (Feng et al., 2019; C. R. Lee et al., 2016; Nadimpalli et al., 2019; Patiño-Navarrete et al., 2020; Roer et al., 2018). In particular the ST11 and ST340 detected in human isolates in this study are closely related to ST258 as they belong to the same clonal complex CC258 which is responsible for outbreaks, pandemics and mass dissemination of KPC K. pneumoniae (Netikul & Kiratisin, 2015; Sui et al., 2018). ST11 has been implicated in multiple outbreaks in China (Fu et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019) while the ST307 we detected is a known virulent clone that is thought will replace the dominant epidemic ST258 in many countries in the near future (Cienfuegos-Gallet et al., 2019). The dominant conjugative IncF and IncX plasmids detected are known to facilitate the spread and acquisition

of resistance determinants by non-clonal strains and the associated *traT* is consistently associated with urinary tract infections and sepsis in humans, as was the case in this study.

The high incidence of *mcr-2* in swine in this study is in agreement with a previous report (Zhang et al., 2018). It is, however, surprising to note that isolates carrying more than one *mcr* gene had low MIC in this study as opposed to previous reports, which have associated multiple *mcr* genes with high MIC values (Long et al., 2019). A solid conclusion cannot be made from this since not all *mcr* positive isolates were tested in this study, it is probable that there could be other *mcr* isolates with high MIC above the breakpoint if tested. *E. coli* 70.8% (n=17/24), constituted the higher percentage of the population of the bacteria isolated from these samples, while *K. pneumoniae* only constituted 25% (6/24). This finding agrees with our study in Nigeria, with *E. coli* most commonly isolated from animals and *K. pneumoniae* from humans.

While carbapenem is not approved for animal use anywhere in the world, the occurrence of CRE has been reported in livestock across the globe, including Africa, Americas, Asia and Europe (Hernandez et al., 2002; Manageiro et al., 2019; Nandi et al., 2013; Ojo et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2012; Vieira et al., 2010). In the USA, CRE has been reported in fecal samples obtained from diary cows, albeit with a very low burden (3/323 isolates) (Webb et al., 2016). Of the 85 fecal swab samples tested in this study, no carbapenem-resistant isolate was recovered from MacConkey supplemented with meropenem. This result is in agreement with a previous study in the country (Mollenkopf et al., 2017), which tested swine fecal samples and environmental samples, but failed to detect any CRE in any of the fecal samples. The low incidence of carbapenem and colistin resistance obtained from the samples in the USA are different from Nigeria, with a high occurrence of concurrent colistin and carbapenem resistance. This difference could be attributable to the stringent measures in place for antimicrobial use in

developed countries, as opposed to its lack thereof in developing nations. Although, no carbapenem resistant isolate was observed in this study, of concern is the incidence of colistin resistance among the swine.

## Conclusions

This study shows evidence for the widespread occurrence of multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaeceae* with concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance due to novel and previously known genetic determinants not previously described in animal and human isolates in Nigeria and Saharan Africa. It also demonstrates the presence of internationally recognized high-risk clones and epidemic IncF plasmids that have the potential to impact human and animal health negatively. Combining diverse drug resistance genes and sequence types highlights the considerable genome plasticity and polyclonality that characterize the population structure of both clinical and non-clinical colistin and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in Nigeria. The polyclonality might create considerable problems during outbreak tracing and source attribution. Furthermore, swine fecal samples obtained from the USA in this study identified colistin resistant isolates carrying *mcr* genes, but no carbapenem resistant isolate was recovered. As such, results from this research observed that there is a high prevalence of concurrent colistin and carbapenem resistance in Nigeria, but this is contrary to results from the USA, where this concurrent resistance is seldom found.
#### References

Aghapour, Z., Gholizadeh, P., Ganbarov, K., Bialvaei, A. Z., Mahmood, S. S., Tanomand, A., Yousefi, M., Asgharzadeh, M., Yousefi, B., & Kafil, H. S. (2019). Molecular mechanisms related to colistin resistance in enterobacteriaceae. In *Infection and Drug Resistance* (Vol. 12, pp. 965–975). Dove Medical Press Ltd.

https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S199844

- Aires, C. A. M., Pereira, P. S., Asensi, M. D., & Carvalho-Assef, A. P. D. A. (2016). mgrB mutations mediating polymyxin B resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from rectal surveillance swabs in Brazil. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 60(11), 6969–6972. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01456-16
- Alcock, B. P., Raphenya, A. R., Lau, T. T. Y., Tsang, K. K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A., Huynh, W., Nguyen, A. L. V., Cheng, A. A., Liu, S., Min, S. Y., Miroshnichenko, A., Tran, H. K., Werfalli, R. E., Nasir, J. A., Oloni, M., Speicher, D. J., Florescu, A., Singh, B., ... McArthur, A. G. (2020). CARD 2020: Antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 48(D1), D517– D525. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz935
- Anyanwu, M. U., Jaja, I. F., & Nwobi, O. C. (2020). Occurrence and characteristics of mobile colistin resistance (Mcr) gene-containing isolates from the environment: A review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(3), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17031028
- Aworh, M. K., Kwaga, J., Okolocha, E., Mba, N., & Thakur, S. (2019). Prevalence and risk factors for multi-drug resistant Escherichia coli among poultry workers in the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja, Nigeria. *PLoS ONE*, 14(11).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225379

- Bacterial Isolate Genome Sequence Database (BIGSdb) / PubMLST. (2010). Retrieved April 2, 2021, from https://pubmlst.org/software/bigsdb. https://pubmlst.org/bigsdb?db=pubmlst\_rmlst\_seqdef\_kiosk.
- Bai, F., Li, X., Niu, B., Zhang, Z., Malakar, P. K., Liu, H., Pan, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2018). A mcr-1-Carrying Conjugative IncX4 Plasmid in Colistin-Resistant Escherichia coli ST278 Strain Isolated From Dairy Cow Feces in Shanghai, China. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9(NOV). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02833

Beghain, J., Bridier-Nahmias, A., Nagard, H. Le, Denamur, E., & Clermont, O. (2018).
ClermonTyping: An easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia genus strain phylotyping. *Microbial Genomics*, 4(7). https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000192

Bonnin, R. A., Bernabeu, S., Jaureguy, F., Naas, T., & Dortet, L. (2020). MCR-8 mediated colistin resistance in a carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolated from a repatriated patient from Morocco. In *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* (Vol.

Bortolaia, V., Kaas, R. S., Ruppe, E., Roberts, M. C., Schwarz, S., Cattoir, V., Philippon, A.,

55, Issue 4). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105920

Allesoe, R. L., Rebelo, A. R., Florensa, A. F., Fagelhauer, L., Chakraborty, T., Neumann,B., Werner, G., Bender, J. K., Stingl, K., Nguyen, M., Coppens, J., Xavier, B. B., ...

Aarestrup, F. M. (2020). ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 75(12), 3491–3500.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa345

Carattoli, A., Zankari, E., Garciá-Fernández, A., Larsen, M. V., Lund, O., Villa, L., Aarestrup, F. M., & Hasman, H. (2014). In Silico detection and typing of plasmids using plasmidfinder

and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 58(7), 3895–3903. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14

- Chah, K. F., Ugwu, I. C., Okpala, A., Adamu, K. Y., Alonso, C. A., Ceballos, S., Nwanta, J. N., & Torres, C. (2018). Detection and molecular characterisation of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing enteric bacteria from pigs and chickens in Nsukka, Nigeria. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, *15*, 36–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2018.06.002
- Chaudhary, A. S. (2016). A review of global initiatives to fight antibiotic resistance and recent antibiotics 'discovery. *Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B*, *6*(6), 552–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2016.06.004
- Chen, L., Zhang, J., Wang, J., Butaye, P., Kelly, P., Li, M., Yang, F., Gong, J., Yassin, A. K., Guo, W., Li, J., Song, C., & Wang, C. (2018). Newly identified colistin resistance genes, mcr-4 and mcr-5, from upper and lower alimentary tract of pigs and poultry in China. *PLOS ONE*, *13*(3), e0193957. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193957
- Cheng, Y. H., Lin, T. L., Lin, Y. T., & Wang, J. T. (2016). Amino acid substitutions of crrb responsible for resistance to colistin through crrc in klebsiella pneumoniae. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 60(6), 3709–3716. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00009-16
- Chetri, S., Bhowmik, D., Paul, D., Pandey, P., Chanda, D. D., Chakravarty, A., Bora, D., &
  Bhattacharjee, A. (2019). AcrAB-TolC efflux pump system plays a role in carbapenem non-susceptibility in Escherichia coli. *BMC Microbiology*, *19*(1), 210.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1589-1

Choi, Y., & Chan, A. P. (2015). PROVEAN web server: A tool to predict the functional effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(16), 2745–2747.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv195

Choi, Y., Sims, G. E., Murphy, S., Miller, J. R., & Chan, A. P. (2012). Predicting the Functional Effect of Amino Acid Substitutions and Indels. *PLoS ONE*, 7(10), e46688. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046688

Cienfuegos-Gallet, A. V., Ocampo De Los Ríos, A. M., Sierra Viana, P., Ramirez Brinez, F.,
Restrepo Castro, C., Roncancio Villamil, G., Del Corral Londoño, H., & Jiménez, J. N.
(2019). Risk factors and survival of patients infected with carbapenem-resistant
Klebsiella pneumoniae in a KPC endemic setting: A case-control and cohort study. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4461-x

Clausen, P. T. L. C., Aarestrup, F. M., & Lund, O. (2018). Rapid and precise alignment of raw reads against redundant databases with KMA. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 19(1), 307. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-018-2336-6

Clermont, O., Dixit, O. V. A., Vangchhia, B., Condamine, B., Dion, S., Bridier-Nahmias, A., Denamur, E., & Gordon, D. (2019). Characterization and rapid identification of phylogroup G in Escherichia coli, a lineage with high virulence and antibiotic resistance potential. *Environmental Microbiology*, 21(8), 3107–3117. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-

2920.14713

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2018). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: 28thEdition Informational Supplement M100-S27; CLSI: Wayne, PA, USA, 2018.* 

Feng, Y., Liu, L., Lin, J., Ma, K., Long, H., Wei, L., Xie, Y., McNally, A., & Zong, Z. (2019). Key evolutionary events in the emergence of a globally disseminated, carbapenem resistant clone in the Escherichia coli ST410 lineage. *Communications Biology*, 2(1), 1– 13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0569-1 Findlay, J., Hamouda, A., Dancer, S. J., & Amyes, S. G. B. (2012). Rapid acquisition of decreased carbapenem susceptibility in a strain of Klebsiella pneumoniae arising during meropenem therapy. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 18(2), 140–146. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03515.x

Fisher, J. F., Meroueh, S. O., & Mobashery, S. (2005). Bacterial Resistance to-Lactam Antibiotics: Compelling Opportunism, Compelling Opportunity †. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr030102i

Fu, P., Tang, Y., Li, G., Yu, L., Wang, Y., & Jiang, X. (2019). Pandemic spread of blaKPC-2 among Klebsiella pneumoniae ST11 in China is associated with horizontal transfer mediated by IncFII-like plasmids. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 54(2), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.03.014

- Girlich, D., Poirel, L., & Nordmann, P. (2009). CTX-M expression and selection of ertapenem resistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 53(2), 832–834. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01007-08
- Gupta, S. K., Padmanabhan, B. R., Diene, S. M., Lopez-Rojas, R., Kempf, M., Landraud, L., & Rolain, J. M. (2014). ARG-annot, a new bioinformatic tool to discover antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial genomes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 58(1), 212–220. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01310-13

Hernandez, T., Rodriguez-Alvarez, C., Arevalo, M. P., Torres, A., Sierra, A., & Arias, A. (2002). Antimicrobial-resistant Salmonella enterica serovars isolated from chickens in Spain. *Journal of Chemotherapy*, 14(4), 346–350. https://doi.org/10.1179/joc.2002.14.4.346

Jayol, A., Poirel, L., Brink, A., Villegas, M. V., Yilmaz, M., & Nordmann, P. (2014). Resistance to colistin associated with a single amino acid change in protein PmrB among Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates of worldwide origin. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 58(8), 4762–4766. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00084-14

Jesumirhewe, C., Springer, B., Lepuschitz, S., Allerberger, F., & Ruppitsch, W. (2017). Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae isolates from Edo State, Nigeria. In *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* (Vol. 61, Issue 8). American Society for Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00255-17

Joensen, K. G., Tetzschner, A. M. M., Iguchi, A., Aarestrup, F. M., & Scheutz, F. (2015). Rapid and easy in silico serotyping of Escherichia coli isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *53*(8), 2410–2426.

https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00008-15

Johansson, M. H. K., Bortolaia, V., Tansirichaiya, S., Aarestrup, F. M., Roberts, A. P., & Petersen, T. N. (2021). Detection of mobile genetic elements associated with antibiotic resistance in Salmonella enterica using a newly developed web tool:

MobileElementFinder. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 76(1), 101–109. https://doi.org/10.1093/JAC/DKAA390

Larsen, M. V., Cosentino, S., Lukjancenko, O., Saputra, D., Rasmussen, S., Hasman, H., Sicheritz-Pontén, T., Aarestrup, F. M., Ussery, D. W., & Lund, O. (2014). Benchmarking of methods for genomic taxonomy. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *52*(5), 1529–1539. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02981-13

Larsen, M. V., Cosentino, S., Rasmussen, S., Friis, C., Hasman, H., Marvig, R. L., Jelsbak, L., Sicheritz-Pontén, T., Ussery, D. W., Aarestrup, F. M., & Lund, O. (2012). Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 50(4), 1355–1361. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11 Lee, C. R., Lee, J. H., Park, K. S., Kim, Y. B., Jeong, B. C., & Lee, S. H. (2016). Global dissemination of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae: Epidemiology, genetic context, treatment options, and detection methods. In *Frontiers in Microbiology* 

(Vol. 7, Issue JUN). Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00895

- Lerner, A., Adler, A., Abu-Hanna, J., Meitus, I., Navon-Venezia, S., & Carmeli, Y. (2013). Environmental contamination by carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 51(1), 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01992-12
- Long, H., Feng, Y., Ma, K., Liu, L., McNally, A., & Zong, Z. (2019). The co-transfer of plasmid-borne colistin-resistant genes mcr-1 and mcr-3.5, the carbapenemase gene bla NDM-5 and the 16S methylase gene rmtB from Escherichia coli. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37125-1
- Manageiro, V., Clemente, L., Romão, R., Silva, C., Vieira, L., Ferreira, E., & Caniça, M. (2019).
   IncX4 plasmid carrying the new mcr-1.9 gene variant in a CTX-M-8producing
   Escherichia coli isolate recovered from swine. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*(MAR).
   https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00367
  - Masseron, A., Poirel, L., Jamil Ali, B., Syed, M. A., & Nordmann, P. (2019). Molecular characterization of multidrug-resistance in Gram-negative bacteria from the Peshawar teaching hospital, Pakistan. *New Microbes and New Infections*, 32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2019.100605

Mollenkopf, D. F., Stull, J. W., Mathys, D. A., Bowman, A. S., Feicht, S. M., Grooters, S. V., Daniels, J. B., & Wittum, T. E. (2017). Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae recovered from the environment of a swine farrow-to-finish operation in the United States. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 61(2), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01298-16

- Nabti, L. Z., Sahli, F., Ngaiganam, E. P., Radji, N., Mezaghcha, W., Lupande-Mwenebitu, D., Baron, S. A., Rolain, J. M., & Diene, S. M. (2020). Development of real-time PCR assay allowed describing the first clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate harboring plasmidmediated colistin resistance mcr-8 gene in Algeria. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, 20, 266–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.08.018
  - Nadimpalli, M. L., de Lauzanne, A., Phe, T., Borand, L., Jacobs, J., Fabre, L., Naas, T., Le Hello, S., & Stegger, M. (2019). Escherichia coli ST410 among humans and the environment in Southeast Asia. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 54(2), 228–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.05.024

Nandi, S. P., Sultana, M., & Hossain, M. A. (2013). Prevalence and characterization of multidrug-resistant zoonotic enterobacter spp. in Poultry of Bangladesh. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 10(5), 420–427. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1388

Netikul, T., & Kiratisin, P. (2015). Genetic characterization of carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae and the spread of carbapenem-resistant klebsiella pneumonia ST340 at a university hospital in Thailand. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(9). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139116

Ngbede, E. O., Poudel, A., Kalalah, A., Yang, Y., Adekanmbi, F., Adikwu, A. A., Adamu, A. M., Mamfe, L. M., Daniel, S. T., Useh, N. M., Kwaga, J. K. P., Adah, M. I., Kelly, P., Butaye, P., & Wang, C. (2020). Identification of mobile colistin resistance genes (mcr-1.1, mcr-5 and mcr-8.1) in Enterobacteriaceae and Alcaligenes faecalis of human and animal origin, Nigeria. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, *56*(3), 106108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106108 Ogbolu, D. O., & Webber, M. A. (2014). High-level and novel mechanisms of carbapenem resistance in Gram-negative bacteria from tertiary hospitals in Nigeria. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, *43*(5), 412–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.01.014

Ojo, O. E., Schwarz, S., & Michael, G. B. (2016). Detection and characterization of extendedspectrum β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli from chicken production chains in Nigeria. Veterinary Microbiology, 194, 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.04.022

Okpara, E. O., Ojo, O. E., Awoyomi, O. J., Dipeolu, M. A., Oyekunle, M. A., & Schwarz, S.

(2018). Antimicrobial usage and presence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in animal-rearing households of selected rural and peri-urban communities. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 218, 31–39.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.03.013

Olaitan, Abiola O., Morand, S., & Rolain, J. M. (2014). Mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: Acquired and intrinsic resistance in bacteria. In *Frontiers in Microbiology* (Vol. 5, Issue NOV, p. 643). Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00643

Olaitan, Abiola Olumuyiwa, Morand, S., & Rolain, J. M. (2016). Emergence of colistin-resistant bacteria in humans without colistin usage: A new worry and cause for vigilance. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 47(1), 1–3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.11.009

Olalekan, A., Onwugamba, F., Iwalokun, B., Mellmann, A., Becker, K., & Schaumburg, F. (2020). High proportion of carbapenemase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae among extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producers in Nigerian hospitals.

Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance, 21, 8–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.09.007

Olowo-okere, A., Ibrahim, Y. K. E., Olayinka, B. O., Ehinmidu, J. O., Mohammed, Y., Nabti, L. Z., Rolain, J. M., & Diene, S. M. (2020). Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of clinical carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates from Sokoto, northwest Nigeria. *New Microbes and New Infections*, *37*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100727

Patel, J. (2001). 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial pathogen identification in the clinical laboratory. *Molecular Diagnosis*, 6(4), 313–321. https://doi.org/10.1054/modi.2001.29158

Patiño-Navarrete, R., Rosinski-Chupin, I., Cabanel, N., Gauthier, L., Takissian, J., Madec, J. Y., Hamze, M., Bonnin, R. A., Naas, T., & Glaser, P. (2020). Stepwise evolution and convergent recombination underlie the global dissemination of carbapenemase-producing Escherichia coli. *Genome Medicine*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-019-0699-6

Perez, F., Jacobs, M. R., Donskey, C. J., Endimiani, A., Kaye, K., Viau, R., Bonomo, R. A., Wilson, B., & Frank, K. M. (2016). Intestinal Carriage of Carbapenemase-Producing Organisms: Current Status of Surveillance Methods. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00108-14

Peyclit, L., Baron, S. A., & Rolain, J.-M. (2019). Drug Repurposing to Fight Colistin and Carbapenem-Resistant Bacteria. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 9(JUN), 193. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00193

Poirel, L., Jayol, A., Bontron, S., Villegas, M.-V., Ozdamar, M., Turkoglu, S., & Nordmann, P. (2015). The mgrB gene as a key target for acquired resistance to colistin in Klebsiella

## pneumoniae. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 70(1), 75–80. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku323

Roer, L., Overballe-Petersen, S., Hansen, F., Schønning, K., Wang, M., Røder, B. L., Hansen, D.

S., Justesen, U. S., Andersen, L. P., Fulgsang-Damgaard, D., Hopkins, K. L., Woodford,

N., Falgenhauer, L., Chakraborty, T., Samuelsen, Ø., Sjöström, K., Johannesen, T. B.,

Ng, K., Nielsen, J., ... Hasman, H. (2018). Escherichia coli Sequence Type 410 Is

Causing New International High-Risk Clones . MSphere, 3(4), e00337-18.

https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00337-18

Serwecinska, L. (2020). Antimicrobials and Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria : MPDI Water, 12, 1-

17.

Singh, S., Agarwal, R. K., Tiwari, S. C., & Singh, H. (2012). Antibiotic resistance pattern among the Salmonella isolated from human, animal and meat in India. *Tropical Animal Health* and Production, 44(3), 665–674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-011-9953-7

Stefaniuk, E. M., & Tyski, S. (2019b). Colistin resistance in Enterobacterales strains – A current view. In *Polish Journal of Microbiology* (Vol. 68, Issue 4, pp. 417–427). Polish Society of Microbiologists. https://doi.org/10.33073/PJM-2019-055

Sui, W., Zhou, H., Du, P., Wang, L., Qin, T., Wang, M., Ren, H., Huang, Y., Hou, J., Chen, C., & Lu, X. (2018). Whole genome sequence revealed the fine transmission map of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumonia isolates within a nosocomial outbreak.
 *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-

#### 018-0363-8

Sun, J., Zhang, H., Liu, Y. H., & Feng, Y. (2018). Towards Understanding MCR-like Colistin Resistance. In *Trends in Microbiology* (Vol. 26, Issue 9, pp. 794–808). Elsevier Ltd.

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2018.02.006

Sundaramoorthy, N. S., Suresh, P., Selva Ganesan, S., GaneshPrasad, A. K., & Nagarajan, S. (2019). Restoring colistin sensitivity in colistin-resistant E. coli: Combinatorial use of MarR inhibitor with efflux pump inhibitor. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56325-

Van Der Zwaluw, K., De Haan, A., Pluister, G. N., Bootsma, H. J., De Neeling, A. J., & Schouls, L. M. (2015). The Carbapenem Inactivation Method (CIM), a simple and low-cost alternative for the carba NP test to assess phenotypic carbapenemase activity in Gramnegative rods. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123690

Vieira, R. H. S. D. F., Carvalho, E. M. R., Carvalho, F. C. T., Silva, C. M., Sousa, O. V., & Rodrigues, D. P. (2010). Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* isolated from shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and pond environment in northeastern Brazil. *Journal* of Environmental Science and Health, Part B, 45(3), 198–203. https://doi.org/10.1080/03601231003613526

Voldby Larsen, M. (2014). (No Title). https://doi.org/10.4161/bact.27943

- Walther-Rasmussen, J., & Høiby, N. (2006). OXA-type carbapenemases. In *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* (Vol. 57, Issue 3, pp. 373–383). J Antimicrob Chemother.
- Wang, Q., Sun, J., Li, J., Ding, Y., Li, X.-P., Lin, J., Hassan, B., & Feng, Y. (2017). Expanding landscapes of the diversified mcr-1-bearing plasmid reservoirs. *Microbiome*, 5(1), 70. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0288-0
- Wang, R., Liu, Y., Zhang, Q., Jin, L., Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Hu, M., Li, L., Qi, J.,Luo, Y., & Wang, H. (2018). The prevalence of colistin resistance in Escherichia coli andKlebsiella pneumoniae isolated from food animals in China: coexistence of mcr-1 and bla

NDM with low fitness cost. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 51(5), 739–744. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.01.023

Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., Li, J., Yin, W., Wang, S., Zhang, S., Shen, J., Shen, Z., & Wang,
Y. (2018). Emergence of a novel mobile colistin resistance gene, *mcr-8*, in NDM-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Emerging Microbes & Infections*, 7(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0124-z

Webb, H. E., Bugarel, M., Den Bakker, H. C., Nightingale, K. K., Granier, S. A., Scott, H. M., & Loneragan, G. H. (2016). Carbapenem-resistant bacteria recovered from faeces of dairy cattle in the high plains region of the USA. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(1), 1–11.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147363

Weinstein, M. P., Patel, J. B., Bobenchik, A. M., Campeau, S., Cullen, S. K., Galas, M. F., Gold,H., Humphries, R. M., Kirn, T. J., Lewis Ii, J. S., Limbago, B., Mathers, A. J., Mazzulli,

T., Richter, S. S., Satlin, M., Schuetz, A. N., Swenson, J. M., Tamma, P. D., & Simner, P.

J. (2020). M100 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing A CLSI supplement for global application. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.

Wick, R. R., Heinz, E., Holt, K. E., & Wyres, K. L. (2018). Kaptive web: User-Friendly capsule and lipopolysaccharide serotype prediction for Klebsiella genomes. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 56(6). https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00197-18

Yang, Y.-Q., Li, Y.-X., Lei, C.-W., Zhang, A.-Y., & Wang, H.-N. (2018). Novel plasmidmediated colistin resistance gene mcr-7.1 in Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 73(7), 1791–1795. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky111

Yuan, Y., Li, Y., Wang, G., Li, C., Xiang, L., She, J., Yang, Y., Zhong, F., & Zhang, L. (2019).

Coproduction Of MCR-9 And NDM-1 By Colistin-Resistant<em> Enterobacter hormaechei</em> Isolated From Bloodstream Infection. *Infection and Drug Resistance, Volume 12*, 2979–2985. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S217168

Zhang, J., Wang, J., Chen, L., Yassin, A. K., Kelly, P., Butaye, P., Li, J., Gong, J., Cattley, R., Qi, K., & Wang, C. (2018). Housefly (Musca domestica) and blow fly (Protophormia terraenovae) as vectors of bacteria carrying colistin resistance genes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01736-17

Zhao, Y., Zhang, X., Torres, V. V. L., Liu, H., Rocker, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Chen, L., Bi, W., Lin, J., Strugnell, R. A., Zhang, S., Lithgow, T., Zhou, T., & Cao, J. (2019). An Outbreak of Carbapenem-Resistant and Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae in an Intensive Care Unit of a Major Teaching Hospital in Wenzhou, China. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *7*, 229. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00229

Isolate	host	gapA	infB	mdh	pgi	phoE	rpoB	tonB	MLST	O-Ag	K-Ag
H6	human	3	3	1	1	1	1	4	11	O3b	KL38
H2	human	2	3	1	1	1	4	42	501	O1v2	KL8
H7	human	2	1	2	1	7	1	7	36	O2v2	KL102
H39	human	2	1	1	1	4	4	4	17	OL104	KL107
H45	human	3	3	1	1	1	1	18	340	04	KL15
L18	poultry	1	6	13	1	1	1	1	627	O1v2	KL54

Table 2.1: MLST of colistin-resistant K. pneumoniae isolated from humans and poultry

Isolate	Host	adk	fumC	gyrB	icd	Mdh	purA	recA	MLST	O-Ag	H-
											Ag
L23	poultry	6	4	159	140	112	1	17	2485	015	H45
L26	poultry	6	NA*	14	16	NA	8	14	NA	0155	H51
L39	Poultry	83	331	32	246	1	1	2	2954	0160	H9
L40	Poultry	6	29	5	18	11	8	41	191	O128	H20
L29	poultry	6	4	159	140	112	1	17	2485	015	H45
L36	poultry	6	29	5	18	11	8	41	191	O128	H20
H31	human	?	1012	193	804	636	561	139	NA	09	NA

Table 2.2: MLST of colistin-resistant E. coli isolated from humans and poultry

\* Due to the insufficient coverage for the whole genome sequencing, there is not enough to get the definite information for some MLST analysis

Isolate ID	Species identity	Source of sample hospital 1 = fmc.	Host	Type of sample	Health status of	Year	State of	Colistin MIC	<i>mcr</i> -gene detected
		2= bsuth			host		origin	(µg/ml)	( <b>ND</b> = <i>mcr</i> -
									gene not
L1	E. coli	Backyard farm 1	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L2	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L3	E. coli	Backyard farm 1	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
L4	E. coli	Backyard farm 1	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L5	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L6	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
L7	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L8	E. coli	Backyard farm 2	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L9	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L10	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L11	E. coli	Backyard farm 5	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L12	E. coli	Backyard farm 5	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L13	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	32	ND
L14	E. coli	Backyard farm 8	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L15	K. pneumoniae	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
L16	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L17	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L18	K. pneumoniae	Backyard farm 9	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	mcr-8
L19	E. coli	Backyard farm 9	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L20	E. coli	Backyard farm 9	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND

**Table 2.3**: Distribution of *mcr* genes in colistin resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* recovered from Nigeria

L21	E. coli	Backyard farm 12	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L22	E. coli	Backyard farm 12	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L23	E. coli	Backyard farm 12	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1
L24	E. coli	Backyard farm 13	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L25	E. coli	Backyard farm 13	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L26	E. coli	Backyard farm 13	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1
L27	E. coli	Backyard farm 18	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1
L28	E. coli	Backyard farm 18	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L29	E. coli	Backyard farm 18	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	> 64	mcr-1, mcr-5
L30	E. coli	Backyard farm 18	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L31	E. coli	Backyard farm 18	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	16	ND
L32	E. coli	Backyard farm 19	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L33	E. coli	Backyard farm 19	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	mcr-8
L34	E. coli	Backyard farm 25	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L35	E. coli	Backyard farm 25	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2019	Benue	8	ND
L36	E. coli	Backyard farm 26	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1
L37	E. coli	Backyard farm 27	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	8	ND
L38	C. werkmanii	Backyard farm 28	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1

 Table 2.3 (cont'd): Distribution of mcr genes in colistin resistant Enterobacteriaceae recovered from Nigeria

L39	E. coli	Backyard farm 29	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1
L40	E. coli	Backyard farm 30	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	16	mcr-1
L41	E. coli	Backyard farm 31	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
L42	E. coli	Backyard farm 32	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	8	ND
L43	E. coli	Backyard farm 32	Poultry	Liver	Sick birds	2019	Benue	16	ND
H2	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	> 64	mcr-1, mcr-8
H3	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	ND
H4	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
H5	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
H6	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	> 64	mcr-8
H7	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	mcr-8
H8	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	mcr-1
H9	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND
H10	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	ND
H21	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	ND
H22	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 1	Environment	ICU Wash hand basin		2019	Benue	32	ND
H23	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	32	ND
H24	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND

Table 2.3 (cont'd): Distribution of mcr genes in colistin resistant Enterobacteriaceae recovered from Nigeria

H25	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	32	ND
H26	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
H27	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND
H28	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	ND
H29	E. coli	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	16	ND
H30	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	16	ND
H31	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	mcr-1, mcr-8
H32	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	mcr-1
H33	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND
H34	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	16	ND
H35	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
H36	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	16	ND
H37	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND
H38	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND
H39	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	mcr-8
H40	K. pneumoniae	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	> 64	ND
H41	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	> 64	ND

Table 2.3 (cont'd): Distribution of mcr genes in colistin resistant Enterobacteriaceae recovered from Nigeria

H42	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out	2019	Benue	8	ND
					patient				

### Table 2.3 (cont'd): Distribution of mcr genes in colistin resistant Enterobacteriaceae recovered from Nigeria

H43	E. coli	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	8	ND
H44	К.	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	mcr-1
	pneumoniae	-			-				
H45	<i>K</i> .	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	>	mcr-1
	pneumoniae	-			_			64	
H46	К.	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	>	ND
	pneumoniae	-			_			64	
H47	К.	Hospital 1	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	>	ND
	pneumoniae	-			_			64	
H48	К.	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	>	ND
	pneumoniae							64	
H49	К.	Hospital 2	Human	Urine	In patient ICU	2019	Benue	>	ND
	pneumoniae							64	
H50	К.	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	16	ND
	pneumoniae								
H51	К.	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	ND
	pneumoniae								
H52	К.	Hospital 2	Human	Stool	Out patient	2019	Benue	8	ND
	pneumoniae								
B6	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	8	ND
B7	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	8	ND
B8	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	8	ND
B10	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	8	mcr-1
B14	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	16	ND
B16	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	8	ND
B22	E. coli	At slaughter	Cattle	Rectal swab	Healthy	2018	Benue	16	ND
C8	E. coli	Live camel	Camel	Rectal swab	Healthy	2016	Jigawa	8	ND
		market							
C14	E. coli	Live camel	Camel	Rectal swab	Healthy	2016	Jigawa	8	ND
		market							
C40	E. coli	Live camel	Camel	Rectal swab	Healthy	2016	Katsina	>	ND
		market						64	

D4	E. coli	Household	Dog	Rectal swab	Healthy	2017	Benue	8	ND
D8	E. coli	Household	Dog	Rectal swab	Healthy	2017	Benue	8	ND

**Table 2.3 (cont'd)**: Distribution of mcr genes in colistin resistant Enterobacteriaceae recovered from Nigeria

E12	A. faecalis	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2016	Benue	> 64	mcr-1
E41	E. coli	At slaughter	Pig	Rectal swab	Healthy	2016	Benue	64	ND
E56	E. coli	Backyard farm	Poultry	Cloacal swab	Healthy	2016	Benue	> 64	ND

# **Table 2.4:** Distribution of carbapenem and colistin resistant isolates recovered from humans and animals in Nigeria

	No o			
	Colistin supplemented media	Non- supplemented media	Total	Ranges of MIC (µg/ml)
Carbapenem resistant (n=583)	77	33	110 (18.9%)	2 - 32
Colistin resistant (n=583)	86	15	99 (17% )	8 -> 64
Co-resistance to colistin & carbapenem (n=583)	45	8	53 (9.1%)	

					MIC (	ug/ml)		Mutations and Alterations Mediating								
ID	Sample type	MLST	Phylo- group						Colistin 1	resistance				Carbapenem	resistance	
	• <b>5 P</b> •		Browk	Col	Mer	Ert	Imp	mgrB	ccrB	pmrB	arnT	ompK36	ompK37	ompC	ramR	marR
H2	Stool	ST 501	NA	>64	32	2	2	MIV	N195S	-	-	A217S, N218H	I70M, I128M	-	MIV	-
*H34	Stool	ST156	B1	16	16	8	12	M1V	-	-	-	-	-	M1_V24del	-	M1V
*H35	Stool	ST2006	B1	> 64	2	2	2	M1V	-	-	-	-	-	M1_V24del, D192G	-	MIV
H36	Stool	ST 252	NA	16	16	2	8	MIV	M1_A52del, W140L, N141I		-	A217S	I70M, I128M	-	MIV	-
*H41	Stool	ST182	E	>64	8	16	8	M1V	-	-	-	-	-	M1_V24del, R267L	-	M1V
H6	Stool	ST 11	NA	>64	32	2	4	MIV	N195S	R256G	-	A217S	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	-
H50	Stool	ST 925	NA	16	16	16	12	MIV	M1_M59del , W140S N141H, N195S		-	A217S, N218H	170M, 1128M	-	MIV	-
H4	Urine	ST 45	NA	>64	32	2	8	MIV	N195S	R256G	-	A217S	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	-
Н5	Urine	ST 45	NA	>64	32	16	12	MIV	N195S	R256G	-	A217S	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	-
H7	Urine	ST 36	NA	>64	32	16	12	MIV	N1958	-	G164S	-	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	-
H23	Urine	ST 307	NA	32	8	16	12	MIV	N195S	-	-	-	I70M, I128M, N230G,	-	MIV	-

Table 2.5: Characteristics and genotypic profile of *Enterobacteriaceae* of human origin expressing concurrent resistance to carbapenem and colistin from Nigeria

													M233_T234insHY			
H25	Urine	ST 307	NA	32	32	16	12	MIV	N195S	-	-	-	<b>ITH</b> <b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY	-	MIV	-
H26	Urine	ST 307	NA	>64	6	16	8	MIV	N195S	-	-	-	<b>IT</b> <b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	-
*H29	Urine	ST210	B1	16	4	2	2	M1V	-	-	-	-	-	M1_V24del	-	M1V
**H30	Urine	ND	NA	16	32	16	12	MIV	M1_K78del, W140L, N141M, <b>N195S</b>		-	A217S, N218H	I70M, I128M	-	MIV	-
H31	Urine	ST 200	NA	>64	8	8	12	MIV	N195S	G164S	-	A217S, N218H	I70M, I128M	-	MIV	-
H39	Urine	ST 17	NA	>64	16	16	2	MIV	N195S		-		I128M, I70M, T261A	-	MIV	-
H40	Urine	ST 2617	NA	>64	32	16	12	MIV	M1_A52del, W140L, N141I		-	A217S, N218H	I128M, I70M	-	MIV	-
H45	Urine	ST 340	NA	>64	32	16	12	MIV	N195S	R256G	-	A2178	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	_
H46	Urine	ST 340	NA	>64	32	16	8	MIV	N195S	R256G	-	A2178	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	_
H47	Urine	ST 340	NA	>64	16	16	12	MIV	N195S	R256G	-	A2178	<b>I70M, I128M,</b> <b>N230G,</b> M233_T234insHY TH	-	MIV	_
H48	Urine	ST 3271	NA	>64	16	16	12	MIV	N1958		-	A2178	M1_Y25del, K27Q, D28Q, G29V, N30G, K31S, D33T, <b>I70M, I128M</b>	-	MIV	-

*H49	Urine	ST58	B1	>64	32	2	12		M1V	-	-	-	-	M1_V24del,	- M1V
														D192G,	
														A231_Y232i	
														nsNGYGER	
*H50	Urine	ST 925	NA	16	16	16	12	MIV	M1_M59del	-	-	A217S, N218H	I70M, I128M	-	MIV -
									, W140S						
									N141H,						
									N195S						
**H22	Sink	ST 3266	NA	32	32	16	12	MIV	N195S	-	-	A217S	I70M, I128M	-	MIV -

Bold: Mutations/alterations previously reported by other authors \**Escherichia coli*, \*\**Klebsiella quasipneumoniae*, others: Klebsiella pneumoniae

				Phylo-	Mi	nimum	inhibi	tory			Muta	tions and (	Genetic Alterations Mediating				
ID	Host	Sample Type	MLST	group	con	centrat	ion (µg	g/ml)		Colistin r	esistance		Carbapenem resistance		ompK36	ompK37	ramR
					Col	Mer	Ert	Imp	mgrB	ccrB	etpA	arnT	OmpC	marR	-	-	-
B14	Cattle	Rectal swab	ND	B1	16	32	16	12	M1V	-	-	A278T	M1_V24del, G29S, D39N, G40A, L41K, D46S,	M1V	-	-	-
													<b>Q54T,</b> Y74F				
B22	Cattle	Rectal swab	ST215	А	16	32	16	12	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del	M1V	-	-	-
C40	Camel	Rectal swab	ST46	А	>64	32	8	8	M1V	-	V336M	-	M1_V24del, D192G	M1V	-	-	-
E41	Pig	Rectal swab	ST4977	А	>64	8	16	8	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, D192G	M1V	-	-	-
L6	Pig	Rectal swab	ST410	С	>64	4	2	2	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, <b>D192G</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L13	Pig	Rectal swab	ST410	С	32	32	16	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, <b>D192G</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L15	Pig	Rectal swab	ST3113	С	>64	8	16	12	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, <b>D192G</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L16	Pig	Rectal swab	ST226	А	16	32	8	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, D192G, N228_T229insGSYTSNGV	M1V	-	-	-
L17	Pig	Rectal swab	ST224	B1	16	16	16	12	M1V	_	-	-	M1_V24del, <b>D192G</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L20	Pig	Rectal swab	ST2179	B1	16	32	16	8	M1V	-	T411I	T157A	M1_V24del	M1V	-	-	-
L3	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST196	B1	>64	32	2	8	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del	M1V	-	-	-
*L18	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST627	NA	16	8	16	8	M1V	M1_L56del , W140S, N141H, <b>N195S</b>	-	-	-	-	A217S, N218H	I70M, I128M	MIV
L22	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST224	B1	16	32	8	8	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, <b>D192G</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L23	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST2485	D	16	32	16	12	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, N47D, <b>D192K</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L25	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST6707	D	16	16	16	12	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, N47D, <b>D192K</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L26	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ND	B1	16	32	16	4	M1V	-	-	L485F	M1_V24del	M1V	-	-	-
L27	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST744	А	16	32	16	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, D192G, N228_T229inGSYTSNGV	M1V	-	-	-
L28	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST1673	B1	16	32	2	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, <b>D192G</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L29	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST2485	D	16	8	16	12	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, N47D, <b>D192K</b>	M1V	-	-	-
L31	Poultry	Cloacal swab	ST6061	А	16	32	2	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del	M1V	-	-	-
L36	Poultry	Viscera-liver	ST191	А	16	32	4	8	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del	M1V	-	-	-
**L38	Poultry	Viscera-liver	ST259	NA	16	32	16	12	M1V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2.6: Characteristics and genotypic profile of *Enterobacteriaceae* of animal origin expressing concurrent resistance to carbapenem and colistin, Nigeria

L39	Poultry	Viscera-liver	ST2954	E	16	4	2	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, N47D	M1V	-	-	-
L40	Poultry	Viscera-liver	ST191	А	16	16	16	4	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, A230_A231insYYISNGVAR	M1V	-	-	-
L41	Poultry	Viscera-liver	ND	А	16	8	8	8	M1V	-	-	W100M	M1_V24del, K173T, N176_T183del, G190E,	M1V	-	-	-
													<b>D192G,</b> D225W				
L43	Poultry	Viscera-liver	ST929	B2	64	32	4	12	M1V	-	-	-	M1_V24del, N47D, <b>D192G</b>	MIV	-	-	-

Bold: Mutations/alterations previously reported by other authors \*: Klebsiella pneumoniae, \*\*: Citrobacter werkmanii

	ID	Phenotypic Resistance Profile	β-lactamases	Aminoglycosides	Fluoroquninolones	Sulphamethazoxle	Tetracycline	Phenico
	H2	AMC AMX CEF GEN	SHV-11	-	$acrR^*$ , $oaxA$ , $oaxB$	- IT intethop init	_	-
Human	H34	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX ERY ENR FOR STX	CTX-M-15, TEM-1B	aac(6')-Ib-cr, aac(6')-Ib3	gyrA <sup>*</sup> , parC <sup>*</sup> , parE <sup>*</sup>	sul1, dfrA1	tetB	catA1, catB3
clinical sample	H35	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF ERY	CTX-M-15	aadA1	$qepA4$ , $gyrA^*$ , $parE^*$	sul1, sul2, dfrA12	tetB	catA1, cmlA1
(stool)	H36	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY STX	SHV-1	-	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	-	-	-
	H41	CEF COL DOX ERY	-	-	-	-	-	
	H6	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF GEN ERY STX	TEM-1B, SHV-11	aac(3)-IId, aadA1, aph(3')- Ia, aph(3'')-Ib, aph(6)-Id	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB, qnrS1	sul2, dfrA14,	tetA, tetD	catA2
	H50	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY STX	OKP-B-8	-	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	-	-	-
	H4	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX ERY ENR FOX STX	SHV-1	-	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	-	-	-
Human	H5	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY ENR STX	TEM-1B, DHA-1, SHV-1	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB, qnrB4	sul1, sul2, dfrA1, dfrA14,		
clinical	H7	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY ENR	SHV-11	aadA1	$acrR^*$	sul2, dfrA5		
sample (urine)	H23	AMC AMX CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR SXT	CTX-M-15, OXA-1, TEM-1B, SHV-28	aac(3)-IIa, aac(6')-Ib-cr, aph(3'')-Ib, aph(6)-Id	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB, qnrB1	sul2, dfrA14	tetA	
	H25	AMC AMX CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15, OXA-1, TEM-1B, SHV-28	aac(3)-IIa, aac(6')-Ib-cr	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB, qnrB1	sul2, dfrA14,	tetA	catB3
	H26	AMC AMX CIP CEF GEN ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15, OXA-1, TEM-1B, SHV-28	aac(3)-IIa, aac(6')-Ib-cr	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB, qnrB1	sul2, dfrA14,	tetA	catB3
	H29	AMC AMX CEF ERY FLOR	-	-	-	sul2, dfrA15		-
	H30	AMC AMX CEF ERY FLOR MER	OKP-B-7	-	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	-	-	-
	H31	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY ENR FLOR STX	SHV-1	-	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	-	-	-
	H39	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY	TEM-1C, SHV-1	aadA1	oqxA, oqxB	sul1, dfrA1	tetA	
	H40	AMC AMX CEF ERY		aph(3')-Ib, aph(6)-Id	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB			
	H45	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX	CTX-M-15, TEM-1B, SHV-11	aac(3)-IId, aadA2	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	sul1, sul2 dfrA1	tetD	catA2
	H46	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX	CTX-M-15, TEM-1B, SHV-11	aac(3)-IId, aadA2, ant(2")-Ia, aph(3')-Ia, aph(3')-Ib	oqxA, oqxB	sul1, sul2 dfrA10, dfrA12	tetD, tetJ	
	H47	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF GEN ERY STX	CTX-M-15, TEM-1B, SHV-11	aac(3)-IId, aadA2, <b>aph(3')-</b> Ib	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	sul1, sul2 dfrA12	tetD	catA2
	H48	AMC AMX CEF ERY FOX STX	TEM-1B, SHV-11	-	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB	sul2 dfrA26	-	-

Table 2.7: Phenotypic and genotypic resistance profile of *Enterobacteriaceae* of human origin expressing concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance from Nigeria

	H49	AMC AMX CEF ERY	TEM-1B, DHA-17	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id,	sul1, sul2	dfrA1, dfrA5	tetA	catA2
				aadA1				
Hospital	H22	AMC AMX CEF DOX ERY ENR STX	OKP-B-5	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	acrR <sup>*</sup> , oqxA, oqxB			
environment								
(sink)								

	ID	Phenotypic Resistance Profile	β-lactamases	Aminoglycosides	Fluoroquninolones	Sulphamethazoxle- Trimethoprim	Tetracycline	Phenicol
Cattle rectal swab	B14	AMC AMX CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15, TEM- 1B	aph(3")-1b, aph(6)-1d, aac(3)-11d	qnrS1, qepA1, gyrA*	sul2, dfrA14, dfrA17	tetA, tetB	-
	B22	AMC AMX CEF ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	qnrS1	sul2, dfrA14		-
Camel rectal swab	C40	AMC AMX CEF ERY ENR	CTX-M-15		qnrS1		tetA	-
	E41	AMC AMX CEF STX	CTX-M-15, TEM- 1B	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	qnrS1	sul2, dfrA14	tetA	-
Pig rectal	L3	AMC AMX CEF DOX GEN ERY STX	CTX-M-55	aac(3)-IId	qnrS1	sul2, dfrA14	tetA	
swab	L18	AMC AMX CHL CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR SXT	TEM-1B	armA, aadA1, aadA2b, aac(3)-IIa, aph(3')-Ia, aph(6)-Ic,	acrR*, qnrB1, oqxA, oqxB, qnrB17	sul1, sul3, dfrB4,	ramR <sup>*</sup> , tetD, tetM	cmlA1
-	L6	AMC AMX CIP CEF DOX ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15, OXA-1	aac(6')-Ib-cr, aadA5	gyrA*	sul1, dfrA17	tetA	CatB3
-	L13	AMC AMX CIP CEF DOX ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15, OXA-1	aac(6')-Ib-cr	gyrA*	sul1, dfrA17	tetA	CatB3
-	L15	AMC AMX CEF ERY ENR	CTX-M-15, OXA-1	aac(6')-Ib-cr	gyrA*	sul1, dfrA17	tetA	CatB3
	L16	AMC AMX CEF COL DOX ERY ENR MER STX	CTX-M-15, TEM- 1B	aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	qnrS1	sul2, dfrA14	tetA	
-	L17	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR STX	CTX-M-15	aadA2, aadA1	gyrA*	sul2, dfrA12	tetA	cmlA1
Poultry	L20	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR	CTX-M-65	aac(3)-IId	qnrS13		tetA	floR
cloacal swab	L22	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX	-	aac(3)-IIa, aph(3')-Ia, aph(3'')-Ib, aadA1	gyrA*	sul3, dfrA1	tetA	floR
	L23	AMC AMX CHL CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR	TEM-1B	aac(3)-Via	gyrA <sup>*</sup> , qnrS1	sul1, sul3, dfrA14	tetA	
	L25	AMX AMC CIP CEF ENR STX	TEM-1B	aac(3)-Via	gyrA <sup>*</sup> , qnrS1	sul1, sul3, dfrA14	tetA	
	L26	AMC AMX CHL CEF DOX ERY ENR FLOR MER STX	TEM-1B	aph(3')-Ia, aph(3")-Ib, aadA1	gyrA <sup>*</sup> , qnrS1, parC <sup>*</sup>	sul3, dfrA1	tetA	floR
	L27	AMC AMX CHL CEF COL GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX	TEM-1B	aac(3)-IIa, aadA1, aph(3")-Ib	gyrA*	sul3, dfrA1	tetA	floR
	L28	AMC AMX CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR	TEM-1A	aac(3)-IId, aac(3)-Via	gyrA*, qnrS1	sul1, sul3, dfrA14	tetA	-
	L29	AMC AMX CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR STX	TEM-1B	aac(3)-Via	gyrA*, qnrS1	sul1, sul3, dfrA14	tetA	-
	L31	AMC AMX CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR	CTX-M-55	aph(3')-Ia, aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	gyrA*, parC*	sul2	tetB	-
Poultry clinical	L36	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX	TEM-1B	aac(3)-IId, aph(3')-Ia, aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id		sul2, dfrA14	tetA	floR
sample	L38	AMC AMX CHL CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FOX STX	CMY-98, TEM-1	aph(3')-Ia, aph(6)-Id, aadA2b	qnrB19, qnrB34	sul1, dfrA12	tetB, tetM	cmlA1
(liver)	L39	AMC AMX CIP CEF DOX ENR STX	TEM-1B	aadA5	qnrS1	sul2, dfrA17	tetA	-

Table 2.8: Phenotypic and genotypic resistance profile of *Enterobacteriaceae* of animal origin expressing concurrent carbapenem-colistin resistance from Nigeria

L40	AMC AMX CHL CIP CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR FLOR STX	TEM-1B	aac(3)-IId, aph(3')-Ia, aph(3")-Ib, aph(6)-Id	qnrS1	sul2, dfrA14		floR
L41	AMC AMX CEF DOX GEN ERY ENR		aac(3)-IIa, aph(6)-Id	qnrS13, gyrA*, parC*,	sul2	tetA	-
L43	AMC AMX CIP CEF GEN ERY ENR	TEM-1B	-	qnrS1	-	-	-

AMC: Amxycyline-clavulanic acid, AMX: Amoxycillin, CEF: Ceftriaxone, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, CHL: Chloramphenicol, DOX: Doxycycline, GEN: Gentamicin, ERY: Erytromycin, ENR: Enrofloxacin, FOX: Cefoxitin, FLOR: Florfernicol, STX: Sulfamethaxaxole-Trimethoprim

Isolates	Plasmid Replicon Types (No. of isolates harboring the plasmid)
Klebsiella pneumonia	IncFIB(mar) (n=1); IncHIIB (n=1); IncFII(K) (n=10); IncFIB (pKPH51) (n=1); IncFIB(K) (n=15); IncR (n=10); IncQI (n=1); IncFIA(HI1) (n=5); IncFII (n=2); ColMGD2) (n=1); Col4401 (n=8); Col3M (n=2); ColRNAI (n=1)
Klebsiella quasipneumoniae	IncFII (n=1); IncFII(K) (n=1); IncFIB(K) (n=1); IncR (n=1); Col4401 (n=2)
Escherichia coli	IncFIA (n=9); IncFIB (n=1); InFIB(pLF82) (n=1); IncHIIB(CIT) (n=1); IncFII(PHN7A8) (n=1); IncFIB(K) (n=3); IncFIB(AP001918) (n=17); IncFII (pAMA1167-NDM-5) (n=1); IncY (n=4); IncQI (n=3); IncFII (n=9); IncFIC(FII) (n=6); IncI1 (n=2); IncI2 (n=1); IncII-1(Gamma) (n=1); p0111 (n=5); IncN (n=1); IncX1 (n=7); IncX4 (n=8); Col3M (n=1); Col156 (n=5); Col4401 (n=1)
Citrobacter werkmanii	Col4401 (n=1); IncQ1 (n=1)

**Table 2.9:** Plasmid replicon profile of colistin and carbapenem co-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*

Sl. No.	Pig #	Age	Sex	Identity		mcr gene	s detected	
					mcr-2	mcr-4	mcr-6	mcr-9
1	7001	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
2	6903	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	mcr-9
3	6806	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
4	5714	7.5 weeks	F		ND	mcr-4	ND	mcr-9
5	5908	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
6	7002	7.5 weeks	F	Escherichia coli	ND	ND	ND	mcr-9
7	8607	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
8	5614	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
9	6915	7.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	mcr-9
10	5812	7.5 weeks	F	Klebsiella pneumoniae	ND	ND	ND	mcr-9
11	5314	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
12	5008	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
13	5409	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
14	5311	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
15	5205	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
16	5410	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
17	5210	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
18	5206	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
19	5106	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
20	5208	12.5 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
21	3703	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
22	4007	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
23	3205	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
24	3411	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
25	3912	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
26	3413	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
27	3506	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
28	3916	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND
29	4107	17 weeks	F		ND	ND	ND	ND

 Table 2.10: Detection of mcr genes from swine fecal swabs in USA

30	3204	17 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
31	6013	11 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
32	7001	11 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
33	6806	11 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
34	6014	11 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
35	7002	11 weeks	F	m	ncr2	ND	ND	ND
36	4102	22 weeks	М	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
37	3602	22 weeks	М	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
38	3701	22 weeks	М	m	ncr-2	ND	mcr-6	ND
39	4002	22 weeks	М	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
40	3601	22 weeks	М	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
41	6106	11 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
42	6208	11 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
43	6104	11 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
44	5311	16 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
45	6215	11 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
46	5409	16 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
47	5205	16 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
48	6701	11 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
49	4910	16 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
50	4404	16 weeks	М	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
51	5306	16 weeks	М	1	ND	ND	ND	ND
52	5101	16 weeks	М	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
53	4601	16 weeks	М	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
54	4603	16 weeks	М	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
55	5404	16 weeks	М	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
56	4008	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	mcr-6	ND
57	3603	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
58	4006	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
59	3913	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
60	3811	22 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	mcr-6	ND
61	4203	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	mcr-6	ND
62	3917	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
63	3111	22 weeks	F	m	ncr-2	ND	ND	ND
64	3910	22 weeks	F	1	ND	ND	ND	ND

65	3915	22 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
66	3413	22 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
67	3204	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
68	3411	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
69	3504	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
70	4105	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
71	4107	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
72	3506	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
73	3916	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
74	4304	22 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
75	4109	22 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
76	4412	16 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
77	4606	16 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
78	4409	16 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
79	4307	16 weeks	F	mcr-	2 ND	ND	ND
80	5008	16 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
81	6210	11 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
82	6012	11 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
83	5907	11 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
84	6309	11 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
85	6105	11 weeks	F	ND	ND	ND	ND
Isolate	Pig ID	Gene	Coverage (%)	Identity (%)	Database		
---------	--------	-------------------------	--------------	--------------	------------------		
ID							
20013	5714	marA	98.96	98.7	CARD		
		pmrF	98.97	98.25	CARD		
		pmrE	98.72	95.98	CARD		
		pmrC	99.51	98.72	CARD		
		ampC	98.24	96.56	CARD & ARG-ANNOT		
		<i>bla<sub>EC</sub></i>	98.24	97.88	NCBI		
		bla <sub>AMPH</sub>	98.01	96.72	ARG-ANNOT		
20026	6915	marA	99.22	99.22	CARD		
		ampC	97.97	97.88	CARD		
		blaEC	97.97	97.88	NCBI		
		pmrC	99.7	99.39	CARD		
		pmrF	98.97	98.87	CARD		
		pmrE	99.14	97.18	CARD		

 Table 2.11: Identification of resistance genes in two E. coli mcr- positive isolates in USA



**Figure 2.1:** Phylogenetic analysis of *mcr-5* identified in this study with other *mcr* genes. The nucleotide sequences of *mcr-5* (271 bp from isolate L29) identified in this study (in boldface) is compared with representative sequences of other *mcr* genes and different mcr-5 variants of *mcr-5*. The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The phylogenetic comparison demonstrated the *mcr-5* identified in this study is identical to four other *mcr-5* variants but showed significant dissimilarities to other *mcr* genes (similarities with *mcr-1*: 37%; *mcr-2*: 36%; *mcr-3*: 32%; *mcr-4*: 49%; *mcr-6*: 35%; *mcr-7*: 39%; *mcr-8*: 62%; *mcr-9*: 31%).



**Figure 2.2:** Morphological characteristics of colistin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated in USA on MacConkey agar (Left) and CHROM<sup>TM</sup>agar COL-APSE (Right). Left: *E. coli* (pink, round colonies without mucoid appearance); *K. pneumoniae* (pink, round colonies without mucoid appearance); *R. pneumoniae* (metallic blue, round colonies).